Broadsword GIS NavUP System Testing Report

Johan du Plooy - 12070794 Dimpho Mahoko - 15175091 Bernhard Schuld - 10297902 Mankgwanyane Tlaka - 14351872 Kamogelo Tsipa - 13010931 Hendrik van der Mewe - 15101283

May 5, 2017

Contents

1	Over-View Tests 3								
	1.1	Ad-hoc testing	3						
		1.1.1 description	3						
			3						
			3						
	1.2		4						
			4						
			4						
			4						
	1.3		4						
			4						
			5						
			5						
2	API Testing 5								
	2.1	Type of test to be applied: Black-Box Testing	5						
			5						
	2.2		5						
3	Non-Functional Requirements Tested								
	3.1	List of Non-Functional Requirements	6						
	3.2	<u> </u>	7						
1	Eva	uation of Test Cases	Q						

1 Over-View Tests

1.1 Ad-hoc testing

1.1.1 description

This type of software testing is very informal and unstructured and can be performed by any stakeholder with no reference to any test case or test design documents. The person performing Ad-hoc testing has a good understanding of the domain and workflows of the application to try to find defects and break the software. Ad-hoc testing is intended to find defects that were not found by existing test cases.

1.1.2 What is being Tested

The GIS module is responisble for all the GIS related parts of the software, this includes admin being able to CRUD any location; a user being able to be given coordinates of a location.

This module provide services to search for locations such as landmarks, buildings as well as venues such as offices, lecture halls, labs, etc.

Use Cases to be tested

Admin: CRUD any kind of singular element

Import: Create a batch of elements via upload of a file in specified formats.

User: Get XYZ coordinates of a named location

1.1.3 Results after testing

All CRUD functionalities of the systems do work well as expected, without any delay or having to understand the code the stakeholder is able to grade this functionality as working perfect.

When trying the create a batch of elements via uploading a file, the system files. it seems that this functionality is not implemented since a stake holder does not see an option to upload a batch file of elements.

1.2 Acceptance Testing

1.2.1 description

Acceptance testing is a formal type of software testing that is performed by end user when the features have been delivered by developers. The aim of this testing is to check if the software confirms to their business needs and to the requirements provided earlier. Acceptance tests are normally documented at the beginning of the sprint (in agile) and is a means for testers and developers to work towards a common understanding and shared business domain knowledge.

1.2.2 What is being Tested

The main user of the system is the everyday student/lecturer and visistors to the University of Pretoria. The functionalities an end user would be intrested in testing are the following:

- Get XYZ coordinates of a named location
- search for locations such as landmarks, buildings as well as venues such as offices, lecture halls, labs, etc.

1.2.3 Results after testing

Acceptance testing meets the user's expectations. The modulle is able to perfome all the functionalities that the end user is intrested in. The end user grades the system a 9/10 for the functions mentioned above.

1.3 Accessibility Testing

1.3.1 description

When doing accessibility testing, the aim of the testing is to determine if the contents of the system can be easily accessed by disable people. Various checks such as color and contrast (for color blind people), font size for visually impaired, clear and concise text that is easy to read and understand.

1.3.2 What is being Tested

The GIS is a module It is about the creation and maintenance of a GIS Map of the campus and persisting information that can be applied to determine the location of a device based on WiFi signal strengths and other available sources of GIS information.

1.3.3 Results after testing

Accessibility testing assummes that the entire system is complete and features which are associated with Human and Computing interaction and User Experience are already in place. as a result of this, the results of this test are depended on the access module and one cannot conclude good results by only looking at the GIS modulle

2 API Testing

2.1 Type of test to be applied: Black-Box Testing

2.1.1 Reason for choosen Testing Method

We choose Black-Box Testing since GIS is a modulle to the NavUP software. this allows us to examine the functionality of the Gladios GIS modulle.

2.2 Test Cases

Functions implemeted

- public String geAlltBuildings(Double lat, Double lon);
- public String getBuilding(Double lat, Double lon);
- ArrayList getLectureHall(String building);
- public ArrayList getLectureCoordinates(String room);
- public ArrayList getBuildingCoordinates(String building);
- public ArrayList getBuildingInRadius(double mLat, double mLon, double radius);

• public void insertBuilding(String name, String description, String geometry, String coordinates, String table);

Table 1. Test cases

Table 1: Test cases								
Function	Description	Mark(10)	comment					
getAllBuildings	This function returns a	6	Apply additional error					
	list of all the buildings		handling					
	in the GIS database		Function very reliable					
getBuilding;	This function returns	10	comment					
	the details of a building							
	specified by the user							
getLectureHall	This function returns	6	Make use of quey opti-					
	the location of a lec-		mizing strategies to im-					
	ture hall specified by		prove query execution					
	the user		time					
			Add Additional error					
			handling for incorrect					
			request					
			Function very reliable					
getLectureCoordinates	This function returns	10	comment					
	the coordinates of a lec-							
	ture hall							
getBuildingCoordinates	This function returns	10	comment					
	the coordinates of a							
	specified bulding							
getBuildingInRadius	Not sure what this does	10	comment					
insertBuilding;	This function inserts	10	comment					
	a building to the							
	database using the							
	parameters							

3 Non-Functional Requirements Tested

3.1 List of Non-Functional Requirements

Non-Functional Requirements

- Performance
- \bullet Scalability
- Security
- ullet Accessibility
- Maintainability

3.2 Marking

Table 2: Non-Functional Requirements

Function	Description	Mark(10)	comment
Performance	Does the system per-	10	System performance is
	form well under dif-		sufficient
	ferent possible environ-		
Q 1.1.11	ments and situations	_	
Scalability	Can the system handle	7	Processing lots of
	a sufficient amount of		data at once insuffi-
	data at a time and over-		cient. Can only add 1
	time		building at a time
Security	Is the system suffi-	8	Confirm user type be-
	ciently protected from		fore fulfilling the query,
	the possible threats		as a guest user can ac-
	that could occur		cess admin user func-
			tions.
Accessibility	Is the system easily ac-	6	Does not indicate
	cessible to people with		friendly wheel chair
	disabilities		paths or any disability
			provision
Maintainability	Can the system be	9	Updating the database
	maintained with ease		works fine and the
	regarding database and		source code is struc-
	source code		tured in a modular
			enough manner to add
			new features with few
			changes to the rest of it

4 Evaluation of Test Cases

1. Creating map object linked to a spacial database Mark: 4.

Comment: Method takes in database details and successfully returns a new GIS map object, however no checks are being performed to validate the returned object. The method does catch Exceptions, but only logs the error. No proper exception handling is being performed.

While the method does work with correct paramaters, no unit tests were written and as such the method was not properly tested. Examples of unit tests that should have been written are as follows:

- All parameters entered, and all parameters are correct
- All parameters entered, some are correct and some are incorrect
- All parameters entered, all are incorrect
- Some parameters entered, all entered are correct
- Some parameters entered, only some of the entered parameters are correct
- Some parameters entered, all entered are incorrect
- No parameters entered

2. Getting all buildings

Mark: 6.

Comment: Method takes no parameters and returns and array that contains all buildings from the database. The method does catch Exceptions, but only logs the error. No proper exception handling is being performed.

While the method does work but no unit tests were written. Even though the method is so simplistic, unit tests should still have been written. Only two tests will have sufficed for this test:

- The database is up and running, method returns correctly
- The database is not up and running, method gracefully fails and correctly handles the exception.

3. Get specific building's coordinates

Mark: 5.

Comment: Method takes in a string (building name) and returns the coordinates of that building. The method does catch Exceptions, but only logs the error. No proper exception handling is being performed.

While the method does work with correct paramaters, no unit tests were written and as such the method was not properly tested. Only two tests will have sufficed for this test:

- The building name entered does exist in the database, coordinates are returned
- The building name entered does not exist in the database, method gracefully fails and correctly handles the exception.