

Mean Value Theorem And Taylor Expansion

Mean Value Theorem:

Suppose $f(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) . Then there exists a point $c \in (a, b)$ such that

$$f(b) - f(a) = f'(c)(b - a)$$

As a consequence:

(a) If $f'(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then $f(x)$ is increasing.

(b) If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then $f(x)$ is constant.

(c) If $f'(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then $f(x)$ is decreasing.

Proof: Consider the function $g(x) = f(b) - f(x) + \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}(x - b)$.

$g(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) .

$$g(a) = g(b) = 0.$$

It follows that $g(x)$ attains either a maximum or a minimum at some $c \in (a, b)$.

At this point, $g'(c) = 0$, which is equivalent to the mean value property.

Mean Value Theorem of Integrals:

If $f(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$, there exist a point $c \in [a, b]$, such that

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx = f(c)(b - a)$$

Proof: $f(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$, let the maximum and minimum value of $f(x)$ on $[a, b]$ be M and m , then $m \leq f(x) \leq M$.

Integral the last inequality, we have

$$m(b - a) \leq \int_a^b f(x)dx \leq M(b - a), \text{ or } m \leq \int_a^b f(x)dx / (b - a) \leq M.$$

Because $m \leq \int_a^b f(x)dx / (b - a) \leq M$, $m \leq f(x) \leq M$ and $f(x)$ is continuous, there exist a point c , such that

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx / (b - a) = f(c), \text{ that's to say}$$

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx = f(c)(b - a).$$

Multivariate Mean Value Theorem:

Let function $f(x)$ map an open subset S of R^n to R^m . If $f(x)$ is differentiable on a neighborhood of $x \in S$, then

$$f(y) = f(x) + \int_0^1 df[x + t(y - x)]dt(y - x)$$

for y near x .

Proof: Integrating component by component, we only consider the case $m = 1$.

The real-valued function $g(t) = f[x + t(y - x)]$ of the scalar t has differential $dg(t) = df[x + t(y - x)](y - x)$.

Because differentials and derivatives coincide on the real line, the equality follows from the fundamental theorem of calculus applied to $g(t)$.

Taylor's Theorem:

Let

$L_2[0, 1]$ be the set of all square integrable functions on the interval $[0, 1]$.

$C^m[0, 1] = \{\mu: \mu^{(j)} \text{ is continuous, } j = 0, \dots, m\}$
 $W_2^m[0, 1] = \{\mu: \mu^{(j)} \text{ is absolutely continuous, } j = 0, \dots, m-1, \text{ and } \mu^{(m)} \in L_2[0, 1]\}$

Then $W_2^m[0, 1] \supset C^m[0, 1]$ and $W_2^0[0, 1] = L_2[0, 1]$.

If $\mu \in W_2^m[0, 1]$, then there exists coefficient $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m$ such that

$$\mu(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_j t^{j-1} + \int_0^1 \frac{(t-u)_+^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \mu^{(m)}(u) du,$$

$$\text{where } (x)_+^r = \begin{cases} x^r, & x \geq 0, \\ 0, & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Write $\mu(t) = \int_0^1 (t-u)_+^0 \mu'(u) du + \mu(0)$ and integrate by parts.

Taylor's Theorem suggests that if, for some positive integer λ , the remainder term

$$Rem_\lambda(t) = [(\lambda-1)!]^{-1} \int_0^1 (t-u)_+^{\lambda-1} \mu^{(\lambda)}(u) du$$

is uniformly small then we could write

$$y_i \approx \sum_{j=1}^\lambda \theta_j t_i^{j-1} + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In other words, the data would follow an approximate polynomial regression model.

If $f: R^d \rightarrow R$ and if $\ddot{f}(x)$ is continuous in the sphere $O_r(x_0)$, then for $|t| < r$,

$$f(x_0 + t) = f(x_0) + \dot{f}(x_0)t + t^T \int_0^1 \int_0^1 v \ddot{f}(x_0 + uvt) du dv t.$$

Proof: Since $\ddot{f}(x_0 + uvt)$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \ddot{f}_1 \\ \ddot{f}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \ddot{f}_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} f(x_0 + uvt) & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} f(x_0 + uvt) & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_d} f(x_0 + uvt) \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} f(x_0 + uvt) & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2 \partial x_2} f(x_0 + uvt) & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2 \partial x_d} f(x_0 + uvt) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_d \partial x_1} f(x_0 + uvt) & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_d \partial x_2} f(x_0 + uvt) & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_d \partial x_d} f(x_0 + uvt) \end{pmatrix}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & t^T \int_0^1 \int_0^1 v \ddot{f}(x_0 + uvt) du dv t \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 v t^T \ddot{f}(x_0 + uvt) t du dv \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 v \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d t_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(x_0 + uvt) t_j du dv \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d t_i \int_0^1 v \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(x_0 + uvt) t_j du dv \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d t_i \int_0^1 \{v \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} [\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x_0 + uvt)] t_j\} du dv \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d t_i \int_0^1 \{ \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} [\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x_0 + uvt)] v t_j\} du dv \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d t_i \int_0^1 \{ \int_0^1 (vt)^T \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x_0 + uvt)]\} du dv \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d t_i \int_0^1 \{ \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u} [\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x_0 + uvt)] du\} dv \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d t_i \int_0^1 \{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x_0 + vt) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x_0) \} dv \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^d t_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x_0 + vt) dv - \sum_{i=1}^d t_i \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(x_0) dv \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial v} f(x_0 + vt) dv - \dot{f}(x_0)t \\ &= f(x_0 + t) - f(x_0) - \dot{f}(x_0)t \end{aligned}$$

References:

Optimization, Kenneth Lange

Nonparametric Regression and Spline Smoothing, Eubank R.
A Course in Large Sample Theory(Lecture notes), Xianyi Wu