Exercise 1:

My final submission was an aggregation/majority vote out of my top 6 scoring individual classifier models.

My top 6 scoring models, in decreasing order of accuracy were:

- 1. kNN, k=6, using the L3 norm as the distance function, with neighbors weighted inversely proportional to their distance (on sklearn, this is neighbors.KNeighborsClassifier(6, weights='distance', metric="minkowski", metric_params={'p':3})), on the dataset preprocessed with a Gaussian filter, with a lambda of 1.
- 2. kNN, k=6, using the L3 norm as the distance function, with neighbors weighted inversely proportional to their distance, on the regular dataset, without any preprocessing.
- 3. kNN, k=6, using the default L2 norm as the distance function, with neighbors weighted inversely proportional to their distance (on sklearn, this is neighbors.KNeighborsClassifier(6, weights='distance')), on the regular dataset, without any preprocessing.
- 4. kNN, k=6, using the default L2 norm as the distance function, with neighbors weighted inversely proportional to their distance, on the dataset, preprocessed with a de-skewing algorithm (which I think I implemented incorrectly because the training, testing, and Kaggle scores were all lower than the unprocessed dataset, whereas studies have shown that de-skewing should improve the accuracy)
- 5. Bagging, with 50 base estimators, and the base estimators being KNN classifiers, with k=6 (on sklearn, this is ensemble.BaggingClassifier(base_estimator=neighbors.KNeighborsClassifier(6, weights='distance'), n_estimators=50,)
), on the regular dataset, without any preprocessing
- 6. kNN, k=6, preprocessing the dataset by de-skewing it AND normalizing it to be [0,1] after de-skewing.

The code for all 6 of these models can be found in Exercise1.py

After training these models, and generating the test submissions on the test data (MNIST_Xtestp.csv, or MNIST_Xtestp.csv with the appropriate preprocessing applied), I aggregated their predictions by taking the majority vote for each sample, or the prediction from the most accurate base model (in this case, kNN, k=6, L3 distance, on Gaussian filtered dataset), if there was no clear majority (CompareSubmissions.py)

The script I used for all preprocessing (de-skewing, Gaussian filter) is in 'deskew.py'.

Other notes:

Because of the large size of the dataset, and the expensive, time consuming process of training a model on the full dataset, I often trained and tested a model/idea/method I had on a subset of the full dataset (smallX.csv, smallX_{preprocessing method}.csv, smally.csv), and using that performance to decide whether or not to spend the time & energy to pursue this method and train the model on the full dataset.

I didn't use cross-validation to tune my hyper-parameters (k=6 for kNN, and lambda=1 for Gaussian filter preprocessing), because I thought it would be too time consuming I was too dumb to think of it at the time. Instead, I just tested all the values I wanted to try on the same dataset. Results, as well as results of other things I tried, can be found in gaussian_results.txt and results.txt.

Other things I tried (that didn't work out) include:

Preprocessing data to be "black and white", i.e. ceiling all nonzero values to 1.

AdaBoosting 100k decision trees, each with 17 leaves.

Preprocessing the data & downsampling it to a 16x16 pixel "image".

Implementing tangent distance and shape context matching as distance functions for kNN (Inspired by http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/) before reading the papers and realizing the math was way too dense to implement, and giving up.

Exercise 2. t, (h) = = pi | h(ai) - yu When $h_{\epsilon}(x_i)$ agrees y_i , $|h_{\epsilon}(x_i)-y_i|=0$ i. we only need to consider is s.t ho(xi) ≠ y: Let this set be W: : Etylha) = Epitti $= \sum_{i \in W} \frac{w_i^{t\tau_i}}{\sum_{i \in W} w_i^{t\tau_i}}$ (1) $= \underbrace{\sum_{i \in W} \frac{1 - |h_{\epsilon}(\alpha_{i}) - y_{i}|}_{\sum_{i \in W} y_{i}^{\dagger} \beta_{\epsilon}^{1 - |h_{\epsilon}(\alpha_{i}) - y_{i}|}}$ (4)Since $\forall i \in \mathcal{W}$, $h_i(x_i) \neq y_i$, and $h_i(y_i \in \{0,1\})$, $|h_i(x_i) - y_i| = 1$, so $|-|h_i(x_i) - y_i| = 0$. So $\beta_i = |-|h_i(x_i) - y_i| = \beta_i = 1$ $\mathcal{E}_{th}(h_t) = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{W_t^t} \frac{W_t^{t}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N_t^t} W_j^t \beta_t^{1-|h_t(\alpha_j)-y_j|}}}_{\sum_{j=1}^{N_t^t} W_j^t \beta_t^{1-|h_t(\alpha_j)-y_j|}$ Similarly, we can seperate j into 2 groups, where $h_t(x_j) = y_j$, and $h_t(x_j) \neq y_j$. Let these groups be R, M. $\mathcal{L}_{t+1}(h_t) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{W}} \frac{w_i^t}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{W}} w_j^t \beta_t^{l-|h_t(x_j)-y_j|} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} w_i^t \beta_t^{l-|h_t(x_j)-y_j|}}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{W}} w_j^t \beta_t^{l-|h_t(x_j)-y_j|} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} w_i^t \beta_t^{l-|h_t(x_j)-y_j|}}$

So now,
$$\forall j \in W$$
, $|h_{t}(\alpha_{j}) - y_{j}| = 1$, so $1 - |h_{t}(\alpha_{j}) - y_{j}| = 0$
 $\forall j \in R$ $|h_{t}(\alpha_{j}) - y_{j}| = 0$, so $1 - |h_{t}(\alpha_{j}) - y_{j}| = 1$
 $\mathcal{E}_{t+1}(h_{t}) = \underbrace{\sum_{i \in W} \underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t} \beta_{t}^{0}}_{j \in W} + \underbrace{\sum_{j \in R} w_{j}^{t} \beta_{t}^{0}}_{j \in W} + \underbrace{\sum_{j \in R} w_{j}^{t} \beta_{t}^{0}}_{j \in W}$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{i \in W} \underbrace{\sum_{j \in R} w_{j}^{t} + \sum_{j \in R} w_{j}^{t} \beta_{t}^{0}}_{j \in W} + \underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} \underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W} + \underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} \underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W} + \underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} \underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W} + \underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} \underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W} + \underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W} + \underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}$$

Once again, we can apply the fact that when $i \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\exists_{j \in W} \underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W} + \underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$\exists_{j \in W} \underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}_{j \in W}}_{j \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\underbrace{\sum_{j \in W} y_{j}^{t}}_{j \in W}}_{j \in$$

tup)

(since W, R are complementary, and make up 21. ns combined) So now, Exilher becomes

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{t \in W} w_t^t}_{t \in W} = \underbrace{\sum_{t \in W} w_t^t}_{t \in W}$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{t \in W} w_t^t}_{t \in W} = \underbrace{\sum_{t \in W} w_t^t}_{t \in W}$$