

A Theorem on n

Bruce Wen

January 11, 2023

1 Theorem

From Haile Tamer (2003), define the strictly increasing differentiable function $\phi_{i:n}(H) : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as the implicit solution to

$$H = \frac{n!}{(n-i)!(i-1)!} \int_0^\phi s^{i-1}(1-s)^{n-i} ds$$

Define $G_{n:n}(v)$ as the highest order statistic among a known n bidders empirical distribution function with support $[\underline{v}, \bar{v}]$.

Define the function, $f_n(v) : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$,

$$f_n(v) = \phi_{n:n}^{-1} \phi_{n-1:n}(G_{n:n}(v))$$

Theorem 1: Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n > 1$. Then $f_n(v)$ is decreasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $\underline{v} \leq v \leq \bar{v}$.

Note: Why is $f_n(v)$ like this? It is used in estimating the $F_{n:n}$ (true distribution - G is the observed distribution) order statistic (theorem by Kirill Ponomarev 2023) as,

$$\phi_{n:n}^{-1} \phi_{n-1:n}(G_{n:n}(v)) \leq F_{n:n}(v) \leq G_{n:n}(b)$$

2 Proof

Lemma 3: $f_n(v) : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $f_n(v) = \phi_{n:n}^{-1} \phi_{n-1:n}(G_{n:n}(v))$ can be rewritten as:

$$t^n = ny^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - (n-1)y$$

Proof:

The function can be rewritten,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{n:n}^{-1}\phi_{n-1:n}(G_{n:n}(v)) &= \phi_{n-1:n}(G_{n:n}(v))^n \\ &= \phi_{n-1:n}(v^n)^n\end{aligned}$$

Let $y \equiv \phi_{n:n}^{-1}\phi_{n-1:n}(G_{n:n}(v))$ and $t \equiv v$. Then, $y = \phi_{n-1:n}(t^n)^n$. And so,

$$y^{\frac{1}{n}} = \phi_{n-1:n}(t^n)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}t^n &= \frac{n!}{(n-2)!} \int_0^{y^{\frac{1}{n}}} s^{n-2}(1-s)ds \\ &= n(n-1) \left[\frac{1}{n-1} s^{n-1} - \frac{1}{n} s^n \right]_0^{y^{\frac{1}{n}}} \\ &= ny^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - (n-1)y\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4: Define the implicit equation,

$$t^n = ny^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - (n-1)y$$

For any fixed $t \in [0, 1]$, and $n \geq 2$ integers, $y \in [0, 1]$ is decreasing in n .

REDACTED

Lemma 1: $G_{n+1:n+1}(v) \succsim_{\text{FOSD}} G_{n:n}(v)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all v .

Proof:

Take a common distribution G where valuations are drawn from. In 1 scenario, $n+1$ valuations are drawn from G , and we can define the CDF of the highest order statistic, $G_{n+1:n+1}(v) = G(v)^{n+1}$. In the other scenario, n valuations are drawn from G , and the CDF for this highest order statistic is, $G_{n:n}(v) = G(v)^n$. Thus,

$$G_{n+1:n+1}(v) \leq G_{n:n}(v)$$

for all v and n , and so $G_{n+1:n+1}(v) \succsim_{\text{FOSD}} G_{n:n}(v)$. ■

We just need to prove that $\phi_{n:n}^{-1}\phi_{n-1:n}(t)$ is decreasing in n for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

First, see that $\phi_{n:n}^{-1}(x) = x^n$:

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \frac{n!}{(n-n)!(n-1)!} \int_0^\phi s^{n-1} (1-s)^{n-n} ds \\
&= n \int_0^\phi s^{n-1} ds \\
&= n \frac{1}{n} [s^n]_0^\phi \\
&= \phi^n
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that $\phi_{n-1:n}(t)^n$ is decreasing in n for all $t \in (0, 1)$.

Lemma 2: $\phi_{n-1:n}(t)$ is decreasing(?increasing?) in n for all $t \in (0, 1)$.