



Exercise Set IV

These exercises are for your own benefit. Feel free to collaborate and share your answers with other students. **This exercise set contains many problems.** So solve as many problems as you can and ask for help if you get stuck for too long. Problems marked * are more difficult but also more fun :).

These problems are taken from various sources at EPFL and on the Internet, too numerous to cite individually.

- 1 Write the dual of the following linear program:

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{Maximize} & 6x_1 + 14x_2 + 13x_3 \\ \text{Subject to} & x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 \leq 24 \\ & x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 \leq 60 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0\end{array}$$

Hint: How can you convince your friend that the above linear program has optimum value at most z ?

Solution: We convince our friend by taking $y_1 \geq 0$ multiples of the first constraints and $y_2 \geq 0$ multiples of the second constraint so that

$$6x_1 + 14x_2 + 13x_3 \leq y_1(x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3) + y_2(x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3) \leq y_1 24 + y_2 60.$$

To get the best upper bound, we wish to minimize the right-hand-side $24y_1 + 60y_2$. However, for the first inequality to hold, we need that $y_1 x_1 + y_2 x_1 \geq 6x_1$ for all non-negative x_1 and so $y_1 + y_2 \geq 6$. The same argument gives us the constraints $3y_1 + 2y_2 \geq 14$ for x_2 and $y_1 + 4y_2 \geq 13$ for x_3 . It follows that we can formulate the problem of finding an upper bound as the following linear program (the dual):

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{Minimize} & 24y_1 + 60y_2 \\ \text{Subject to} & y_1 + y_2 \geq 6 \\ & 3y_1 + 2y_2 \geq 14 \\ & y_1 + 4y_2 \geq 13 \\ & y_1, y_2 \geq 0\end{array}$$

- 2 Consider the min-cost perfect matching problem on a bipartite graph $G = (A \cup B, E)$ with costs $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Recall from the lecture that the dual linear program is

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{Maximize} & \sum_{a \in A} u_a + \sum_{b \in B} v_b \\ \text{Subject to} & u_a + v_b \leq c(\{a, b\}) \quad \text{for every edge } \{a, b\} \in E.\end{array}$$

Show that the dual linear program is unbounded if there is a set $S \subseteq A$ such that $|S| > |N(S)|$, where $N(S) = \{v \in B : \{u, v\} \in E \text{ for some } u \in S\}$ denotes the neighborhood of S . This proves (as expected) that the primal is infeasible in this case.

Solution: Let $v_b = 0$ for all $b \in B$ and $u_a = \min_{\{a,b\} \in E} c(\{a, b\})$ be a dual solution. By definition it is feasible. Now define the vector (u^*, v^*) by

$$u_a^* = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \in S \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad v_b^* = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } b \in N(S) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that $(u, v) + \alpha \cdot (u^*, v^*)$ is a feasible solution for any scalar $\alpha \geq 0$. Such a solution has dual value $\sum_{a \in A} u_a + \sum_{b \in B} v_b + \alpha \cdot (\sum_{a \in S} u_a^* - \sum_{b \in N(S)} v_b^*) = \sum_{a \in A} u_a + \sum_{b \in B} v_b + \alpha \cdot (|S| - |N(S)|)$, and as $|S| > |N(S)|$ this shows that the optimal solution to the dual is unbounded (letting $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$).

3 (half a *) Prove Hall's Theorem:

"An n -by- n bipartite graph $G = (A \cup B, E)$ has a perfect matching if and only if $|S| \leq |N(S)|$ for all $S \subseteq A$."

(Hint: use the properties of the augmenting path algorithm for the hard direction.)

Solution: It is easy to see that if a bipartite graph has a perfect matching, then $|S| \leq |N(S)|$ for all $S \subseteq A$. This holds even if we only consider the edges inside the perfect matching. Now we focus on proving the other direction, i.e., if $|S| \leq |N(S)|$ for all $S \subseteq A$ then G has a perfect matching. We define a procedure that given a matching M with maximum size which does not cover $a_0 \in A$, it returns a set $S \subseteq A$ such that $|N(S)| < |S|$. This shows that the size of the matching should be n . To this end, let $A_0 = \{a_0\}$ and $B_0 = N(a_0)$. Note that all vertices of B_0 are covered by the matching M (if $b_0 \in B_0$ is not covered, the edge $a_0 b_0$ can be added to the matching which contradicts the fact that M is a maximum matching). If $B_0 = \emptyset$, $S = A_0$ is a set such that $|N(S)| < |S|$. Else, B_0 is matched with $|B_0|$ vertices of A distinct from a_0 . We set $A_1 = N_M(B_0) \cup \{a_0\}$, where $N_M(B_0)$ is the set of vertices matched with vertices of B_0 . We have $|A_1| = |B_0| + 1 \geq |A_0| + 1$. Let $B_1 = N(A_1)$. Again, no vertices in B_1 is exposed, otherwise there is an augmenting path. If $|B_1| < |A_1|$, the algorithm terminates with $|N(A_1)| < |A_1|$. If not, let $A_2 = N_M(B_1) \cup \{a_0\}$. Then $|A_2| \geq |B_1| + 1 \geq |A_1| + 1$. We continue this procedure till it terminates. This procedure eventually terminates since size of set A_i is strictly increasing. Hence it return a set $S \subseteq A$ such that $|N(S)| < |S|$.¹

- 4 Consider the Maximum Disjoint Paths problem: given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with designated source $s \in V$ and sink $t \in V \setminus \{s\}$ vertices, find the maximum number of edge-disjoint paths from s to t . To formulate it as a linear program, we have a variable x_p for each possible path p that starts at the source s and ends at the sink t . The intuitive meaning of x_p is that it

¹Some parts of this proof are taken from this link.

should take value 1 if the path p is used and 0 otherwise². Let P be the set of all such paths from s to t . The linear programming relaxation of this problem now becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Maximize} && \sum_{p \in P} x_p \\ &\text{subject to} && \sum_{p \in P: e \in p} x_p \leq 1, && \forall e \in E, \\ &&& x_p \geq 0, && \forall p \in P. \end{aligned}$$

What is the dual of this linear program? What famous combinatorial problem do binary solutions to the dual solve?

Solution:

The dual is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && \sum_{e \in E} y_e \\ &\text{subject to} && \sum_{e \in p} y_e \geq 1 \quad \forall p \in P, \\ &&& y_e \geq 0 \quad \forall e \in E. \end{aligned}$$

Any binary solution $y \in \{0, 1\}^{|E|}$ to the dual corresponds to a set of edges which, when removed from G , disconnect s and t (indeed, for every path p from s to t , at least one edge must be removed). This is called the minimum s, t -cut problem.

²I know that the number of variables may be exponential, but let us not worry about that.