- 1. What is your name?
- 2. Following is our definition of a *combination* of a finite set:
  - 34-E. Definition of a *combination* of a finite set:

Given 
$$A \in \{ \text{ finite sets } \} \land n, r \in \omega \land r \leq n \land |A| = n,$$
 (( A combination of r on A) = B  $\Leftrightarrow$  B  $\subseteq$  A  $\ni$  |B| = r)

A Is this definition compatible with your concept of a combination? Indicate your response by circling one of the following words:



"No"

B. Write a paragraph that explains why you circled "Yes" or why you circled "No."

## Sample paragraph:

I didn't struggled to formulate a definition of *combination* as I had formulating a definition for a permutation. I think that was because could just use the concept of subset without concern for how the elements appear to be ordered in an expression of the set. Here is why I think the definition is compatible with my notion of a combination of *r* on *A*:

(A combination of r on A) =  $B \Leftrightarrow B \subseteq A \ni |B| = r$ ) jives with my conception of a combination because I think of a of combination of any subset of A that has exactly r elements. I think I'm just going in circles here so let's look at a specific example:

Suppose  $B = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , then all of the subsets of B are as follows:

 $\emptyset$ , { 1 }, { 2 }, { 3 }, { 1, 2 }, { 1, 3 }, { 2, 3 }, and *B*. Suppose r = 2. Then a combination of 2 on *B* is any one of the 8 subsets that have cardinality 2. So all the combinations of 2 on *B* are { 1, 2 }, { 1, 3 }, and { 2, 3 }

Notice that  ${}_{3}C_{2} = 3$  because we have 3 subsets listed above. As an aside, that number of combinations jives with Theorem 7, doesn't it?

3. Smile.

