

# Quiz 4

*Brooke Charbonneau*

*November 8, 2016*

## A. Hypotheses

### Hypothesis 1

There is a positive relationship between self-esteem and academic performance, such that as self-esteem increases, academic performance increases.

### Hypothesis 2

There is a negative relationship between self-esteem and quality of dating relationships, such that as self-esteem increases, quality of dating relationships decreases.

### Hypothesis 3

There is a positive relationship between self-esteem and quality of friendships, such that as self-esteem increases, quality of friendships increases.

## B. Analysis Plan A

### Hypothesis 1

I will test hypothesis 1 using a bivariate correlation. I conducted a traditional power analysis as a meta-analysis had been conducted and I was able to estimate a population correlation of  $\rho=.50$ . This power analysis revealed that an  $N$  of 28 would be necessary for a power of 80.

### Hypothesis 2

I will test hypothesis 2 using a bivariate correlation. I conducted a safeguard power analysis based on the lower bound of the confidence interval for the original study,  $r=-.11$ . This power analysis revealed that an  $N$  of 645 would be necessary for a power of 80.

### Hypothesis 3

I will test hypothesis 3 using a bivariate correlation. I conducted a traditional power analysis based on a weak positive relation according to Bosco, Aguinis, Singh, Field and Pierce (2015),  $r=.07$ . This power analysis revealed that an  $N$  of 1599 would be necessary for a power of 80.

## C. Analysis Plan B

### Hypothesis 1

I will test hypothesis 1 using a bivariate correlation. I conducted a traditional power analysis as a meta-analysis had been conducted and I was able to estimate a population correlation of  $\rho=.50$ . This power analysis revealed that an  $N$  of 37 would be necessary to ensure a confidence interval that does not exceed the magnitude of the effect.

### Hypothesis 2

I will test hypothesis 2 using a bivariate correlation. I conducted a safeguard power analysis based on the lower bound of the confidence interval for the original study,  $r=-.11$ . This power analysis revealed that an  $N$  of 1250 would be necessary to ensure a confidence interval that does not exceed the magnitude of the effect.

### Hypothesis 3

I will test hypothesis 3 using a bivariate correlation. I conducted a traditional power analysis based on a weak positive relation according to Bosco, Aguinis, Singh, Field and Pierce (2015),  $r=.07$ . This power analysis revealed that an  $N$  of 3200 would be necessary to ensure a confidence interval that does not exceed the magnitude of the effect.