# Option Valuation with the Fast Fourier Transform Summary

Andrew Wu

March 2021

### 1 Motivation

#### 1.1 Deficiencies of Black-Scholes

- GBM assumption in the standard Black-Scholes model is insufficient for the market as returns tend to be more peaked with longer tails than the normal distribution (leptokurtic) (and volatility smile).
- Want to use more complicated underlying processes that can include jumps and account for leptokurtic returns.
- Complicated underlying processes may have complicated densities (with no closed form), so the standard way of pricing a call via integration is difficult:

$$C_T(k) = e^{-rT} \int_k^\infty (e^s - e^k) q_T(s) ds$$

where  $q_T(s)$  is the density of the terminal log-stock price.

#### 1.2 Fourier methods

- Characteristic functions usually more well behaved than the density and may have closed form.
- Goal: Express Fourier transform of call price (or components of it) in terms of the characteristic function of terminal log-stock  $\phi_T$ , then use the inverse Fourier transform to recover the call price.
- For example: Scott 1997, Bakshi/Madan 1999 give the formulas

Delta := 
$$\Pi_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \Re\left(\frac{e^{-iu \ln K} \phi_T(u-i)}{iu \phi_T(-i)}\right) du$$
.  

$$\mathbb{P}(S_T > K) := \Pi_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{-iu \ln K} \phi_T(u)}{iu}\right) du$$
.
Price =  $S_0 \Pi_1 - K e^{-rT} \Pi_2$ .

• Directly computing the integrals above is slow and doesn't take advantage of FFT algorithms.

#### 2 Carr and Madan's Fourier method

#### 2.1 Notation and definitions

Let:

- $s_T = \ln(S_T)$ ,  $k = \ln(K)$  be the log terminal stock and log-strike prices respectively.
- $\phi_T$  be the characteristic function of the log-stock.
- $C_T(k)$  be the price of a call option with maturity T and log-strike k.

#### 2.2 Modified call

• Call price  $C_T(k)$  is not integrable, multiply by factor  $e^{\alpha k}$  where  $\alpha > 0$  is a parameter to be specified. Define the modified call option  $c_T(k)$ :

$$c_T(k) = e^{\alpha k} C_T(k).$$

• Express the Fourier transform  $\psi_T(v)$  of modified call in terms of characteristic function.

$$\psi_T(v) = \frac{e^{-rT}\phi_T(v - (\alpha + 1)i)}{\alpha^2 + \alpha - v^2 + i(2\alpha + 1)v}$$

Notice that if  $\alpha = 0$  we would have a singularity at v = 0. Also, DFT needs to evaluate  $\psi_T$  at v = 0.

• The call price can be recovered by taking the inverse Fourier Transform and dividing by the modification factor and some symmetry arguments since  $C_T(k)$  is real:

$$C_T(k) = \frac{e^{-\alpha k}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-ivk} \psi_T(v) dv.$$

#### 2.3 Fast Fourier Transform

• Definition of DFT: The Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) transforms a sequence of complex numbers  $\{x_j\}_{j=0,1,...N-1}$  into the sequence  $\{X_k\}_{k=0,1,...N-1}$ , where

$$X_k = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{-2\pi i k j/N} x_j.$$
 (1)

- Suppose we use N partition points  $v_j = \eta j$ , j = 0, 1, ... N, where  $\eta$  is the spacing size and  $a = N\eta$  is the upper integration limit (need to truncate the integral as infinite upper bound):
- Then we have the following DFT approximation

$$C_T(k) \approx \frac{e^{-\alpha k}}{\pi} \int_0^a e^{-ikv} \psi_T(v) dv \approx \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{-ikv_j} \psi_T(v_j) \eta.$$

• Now define a series of log-strikes in order to use FFT. Let

$$k_u = -\frac{N\lambda}{2} + u\lambda$$

where  $u = 0, 1, 2 \dots N - 1$ , yielding log-strikes uniformly spaced in  $\left[ -\frac{N\lambda}{2}, \frac{N\lambda}{2} \right]$ .

• To use DFT, set

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{N\eta}$$

which unfortunately yields a tradeoff between integral accuracy (smaller  $\eta$ ) and increasing strike spacing (larger  $\eta$ ).

• Use Simpsons rule weights to achieve more accurate integral estimate:

$$C(k_u) \approx \frac{e^{-\alpha k_u}}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{-2\pi i j u/N} e^{\pi j} \psi_T(v_j) \cdot \frac{\eta}{3} \left[ 3 + (-1)^{j+1} - \delta_j \right].$$

## 3 Application and Implementation

#### 3.1 Variance gamma process

• The stock price is assumed to be driven by a Variance-Gamma process, a 1-D pure-jump Markov process:

$$S_t = S_0 \exp\{(r+\omega)t + X_t(\sigma, \theta, \nu)\},\$$

• By default, we set

$$\omega = \frac{1}{\nu} \ln(1 - \theta\nu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\nu),$$

so that the mean rate of return on the stock is r.

•  $X_t$  is calculated by evaluating an arithmetic Brownian motion with drift  $\theta$  and volatility  $\sigma$  at a random time  $\Gamma(t; 1, \nu)$  which is a Gamma process, a pure jump process with independent increments that follow a gamma distribution.

$$X_t(\theta, \sigma, \nu) = \theta \Gamma(t; 1, \nu) + \sigma W(\Gamma(t; 1, \nu)).$$

- Variance Gamma is supported in general equilibrium model and addresses volatility smile/no jumps in the traditional GBM assumption of the Black-Scholes Model.
- Variance Gamma also has complicated density (not closed form but analytic due to Bessel function), however characteristic function is relatively simple, so it is ideal for testing this approach.
- Characteristic function of terminal log-stock  $s_T$  is:

$$\phi_T(u) = (1 - i\theta\nu u + \frac{1}{2}\nu\sigma^2 u^2)^{-T/\nu} \exp\{(\ln(S_0) + (r+\omega)T)iu\}$$

#### 3.2 Code

• Used parameter combination 4 in Carr Madan paper:

$$r = 0.05, S_0 = 100, \sigma = 0.25, \nu = 2, \theta = -0.1.$$

- For GBM, Fast Fourier Transform often gave an error of between 1 2.
- Fast Fourier Transform gave a significant time saving but accuracy was poor across all Fourier methods for shorter maturities, making comparison difficult.

### 4 Conclusion

- FFT has potential to be useful, perhaps some better quadrature rules can be used than Simpson's rule to increase accuracy.
- More robust than some of the other Fourier methods, which resulted in large errors due to the pole at 0 in the integral.
- However, computes unnecessary stock prices that may be far out or in the money (although still faster) due to the requirement for no. of strikes = no. partition points in quadrature.
- Note: Call option when VG used as underlying has closed form in terms of confluent hypergeometric functions but I was unable to implement this in Python without errors in my integrals. Matsuda (2004) experienced the same issues.