

# Graph Theory

## Solutions 12

**Problem 1:** The lower bound for  $R(p, p)$  that you learn in the lectures is not a constructive proof: it merely shows the *existence* of a red-blue coloring not containing any monochromatic copy of  $K_p$ .

Give an explicit coloring on  $K_{(p-1)^2}$  that proves  $R(p, p) > (p - 1)^2$ .

**Solution:** Take  $(p - 1)^2$  vertices and split them into  $p - 1$  equal groups. Now we color each edge induced by some of the groups red, and color edges between different groups blue. We claim that this edge-coloring of the graph contains no monochromatic clique of size  $p$ . Indeed, among any  $p$  vertices, we will have two in the same group (by the pigeonhole principle), so any  $p$  vertices induce a red edge. On the other hand, two of the vertices must come from different groups, so a blue edge is also induced. Hence this construction shows  $R(p, p) > (p - 1)^2$ .

**Problem 2:** Show that every red/blue-colouring of the edges of  $K_{6n}$  contains  $n$  vertex-disjoint triangles with all  $3n$  edges of the same colour.

**Solution:** First we find  $2n - 1$  monochromatic triangles. To do this, take 6 vertices, and use  $R(3, 3) = 6$  to find a monochromatic triangle in them. Then remove the 3 vertices of the monochromatic triangle and take 6 new vertices. The last step of this process is when we have 6 vertices left (so after this step we will only have 3 left). This way, we get  $2n - 1$  monochromatic triangles (and 3 extra vertices). By the pigeonhole principle,  $n$  of the triangles have the same colour.

**Problem 3:**

- (a) Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. Show that any sequence of  $N \geq R(n, n)$  distinct numbers,  $a_1, \dots, a_N$  contains a monotone (increasing or decreasing) subsequence of length  $n$ .
- (b) Let  $k, l \geq 1$  be integers. Show that any sequence of  $kl + 1$  distinct numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_{kl+1}$  contains a monotone increasing subsequence of length  $k + 1$  or a monotone decreasing subsequence of length  $l + 1$ .

**Solution: (a):** Colour the edges of the complete graph  $G$  on vertex set  $[N]$  as follows: for  $i < j$ , colour the edge  $ij$  red, if  $a_i < a_j$ , otherwise colour  $ij$  blue. As  $N \geq R(n, n)$ ,  $G$  contains a monochromatic clique of size  $n$ . Let  $i_1 < \dots < i_n$  be the vertices of such a clique. If the clique is red, then  $a_{i_1} < \dots < a_{i_n}$ , otherwise  $a_{i_1} > \dots > a_{i_n}$ .

**(b):** Let  $s_i$  be the length of the longest decreasing subsequence starting at  $a_i$ . Notice that if  $s_i = s_j$  for some  $i < j$  then  $a_i > a_j$ . Indeed, otherwise we could add  $a_i$  to the decreasing sequence of length  $s_j$  starting at  $a_j$  and get a sequence of length  $s_i + 1$  starting at  $a_i$ , contradicting our definition.

Now if there is no decreasing subsequence of length  $l + 1$ , then all the  $s_i$  are in  $\{1, \dots, l\}$ . There are  $kl + 1$  numbers, so some value  $x \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$  is taken by at least  $k + 1$  of the  $s_i$ . But by the above observation, these  $s_i$  will correspond to an increasing sequence of length  $k + 1$ , which is what we wanted to show.