## Machine Learning — Final Exam

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	$\sum$
5	7	6	7	5	6	8	7	3	6	9	8	77

Do not write anything above this line

Name:		
Student ID:	Signature:	

- Only write on the sheets given to you by supervisors. If you need more paper, ask the supervisors.
- Pages 15-18 can be used as scratch paper.
- All sheets (including scratch paper) have to be returned at the end.
- Do not unstaple the sheets!
- Wherever answer boxes are provided, please write your answers in them.
- Please write your student ID (Matrikelnummer) on every sheet you hand in.
- Only use a black or a blue pen (no pencils, red or green pens!).
- You are allowed to use your A4 sheet of handwritten notes (two sides). No other materials (e.g. books, cell phones, calculators) are allowed!
- Exam duration 120 minutes.
- This exam consists of 18 pages, 12 problems. You can earn 77 points.

### 1 Decision Trees

**Problem 1 [(1+4)=5 points]** You are developing a model to classify games at which machine learning will beat the world champion within five years. The following table contains the data you have collected.

ive conected.			
$x_1$ (Team or Individual)	$x_2$ (Mental or Physical)	$x_3$ (Skill or Chance)	y (Win or Lose)
T	M	S	W
I	${f M}$	$\mathbf{S}$	W
${ m T}$	P	$\mathbf{S}$	W
I	${f M}$	$\mathbf{C}$	W
${f T}$	P	${f S}$	${ m L}$
I	${f M}$	$\mathbf{C}$	L
${f T}$	Р	$\mathbf{C}$	L
${f T}$	Р	$\mathbf{C}$	L
${f T}$	Р	$\mathbf{C}$	L
I	Р	${f S}$	W

You can look up the value of  $\log_2(x)$  in this table:


$\overline{x}$	0.10	0.2	0.25	0.33	0.50	0.66	0.75	0.8	1.0
$\log_2(x)$	-3.32	-2.32	-2.0	-1.60	-1.0	-0.60	-0.42	-0.32	0.0

- a) Calculate the entropy  $i_H(y)$  of the class labels y.
- b) Build the optimal decision tree of depth 1 using entropy as the impurity measure. Which attribute is selected as the root of the decision tree?

### 2 KNN

### Problem 2 [(1.5+5.5)=7 points]


- a) Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Draw the unit circle for the following norms. Make sure to clearly label which circle corresponds to which norm.
  - $-L_1$ -norm:  $||x||_1 = \sum_i |x_i|$
  - $L_2$ -norm:  $||x||_2 = \sqrt{\sum_i x_i^2}$
  - $-L_{\infty}$ -norm:  $||\boldsymbol{x}||_{\infty} = \max_{i} |x_{i}|$



b) Construct a binary classification dataset that consists of 4 data points, that is specify  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$  (i.e. write the coordinates and labels) for each data point i, such that:

Performing leave-one-out cross validation (LOOCV) with a 1-NN (one nearest neighbor) classifier using  $\underline{L}_1$  distance yields 0% misclassification rate. Meanwhile, performing LOOCV with a 1-NN classifier using  $\underline{L}_{\infty}$  distance on the same dataset yields misclassification rate of 50%.

Hint: Remember the shape of the unit circles.



#### 3 Probabilistic Inference

**Problem 3 [(6)=6 points]** A kangaroo starts from a random location  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  in the jungle and after one jump reaches the location  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

The prior over the start location  $x_0$  is the standard bivariate normal distribution

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_0 \mid \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{I}_{2 \times 2}\right),$$

where  $I_{2\times 2}$  denotes the 2 by 2 identity matrix.

The conditional distribution  $p(x_1|x_0)$  is a normal distribution with mean  $x_0$  and identity covariance

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}_1|\boldsymbol{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_1|\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{I}_{2\times 2})$$

Assume that we observe  $x_1$ , the position of the kangaroo after the jump.

Write down the <u>closed-form</u> expression for  $p(x_0|x_1)$ . Make sure that you obtain a valid probability distribution (i.e. it integrates to one). Show your work.

**Important:** You are not allowed to simply use facts about conditionals of multivariate normal distribution (e.g. from Bishop's book). Derive the result starting from the Bayes formula.

### 4 Regression

**Problem 4 [(7)=7 points]** Consider the following one-dimensional regression problem:

$$p(y_i \mid w, x_i, \tau) = \mathcal{N}(y_i \mid wx_i, \tau^2)$$
$$p(w \mid \sigma) = \mathcal{N}(w \mid 0, \sigma^2)$$

where  $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\tau > 0$  and  $\sigma > 0$  are variance parameters. You fit the parameter w using, e.g., the MLE or the MAP approach on a dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_N)\}$  of N i.i.d. instances.

Your task is to qualitatively describe what happens to the quantities in each column as the parameters in each row vary. Specifically, in each cell of the table below you have to write <u>one and only one</u> of the following three options: (i) increases, (ii) decreases or (iii) no change.

For example in the top left cell you have to specify whether the quantity  $Var(p(w|\sigma))$  increases, decreases or does not change as we increase the value of the parameter  $\sigma$ .

	$\operatorname{Var}(p(w \sigma))$	$ w_{MLE} - w_{MAP} $	$\left  \mathbb{E}_{p(w \mathcal{D})}[w] - w_{MAP} \right $
$\sigma$ increases			
$\sigma$ decreases			
N increases			

In the table above


- $Var(p(w|\sigma))$  denotes the variance of the distribution  $p(w|\sigma)$
- $w_{MLE}$  and  $w_{MAP}$  denote the maximum likelihood estimate and the MAP estimate of the parameter w respectively.
- $\mathbb{E}_{p(w|\mathcal{D})}[w]$  is the expectation of the posterior distribution over w.
- $\bullet$  |  $\cdot$  | denotes the absolute value.

Student ID:


### 5 Classification

**Problem 5 [(5)=5 points]** Consider the classification problem in the figure below. There are two points,  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$  with class  $y_1 = 0$  and  $\boldsymbol{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  with class  $y_2 = 1$ . Further you are given a logistic regression model with weight vector  $\boldsymbol{w} = \begin{bmatrix} \log 2 \\ \log 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Here, log denotes natural logarithms, i.e. base e.

Assume that there is no bias term.



Prove or disprove that the weight vector  $\boldsymbol{w}$  is the MAP estimate for a logistic regression model with a Gaussian prior on  $\boldsymbol{w}$  with precision  $\lambda = 1$ .



## 6 Constrained Optimization

Problem 6 [(3+3)=6 points] Consider the following optimization problem

$$\min_{x_1, x_2} 2x_1 - 3x_2$$

$$x_1 \ge 2$$


$$x_2 \ge 2$$

$$x_1 + x_2 \le 12$$

$$-x_1 + 2x_2 \ge -3$$

$$-5x_1 + 3x_2 \le -4$$

a) Draw the set of feasible points



b) Solve the optimization problem, i.e. find the minimizer  $(x_1^*, x_2^*)$ .

L	

### 7 SVM

#### Problem 7 [(7+1)=8 points]

a) Consider training a hard-margin SVM using a linear kernel  $k(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{x}_j) = \boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{x}_j$  on a linearly separable training set  $\mathcal{D} = \{(\boldsymbol{x}_1, y_1), \dots (\boldsymbol{x}_N, y_N)\}$ . Let s denote the number of support vectors we would obtain if we would train on the <u>entire</u> dataset. Furthermore, let  $\varepsilon$  denote the leave-one-out cross validation (LOOCV) misclassification rate.

Does the following inequality hold? Justify your answer.

$$\varepsilon \leq \frac{s}{N}$$


- b) Consider a setting similar to the previous problem, except that we now we use SVM with an <u>arbitrary</u> valid kernel k. Assume that the data is linearly separable in the feature space corresponding to the kernel. Does  $\varepsilon \leq \frac{s}{N}$  hold in this case? Justify your answer.

## 8 Kernels

Problem 8 [(7)=7 points]	Let $\mathcal M$ denote the set of all real-valued matrices of arbitrary size.
Prove or disprove that the f	unction $k: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a valid kernel.
	$k(X,Y) = \min\{\operatorname{rank}(X),\operatorname{rank}(Y)\}$

ſ	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
1	
1	
1	
ı	
1	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
1	
1	
ı	
ı	
1	
1	
1	
1	
ı	
1	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
ı	
1	
1	
ı	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	

# 9 Deep Learning

<b>Problem 9 [(1+1+1)=3 points]</b> You are given a dataset containing images $x_i \in [0,1]^D$ (all the pixel
values are normalized between 0 and 1) and respective class labels $y_i \in \{1,, C\}$ . You implement a
fully connected neural network with two hidden layers, $tanh$ activations and $L_2$ regularization on all
weights excluding biases.

weig	hts excluding biases.
a)	Consider two strategies for initializing the weights of your neural network.
	1) Sample the weights from $Uniform(-10, 10)$
	2) Sample the weights from $Uniform(-1,1)$
	Which choice (1 or 2) is more reasonable, given that we are training the network with backprop agation? Justify your answer.
b)	When training neural networks, why do we usually stop training when the loss on the validation set starts to increase?
c)	After training has finished, your model has $\underline{\text{high}}$ training loss and $\underline{\text{high}}$ validation loss. What should you do? Justify your answer.

### 10 Dimensionality Reduction

**Problem 10 [(6)=6 points]** Let the matrix  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$  represent N data points of dimension D=10 (samples stored as rows). We applied PCA to X. By using the K=5 top principal components, we transformed/projected X into  $\tilde{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}$ . We computed that  $\tilde{X}$  preserves 70% of the variance of the original data X.

Suppose now we apply PCA on the following matrices:

- a)  $Y_1 = XS$  where  $S = \lambda I$ , with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $I \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$  is the identity matrix
- b)  $Y_2 = XR$  where  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$  and  $RR^T = I$
- c)  $Y_3 = XP$  where P = diag(+5, -5, ..., +5, -5) is a  $D \times D$  diagonal matrix
- d)  $Y_4 = XQ$  where Q = diag(1, 2, 3, ..., D 1, D) is a  $D \times D$  diagonal matrix
- e)  $Y_5 = X + \mathbf{1}_N \boldsymbol{\mu}^T$  where  $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^D$  and  $\mathbf{1}_N$  is an N-dimensional column vector of all ones
- f)  $Y_6 = XA$  where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$  and rank(A) = 5

and obtain the projected data  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_1, \dots \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_6 \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}$  using the principal components corresponding to the top K = 5 largest eigenvalues of the respective  $\mathbf{Y}_i$ .

What fraction of variance of each  $Y_i$  will be preserved by each respective  $\tilde{Y}_i$ ? Justify your answer.

The answer "cannot tell without additional information" is also valid if you provide a justification.

#### 11 Gaussian Mixture Models

**Problem 11 [(2+5+2)=9 points]** Consider two random variables  $x \in \mathbb{R}^D$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^D$  distributed according to two different Gaussian mixture models

$$p(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{X}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K_X} \pi_k^X \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k^X, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^X),$$
$$p(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^Y) = \sum_{l=1}^{K_Y} \pi_l^Y \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_l^Y, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_l^Y),$$

The first mixture  $p(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^X)$  consists of  $K^X$  components with parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_k^X = (\pi_k^X, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^X, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^X)$  for  $k \in \{1, \dots, K_X\}$ . Similarly,  $p(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta}^Y)$  consists of  $K^Y$  components with parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_l^Y = (\pi_l^Y, \boldsymbol{\mu}_l^Y, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_l^Y)$  for  $l \in \{1, \dots, K_Y\}$ .

We generate a new random variable  $z \in \mathbb{R}^D$  as z = x + y.

a) Describe the generative process (process of drawing the samples) for $z$ .	

c) Write down the probability d			
It's enough to just state the a	answer (no need	to show the derivation).	
12 Variational Inferen	ce		
The exponential distribution with a	a scale paramete	er $\alpha > 0$ is defined as	
$\operatorname{Expo}(\theta \mid \alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha} \exp(-\frac{1}{\alpha}\theta) \\ 0 \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{if } \theta \geq 0 \\ \text{else} \end{array},$	$\mathbb{E}\left[x\right] = \alpha,$	$\mathbb{E}\left[x^2\right] = 2\alpha^2$
Problem 12 [(4+2+2)=8 points]	Consider the f	ollowing probabilistic mod	del.
	$p(z) = \mathbf{E}$ $p(x \mid z) = \mathbf{\Lambda}$	$\exp(z \mid 1)$ $\mathcal{N}(x \mid z, 1)$	
We want to approximate the poster			al distribution
	$q(z \mid \beta) = \mathbf{E}$	$\operatorname{Expo}(z \mid \beta)$	
a) Write down a <u>closed-form</u> for terms that are constant in $\beta$ .	ELBO $\mathcal{L}(\beta)$ and	d simplify it as far as you	can. You can ignore the

N / I-:	I !	WS2018/19 —	N /1ll -	INIOOCA
iviacnine	i earning —	VV 5/1118/19 —	- Modille	HNIZUN4

b) Is the ELBO convex in $\beta$ ? Justify your answer.
c) Outline the main steps for solving the optimization problem
$\min_{eta} \ \mathbb{KL}(q(z \mid eta) \parallel p(z \mid x))$
You don't need to perform the actual computations, just clearly describe each step.
Does this optimization problem have a closed-form solution? Why or why not?

