- 1. What is your name?
- 2. Write two paragraphs that explain why the following proposition is true:

$$k \subseteq \omega \times \mathbb{N} \ni k = \{ (n, k(n)) : n \in \omega \land k(n) = n + 1 \} \Rightarrow (k : \omega \xrightarrow{1:1} \mathbb{N} \land k : \omega \xrightarrow{onto} \mathbb{N})$$

Sample explanation:

It helps me to express *k* in roster form:

$$k = \{ (0, 1), (1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4), \dots \}$$

This pattern suggests that each element of the domain $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, ...\}$ is paired to exactly one element of the codomain (i.e., $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ...\}$). So we see that k is a relation from ω to \mathbb{N} .

k is an injection because each element of the range is paired with only one element of the domain. Here is a proof of that fact:

Suppose
$$\exists n_1, n_2 \in \omega \ni k(n_1) = k(n_2)$$
, then we have $n_1 + 1 = n_2 + 1 \Rightarrow n_1 = n_2$

k is a surjection because the codomain is the range. Here is a proof of that fact:

Suppose $y \in \mathbb{N}$ (i.e., an arbitrary element in the codomain), we need to demonstrate that $\exists n \in \omega$ (i.e., an element in the domain) $\ni k(n) = y$. Consider n = y - 1. Note that since $y \in \mathbb{N}$, we know that $y - 1 \in \omega$. Also k(y - 1) = (y - 1) + 1 = y. That bit of deduction makes me smile.

Although revealing the scratch work behind my choice of y-1 for n in the part of the proof above w/r k being a surjection is an unnecessary part of the proof itself, I want to show it to you anyway:

$$k(n) = y \Rightarrow y = n+1 \Rightarrow n = y-1$$

3. Smile.

