## Editorial

The 2023 Journal of Invitational Theory and Practice (JITP) continues the legacy of our founders by promoting the tenets of Invitational Education (IE). This year you will find reflective essays that accentuate our theory to effective practice. You will have the opportunity to metaphorically walk with Dr. Jenny Edwards, as she triangulates IE theory with her physical and spiritual triumph to exemplify the importance of relationships to any educational reform endeavor. You will experience Dr. Sean Schatt's treatise that reminds us of the importance of Self-Concept Theory, which is one of three Invitational Education's theoretical foundations. The final theory to practice essay was a collaborative outcome of participation at the IAIE World Conference at the University of Hawaii in Manoa and details how consistent implementation of intentionality, care, optimism, respect, and trust (ICORT) influenced a district's professional learning community and optimized its students' sustained success.

This part of the 2023 JITP is meant to include a charge or challenge to the reader. Through decades of advocacy and effective practice, proponents of IE theory understand that school reform requires systemic change, best done through a metamorphosis resulting from interdependent analysis of the institution's people, places, policies, programs, and processes (the Five Ps). Structural analysis of school climate discerns whether any part of the whole is disinviting (Purkey & Siegel, 2013). We know there is no quick fix for educational problems. However, we believe the IE framework encourages ongoing vigilance before affirming sustained change (Purkey & Siegel, 2013; Strahan & Purkey, 1992) because changing how a school operates requires transforming its people (Asbill, 1994). Such an axiom must be strengthened through ongoing research.

During 2024, we must lead others in the "direction and purpose for all Invitational thought and action" (Purkey & Novak, 2016, p 11). In this endeavor, you are encouraged to research, analyze, and disseminate the benefits of our intentional, caring, optimistic, respectful, and trusting invitations upon an institution's people, places, policies, programs, and processes (5Ps). For instance, the ICORT elements of IE theory focus on creating a positive and inclusive learning environment. While IE theory is more qualitative and philosophy-oriented, it is possible to incorporate ICORT as either a dependent or independent variable in quantitative research involving initiatives such as social-emotional learning (SEL). Therefore, when developing

quantitative methodology with ICORT as the dependent variable, potential researchers are invited to consider the following:

- Explicate ICORT to <u>create operational definitions</u>. Clearly define and operationalize each
  of the ICORT variables in measurable terms. For instance, develop specific indicators or
  survey items that capture behaviors or attitudes related to intentionality, care, optimism,
  respect, and trust.
- Create <u>quantitative measurement tools</u>. Design surveys or questionnaires that align with
  the operational definitions of ICORT. These instruments should be quantifiable and
  capable of producing numerical data. Likert scales or other quantitative measurement
  scales can be used to assess participants' perceptions of the learning environment in terms
  of intentionality, care, optimism, respect, and trust.
- Plan for pre- and post-assessments. Implement pre- and post-assessments to measure changes in ICORT variables before and after the SEL initiatives. This allows for subsequent analysis of the impact of social-emotional learning interventions on the perceived level of intentionality, care, optimism, respect, and trust within the educational setting.
- Establish comparison groups. Create <u>control or comparison groups</u> to compare the outcomes of SEL initiatives. Ensure that there is a group that does not receive the intervention, thereby assessing whether changes in ICORT variables are specific to the SEL program.
- Plan for statistical analysis. Utilize appropriate statistical analyses to examine the
  relationships between SEL initiatives and ICORT variables. This may involve t-tests,
  ANOVA, regression analysis, or other statistical techniques depending on the research
  design and data distribution. In this regard, either become astute yourself or network well
  with a quantitative methodologist.
- Embrace the efficacy of <u>longitudinal studies</u>. Consider conducting longitudinal studies to track changes in ICORT variables over an extended period. This approach provides a more in-depth understanding of the sustained impact of SEL initiatives on intentionality, care, optimism, respect, and trust.
- Incorporate qualitative data. While the focus is on quantitative measures, consider integrating qualitative data through interviews or open-ended survey questions. Mixed

- methodology can provide additional insights into the participants' experiences and perceptions related to ICORT variables.
- Validate your measurement scale (Boateng, Neilands, Frongillo, Melgar-Quiñonez, & Young, 2018). Ensure any measurement scales developed for ICORT variables are valid and reliable. This involves testing the instruments to confirm that they are accurately measuring what they intend to measure.

Alternatively, when conducting quantitative research involving social-emotional learning (SEL) initiatives, the basic Invitational Education (IE) elements: Intentionality, care, optimism, respect, and trust (ICORT) can be considered as independent variables. Potential researchers seeking to develop their methodology in this way must still operationalize concepts, but their strategies will differ to establish each aspect of ICORT as the independent variables in the quantitative study. Therefore, when developing your quantitative methodology with ICORT elements as the independent variables, potential researchers are invited to consider the following:

- Separate the ICORT mnemonic to create operational definitions. Clearly define each of the ICORT variables in measurable terms. Develop operational definitions that can be translated into specific behaviors, attitudes, or observable indicators within the context of the SEL initiatives.
- Create <u>quantitative measurement tools</u>. Design reliable and valid measurement tools to assess the level of intentionality, care, optimism, respect, and trust. This could involve developing survey items, questionnaires, or other quantitative instruments that capture participants' perceptions of these variables.
- Establish baseline measurement. Conduct a baseline measurement of the ICORT variables before implementing the SEL initiatives. This will serve as a reference point to compare changes and assess the impact of the interventions.
- Establish experimental and control groups. When (ethically) possible, establish both experimental and control groups. The experimental group would receive the SEL interventions, while the control group would not. This allows for a comparison of changes in ICORT variables between the two groups.

- Plan for either <u>randomization</u> or <u>matching</u>. Whenever randomization is not feasible, consider using matching techniques. This will help to ensure that the experimental and control groups are comparable in terms of ICORT variables at the beginning of the study.
- Implement well-developed SEL initiatives. Once the research-based SEL initiatives are clearly developed, implement with the experimental group. This could include activities, programs, or interventions designed to enhance social-emotional skills and well-being.
- Conduct post-intervention measurement. After the completion of the SEL initiatives, measure the ICORT variables again. This post-intervention measurement will help assess whether there are significant changes in intentionality, care, optimism, respect, and trust as a result of the SEL interventions.
- Plan for statistical analysis. Use appropriate statistical analyses to examine the impact of SEL initiatives on the ICORT variables. This may involve conducting inferential statistical tests, such as t-tests or ANOVA, to determine whether there are significant differences between the experimental and control groups. Seriously, if you took Statistics as pass/fail, you are encouraged to network well with a quantitative methodologist or plan to hire a research assistant proficient with a quantitative analysis tool such as IBM's <a href="Statistical Package for the Social Sciences">Statistical Software</a> Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). SPSS is a comprehensive statistical software package used for data analysis in social science research. SPSS includes a wide range of statistical procedures, data manipulation capabilities, and data visualization tools. Common analyses include descriptive statistics, inferential statistics (t-tests, ANOVA, regression), factor analysis, and more.
- Alternatively, <u>Microsoft's Excel</u> is a spreadsheet program widely used for data entry, manipulation, and basic statistical analysis. While not as sophisticated as dedicated statistical software such as SPSS, Excel is readily accessible. Excel can perform basic statistical analyses, including descriptive statistics, t-tests, and correlations.
- Utilize a tool to conduct correlation analysis. Explore correlations between specific components of SEL initiatives and changes in ICORT variables. This can help identify which aspects of the interventions are most strongly associated with improvements in intentionality, care, optimism, respect, and trust.
- Embrace the efficacy of longitudinal studies. While time is always a factor in conducting and completing research projects, consider conducting longitudinal analyses to assess the

sustainability of changes in ICORT variables over time. The result could provide clearer insights into the long-term impact of SEL initiatives.

By following the steps suggested above, researchers can quantitatively investigate the relationship between SEL initiatives, and ICORT as either a dependent variable or as independent variables represented by the ICORT mnemonic as explicated in Invitational Education theory. This structured approach provides a quantifiable way to evaluate the effectiveness of social-emotional learning interventions in fostering positive and inclusive educational environments.

However, the JITP editor welcomes ALL opportunities to promote the study, application, and research of Invitational Education theory and practice. You and your colleagues are invited to submit scholarly papers that identify how Invitational Education theory and practice guides reform, sustains success, or reinforces best practices through research. To advance Invitational Education theory and practice to an international audience, scholarly articles within the JITP come from global sources, educational practitioners, organizational leaders, and multidisciplinary researchers. Prospective authors may email manuscripts to: <a href="mailto:ucan@ren.com">ucan@ren.com</a>. Authors must follow specific guidelines (p.67) when submitting manuscripts for publication consideration.

Sincerely,

Chris James Anderson, Ed.D.

Editor of the 2023 Journal of Invitational Theory and Practice

## References

Anderson, C. J. (2021). Developing your students' emotional intelligence and philosophical perspective begins with I-CORT. *Journal of Invitational Theory and Practice*, 27, 36-50.

Boateng GO, Neilands TB, Frongillo EA, Melgar-Quiñonez HR and Young SL (2018) Best Practices for Developing and Validating Scales for Health, Social, and Behavioral Research: A Primer. Front. Public Health 6:149. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2018.00149

Purkey, W. W., & Novak, J. M. (2015). Fundamentals of invitational education. (2nd Ed) International Alliance for Invitational Education. Retrieved from: Fundamental of Invitational Education | IAIE

Purkey, W. W., & Siegel, B. L. (2013). Becoming an invitational leader: A new approach to professional and personal success. Brumby Holdings ISBN: 9780893343712, 0893343714

Slife, B. D., Wright, C. D., & Yanchar, S. C. (2016). Using operational definitions in research: A best-practices approach. Journal of Mind and Behavior, 37(2), 119–139.