



Midterm Exam, CS-450: Algorithms II, 2025-2026

Do not turn the page before the start of the exam. This document is double-sided and has 5 pages.

- You are only allowed to have one A4 page written on one side.
- Communication, calculators, cell phones, computers, etc... are not allowed.
- For the open-ended questions, your explanations should be clear enough and in sufficient detail that a fellow student can understand them. In particular, do not only give pseudocode without explanations. A good guideline is that a description of an algorithm should be such that a fellow student can easily implement the algorithm following the description.
- You are allowed to refer to material covered in the lectures, exercise sets and problem sets including algorithms and theorems (without reproving them).

Good luck!

- 1 Dual Matroid** (29 points) Let $\mathcal{M} = (E, \mathcal{I})$ be a matroid. Recall that a base of \mathcal{M} is an independent set $B \in \mathcal{I}$ of maximum size. We say that a set $U \subseteq E$ is a *spanning set* for \mathcal{M} if it contains a base of \mathcal{M} , i.e., there exists a base B of \mathcal{M} such that $B \subseteq U$. Then, we define the *dual* of \mathcal{M} as $\text{dual}(\mathcal{M}) = (E, \mathcal{I}')$, where

$$\mathcal{I}' = \{S \subseteq E : E \setminus S \text{ is a spanning set for } \mathcal{M}\}.$$

One can show that $\text{dual}(\mathcal{M}) = (E, \mathcal{I}')$ as defined above is a matroid.

Questions **1a** and **1b** are multiple-choice questions. For each of them, select the correct option. Note that each question has **exactly one** correct answer. Wrong answers are **not penalized** with negative points.

- 1a** (7 pts) Let E_1, \dots, E_ℓ be a partition of a set E and let $\mathcal{M} = (E, \mathcal{I})$ be the partition matroid given by

$$\mathcal{I} = \{X \subseteq E : |X \cap E_i| \leq k_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \ell\},$$

where $k_i \leq |E_i|$ for all i . Let $\text{dual}(\mathcal{M}) = (E, \mathcal{I}')$. Which of the following describes \mathcal{I}' ?

- A. $\mathcal{I}' = \{X \subseteq E : |X \cap E_i| \leq |E_i| - k_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \ell\}$
- B. $\mathcal{I}' = \{X \subseteq E : |X \cap E_i| \leq k_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \ell\}$
- C. $\mathcal{I}' = \left\{ X \subseteq E : |X| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} k_i \right\}$
- D. $\mathcal{I}' = \{X \subseteq E : |X \cap E_i| > k_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \ell\}$

- 1b** (7 pts) Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected connected graph and let $\mathcal{M} = (E, \mathcal{I})$ be the graphic matroid, i.e.

$$\mathcal{I} = \{F \subseteq E : F \text{ is acyclic}\}.$$

Let $\text{dual}(\mathcal{M}) = (E, \mathcal{I}')$. Which of the following describes \mathcal{I}' ?

- A. $\mathcal{I}' = \{F \subseteq E : E \setminus F \text{ is acyclic}\}$
- B. $\mathcal{I}' = \{F \subseteq E : E \setminus F \text{ is connected}\}$
- C. $\mathcal{I}' = \{F \subseteq E : F \text{ contains a cycle}\}$
- D. $\mathcal{I}' = \{F \subseteq E : F \text{ is bipartite}\}$

- 1c** (15 pts) Suppose there is a polynomial-time algorithm that implements an independence oracle for \mathcal{M} , i.e. given $S \subseteq E$ tells whether $S \in \mathcal{I}$ or not. Give a polynomial-time algorithm that implements an independence oracle for $\text{dual}(\mathcal{M})$, i.e. given $S \subseteq E$ tells whether $S \in \mathcal{I}'$ or not, and prove its correctness.

2 Maximum Revenue Routing (20 points) In a hilly city, the roads were built as one-way streets because of the steep and treacherous terrain. Any road stretches between two junctions, and there are n junctions in total. Alice owns coffee shops located at some of the junctions. Her partner Bob owns warehouses stocked with croissants, located at some of the junctions. Each coffee shop has an **expected daily revenue**, a positive number indicating how much money that particular coffee shop is expected to make.

Each day, Alice can keep a coffee shop open only if a box of fresh croissants is delivered to it from one of Bob's warehouses. To prevent traffic jams, the delivery routes for distinct boxes must be completely **non-overlapping**: on any day, no two boxes can pass through the same junction, including their starting point (a warehouse) and ending point (a coffee shop).

Your task is to help Alice identify which coffee shops can be kept open today (subject to the constraint above), so as to maximize the total expected daily revenue. For any subset T of the coffee shops, Alice can ask Bob: **"is it possible to keep all the shops in T open?"**, and then Bob correctly responds with "yes" or "no" in time $O(\text{poly}(n))$. Give an algorithm that runs in time $O(\text{poly}(n))$ and justify its correctness.

Hint: to solve this problem you may assume any result proved in lectures, exercise sets and problem set I. If you do this, your solution will be quite short.

- 3 2-Connectivity** (20 points) In this problem you are supposed to design a 2-approximation algorithm for the 2-edge connected spanning subgraph problem. Here, we are given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with edge weights w_e for each $e \in E$. A 2-edge connected spanning subgraph is a set of edges $F \subseteq E$ such that every cut $\emptyset \neq S \subsetneq V$ is crossed by at least two edges, $|\delta(S) \cap F| \geq 2$. Recall that $\delta(S)$ denotes the set of edges in E with one endpoint in S and one in $V \setminus S$. In other words, one has to remove at least two edges from the graph (V, F) to disconnect it. We want to find a 2-edge connected spanning subgraph $F \subseteq E$ with minimum weight. This problem is NP-complete, so we want to come up with a good approximation algorithm. Consider the following linear programming relaxation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \sum_{e \in E} w_e x_e \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{e \in \delta(S)} x_e \geq 2, \quad \forall \emptyset \neq S \subsetneq V \\ & x_e \in [0, 1], \quad \forall e \in E \end{aligned} \tag{LP1}$$

A related linear program can be formulated for a weighted *directed* graph $H = (V, A)$ with a fixed vertex $r \in V$ (r can be chosen arbitrarily). It can be solved in polynomial time and has the advantage that it is *integral*, i.e. every extreme point solution has coordinates in $\{0, 1\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \sum_{a \in A} w_a y_a \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{a \in \delta^-(S)} y_a \geq 2, \quad \forall \emptyset \neq S \subseteq V \setminus \{r\} \\ & y_a \in [0, 1], \quad \forall a \in A \end{aligned} \tag{LP2}$$

where $\delta^-(S) = \{e = (u, v) \in A : u \notin S, v \in S\}$ denotes the set of edges going into S .

Prove that there exists a polynomial time 2-approximation algorithm for the 2-edge connected spanning subgraph problem. You can use the fact that (LP2) is integral and that it can be solved in polynomial time without proof.

Hint: consider the directed graph $H = (V, A)$ where each edge $e \in E$ of G is present in both directions, $(u, v) \in A$ and $(v, u) \in A$ if $\{u, v\} \in E$.

Additional hint: transform solutions from (LP1) to (LP2) and the other way round.

- 4 Integrality of the Spanning Tree LP (31 points)** The goal of this problem is to apply linear programming techniques to the minimum spanning tree problem. Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected connected graph with edge weights w_e for each $e \in E$. Introduce a variable x_e for each edge $e \in E$. The minimum spanning tree linear program (ST-LP) is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ST-LP)} \quad & \text{minimize} && \sum_{e \in E} w_e x_e \\ & \text{s.t.} && \sum_{e \in E} x_e = |V| - 1, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{e \in E[S]} x_e \leq |S| - 1, \quad \forall S \subseteq V, |S| \geq 2 \tag{2}$$

$$x_e \geq 0, \quad \forall e \in E \tag{3}$$

where $E[S]$ denotes the set of edges with both endpoints in S .

- 4a** (5 pts) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^E$ be a feasible solution for the linear program (ST-LP). Prove that $x_e \leq 1$ for each $e \in E$.
- 4b** (10 pts) Let \mathcal{L} be any laminar family over ground set V such that each $S \in \mathcal{L}$ has size $|S| \geq 2$. Prove that \mathcal{L} can consist of at most $|V| - 1$ sets, i.e. $|\mathcal{L}| \leq |V| - 1$.
- 4c** (16 pts) Assume the following fact about the linear program above (without proving it¹).

Fact 4.1 For every extreme point $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^E$ of the linear program (ST-LP), there exists a subset $E_0 \subseteq E$ and a laminar family² $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \{S \subseteq V : |S| \geq 2\}$ such that x^* is the unique solution to the following linear system:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e \in E[S]} x_e &= |S| - 1, & \forall S \in \mathcal{L} \\ x_e &= 0, & \forall e \in E_0 \end{aligned}$$

Using Fact 4.1 and the claims in **4a** and **4b**, prove that every extreme point $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^E$ of the linear program (ST-LP) satisfies $x_e^* \in \{0, 1\}$ for each $e \in E$, i.e. (ST-LP) is integral.

Hint: if a linear system with t equations in m variables has a unique solution, then $t \geq m$.

¹One can prove Fact 4.1 by following the same approach as in the third problem (“minimum transportation”) of Problem Set 1. You are not asked to prove Fact 4.1.

²Recall that a collection of sets \mathcal{L} is called laminar if for all $S, T \in \mathcal{L}$ either $S \subseteq T$, or $T \subseteq S$, or $S \cap T = \emptyset$.