A Theorem on n - Updated Proof

Bruce Wen, Kirill Ponomarev

March 2, 2023

1 Theorem

From Haile Tamer (2003), define the strictly increasing differentiable function $\phi_{i:n}(H):[0,1]\to[0,1]$ as the implicit solution to

$$H = \frac{n!}{(n-i)!(i-1)!} \int_0^{\phi} s^{i-1} (1-s)^{n-i} ds$$

Define $G_{n:n}(t)$ as the highest order statistic among a known *n*-bidder empirical distribution function with support $[\underline{v}, \overline{v}]$.

Define the function, $f_n(t): [0,1] \to [0,1]$,

$$f_n(t) = \phi_{n:n}^{-1} \phi_{n-1:n} \left(G_{n:n}(t) \right)$$

Theorem: Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$. Then $f_n(t)$ is decreasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

2 Proof

First observe that $\phi_{n:n}^{-1}(x)=x^n$ and that the highest order statistic is simply raising to the n^{th} power, so we can write,

$$f_n(t) = \phi_{n-1:n} \left(t^n \right)^n$$

For brevity of notation, from now on let $\phi \equiv \phi_{n-1:n}$.

Consider the identity, $(\alpha(n)^{\beta(n)})' = \alpha(n)^{\beta(n)}\beta'(n)\log\alpha(n) + \alpha(n)^{\beta(n)-1}\beta(n)\alpha'(n)$. Use this to take the derivative with respect to n,

$$(f_n(t))_n' = \phi(t^n)^n \log \phi(t^n) + \phi(t^n)^{n-1} n (\phi(t^n))_n'$$
 (*)

First compute $(\phi(t^n))'_n$ in (*), which can be written,

$$\left(\phi\left(t^{n},n\right)\right)_{n}^{'} = \underbrace{\phi_{t}^{'}\left(t^{n},n\right)}_{\text{Term 1}} \cdot t^{n} \log t + \underbrace{\phi_{n}^{'}\left(t^{n},n\right)}_{\text{Term 2}}$$

Term 1 can be computed as follows. For some $H \in [0,1]$, observe that $\phi_{n-1:n}(H)$ is the implicit solution to the following:

$$H = \frac{n!}{(n-n+1)!(n-1-1)!} \int_0^\phi s^{n-1-1} (1-s)^{n-n+1} ds$$
$$= n(n-1) \left[\frac{1}{n-1} s^{n-1} - \frac{1}{n} s^n \right]_0^\phi$$
$$= n\phi^{n-1} - (n-1)\phi^n$$

And so, the inverse can be written as $\phi^{-1}(H) = nH^{n-1} - (n-1)H^n$. Differentiating this,

$$(\phi^{-1})'(H) = n(n-1)H^{n-2} - n(n-1)H^{n-1}$$
$$= n(n-1)H^{n-2}(1-H)$$

And so by the inverse function theorem, we have

$$\phi'_{t}(t,n) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)\phi(t,n)^{n-2} [1-\phi(t,n)]}$$

We will now compute $\mathbf{Term} \ \mathbf{2}$.

Again use the identity $(\alpha(n)^{\beta(n)})' = \alpha(n)^{\beta(n)}\beta'(n)\log\alpha(n) + \alpha(n)^{\beta(n)-1}\beta(n)\alpha'(n)$ to differentiate $t = n\phi^{n-1}(t,n) - (n-1)\phi^n(t,n)$ implicitly, we get (abbreviating the notation $\phi \equiv \phi(t^n,n)$),

$$\phi'_n(t^n, n) = \frac{\phi^n - \phi^{n-1} - t^n \log \phi}{n(n-1)\phi^{n-2}(1-\phi)}$$

This completes Term 2.

Adding them,

$$(\phi(t^n, n))'_n = \frac{t^n \log \frac{t}{\phi} + \phi^n - \phi^{n-1}}{n(n-1)\phi^{n-2}(1-\phi)}$$

Now note that

$$\left(\phi\left(t^{n},n\right)\right)_{n}^{'} \ge 0 \ \forall n \ge 1 \tag{**}$$

To see this, first observe that the denominator is positive, so we only need to consider $t^n \log \frac{t}{\phi}$ versus $\phi^n - \phi^{n-1}$. Recall that $t^n = n\phi^{n-1} - (n-1)\phi^n$, so

$$\phi^{n-1} - \phi^n = \frac{t^n - \phi^n}{n} \tag{***}$$

, so we are comparing $\log \frac{t}{\phi}$ versus $\frac{1}{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(\frac{t}{\phi}\right)^n}\right)$. But $\log(x)\geq \frac{1}{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{x^n}\right)$ for all $x>0,\ n>1$.

Plugging $(\phi(t^n, n))_n$ back into (*), we have,

$$(f_n(t))'_n = \phi^n \log \phi + \phi^{n-1} n (\phi (t^n, n))'_n$$

$$= \phi^n \log \phi + \phi^{n-1} \frac{t^n \log \frac{t}{\phi} + \phi^n - \phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)\phi^{n-2}(1-\phi)}$$

$$= \frac{\phi}{(n-1)(1-\phi)} \left[(\phi^{n-1} - \phi^n) ((n-1)\log \phi - 1) + t^n \log \left(\frac{t}{\phi}\right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{t^n \phi}{(n-1)(1-\phi)} \underbrace{\left[\frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{t}{\phi}\right)^n}\right) ((n-1)\log \phi - 1) + \log \left(\frac{t}{\phi}\right) \right]}_{(****)}$$

We need to show that $(****) \leq 0$ for all $n \geq 2$. Observe that,

$$(****) \le 0 \iff \log \phi \le \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{t}{\phi}\right)^n}\right) - \log \frac{t}{\phi}}{\frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\left(\frac{t}{\phi}\right)^n}\right)}$$

Let $z \equiv \frac{t}{\phi}$. Then,

$$(****) \le 0 \iff \log t \le \underbrace{\log z + \frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{z^n}\right) - \log z}_{g(z,n)}}_{g(z,n)}$$

Now, see that $\log t$ does not depend on n, while in the RHS, the following holds:

- 1. g(z,n) is increasing in n for a fixed z.
- 2. g(z, n) is decreasing in z for a fixed n.

But recall that $z = z_n = \frac{t}{\phi_{n-1:n}(t^n)}$, which is decreasing in n for a fixed t from (**). So $g(z_n, n)$ is increasing in n, and thus it suffices to check (****) for n = 2.

For the case of n=2, consider the following properties:

- 1. $\frac{z^2}{z^2-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1-\phi} + 1\right)$ by substituting $z = \frac{t}{\phi}$ and using (***) for n = 2, $2\phi \phi^2 = t^2$.
- 2. $\log z = \frac{1}{2} (\log(2-\phi) \log \phi)$ by again using $2\phi \phi^2 = t^2$ and taking the natural log.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \log t - g(z_2, 2) &= \log t - \log z - 1 + \frac{z^2}{z^2 - 1} \cdot 2 \log z \\ &= \log \phi - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \phi} + 1 \right) (\log(2 - \phi) - \log \phi) \\ &= \log \phi - 1 + \frac{\log(2 - \phi) - \log \phi}{2(1 - \phi)} + \frac{\log(2 - \phi) - \log \phi}{2} \\ &= \frac{\log(2 - \phi) - \log \phi}{2(1 - \phi)} + \frac{\log(2 - \phi) + \log \phi}{2} - 1 \\ &= \log(\phi) + \frac{(\phi - 2) \tanh^{-1} (1 - \phi)}{\phi - 1} - 1 \\ &\leq 0 \quad \forall \phi \in (0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

So (****) is true for n=2, which proves that $(****) \leq 0$.

4