

STAPLE

Catalogue of connected and automated driving test sites

Deliverable No 2.1 February 2019















Project Nr. 867453
Project acronym: STAPLE
Project title:

SiTe Automation Practical Learning

Catalogue of connected and automated driving test sites

Due date of deliverable: 28.02.2019 Actual submission date: 28.02.2019

Start date of project: 01.09.2018 End date of project: 31.08.2020

Author(s) this deliverable:

Isabela Erdelean, AIT, Austria Abdelmename Hedhli, IFSTTAR, France Martin Lamb, Maple Consulting, UK, Niklas Strand, VTI, Sweden Ewa Zofka, ERICA, Poland

Version 0.3



Executive summary

The overall aim of STAPLE is to provide a comprehensive review of technological and non-technological aspects of the most relevant connected and automated test sites and test beds across Europe and beyond, in order to understand the impact of these sites on the NRAs' core business and functions. The project will provide road administrations with the necessary know-how on connected and automated driving test sites, with the aim of supporting their core activities, such as road safety, traffic efficiency, customer service, maintenance and construction. The project builds on previous work by CEDR and other national and European organizations, as well as on the consortium's expertise from a number of relevant research initiatives.

This deliverable presents the approach taken in STAPLE for identifying a wide range of connected and automated driving test sites and test beds across Europe and beyond, as well as a detailed Catalogue of 37 test sites/beds. While data on 39 test sites and beds were collected, two sites offered only confidential information and their data is not available in this version of this deliverable. In addition, the initial pre-selection and assessment of sites/beds for further investigation is also described.

The initial review encompassed a wide variety of sites/beds, in terms of location, size, years of operation, experience and other factors. The consortium looked at already existing sites with years of experience as well as new and developing ones. The focus was on test sites and test beds for passenger cars, freight transport operations and shared mobility services. The search yielded over 70 test sites and test beds in 20 countries inside and outside Europe, including the USA, China, Australia and South Korea.

Based on the consortium expertise and as well as input and feedback from the PEB, a detailed data collection procedure was undertaken for obtaining information on each site, such as location, size, automated use cases tested, type of environment, physical and digital infrastructure support, connectivity employed and other factors. This resulted in a Catalogue of 37 test sites and test beds that can be used as a point of reference going forward but can also be used as a standalone output of STAPLE.

Lastly, an first assessment and pre-selection of the test sites/beds was performed, to evaluate their feasibility for further investigation in the next activities of the project. The qualitative assessment took into account criteria such as location, availability of data, longevity of the site/bed, purpose, confidentiality. The pre-selection yielded the following test sites/beds (in no particular order):

- 1. Alp.Lab Austrian Light Vehicle Proving Region for Automated Driving, Austria
- 2. Testregion DigiTrans, Austria
- 3. TFN Testbed Lower Saxony, Germany
- 4. A2-M2 Connected Corridor, UK
- 5. Testbed Midlands Future Mobility, UK
- 6. Colas IPV Testbed Colas Impact Protection Vehicle, UK
- 7. Horiba MIRA TIC-IT, UK
- 8. AstaZero AB, Sweden
- 9. AURORA E8 Aurora, the Arctic Intelligent Transport Test Ecosystem, Finland
- 10. BOREALIS Test Ecosystem for cross-border testing with Finland, Norway
- 11. ZalaZONE Automotive Proving Ground, Hungary
- 12. TRANSPOLIS, France



- 13. CLL Catalonia Living Lab, Spain
- 14. IDIADA Proving Ground, Spain

The next steps of the project include the final selection of test sites to be taken into the next work package for further investigations, the identification of key performance areas for NRAs' core business and further data collection procedures on selected test sites/beds. As stakeholder involvement is paramount to the success of the project, two stakeholder workshops will be held in March and April 2019, where first project results will be presented to national road authorities and other relevant stakeholders.

List of Tables

| Table 1 List of pre-selected connected and automated test sites | 93 |
|--|----|
| Table 2 List of identified connected and automated driving test sites (non-exhaustive) | 98 |



Table of content

| 1 | Int | roduction | 8 |
|---|------|---|------|
| 2 | Ov | verview of connected and automated driving test sites | 9 |
| | 1.1 | Initial review | 9 |
| | 1.2 | First data collection and criteria | 9 |
| 3 | Ca | talogue of connected and automated test sites | . 11 |
| | 1.1 | Alp.Lab GmbH (Austria) | . 11 |
| | 1.2 | AV Living Lab (Slovenia) | . 13 |
| | 1.3 | Testbed Lower Saxony (Germany) | . 16 |
| | 1.4 | Test region DigiTrans (Austria) | . 18 |
| | 1.5 | A2-M2 Connected Corridor (UK) | . 21 |
| | 1.6 | Test bed Smart Mobility Living Lab (UK) | . 23 |
| | 1.7 | Test bed UK Autodrive (UK) | . 25 |
| | 1.8 | UK-CITE (UK) | . 27 |
| | 1.9 | Smart City Mobility Centre (UK) | . 29 |
| | 1.10 | Test bed ServCity (UK) | . 31 |
| | 1.11 | Test bed CAV Forth (UK) | . 33 |
| | 1.12 | Test bed Apollo (UK) | . 35 |
| | 1.13 | ConVEX (UK) | . 37 |
| | 1.14 | Millbrook Culham Test and Evaluation Environment (UK) | . 40 |
| | 1.15 | Test bed Midlands Future Mobility (UK) | . 42 |
| | 1.16 | Test site Horiba-MIRA TIC-IT (UK) | . 44 |
| | 1.17 | Test bed Colas IPV (UK) | . 46 |
| | 1.18 | Brainport Pilot Site (the Netherlands) | . 48 |
| | 1.19 | ZalaZONE (Hungary) | . 51 |
| | 1.20 | Test bed Trikala (Greece) | . 53 |
| | 1.21 | TRANSPOLIS (France) | . 56 |
| | 1.22 | SISCOGA4CAD (Spain) | . 58 |
| | 1.23 | Catalonia Living Lab (Spain) | . 60 |
| | 1.24 | IDIADA Proving Ground (Spain) | . 63 |
| | 1.25 | Test site Stockholm (Sweden) | . 65 |
| | 1.26 | MMiB (Sweden) | . 67 |
| | 1.27 | TSS-W (Sweden) | . 69 |
| | 1.28 | AstaZero (Sweden) | . 71 |
| | 1.29 | AURORA (Finland) | . 73 |
| | 1.30 | Millbrook (Finland and UK) | . 75 |



CEDR Call 2017: Automation

| | 1.31 | BOREALIS (Norway) | 77 |
|---------|------|---|-----|
| | 1.32 | AV-PL-ROAD (Poland) | 79 |
| | 1.33 | Virginia Smart Roads (USA) | 81 |
| | 1.34 | VAC and VCC (USA) | 84 |
| | 1.35 | Mcity Test Facility (USA) | 87 |
| | 1.36 | ICVP (Australia) | 89 |
| | 1.37 | K-City (South Korea) | 91 |
| 4 | Init | ial assessment and pre-selection | 93 |
| 5 | Coi | nclusions and next steps | 95 |
| 6 e: | | nex 1: Full list of identified connected and automated driving test sites tive) | • |
| 7 | Anı | nex 2 Description form template | 101 |

1 Introduction

The CEDR Transnational Research Programme was launched by the Conference of European Directors of Roads (CEDR). CEDR is the Road Directors' platform for cooperation and promotion of improvements to the road system and its infrastructure, as an integral part of a sustainable transport system in Europe. Its members represent their respective National Road Authorities (NRA) or equivalents and provide support and advice on decisions concerning the road transport system that are taken at national or international level.

The participating NRAs in the CEDR Call 2017: Automation are Austria, Finland, Germany, Ireland, Netherlands, Norway, Slovenia, Sweden and the United Kingdom. As in previous collaborative research programmes, the participating members have established a Programme Executive Board (PEB) made up of experts in the topics to be covered. The research budget is jointly provided by the NRAs as listed above.

The aim of the STAPLE project is to provide a comprehensive review of technological and non-technological aspects of the most relevant connected and automated driving test sites across Europe and beyond, in order to understand the impact of these sites on the NRA's core business and functions. This project will provide NRAs with the necessary know-how on connected and automated driving tests sites and test beds, with the aim of supporting their core business activities, such as road safety, traffic efficiency, customer service, maintenance and construction.

The STAPLE consortium will support the NRAs through the following objectives:

- Provide an overview of connected and automated test sites/beds in Europe and beyond
- Provide a catalogue of these sites and detail how they contribute to NRA priorities
- Undertake a detailed investigation into a selected number of test sites including visiting a selection of sites
- Assess the implications of the findings of the test sites for future NRA options
- Analyse and report on the practical learnings from test sites worldwide, including gaps where NRA needs are not addressed
- Provide a report and recommendations for future research and test sites focus.

This deliverable presents the results of work package 2, where a wide range of connected and automated driving test sites and test beds were identified and documented. A detailed data collection yielded a catalogue of 39 test sites and test beds across Europe, the USA, Australia, South Korea and China that can be used as a point of reference going forward. While data on 39 test sites and beds were collected, two sites offered only confidential information and their data is not available in this version of this deliverable. The processes used to arrive at a shortlist of test sites/beds to be taken in the project for further investigation are also presented.

The deliverable starts with a description of the process of identifying and collecting the test sites that will be included in the first stage of the project. Chapter 3 describes 37 test sites and test beds that have been investigated through literature review, expert knowledge and data collection. Chapter 4 presents a preliminary analysis of the test sites to be taken in the next step of the project, as well as the methodology to be used for selecting the final sites. Chapter 5 describes the next steps planned in the project.



2 Overview of connected and automated driving test sites

1.1 Initial review

The aim of work package two of STAPLE was to get a broad overview of the existing connected and automated test sites across Europe and beyond. A comprehensive desk study was carried out by the consortium to identify the most relevant test sites and test beds across Europe as well as the USA, South Korea, China and Australia. This was complemented by the consortium's knowledge and involvement in connectivity and automation related projects, as well as the support of the PEB members.

The review encompassed a wide variety of sites, in terms of location, size, years of operation, experience and other factors. The consortium looked at already existing sites with years of experience as well as new and developing ones. The focus was on test sites and test beds for passenger cars, freight transport operations and shared mobility services.

The search yielded over 70 test sites and test beds in 20 countries inside and outside Europe, including the USA, China, Australia and South Korea. Annex 1 presents the full list of identified connected and automated test sites and test beds (non-exhaustive).

1.2 First data collection and criteria

After the identification, the next step was to learn more about each individual test site/bed to investigate which ones would be most relevant for the NRAs. To this end, a set of 16 criteria were considered. The criteria were decided based on consortium expertise, with the inputs and feedback of the PEB members and the Project Officers. The criteria were also influenced by the activities of the other two projects in the CEDR Call Automation programme, i.e. MANTRA and DIRIZON, as to facilitate cooperation and synergies between the three projects. The following criteria were considered and collected:

- 1. Name: Full name of test site or test bed
- 2. Short name: Abbreviation
- 3. **Partners/Consortium**: Specification of the organizations that own and/or manage the site/bed
- 4. Location: Coordinates, city and/or address of the test site area
- 5. **Type of ownership**: Specification whether it is a public /private site or other type of joint/separate ownership
- 6. **Lifespan**: Definition of the start of the operation of the test site/bed, as well as the planned duration (e.g. 0 to 2 years, more than 5 years, undefined)
- 7. **Business areas**: Statement on the specific focus of the site/bed, such as road safety, traffic efficiency, customer service and maintenance /construction
- 8. **Use cases tested**: Specification of the connected and automated use cases that could be tested on the premises of the test site/test bed, such as Highway Chauffeur, Automated Shuttle Bus, Freight Vehicles Platooning, Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles, etc.
- 9. Size: Size of the test site/bed, in km or km²
- 10. **Business model**: Description of the business model employed by the site/bed consortium for running the test site/test bed
- 11. **Environment**: Statement on whether the site environment is closed or an open area (e.g. closed test track, public motorway, public bus route)



- 12. **R&D/ Industry projects that are/were conducted**: Specification of previous or current research or industry projects where connected and automated driving tests were/are performed at the specific site/bed
- 13. **Type of environment**: Specification of the type of road environment encompassed in the test site/bed, e.g. urban, motorway, inter-urban, rural
- 14. **Connectivity employed**: Description of the network technology employed at the site/bed to facilitate testing, e.g. ITS G5, 3G/4G/5G, LTE V2X and others
- 15. **Infrastructure support**: Description of the physical and digital infrastructure that the test site/test bed is equipped with, e.g. cameras, HD maps, road markings, RSUs, radar
- 16. Other specific characteristics: Description of other particular characteristics of the test site/test bed, such as electric vehicles charging, intersections, tunnels, speed limits and others.

The data collection was divided into two phases, which were conducted subsequently. First, publicly-available data was collected for each identified test site/test bed. Secondly, test site owners and operators were contacted towards providing more information on each of the criteria described above. In order to facilitate the data collection, a Description Form was developed that site operators could fill in and send back to the consortium (please see Annex 2). The operators were identified and contacted through the consortium's wide network of contacts, with additional support from the POs and the PEB members. Each test site was contacted with a standardized email that provided details on the aim and outputs of STAPLE, as well as details regarding the data collection process. The level of confidentiality was set by the operators themselves, i.e. they chose the level of information and detail that they were willing to provide the consortium.

The next chapter provides a catalogue of 37 connected and automated test sites and test beds with detailed descriptions.



3 Catalogue of connected and automated test sites

1.1 Alp.Lab GmbH (Austria)

ALP.Lab GmbH **General description** Name ALP.Lab GmbH Austrian Light Vehicle Proving Region for Automated Driving Short name ALP.Lab Partners/Consortium AVL, MAGNA Steyr, Virtual Vehicle, TU Graz, Joanneum Research Graz / Austria Location Type of ownership (e.g. NRA ☐ Public involvement) ☐ PPT – joint ☐ Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned Start of operation (year): activities) Planned duration: \Box 0 – 2 years \square 2 – 5 years ⋈ > 5 years □ Undefined ☐ Other, please specify: **Business areas** □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction Other, please specify: Test region for automated driving **Use Cases tested** □ Automated Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles light vehicles



| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | ~400km Highway |
|---|---|
| | Urban and interurban in plan |
| Business model | One-stop-shop for all needed infrastructure to test and develop ADAS/AD functions. |
| Environment | ⊠ Closed |
| | |
| | Other, please specify: |
| | SiL/MiL, ViL on testbedDriving simulatorData handling and service |
| R&D/Industry projects that are/were conducted at this test site/bed | Data collection, storage and analyses of real road data. EuroNCAP tests |

| Technical characteristics (please chec | Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | |
|--|---|--|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Connectivity employed | ⊠ ITS G5 | |
| | □ 3G | |
| | ⊠ 4G | |
| | □ LTE-V2X | |
| | Other, please specify: Pilot for 5G | |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: | |
| | ⊠ Cameras | |
| | | |
| | Other, please specify: | |
| | Radar and roadside sensors for traffic flowWeather information | |
| | Physical infrastructure: | |
| | ⊠ Road markings | |
| | ⊠ Road edges delineation | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Other specific characteristics | Toll stations, border crossing, tunnels – open area and | |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | closed (by end of 2019), mountain roads. Ice track. | |
| RSUs) | | |

1.2 AV Living Lab (Slovenia)

AV Living Lab



| | BTC City Ljubljana |
|-----------------------------|---|
| General description | |
| Name | AV Living Lab |
| Short name | AVLL |
| Partners/Consortium | Partners in industries, academia: Data analytics, Blockchain, 5G, Al/machine learning, smart grid, retail, human interaction (kidsto-elderly), 3 universities |
| Location | Ljubljana, Slovenia; https://www.google.com/maps/@46.0665863,14.543521,15.92z |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA | ⊠ Public |
| involvement) | □ Private |
| | □ PPT – joint |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Lifespan (past and future | Start of operation (year): 2018 |
| planned activities) | After 65 years of transformation from warehouses, shopping center, entertainment/leisure area to cross-industry living lab. |
| | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | □ 2 – 5 years |
| | ⊠ > 5 years |
| | ☐ Undefined |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Business areas | ⊠ Road safety |
| | □ Traffic efficiency |
| | □ Customer Service □ |
| | ☐ Maintenance/Construction |
| | |
| | Cross-industry city as a lab testing environment, TRL4-TRL8 product, applications, services, platforms testing, AV driving in urban environments, 5G/communications networks testing, Human-machine interaction (also kids, elderly, disabled), AV driving simulator and with human bio feedback analysis, Mobility services, |

| | Business models testing. | |
|---|---|--|
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur | |
| | | |
| | ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning | |
| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles | |
| | | |
| | Smart Parking, LoRa, Precision navigation (RTK/differential GPS), Human responses to AV shuttle drive, Car lights and sounds human interactions, AV driving simulator, 4 mobility services. | |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | 11 km of roads, intersections/crossings, roundabouts, 0.5 km2 area | |
| Business model | City as a Lab physical infrastructure and services offered to vendors of products/services/platforms for proof of concepts, demonstrations, showcase/use case testing, analysis. | |
| Environment | ⊠ Closed | |
| | ⊠ Open area | |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Environment can be adapted from fully closed (e.g. large garage) to semi-open, or open, during specific day time intervals. | |
| R&D/Industry projects that are/were conducted at this test site/bed | Smart parking, precision navigation (RTK/differential GPS), human responses to AV shuttle drive, car lights and sounds human interactions, 5G MIMO testing, 4 mobility services, AV driving simulator. | |

| Technical characteristics (please che | ck and specify, all which applies) |
|--|---|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban |
| | □ Motorway |
| | □ Inter-Urban |
| | |
| | 11 km of roads with buildings, skyscrapers, recreational facilities, hotel, multiplex cinema, retail shops |
| Connectivity employed | ☑ ITS G5 |
| | ⊠ 3G |
| | ⊠ 4G |
| | ☑ LTE-V2X |
| | |
| | LoRa, G5, V2X PC5 available through partners, 3 mobile operators offer full 4G LTE/A coverage, 5G experiments done, spectrum license available on-demand (sub 1 GHz bands, 2 GHz bands, 3.5-3.8 GHz, 5.9 GHz free) |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Cameras |
| | |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: HD map and point cloud model of environment available on demand through partners. |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Road markings |
| | ⊠ Road edges delineation |
| | |
| | Comprehensive different road types markings, signs. Intelligent signs with V2X can be installed on demand. |
| Other specific characteristics | Intersections, roundabouts single and dual lane, one-way, |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, | mixed car-bicycle-pedestrian streets. |
| tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | EV charging stations. |
| 1003) | Car and bicycle sharing. |
| | Speed limit 30 km/h; on selected roads 60 km/h. |
| | RSU can be installed on demand. |
| | Infrastructure can be adapted, changed on selected roads as required. |



1.3 Testbed Lower Saxony (Germany)

Testbed Lower Saxony LOWER SAXONY for automated and connected mobility **General description Testbed Lower Saxony** Name **Short name** Partners/Consortium Owner: DLR Supporting consortium: VW, Continental, Wolfsburg AG, ADAC, Oecon, Nordsys, Siemens, IAV, Ministries of Lower Saxony https://verkehrsforschung.dlr.de/de/projekte/testfeldniedersachsen-fuer-automatisierte-und-vernetztemobilitaet Braunschweig - Hannover - Hildesheim - Salzgitter -Location Wolfsburg; Germany Type of ownership NRA ☐ Public (e.g. involvement) □ Private ☐ PPT – joint Other, please specify: Non-profit organization Lifespan (past and future planned Start of operation (year): Urban: 2014; Motorway: end of activities) 2019 Planned duration: \Box 0 – 2 years \square 2 – 5 years \boxtimes > 5 years □ Undefined ☐ Other, please specify: **Business areas** ⋈ Maintenance/Construction ☐ Other, please specify: **Use Cases tested** □ Automated Shuttle bus ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles



☐ Other, please specify:

| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | Up to 280 km motorway and inter urban |
|---|---|
| | + 12 km urban area |
| Business model | Operation as a large-scale research infrastructure by DLR |
| Environment | |
| | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| R&D/Industry projects that are/were conducted at this test site/bed | Digitaler Knoten 4.0, PEGASUS |

| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | |
|--|--|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban |
| | |
| | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Connectivity employed | ⊠ ITS G5 |
| | □ 3G |
| | □ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | Other, please specify: 5G in planning |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | □ Cameras |
| | ⋈ HD maps |
| | Other, please specify: C2X |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Road markings |
| | ⊠ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics | Tunnels, Intersection, Variable message signs, C2X |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | emulation. |

1.4 Test region DigiTrans (Austria)

Test region DigiTrans DigiTrans **General description** Name Testregion DigiTrans DigiTrans Short name Partners/Consortium AIT Austrian Institute of Technology Fachhochschule Oberösterreich F&E GmbH, Linz Center of Mechatronics GmbH, REFORM-WERKE - Bauer & Co Gesellschaft m.b.H., Hödlmayr International AG, Members of Verein DigiTrans e.V. Location Linz, Austria Type of ownership **NRA** ☐ Public (e.g. involvement) □ Private ☐ Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned Start of operation (year): 2018 activities) Planned duration: \Box 0 – 2 years \square 2 – 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: **Business areas** Road safety ☐ Maintenance/Construction Testing and development support of automated vehicles, systems and components in the areas of special vehicles and commercial vehicles as well as in the logistics sector. Use Cases tested ☐ Highway Chauffeur □ Automated Shuttle bus Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles Automated platooning Automatic multimodal charging



| Sino (o m Km Km²) | Automatic transportation systems in urban or industrial areas Connected vehicles and their infrastructure Communal vehicles |
|---|---|
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | Several local sites are going to be adapted to be used as proving grounds. |
| Business model | Test design Data collection Test setup / installation Operation and support of testing Evaluation of test results Consulting |
| Environment | □ Closed □ Open area ⊠ Other, please specify: Mix of open and closed areas and mobile test infrastructure. |
| R&D/Industry projects that are/were conducted at this test site/bed | Autility, Connecting Austria are already on the way. Several further test pilot projects under formulation. |

| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | |
|---|---|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban |
| | |
| | ⊠ Inter-Urban |
| | |
| | Company site |
| | Surrounding countryside |
| | Planned (Environment is going to be added under a stepwise phase in plan) |
| Connectivity employed | ☑ ITS G5 |
| | ⊠ 3G |
| | ⊠ 4G |
| | ⊠ LTE-V2X |
| | |
| | Proprietary RF |
| | Cooperative radar |
| | Wireless sensor networks |
| | Planned (The connectivity is going to be brought live under a stepwise phase in plan) |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | □ Cameras |
| | ⊠ HD maps |
| | |

| | Other, please specify: |
|--|---|
| | Mapping of individual test sites, |
| | Software platform for collection an analysis of test data, |
| | Localization systems, |
| | Various measurement systems; |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Road markings |
| | ⊠ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | Automated platform for ADAS Testing, |
| | Various track elements / road situations; |
| | Planned (The infrastructure is going to be brought to life under a stepwise phase in plan.) |
| Other specific characteristics | Smart Road Signal Systems |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | |

1.5 A2-M2 Connected Corridor (UK)

| Test Pilot A2- | M2 Connected Corridor |
|--|--|
| General description | |
| Name | A2-M2 Connected Corridor |
| Short name | A2-M2 Connected Corridor |
| Partners/Consortium | Highways England, Kent County Council, Transport for London, UK Department for Transport, InterCor, ERTICO |
| Location | London – Kent, UK |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | □ Public |
| involvementy | □ Private |
| | ☑ PPT – joint |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): 2018 |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | □ 2 – 5 years |
| | ⊠ > 5 years |
| | □ Undefined |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | Phase 1 pilot ends March 2020Phases 2 and 3 yet to start |
| Business areas | □ Road safety |
| | ☑ Traffic efficiency |
| | ☑ Customer Service |
| | □ Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur |
| | ☐ Shuttle bus |
| | ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | ☐ ☑ Other, please specify: |
| | Probe vehicle data to roadside Green light optimisation In-vehicle signage |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | 119 km |
| Business model | Optimising UK business in this area. Improving Highways England operations |

CEDR Call 2017: Automation

| Environment | □ Closed |
|--|--|
| | □ Open area |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: dual carriageway / motorway |
| Research/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | GLOSA, Hybrid communications |

| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | |
|--|--|
| Type of environment | ☑ Urban |
| | ⊠ Motorway |
| | ☑ Inter-Urban |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Connectivity employed | ☑ ITS G5 |
| | □ 3G |
| | □ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | □ Cameras |
| | □ HD maps |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: V2I (probe vehicles) |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | □ Road markings |
| | □ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics | Urban section in London for Phase 1 and 1a. Will move to |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | dual carriageway and motorway in phases 2 and 3. |

1.6 Test bed Smart Mobility Living Lab (UK)

Smart Test bed Smart Mobility Living Mobility Living Lab London Lab **General description** Name Smart Mobility Living Lab Short name SMLL Partners/Consortium Innovate UK (part-funder), TRL, Cisco, Transport for London, DG Cities, Cubic, Queen Elizabeth Olympic Park, and Loughborough University Location London, UK Type of ownership NRA (e.g. □ Public involvement) □ Private ☐ Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned Start of operation (year): 2017 activities) Planned duration: \Box 0 – 2 years \square 2 – 5 years □ > 5 years □ Undefined Other, please specify: Some projects finished. Other potential projects **Business areas** □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Maintenance/Construction ☐ Other, please specify: **Use Cases tested** □ Highway Chauffeur ☐ Shuttle bus ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles Driverless taxis, Delivery vehicles, Public perception Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Two sites - Greenwich Peninsula and Queen Elizabeth Park (London 2012 Olympics site) - campus level



CEDR Call 2017: Automation

| Business model | Job creation, UK plc wealth |
|--|---|
| Environment | ☑ Closed – QEP semi-closed, like campus |
| | ☑ Open area – Greenwich open |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Research/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | Driverless taxis, last mile delivery, trial of autonomous bus, last-mile public transport |

| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban |
| | □ Motorway |
| | □ Inter-Urban |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: campus |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 |
| | ⊠ 3G |
| | ⊠ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | • LiDAR, |
| | • GPS |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | □ Cameras |
| | ☐ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | □ Road markings |
| | ☐ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics | |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | |

1.7 Test bed UK Autodrive (UK)

| Test bed UK Au | utodrive UKAutodrive |
|--|--|
| General description | |
| Name | UK Autodrive |
| Short name | UK Autodrive |
| Partners/Consortium | |
| Location | Milton Keynes / Coventry, UK |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | ☑ Public |
| involvement) | □ Private |
| | □ PPT – joint |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): 2015 |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | ⊠ 2 – 5 years |
| | □ > 5 years |
| | ☐ Undefined |
| | |
| Business areas | □ Road safety |
| | ☑ Traffic efficiency |
| | □ Customer Service □ |
| | ☐ Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur |
| | ☐ Shuttle bus |
| | ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | ☐ ☑ Other, please specify: |
| | Driverless pods, |
| | Self-driving cars |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | Two sites – Horiba test site, Milton Keynes |
| Business model | Technology trials |
| Environment | |
| | ☑ Open area – MK trial section |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | |

| Research/Industry were conducted at t | | Driverless pods in urban areas, driverless vehicles |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|

| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban |
| | □ Motorway |
| | □ Inter-Urban |
| | Other, please specify: Track |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 |
| | □ 3G |
| | □ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | • LiDAR, |
| | • GPS |
| Infrastructure support | <u>Digital infrastructure</u> : |
| | □ Cameras |
| | ☐ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | □ Road markings |
| | □ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics | |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | |

1.8 UK-CITE (UK)

| UK-CIT | E CONNECTED INTELLIGENT TRANSPORT ENVIRONMENT |
|--|---|
| General description | |
| Name | UK-CITE |
| Short name | UK-CITE |
| Partners/Consortium | Visteon Engineering Services Ltd, Jaguar Land Rover, Coventry City Council, Highways England, Horiba-MIRA, Huawei Technologies, Siemens, Transport for West Midlands, Vodafone, WMG at University of Warwick |
| Location | West Midlands, UK |
| | Smart Motorway (M42) Motorway (M40) Expressway(A46) A-road (A45) Urban (A4114/A4035) |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | ☑ Public |
| involvement) | □ Private |
| | □ PPT – joint |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): 2016 |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | □ 2 – 5 years |
| | □ > 5 years |
| | □ Undefined |
| | |
| Business areas | ☐ Road safety |
| | ☑ Traffic efficiency |
| | □ Customer Service |
| | □ Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur |
| | □ Shuttle bus |
| | ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: In-vehicle signage |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | 42-mile smart communications test bed (68 km) |
| Business model | Communications trials |

| Environment | |
|--|---|
| | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Research/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | This site has finished, but plans are in place to roll the environment into the Midlands Future Mobility Programme. |

| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | |
|--|--|
| Type of environment | ☑ Urban |
| | |
| | □ Inter-Urban |
| | Other, please specify: Track |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 |
| | □ 3G |
| | □ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | Other, please specify: |
| | ITS-G5 V2V (802.11p) |
| | Cellular V2V (LTE-V)Cellular & ITS-G5 V2I |
| | Cellular V2N |
| Infrastructure support | <u>Digital infrastructure</u> : |
| | □ Cameras |
| | □ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ☑ Road markings |
| | ☑ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics | |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | |

1.9 Smart City Mobility Centre (UK)

Smart City Mobility Centre



| General description | |
|--|--|
| Name | Smart City Mobility Centre' |
| Short name | Smart City Mobility Centre' |
| Partners/Consortium | WMG, Jaguar Land Rover, University of Warwick £20 million investment |
| Location | West Midlands, UK |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | □ Public |
| | □ Private |
| | ☑ PPT – joint |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): 2019 |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | □ 2 – 5 years |
| | □ > 5 years |
| | ☑ Undefined |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Business areas | □ Road safety |
| | ☑ Traffic efficiency |
| | □ Customer Service |
| | □ Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Data |
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur |
| | ☐ Automated Shuttle bus |
| | ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Data |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | |
| Business model | |
| Environment | □ Closed |
| | ☑ Open area |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |

| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | |
|---|--|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban |
| | □ Motorway |
| | □ Inter-Urban |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 |
| | □ 3G |
| | □ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: 5G |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | □ Cameras |
| | ☐ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ☐ Road markings |
| | ☐ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | New Smart City Mobility Centre will create ground breaking driverless capable and electric vehicle technology as part of a multi-million-pound pilot in Warwickshire and the West Midlands. |
| | The new Smart City Mobility Centre will create state-of-the- art vehicle modular architectures and integrated driverless capability to support smart cities that could help make congestion, emissions and road traffic accidents a thing of the past. It will prototype new vehicles and systems that will transform UK transport, by bringing together WMG at the University of Warwick's research expertise, and Jaguar Land Rover's leading research and engineering capabilities. |
| | It will be Europe's most extensive and significant integration of technology research projects at such a scale. Combining the very latest research, transport data, infrastructure, and vehicle prototyping. These will be tested in real world conditions alongside a specially designed 5G communications network on the University of Warwick's main campus. |
| R&D/Industry projects that are/were conducted at this test site/bed | 5G trials, new battery technology |



1.10 Test bed ServCity (UK)

| Test bed ServCity | |
|---|---|
| General description | · |
| Name | ServCity |
| Short name | ServCity |
| Partners/Consortium | JLR (lead), Addison Lee, Uni. Nottingham, TSC, TRL Total: £19.8 million, £11.15 million grant |
| Location | London / West Midlands, UK |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | □ Public |
| involvement) | □ Private |
| | ☑ PPT – joint |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): Project awarded 2018 |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | |
| | □ > 5 years |
| | ☐ Undefined |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Business areas | □ Road safety |
| | |
| | □ Customer Service |
| | □ Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Air quality |
| Use Cases tested | |
| | □ Automated Shuttle bus |
| | □ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | N/A – London / West Midlands |
| Business model | Driverless taxi. Technology development |
| Environment | □ Closed |
| | ☑ Open area |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| R&D/Industry projects that are/were conducted at this test site/bed | AV trials, modelling |

| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | |
|--|---|
| Type of environment | ☑ Urban |
| | □ Motorway |
| | ⊠ Inter-Urban |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 |
| | □ 3G |
| | □ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | □ Cameras |
| | ☐ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Road markings |
| | ☑ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics | ServCity project, led by Jaguar Land Rover with Addison Lee, Transport Systems Catapult, TRL and the University |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | of Nottingham, will develop a mobility service based in London using 6 autonomous Land Rover Discovery vehicles. Building on expertise from the Government-backed UK Autodrive project, the consortium will test and further develop existing JLR sensing and autonomy systems in Coventry and the Midlands before deploying a pilot of a premium mobility service across four Greater London boroughs. |
| | The project will also develop analytical models to understand and demonstrate the wider positive impacts of connected and autonomous vehicles on cities - from reduced air pollution to easing congestion. |

1.11 Test bed CAV Forth (UK)

| Tool | la a al OAV/F a rella |
|---|---|
| Test bed CAV Forth | |
| General description | |
| Name | Project CAV Forth |
| Short name | Project CAV Forth |
| Partners/Consortium | Fusion processing (lead), UWE, Alexander Dennis, University of Edinburgh Napier, ESP Systex, Transport Scotland, Stagecoach |
| Location | Edinburgh, UK |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA | □ Public |
| involvement) | □ Private |
| | ☑ PPT – joint |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): Project awarded 2018 |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | |
| | □ > 5 years |
| | ☐ Undefined |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Business areas | ☐ Road safety |
| | ☑ Traffic efficiency |
| | |
| | ☐ Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur |
| | ☐ Automated Shuttle bus |
| | □ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Driverless bus |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | ~20 km |
| Business model | Driverless bus / taxi. Technology development |
| Environment | □ Closed |
| | ☑ Open area |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| R&D/Industry projects that are/were conducted at this test site/bed | , p |

| Technical characteristics (please chec | ck and specify, all which applies) |
|--|--|
| Type of environment | ☑ Urban |
| | □ Motorway |
| | ☑ Inter-Urban |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 |
| | □ 3G |
| | □ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | □ Cameras |
| | ☐ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ☑ Road markings |
| | ☑ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | An Autonomous Bus Service from Park & Ride Across Forth Bridge to Edinburgh Park Train & Tram Interchange Fusion Processing (lead), Uni of West of Eng., Alexander Dennis, Edin. Napier Uni. & ESP Systex, Transport Scotland, Stagecoach. Total: £6.09 million, £4.35 million grant. Project CAV Forth, led by Fusion Processing, will bring together organisations from across the UK to develop a high capacity Autonomous Bus Pilot Service across the Forth Bridge – a UNESCO World Heritage site. The project will convert five full-size Alexander Dennis single decker manually driven busses into autonomous vehicles. These self-driving buses will provide a service capable of carrying up to 42 passengers 14miles across the Forth Bridge to Edinburgh Park Train and Tram interchange. With buses every 20 minutes this could provide an estimated 10,000 weekly journeys and support the case for rolling out similar services across the UK. |

1.12 Test bed Apollo (UK)

| Test bed Apollo | |
|---|--|
| General description | |
| Name | Project Apollo |
| Short name | Project Apollo |
| Partners/Consortium | Addison Lee (lead), DG Cities – Greenwich (site), Oxbotica, Immense Simulations, Nominet Total: £15.15 million, £8.84 million grant. |
| Location | London, UK |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA | □ Public |
| involvement) | □ Private |
| | ☑ PPT – joint |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): Project awarded 2018 |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | ⊠ 2 – 5 years |
| | □ > 5 years |
| | □ Undefined |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Business areas | □ Road safety |
| | □ Traffic efficiency |
| | |
| | ☐ Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur (Driverless taxi) |
| | ☐ Automated Shuttle bus |
| | ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | N/A - London |
| Business model | Driverless taxi. Technology development |
| Environment | ☐ Closed |
| | ☑ Open area |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| R&D/Industry projects that are/were conducted at this test site/bed | AV trials, modelling |

| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | |
|--|---|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban |
| | □ Motorway |
| | □ Inter-Urban |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 |
| | □ 3G |
| | □ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | □ Cameras |
| | ☐ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ☑ Road markings |
| | ☑ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics | Project Apollo, led by Addison Lee with Oxbotica, Nominet, Immense Solutions and DG Cities, will develop and deploy |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | 4 autonomous taxi pilot services, that increase in complexity and distance in Greenwich, London. |
| | The project will build on the self-driving technology under development by Oxbotica as part of an existing Government-backed project called 'DRIVEN', combining 6 vehicles from that project with a further 9 new vehicles to provide the 4 pilot customer services: (i) feed North Greenwich Station (ii) a Hub-to-hub (no public transport) service (iii) a restricted on-demand service and (iv) a 'go anywhere in borough service'. Once proven this project will lead to the launch of a public service in 2021 (or sooner) whilst bringing together leading UK organisations and helping strengthen the UK Connected and Autonomous Vehicle supply chain in the emerging global market. |
| | Designed to complement existing public transport, the service will be app-based, on demand and based on ridesharing. The vehicles will be low-emission, designed with the pedestrian in mind and priced at a level to generate demand without impacting other public transport. |

1.13 ConVEX (UK)

| Connected Vehicle data Exchange | |
|---|---|
| General description | |
| Name | Connected Vehicle data Exchange |
| Short name | ConVEx |
| Partners/Consortium | Bosch, Jaguar Land Rover, Transport for West Midlands, WMG, Valerann, Synaptiv and Immense Solutions. Interdigital and TSC as subcontractors. £8 million - £4 million grant from Innovate UK via Meridian |
| | Cordent – spin out from Interdigital |
| Location | West Midlands – University of Warwick WMG office |
| | London – 160 Old Street, UK |
| | But scope is national and international |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | □ Public |
| involvement) | □ Private |
| | ☑ PPT – joint |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Lifespan (past and future planned activities) | Start of operation (year): 2019 funding for 1 year, then platform expected to run commercially. 10-year business plan has been prepared |
| | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | □ 2 – 5 years |
| | ⊠ > 5 years |
| | ☐ Undefined |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Business areas | ☐ Road safety |
| | ☐ Traffic efficiency |
| | ☐ Customer Service |
| | ☐ Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Data - relates to all of the above |
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur |
| | ☐ Automated Shuttle bus |
| | ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | |
| | ☑ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☑ Other, please specify: Data, maybe links to the use cases |

| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | N/A |
|---|--|
| Business model | Data aggregation and platform. Also, support to SMEs in London |
| Environment | □ Closed |
| | ☐ Open area |
| | |
| R&D/Industry projects that are/were conducted at this test site/bed | Model is to connect organisations with data to organisations who need it. All types of data are relevant, such as air quality, congestion, weather |
| | Broad spectrum of providers and consumers of data, such as vehicle manufacturers, communications companies |
| | Each SM will deliver use case examples: |
| | Immense will provide simulation as a service, modelling West Midlands transport system to determine the effect of implementation of CAVs Valeran have developed smart road studs, containing solar power and sensors, e.g. congestions, visibility. These are sent to a Gateway and the Gateway links to the data centre Synaptiv – connected car data linked to pothole detection |
| | The Innovate UK competition was about data exchange to support the deployment of CAVs. The consortium took a broad view and felt that there was a need to understand the mobility landscape to see where CAVs are best deployed. This could consider public transport provision, air quality and existing accessibility to mobility. |
| | The first step will be to catalogue data sets, then aggregate and processing. |

| Technical characteristics (please che | ck and specify, all which applies) |
|---|--|
| Type of environment | ☑ Urban |
| | ⊠ Motorway |
| | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 |
| | □ 3G |
| | □ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | ○ Other, please specify: Could use any of all of the above to collect data; but not the focus of the project |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | □ Cameras |
| | ☐ HD maps |
| | |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ☐ Road markings |
| | ☐ Road edges delineation |
| | |
| Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | The facility is to be headquartered on the WMG campus with a partner office at Bosch's recently announced 'Connectory' facility in London. These two sites align with Meridian's real world connected and automated testbed facilities – Midlands Future Mobility and the Smart Mobility Living Lab: London. The facility will be developed over the course of 2019 with commercial data sharing operations commencing in 2020. |
| | The UK Government has awarded over £4 million to a Bosch-led project which will invest a total of £8 million to accelerate the development and deployment of connected and automated vehicles. Bosch is leading a consortium to create a facility for the exchange of data which will be critical to the future of mobility. The investment will enable the UK to capture the benefits of connected and automated vehicles sooner. |

1.14 Millbrook Culham Test and Evaluation Environment (UK)

Millbrook Culham Test and **Evaluation Environment General description** Millbrook-Culham Test and Evaluation Environment Name Short name MCTFF Partners/Consortium Millbrook Test Site, Culham test site Location Oxford and West Midlands, UK Type of ownership (e.g. NRA □ Public involvement) □ Private ☑ PPT – joint ☐ Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned Start of operation (year): 2018 (fully operational 2019) activities) Planned duration: \Box 0 – 2 years \square 2 – 5 years \square > 5 years □ Undefined ☐ Other, please specify: **Business areas** □ Road safety □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction **Use Cases tested** ☐ Shuttle bus ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles 70 km Millbrook / 10 km Culham Size (e.g. Km, Km²) **Business model** Bridge gap between track testing and public roads **Environment** □ Open area ☐ Other, please specify: Research/Industry projects CAV, MaaS, Social Impacts were conducted at this test site/bed



| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | | |
|---|---|--|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban | |
| | □ Motorway | |
| | ⊠ Inter-Urban | |
| | | |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 | |
| | □ 3G | |
| | □ 4G | |
| | □ LTE-V2X | |
| | | |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: | |
| | ☐ Cameras | |
| | ☐ HD maps | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| | | |
| | Physical infrastructure: | |
| | ☑ Road markings | |
| | ☐ Road edges delineation | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | | |
| | RACE has use of 10 km of roads on the secure United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority site at Culham Science Centre in Oxfordshire. The population on site will enable testing to capture human aspects of real-world operation for CAVs, extending to Mobility-as-a-Service (MaaS), in a semi-controlled and safe way. | |
| | Millbrook has 70 km of test tracks at its proving ground in the UK, offering a diverse topography to replicate urban contexts. The site is already being used for testing a spectrum of CAV technologies, as well as for proving safety, comfort, durability and reliability, from full vehicle to component level. | |
| | The two sites will offer all-weather, multi-user access and seamless transfers between environments, cost-effectively addressing all functional requirements, both current and future, of any real-world urban scenario. | |



1.15 Test bed Midlands Future Mobility (UK)

Test bed Midlands Future Mobility



| General description | |
|--|--|
| Name | Midlands Future Mobility |
| Short name | Midlands Future Mobility |
| Partners/Consortium | WMG at University of Warwick, Amey, AVL, Costain, Coventry University, MIRA, Transport for West Midlands, Wireless Infrastructure Group, |
| Location | West Midlands, UK |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | □ Public |
| involvement) | □ Private |
| | ☑ PPT – joint |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): TBD |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | ⊠ 2 – 5 years |
| | □ > 5 years |
| | □ Undefined |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Business areas | ☑ Road safety |
| | ☑ Traffic efficiency |
| | □ Customer Service |
| | □ Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Use Cases tested | □ Highway Chauffeur |
| | □ Shuttle bus |
| | ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Communications testing |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | 160 km |
| Business model | Independent mobility, fewer accidents, reduced congestion, jobs to West Midlands |
| Environment | ☐ Closed – track trials |

| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
|--|--------------------------|
| Research/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | |

| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | |
|--|---|
| Type of environment | ☑ Urban |
| | |
| | |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Rural |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 |
| | □ 3G |
| | □ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: |
| | ADAS systems; |
| | Autonomous control systems; |
| | Sensors to enable smart infrastructure; |
| | Digital worlds for virtual validation; |
| | Communications systems including 5G |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | □ Cameras |
| | □ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Road markings |
| | ☑ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics | This facility will have around 160km of urban, suburban, |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | rural and highways roads for testing and development of connected and autonomous systems. |

1.16 Test site Horiba-MIRA TIC-IT (UK)

Test site Horiba-MIRA TIC-IT



| | | Trusted Intelligent CAV |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| General description | | |
| Name | Horiba-MIRA TIC-IT | |
| Short name | TIC-IT | |
| Partners/Consortium | Horiba-MIRA Test Site, Coventry Un Innovate UK | iversity, funding from |
| Location | West Midlands, UK | |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | □ Public | |
| involvement) | □ Private | |
| | ☑ PPT – joint | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): 2017 launch | <u>ned</u> |
| activities) | Planned duration: | |
| | □ 0 – 2 years | |
| | □ 2 – 5 years | |
| | ⊠ > 5 years | |
| | ☐ Undefined | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Business areas | ☑ Road safety | |
| | ☑ Traffic efficiency | |
| | □ Customer Service □ | |
| | | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Use Cases tested | | |
| | ☑ Shuttle bus | |
| | | |
| | | works vehicles |
| | │ │ ☑ Other, please specify: Test bed – | potentially all |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | 2 km currently | |
| Business model | Government funding to place UK as v | world leader |
| Environment | ⊠ Closed – track trials | |
| | ☐ Open area | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| | | |

| Research/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | Planning approved |
|--|-------------------|

| Technical characteristics (please che | ck and specify, all which applies) |
|--|--|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban |
| | □ Motorway |
| | |
| | |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 |
| | □ 3G |
| | □ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | Other, please specify: |
| | IEEE 802.11a/b/g/n (Wi-Fi) IEEE 802.11p (5.9GHz band allocated for V2V and V2I in Europe and North America) GSM/GPRS/3G cellular network Ground truth positioning (3D motion capture system) RTK-GPS GNSS denial NOW Wireless Mesh 4G Centralised control system |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | □ Cameras |
| | ☐ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | Discontinuity of the state of |
| | Physical infrastructure: ☑ Road markings |
| | , and the second |
| | ☑ Road edges delineation ☑ Other places specify: |
| Other specific characteristics | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | |

1.17Test bed Colas IPV (UK)

Test bed Colas Impact Protection COLAS **Vehicle General description** Name Colas Impact Protection Vehicle Short name Colas IPV Partners/Consortium Colas Location N/A Type of ownership (e.g. NRA □ Public involvement) □ Private □ PPT – joint ☐ Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned Start of operation (year): 2017 launched activities) Planned duration: \Box 0 – 2 years \square 2 – 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: **Business areas** ☑ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service ☐ Other, please specify: Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur ☐ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning ☑ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☐ Other, please specify: Size (e.g. Km, Km²) N/A **Business model** Sales of vehicles, awarding of contracts Environment □ Open area projects Research/Industry that Autonomous impact protection vehicle for cone laying were conducted at this test site/bed



| Technical characteristics (please chec | ck and specify, all which applies) |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Type of environment | ☐ Urban |
| | |
| | ☐ Inter-Urban |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 |
| | □ 3G |
| | □ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | Other, please specify: |
| | • DSRC, |
| | • V2X |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | □ Cameras |
| | ☐ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | □ Road markings |
| | ☐ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics | |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | |

1.18 Brainport Pilot Site (the Netherlands)

| Braii | nport Pilot Site |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| General description | • |
| Name | Brainport |
| Short name | Brainport |
| Partners/Consortium | Siemens, KPN, TNO, Tue, Dynnig, Province of North Brabant, Rijkswaterstaat, City of Helmond, City of Einhoven |
| Location | South-East Netherlands |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA | ⊠ Public |
| involvement) | |
| | □ PPT – joint |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): 2010 |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | □ 2 – 5 years |
| | □ > 5 years |
| | □ Undefined |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Business areas | ⊠ Road safety |
| | |
| | □ Customer Service |
| | □ Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Automated Driving |
| Use Cases tested | |
| | □ Automated Shuttle bus |
| | |
| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | |
| | Passenger car C-ACC Cooperative Collision Avoidance Green light optimal speed advisory Red light violation warning Automated Valet parking Teleoperations Roadside assisted Automated Driving |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | 20 km |

CEDR Call 2017: Automation

| Business model | Collaborative use in Dutch and EU project |
|---|---|
| | Commercial service offerings |
| Environment | □ Closed |
| | |
| | |
| R&D/Industry projects that are/were conducted at this test site/bed | 5G-MOBIX, AUTOPILOT, Concorda, C-MOBILE, Intercor, Maven, Timon, Co-Exist, Vidas, Hights, SECREDAS, DRIVE C2X, VRUITS, SPITS (and more) |

| Technical characteristics (please che | ck and specify, all which applies) |
|--|---|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban |
| | |
| | ⊠ Inter-Urban |
| | |
| Connectivity employed | ⊠ ITS G5 |
| | ⊠ 3G |
| | ⊠ 4G |
| | ⊠ LTE-V2X |
| | |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Cameras |
| | |
| | |
| | Traffic light controllers and informationRadars |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ☑ Road markings |
| | □ Road edges delineation |
| | |
| Other specific characteristics | Other elements: |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | Urban area with signalized intersections with vulnerable road users Industrial area with parking lots Interurban area with signalized intersections Highway with on and off ramps. |
| | Full coverage of all relevant areas with ITS G5, pre-5G cellular network, fiber network, automatic real-time vehicle tracking, and RTK-base station. |
| | Private cloud for data logging, processing, and visualisation, and for service deployment. |

1.19 Zala ZONE (Hungary)

ZalaZONE Automotive Proving Ground



| | zone |
|--|--|
| General description | |
| Name | ZalaZONE Automotive Proving Ground |
| Short name | ZalaZONE |
| Partners/Consortium | Budapest University of Technology and Economics (BME) |
| Location | 46.889424, 16.826643 |
| | Project office: Fészek utca 4. Zalaegerszeg 8900 Hungary |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | □ Public |
| inversement, | □ Private |
| | □ PPT – joint |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: State-owned |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): 2019 |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | □ 2 – 5 years |
| | ⊠ > 5 years |
| | □ Undefined |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Business areas | ⊠ Road safety |
| | ☑ Traffic efficiency |
| | □ Customer Service |
| | ☐ Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Use Cases to be tested | |
| | ☑ Automated Shuttle bus |
| | ☑ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | ☑ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | 265 ha (2.65 km²) |
| Business model | Testing infrastructure available for industrial and public R&D usage |
| Environment | ⊠ Closed |
| | |

| | □ Open area |
|---|---|
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| R&D/Industry projects that are/were conducted at this test site/bed | RECAR, EFOP 3.6.2./3.6.3, FIKP, CEEPUS (in 2019 summer) |

| Tachmical above to sixting follows: | drand analist all which and ==\ |
|--|---|
| Technical characteristics (please chec | |
| Type of environment | □ Urban |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Classical vehicle dynamic areas (Dynamic platform, Braking platform, Handling course) |
| Connectivity employed (planned) | ☑ ITS G5 |
| | ⊠ 3G |
| | ⊠ 4G |
| | ⊠ LTE-V2X |
| | |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure (planned): |
| | □ Cameras |
| | |
| | |
| | • Drones, |
| | Slow-motion cameras |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure (finished): |
| | ⊠ Road markings |
| | ⊠ Road edges delineation |
| | |
| | Facade, |
| | Bus stop, safety islands, |
| | Parking cars, parking plots, |
| | Logistic yard, |
| | Different road side objects, |
| Other specific characteristics | Planned in 2019: |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | T-junction area, 3 level parking house; Open-air parking with variable parking plots, Logistic yard with different docking, Railway crossing, Raining system, Guided pedestrian and vehicle soft target dummies |



1.20 Test bed Trikala (Greece)

Test bed Trikala CityMobil 2 **General description** [FP7-SST-2012-RTD-1 GA: 314190] Cities demonstrating Name cybernetic mobility - CityMobil2, Trikala large scale pilot **Short name** CM2 Trikala pilot Partners/Consortium Coordinator: UNIVERSITA DEGLI STUDI DI ROMA LA SAPIENZA (M1-M36), UNIVERSITA DEGLI STUDI DI FIRENZE (M37-M48) Greek partners: ANAPTYXIAKI ETAIREIA DIMOU TRIKKAION ANAPTYXIAKI ANONYMI ETAIREIA OTA - E-TRIKALA AE, INSTITUTE OF COMMUNICATION AND **COMPUTER SYSTEMS** 45 more EU partners Location Trikala, Greece (e.g. NRA Type of ownership □ Public involvement) □ Private ☑ PPT – joint ☐ Other, please specify: The FP7 project "CityMobil2" was co-funded by the Greek Ministry of Transport and the Greek Ministry of Digital Policy Lifespan (past and future planned Start of operation (year): September 2015 activities) Planned duration: \boxtimes 0 – 2 years \square 2 – 5 years □ > 5 years □ Undefined GSRT-funded project "AVINT" in another pre-identified larger route mixing urban and sub-urban area. **Business areas** □ Customer Service ☐ Other, please specify: **Use Cases tested** ☐ Highway Chauffeur



| | ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning |
|---|---|
| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | CityMobil2 project (http://citymobil2.eu/) demonstrated in Trikala the automated transportation of six driverless electric vehicles in the city centre in a 2.8 km circular route. 1,490 independent driverless trips were conducted, 3,580 km distance was covered and 12,138 passengers were on board in total. |
| Business model | Six automated driverless electrical vehicles were provided by manufacturer "Robosoft", co-partner of the project and thus no expenses regarding leasing or renting were conducted by the pilots, since Robosoft was responsible to provide its vehicles under rotation in each pilot at a time (other pilots of CM2 were provided vehicles by the second manufacturer, "EasyMile"). |
| | Trikala pilot was responsible to cover operational costs (electricity charging costs, infrastructure maintenance costs, telecommunication costs, human effort costs, etc) within the scope and under FP7 grant. |
| | In specific, the demonstration category of costs was financed by 50% by EU under FP7 and the rest 50% was co-financed by its vast percentage by the Greek Ministry of Transport and the rest percentage by the Greek Ministry of Digital Policy. |
| | Other categories of costs (administrative, managerial, other) were less significant and were covered by EU either by 100% or by 75% (with the corresponding 25% to be covered by the Greek Ministries). The usage for the passengers was free of charge, while a willingness to pay survey had been contacted. |
| Environment | □ Closed |
| | ⊠ Open area |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| R&D/Industry projects that are/were conducted at this test site/bed | CM2 was the first such pilot conducted ever not only in the region but also in Greece in general. Given that it operated more than 3 years ago within the city center in mixed traffic mode with mild intervention, it is regarded as a pioneer of its kind and a global benchmark. |

| Technical characteristics (please chec | |
|--|--|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban |
| | □ Motorway |
| | □ Inter-Urban |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Connectivity employed | ☐ ITS G5 |
| | □ 3G |
| | ⊠ 4G |
| | ⊠ LTE-V2X |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | □ Cameras |
| | ☐ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Road markings |
| | ☑ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics | Green wave effect on traffic light intersections |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | 20 km/h speed limit Mixed traffic mode operation After the end of the pilot, the route was turned to a |

1.21 TRANSPOLIS (France)

TRANSPOLIS TRANSPOLIS **General description** TRANSPOLIS Name **Short name TRANSPOLIS** Partners/Consortium 14 stakeholders in 2018 (ADETEL GROUP, AIXAM, CAISSE **DEPOTS** BERTHELET. DES CONSIGNATIONS. COLAS. EVE SYSTEM, FEDERATION FRANCAISE DE LA CARROSSERIE. GROUPAMA RHONE-ALPES AUVERGNE, HIKOB, IFSTTAR, RENAULT TRUCKS, SYNDICAT DES EQUIPEMENTIERS DE LA ROUTE, VIBRATEC, VICAT) and with the financial support of other public actors (DGE, REGION AUVERGNE RHONE-ALPES, DEPARTEMENT DE L'AIN, COMMUNAUTE DE COMMUNES DE LA PLAINE DE L'AIN, METROPOLE DE LYON). Location 620 Route des Fromentaux - 01500 Saint-Maurice-de-Rémens - FRANCE 01360 Béligneux - France ownership NRA Type of (e.g. □ Public involvement) □ Private ☐ Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned Start of operation (year): 2018 activities) Planned duration: \Box 0 – 2 years \square 2 – 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: **Business areas** ☑ Road safety □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: **Use Cases tested**



| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
|---|--|
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | 0.8 km ² |
| Business model | Test services |
| Environment | ⊠ Closed |
| | □ Open area |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| R&D/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | |

| Type of environment S | | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|
| Motorway Inter-Urban Other, please specify: rural roads | | ck and specify, all which applies) |
| □ Inter-Urban □ Other, please specify: rural roads □ ITS G5 □ 3G □ 4G □ Uter. please specify: □ Other, please specify: □ Industrial LAN (fiber optical network), □ Other specific characteristics □ Other specific char | Type of environment | ☑ Urban |
| Connectivity employed ITS G5 | | |
| Connectivity employed □ ITS G5 □ 3G □ 4G □ LTE-V2X □ Other, please specify: □ Cameras □ HD maps □ Other, please specify: □ Physical infrastructure: □ Road markings □ Road edges delineation □ Other, please specify: □ Industrial LAN (fiber optical network), □ Crash-test areas □ Connectivity employed □ Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | | |
| □ 3G | | Other, please specify: rural roads |
| | Connectivity employed | ☑ ITS G5 |
| LTE-V2X | | ⊠ 3G |
| Other, please specify: Digital infrastructure: | | ⊠ 4G |
| Infrastructure support Digital infrastructure: Cameras HD maps Other, please specify: Physical infrastructure: Road markings Road edges delineation Other, please specify: Industrial LAN (fiber optical network), Crash-test areas Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | | □ LTE-V2X |
| | | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| □ HD maps □ Other, please specify: Physical infrastructure: □ Road markings □ Road edges delineation □ Other, please specify: • Industrial LAN (fiber optical network), • Crash-test areas Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| ☐ Other, please specify: Physical infrastructure: ☐ Road markings ☐ Road edges delineation ☐ Other, please specify: ● Industrial LAN (fiber optical network), ● Crash-test areas Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | | ⊠ Cameras |
| Physical infrastructure: ☐ Road markings ☐ Road edges delineation ☐ Other, please specify: ☐ Industrial LAN (fiber optical network), ☐ Crash-test areas Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | | □ HD maps |
| Road markings Road edges delineation □ Other, please specify: Industrial LAN (fiber optical network), Crash-test areas Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Road markings Road edges delineation □ Other, please specify: Industrial LAN (fiber optical network), Crash-test areas Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | | |
| ✓ Road edges delineation ☐ Other, please specify: Industrial LAN (fiber optical network), Crash-test areas Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | | Physical infrastructure: |
| Other, please specify: Industrial LAN (fiber optical network), Crash-test areas Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | | ⊠ Road markings |
| Industrial LAN (fiber optical network), Crash-test areas Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | | ⊠ Road edges delineation |
| Crash-test areas Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | | , , , |
| tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | Other specific characteristics | |
| | tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | |

1.22 SISCOGA4CAD (Spain)

SIStemas COoperativos Galicia for Cooperative and Autonomous Driving



| | SISTEMAS COOPERATIVOS GALICIA |
|--|---|
| General description | |
| Name | SIStemas COoperativos GAlicia for Cooperative and Autonomous Driving |
| Short name | SISCOGA4CAD |
| Partners/Consortium | CTAG, DGT (Spanish Ministry of Traffic), Concello de Vigo (Council of Vigo) |
| Location | Vigo area (Galicia, Spain) |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | □ Public |
| involvement) | □ Private |
| | □ PPT – joint |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Mixed |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): 2010 |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | □ 2 – 5 years |
| | □ > 5 years |
| | □ Undefined |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Permanent |
| Business areas | ☑ Road safety |
| | ☑ Traffic efficiency |
| | □ Customer Service |
| | □ Maintenance/Construction |
| | |
| | |
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur |
| | ☐ Automated Shuttle bus |
| | ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: |
| | C-ITS DAY 1 services, |
| | Autonomous Driving support application (based on C-ITS) |

| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | CTAG test track, more than 150 Km of high capacity public roads (A55, A52, AP9) around Vigo area and 80 urban intersections in Vigo city |
|---|--|
| Business model | Permanent corridor to allow test and validation of most relevant C-ITS services and their application in Connected and Automated Driving |
| Environment | ⊠ Closed |
| | ☑ Open area |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| R&D/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | DRIVE C2X, COMPASS4D, CO-GISTICS, SCOOP@F X tests, C-ROADS, AUTOPILOT, |

| Technical characteristics (please che | ck and specify, all which applies) |
|--|--|
| Type of environment | ☑ Urban |
| | ⊠ Motorway |
| | □ Inter-Urban |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Test track also available |
| Connectivity employed | ☑ ITS G5 |
| | ⊠ 3G |
| | ⊠ 4G |
| | ☑ LTE-V2X |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Cameras |
| | ☑ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | □ Road markings |
| | ☐ Road edges delineation |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: |
| | • VMS, |
| | Inductive Loops, |
| | Advanced Weather Stations |
| Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | The corridor includes 4 tunnels, 3 toll areas and one of the Spanish road stretches with a major number of accidents in the inter-urban area; the major streets of the most populated Galician city covered with C-ITS and a test track to pre-test and pre-validate the C-ITS services to be deployed in real environments. |

1.23 Catalonia Living Lab (Spain)

| Catalonia Living Lab | | |
|--|--|--|
| General description | | |
| Name | Catalonia Living Lab | |
| Short name | CLL | |
| Partners/Consortium | Government of Catalonia, Catalan Traffic Service, Cluster of Catalan Automotive Industry, Barcelona City Council, Mobile World Capital Barcelona, SEAT, NISSAN, FICODA, Applus IDIADA, Parcmotor Castelloli, Campus Motor Anoia, Lleida Airport, Circuit de Catalunya, Aurora Test Ecosystem, Cellnex Telecom, Sensefields, Institut de Robotica I Informatica Industrial, Centre for Computer Vision, Abertis Autopistas, Saba Car Parks, Catalan Automobile Club, CarNET | |
| Location | Catalonia, Spain | |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | ⊠ Public | |
| mvoivement) | ☑ Private | |
| | □ PPT – joint | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): 2016 | |
| activities) | Planned duration: | |
| | □ 0 – 2 years | |
| | □ 2 – 5 years | |
| | ⊠ > 5 years | |
| | □ Undefined | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Business areas | ☐ Road safety | |
| | □ Traffic efficiency | |
| | | |
| | ☐ Maintenance/Construction | |
| | | |
| Use Cases tested | | |
| | ☑ Automated Shuttle bus | |
| | ☑ Freight Vehicles platooning | |
| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles | |
| | | |
| | ADAS systems (up to SAE 3) | |



| | Near future: highly automated vehicles (SAE 3, 4, 5) |
|---|--|
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | Four Proving Grounds with a total surface of 7.7 km ² |
| | Catalan public road network with total length of 12.063 km (not counting urban areas) |
| Business model | Project based service providing by consortium members to international automotive industry |
| Environment | ⊠ Closed |
| | ☑ Open area |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: |
| | Virtual simulators,Laboratories and engineering offices |
| R&D/Industry projects that are/were conducted at this test site/bed | EU funded: C-ROADS, INFRAMIX, C-MOBILE, ENSEMPLE PLATOONING, COMPANION PLATOONING, ADAS&ME, GCDC i-GAME; |
| | NEXTTECH (consortium funded) |
| | SEAT Autonomous Driving Challenge |
| | ERICA Amtu project |
| | |
| | For confidentiality reasons, CAV related projects with manufacturers and suppliers cannot be provided. |

| Technical characteristics (please che | ck and specify, all which applies) |
|---|---|
| Type of environment | ☑ Urban |
| | |
| | ☑ Inter-Urban |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: rural, parking, virtual simulation, laboratories and engineering offices |
| Connectivity employed | ☑ ITS G5 |
| | ⊠ 3G |
| | ⊠ 4G |
| | ☑ LTE-V2X |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: WiFi 802.11p, DSRC |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Cameras |
| | ☑ HD maps |
| | |
| | VMS,Traffic and data management systems |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ☑ Road markings |
| | ☑ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ ☑ Other, please specify: |
| | Safety barriers Confidentiality fencing Moveable and adjustable traffic signs and street lighting D-GPS positioning systems (roadside) Conduits and manholes for electricity and Ethernet cables Charging stations for EVs |
| | Near future: placement of roadside sensors, systems for mobile edge computing (MEC) and RSUs |
| Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | Cross-border testing, on-site workshops for vehicle adjustments and test management, public road inventory software allowing for quick identification for certain road furniture and planification of test routes for CAVs, temporarily closing off certain road sections for CAV testing. |

1.24IDIADA Proving Ground (Spain)

IDIADA Proving Ground General description Name **IDIADA Proving Ground** IDIADA PG **Short name** Partners/Consortium IDIADA Automotive Technology, S.A. Location Santa Oliva - Tarragona (SPAIN) Type of ownership NRA □ Public involvement) □ Private ☑ PPT – joint ☐ Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned Start of operation (year): 1994 activities) Planned duration: \Box 0 – 2 years \square 2 – 5 years □ Undefined ☐ Other, please specify: **Business areas** □ Road safety □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: **Use Cases tested** ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☐ Other, please specify: Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 15 km (general road + PAV + dry handling) **Business model** Project based service providing by consortium members to international automotive industry **Environment** □ Open area □ Other, please specify:

| R&D/Industry projects that are/were conducted at this test site/bed | COMPANION, SARTRE | i-Game, | ENSEMBLE, | PROSPECT, |
|---|----------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| | For confidential manufacturers a | | | |

| Technical characteristics (please che | ck and specify, all which applies) |
|--|---|
| Type of environment | □ Urban |
| | |
| | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Connectivity employed | ☑ ITS G5 |
| | ⊠ 3G |
| | ⊠ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: D-GPS corrections |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | ☐ Cameras (on demand) |
| | ☑ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ☑ Road markings |
| | ☑ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics | Intersection test track opening in 2019 Q3. Variable speed according to test track and type of test |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | ETSI ITS G5 RSUs deployed to provide full coverage to proving ground |
| | Driving simulator available to clients in 2019 Q3. |

1.25 Test site Stockholm (Sweden)

Test Site Stockholm General description Test Site Stockholm Name **Short name** TSS Partners/Consortium KTH, Ericsson, Nobina, 4Dialog, Stockholms Stad, Scania Location Kista, Stockholm Type of ownership (e.g. NRA □ Public involvement) □ Private ☐ PPT – joint □ Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned Start of operation (year): activities) Planned duration: □ 0 - 2 years \square 2 – 5 years \square > 5 years □ Undefined Other, please specify: It is a test bed with connectivity and other assets for temporary or long-term tests. **Business areas** □ Road safety □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction Other, please specify: Connectivity **Use Cases tested** □ Highway Chauffeur ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles Other, please specify: Vehicles connected to control tower, including remote operation. Size (e.g. Km, Km²) About 2 km long roads in a small network **Business model Environment** ☐ Closed

| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
|---|--|
| R&D/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | Autopiloten (January – June 2018), many shorter tests to try specific technology |

| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
| Type of environment | ☑ Urban | |
| | □ Motorway | |
| | □ Inter-Urban | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 | |
| | □ 3G | |
| | ⊠ 4G | |
| | □ LTE-V2X | |
| | Other, please specify: 5G | |
| Infrastructure support | <u>Digital infrastructure</u> : | |
| | □ Cameras | |
| | ☑ HD maps | |
| | Other, please specify: | |
| | Extensive connectivity incl. 5G Control tower architecture 3D maps of environment Connected traffic lights "Smart" bus stop. | |
| | Physical infrastructure: | |
| | □ Road markings | |
| | □ Road edges delineation | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Other specific characteristics | Mixed traffic. | |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | | |

1.26 MMiB (Sweden)

| Modern Mob | ility in Barkarbystaden |
|---|--|
| General description | |
| Name | Modern Mobility in Barkarbystaden |
| Short name | MMiB |
| Partners/Consortium | Nobina, KTH, Järfälla kommun, Trafikförvaltningen Region Stockholm |
| Location | Barkarby, Järfälla kommun |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | □ Public |
| involvement) | □ Private |
| | ☑ PPT – joint |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): 2018 |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | □ 2 – 5 years |
| | ⊠ > 5 years |
| | □ Undefined |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Business areas | □ Road safety |
| | ☑ Traffic efficiency |
| | □ Customer Service |
| | □ Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur |
| | ☑ Automated Shuttle bus |
| | □ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | Currently 2 km, expansions are planned |
| Business model | Vinnova-grant plus in-kind from partners |
| Environment | □ Closed |
| | ☑ Open area |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| R&D/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | |

| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|
| Type of environment | ☑ Urban | |
| | □ Motorway | |
| | □ Inter-Urban | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 | |
| | □ 3G | |
| | ⊠ 4G | |
| | □ LTE-V2X | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: | |
| | □ Cameras | |
| | □ HD maps | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| | | |
| | Physical infrastructure: | |
| | ☑ Road markings | |
| | ☑ Road edges delineation | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| | | |
| Other specific characteristics | | |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | | |

1.27TSS-W (Sweden)

Test Site Sweden-West



| General description | |
|---|--|
| Name | Test Site Sweden- West |
| Short name | TSS-W |
| Partners/Consortium | Lindholmen Science Park/Innovatum |
| Location | Sweden |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA | □ Public |
| involvement) | □ Private |
| | □ PPT – joint |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: A project funded by EU's regional fund and Västra Götaland region |
| Lifespan (past and future planned activities) | Start of operation (year): 2016-2018 |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | |
| | □ > 5 years |
| | ☐ Undefined |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Business areas | □ Road safety |
| | ☐ Traffic efficiency |
| | □ Customer Service |
| | □ Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Transport sector in general |
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur |
| | ☐ Automated Shuttle bus |
| | ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Not applicable |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | |
| Business model | 3-year project, funded by EU's regional fund and Västra Götaland region |
| Environment | □ Closed |
| | ☑ Open area |

CEDR Call 2017: Automation

| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| R&D/Industry projects that were | See webpage for more info |
| conducted at this test site/bed | https://www.testsitesweden.com/West |

| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | | |
|--|--|--|
| Type of environment | □ Urban | |
| | □ Motorway | |
| | □ Inter-Urban | |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Not applicable | |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 | |
| | □ 3G | |
| | □ 4G | |
| | □ LTE-V2X | |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Not applicable | |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: | |
| | □ Cameras | |
| | □ HD maps | |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: | |
| | | |
| | Physical infrastructure: | |
| | □ Road markings | |
| | □ Road edges delineation | |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Not applicable | |
| Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | Cluster function to facilitate test environments/projects in Västra götaland region. | |

1.28 AstaZero (Sweden)

AstaZero AB



| AstaZero AB | General description | |
|--|---------------------|---|
| Description Owners are RISE and Chalmers University of Technology | Name | AstaZero AB |
| Gothenburg and Borås Sweden Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) Business areas Gothenburg and Borås Sweden Public Private PPT – joint Other, please specify: Start of operation (year): 2014 Planned duration: 0 – 2 years 2 – 5 years Vindefined Other, please specify: Business areas Road safety Traffic efficiency Customer Service Maintenance/Construction Mointenance/Construction Mointenance/Construction Mointenance/Construction Mointenance/Construction Mointenance/Construction Mointenance/Construction Type of ownership (e.g. NRA Public Private Pert – joint Cother, please specify: Automated duration: Mointenance/Construction Mointenance/C | Short name | AstaZero |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA Public Private PPT – joint Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Start of operation (year): 2014 Planned duration: 0 – 2 years 2 – 5 years 2 – 5 years Undefined Other, please specify: Business areas Business areas Was Road safety Traffic efficiency Customer Service Maintenance/Construction Maintenance/Construction Maintenance/Construction Maintenance/Construction Maintenance/Construction Priverless especify: Automated and connected mobility Use Cases tested Was Cases tested Highway Chauffeur Automated Shuttle bus Freight Vehicles platooning Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles Maintenance/Connected vehicles Maintenance/Connected vehicles Maintenance/Construction Maintenance/Const | Partners/Consortium | Owners are RISE and Chalmers University of Technology |
| involvement) Private | Location | Gothenburg and Borås Sweden |
| □ Private □ PPT – joint □ Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Start of operation (year): 2014 Planned duration: □ 0 – 2 years □ 2 – 5 years □ Vindefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Automated and connected mobility Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Automated Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model Common pricelist | | ☑ Public |
| Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Start of operation (year): 2014 Planned duration: □ 0 - 2 years □ 2 - 5 years □ Vindefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Automated and connected mobility Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Automated Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model Common pricelist | involvement) | □ Private |
| Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Start of operation (year): 2014 Planned duration: □ 0 - 2 years □ 2 - 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction ☑ Other, please specify: Automated and connected mobility Use Cases tested We Highway Chauffeur ☑ Automated Shuttle bus ☑ Freight Vehicles platooning ☑ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☑ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model Start of operation (year): 2014 Planned duration: □ 0 - 2 years □ 2 - 5 years □ Vheefined □ Other, please specify: Automated and connected vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles | | □ PPT – joint |
| activities) Planned duration: □ 0 - 2 years □ 2 - 5 years □ Vindefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Automated and connected mobility Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Automated Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles | | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Plainted duration: 0 - 2 years 2 - 5 years > 5 years Undefined Other, please specify: Business areas | | Start of operation (year): 2014 |
| □ 2 – 5 years □ > 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: ■ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Automated and connected mobility ■ Highway Chauffeur □ Automated Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles ■ Size (e.g. Km, Km²) ■ Size (e.g. Km, Km²) ■ Common pricelist | activities) | Planned duration: |
| □ > 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Automated and connected mobility Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Automated Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model □ Common pricelist | | □ 0 – 2 years |
| □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Automated and connected mobility Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Automated Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model □ Common pricelist | | □ 2 – 5 years |
| □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Automated and connected mobility Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Automated Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles □ Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Size (c.g. Km, Km²) Common pricelist | | □ > 5 years |
| Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Automated and connected mobility Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Automated Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Size (c.g. Km, Km²) Sommon pricelist | | ☑ Undefined |
| □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Automated and connected mobility □ Highway Chauffeur □ Automated Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles □ Size (e.g. Km, Km²) □ Size (e.g. Km, Km²) □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles □ Common pricelist | | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Use Cases tested □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Automated and connected mobility Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Automated Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 350 Ha (3.5 km²) Business model | Business areas | ⊠ Road safety |
| □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Automated and connected mobility Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Automated Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 350 Ha (3.5 km²) Business model □ Common pricelist | | □ Traffic efficiency |
| Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Automated Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles □ Size (e.g. Km, Km²) □ 350 Ha (3.5 km²) □ Common pricelist □ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles | | □ Customer Service |
| Use Cases tested ☑ Highway Chauffeur ☑ Automated Shuttle bus ☑ Freight Vehicles platooning ☑ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☑ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 350 Ha (3.5 km²) Business model Common pricelist | | □ Maintenance/Construction |
| Automated Shuttle bus ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☐ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles ☐ Size (e.g. Km, Km²) ☐ 350 Ha (3.5 km²) ☐ Common pricelist ☐ Common pricelist | | |
| ☑ Freight Vehicles platooning ☑ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☑ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model ☑ Common pricelist | Use Cases tested | |
| ☑ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☑ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model ☑ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles ☑ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles ☑ Other, please specify: Focus on active safety, automated and/or connected vehicles | | |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 350 Ha (3.5 km²) Business model Common pricelist | | ☑ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| automated and/or connected vehicles Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 350 Ha (3.5 km²) Common pricelist | | ☑ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| Business model Common pricelist | | |
| Business model Common pricelist | | |
| | , , , | , , |
| Environment Closed | | Common pricelist |
| | Environment | ⊠ Closed |
| □ Open area | | ☐ Open area |

| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
|---|--|
| R&D/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | R&D projects from the industry (vehicle and supplier), academic/institute research projects, collaboration projects with several actors and stakeholders within the area of future mobility. |

| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | |
|--|---|
| Type of environment | ☑ Urban |
| | Motorway |
| | ☑ Inter-Urban |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: High speed area for flexible |
| | testing |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 |
| | □ 3G |
| | ⊠ 4G |
| | ☑ LTE-V2X |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: |
| | LTE advanced |
| | • 5G |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Cameras |
| | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ☑ Road markings |
| | ☑ Road edges delineation |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: |
| | Lighting Traffic signs Target vehicles (such as balloon cars) Pedestrian dummies (adult, child) Bikes, moose. |
| Other specific characteristics (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | Roundabouts, intersections of different kinds, bus stops, garage facilities, conferencing facilities Virtual representations of all tracks are available. |

1.29 AURORA (Finland)

Arctic Intelligent Transport Ecosystem



| Short name | Ecosyste | e m snowbox.fi | | |
|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Short name AURORA Partners/Consortium Main Road 22 in Finnland; public road test section from Pahtonen to Muonio that is instrumented to support especially connected and automated driving trials in real traffic Type of ownership (e.g. NRA Dublic involvement) Type of ownership (e.g. NRA Dublic involvement) Type of ownership (e.g. NRA Dublic involvement) Private PPT – joint Dublic involvement) Start of operation (year): 2017 Planned duration: 0 – 2 years 2 – 5 years 10 defined 10 ther, please specify: Business areas Business a | General description | | | |
| Partners/Consortium Main Road 22 in Finnland; public road test section from Pahtonen to Muonio that is instrumented to support especially connected and automated driving trials in real traffic Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | Name | E8 Aurora, the Arctic intelligent transport test ecosystem | | |
| Main Road 22 in Finnland; public road test section from Pahtonen to Muonio that is instrumented to support especially connected and automated driving trials in real traffic Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | Short name | AURORA | | |
| Pathonen to Muonio that is instrumented to support especially connected and automated driving trials in real traffic Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) Private PPT – joint Other, please specify: Start of operation (year): 2017 Planned duration: 0 – 2 years 2 – 5 years 1 Undefined Other, please specify: Business areas Road safety Traffic efficiency Customer Service Maintenance/Construction Other, please specify: Use Cases tested Highway Chauffeur Shuttle bus Freight Vehicles platooning Priverless maintenance and road works vehicles Other, please specify: Other, please specify: Other, please specify: Verify the please specify: Other, please specify: Other, please specify: Other, please specify: Other, please specify: Automated winter driving, Proactive winter maintenance Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) NRA Public Private Proactive winter maintenance Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | Partners/Consortium | managed by The Finnish Transport Agency | | |
| involvement) □ Private □ PPT – joint □ Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Start of operation (year): 2017 Planned duration: □ 0 – 2 years □ 2 – 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Vadefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: □ Automated winter driving, □ Proactive winter maintenance □ Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | Location | Pahtonen to Muonio that is instrumented to support especially connected and automated driving trials in real | | |
| □ Private □ PPT – joint □ Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Start of operation (year): 2017 Planned duration: □ 0 – 2 years □ 2 – 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: □ Automated winter driving, □ Proactive winter maintenance □ Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Nature 10 tm maintenance □ Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving | , , , | ☑ Public | | |
| Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Start of operation (year): 2017 Planned duration: □ 0 - 2 years □ 2 - 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: □ Automated winter driving, □ Proactive winter maintenance □ Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Start of operation (year): 2017 Planned duration: □ 0 - 2 years □ 2 - 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: □ Automated winter driving, □ Proactive winter maintenance □ Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving | involvement) | □ Private | | |
| Start of operation (year): 2017 Planned duration: 0 - 2 years 1 2 - 5 years 1 Undefined 1 Other, please specify: Maintenance/Construction Other, please specify: Maintenance/Construction Other, please specify: Waintenance/Construction Other, please specify: Maintenance/Construction Other, please specify: Maintenance/Construction Other, please specify: Maintenance and road works vehicles Preight Vehicles platooning Priverless maintenance and road works vehicles Other, please specify: Automated winter driving, Proactive winter maintenance Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving | | □ PPT – joint | | |
| activities) Planned duration: □ 0 – 2 years □ 2 – 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: □ Automated winter driving, □ Proactive winter maintenance □ Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Planned duration: □ 0 – 2 years □ 2 – 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: □ Automated winter driving, □ Proactive winter maintenance □ Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving | | ☐ Other, please specify: | | |
| Planned duration: □ 0 – 2 years □ 2 – 5 years □ Indefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: □ Automated winter driving, □ Proactive winter maintenance □ Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 10 km | | Start of operation (year): 2017 | | |
| □ 2 – 5 years □ > 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service ⋈ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning ⋈ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ⋈ Other, please specify: ■ Automated winter driving, ■ Proactive winter maintenance ■ Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) ■ 10 km | activities) | Planned duration: | | |
| □ > 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: □ Automated winter driving, □ Proactive winter maintenance □ Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) □ Nm | | □ 0 – 2 years | | |
| □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: • Automated winter driving, • Proactive winter maintenance • Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: • Automated winter driving, • Proactive winter maintenance • Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving | | □ 2 – 5 years | | |
| Business areas | | □ > 5 years | | |
| Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: □ Automated winter driving, □ Proactive winter maintenance □ Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) □ Nm | | □ Undefined | | |
| Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service ☑ Maintenance/Construction □ Other, please specify: □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning ☑ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☑ Other, please specify: • Automated winter driving, • Proactive winter maintenance • Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 10 km | | ☐ Other, please specify: | | |
| Customer Service Maintenance/Construction Other, please specify: Highway Chauffeur Shuttle bus Freight Vehicles platooning Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles Other, please specify: Automated winter driving, Proactive winter maintenance Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Customer Service Maintenance/Construction Pright Vehicles platooning Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles Cother, please specify: Automated winter driving, Proactive winter maintenance Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving | Business areas | ⊠ Road safety | | |
| Maintenance/Construction Other, please specify: | | □ Traffic efficiency | | |
| Use Cases tested ☐ Highway Chauffeur ☐ Shuttle bus ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☐ Other, please specify: ☐ Automated winter driving, ☐ Proactive winter maintenance ☐ Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) ☐ Other, please specify: ☐ Automated winter driving, ☐ Proactive winter maintenance ☐ Other, please specify: ☐ Other, please | | □ Customer Service | | |
| Use Cases tested ☐ Highway Chauffeur ☐ Shuttle bus ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning ☑ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☑ Other, please specify: | | | | |
| □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: • Automated winter driving, • Proactive winter maintenance • Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 10 km | | ☐ Other, please specify: | | |
| □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: • Automated winter driving, • Proactive winter maintenance • Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 10 km | Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur | | |
| ☑ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☑ Other, please specify: Automated winter driving, Proactive winter maintenance Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | | ☐ Shuttle bus | | |
| ✓ Other, please specify: Automated winter driving, Proactive winter maintenance Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | | ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning | | |
| Automated winter driving, Proactive winter maintenance Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 10 km | | ☑ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles | | |
| Proactive winter maintenance Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 10 km | | | | |
| | | Proactive winter maintenanceCross-border testing of connected and automated | | |
| Business model | Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | 10 km | | |
| | Business model | | | |

| Environment | □ Closed |
|--|--------------------------|
| | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Research/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | |

| Technical characteristics (please chec | ck and specify, all which applies) |
|--|--|
| Type of environment | □ Urban |
| | |
| | □ Inter-Urban |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Connectivity employed | ⊠ ITS G5 |
| | ⊠ 3G |
| | ⊠ 4G |
| | ☑ LTE-V2X |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | □ Cameras |
| | ☑ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | □ Road markings |
| | □ Road edges delineation |
| | Other, please specify: |
| | Precise positioning 1-5 cm precision using GNSS receivers |
| | Data collected from the sensors and equipment |
| | available as open dataInstrumentation supporting intelligent |
| | Instrumentation supporting intelligent infrastructure asset management trials. |
| Other specific characteristics | |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | |

1.30 Millbrook (Finland and UK)

TESTW Millbrook Proving Ground and **Test World** A MILLBROOK GROUP COMPANY **General description** Millbrook Proving Ground and Test World Name Short name Millbrook Partners/Consortium N/A Location UK and Finland Type of ownership (e.g. NRA □ Public involvement) □ Private □ PPT – joint ☐ Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned Start of operation (year): 1970 activities) Planned duration: \Box 0 – 2 years \square 2 – 5 years \square > 5 years □ Undefined **Business areas** ☑ Road safety □ Maintenance/Construction Other, please specify: Test services Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur ☐ Automated Shuttle bus □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles Other, please specify: Connected and Autonomous Vehicles in general. Specific use cases are confidential. Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Millbrook UK: 283 Ha (2.83 km²); Testworld Finland: 1120 Ha (11.2 km²). **Business model** Independent and Impartial Test Services and Certification **Environment** □ Open area ☐ Other, please specify:



| R&D/Industry projects that are/were | Many and varied. UK Government Official Controlled |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| conducted at this test site/bed | Urban CAV Testbed and 5G Transport testbed. |
| | |

| Technical characteristics (please che | ck and specify, all which applies) | |
|--|--|--|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Other, please specify: | |
| | Rural | |
| | Off road, ice and snow conditions | |
| Connectivity employed | ☑ ITS G5 | |
| | ⊠ 3G | |
| | ⊠ 4G | |
| | □ LTE-V2X | |
| | Other, please specify: | |
| | 5GNR 3.5Ghz, mmWave 60GHz, 70GHz4G LTE 2.3GHz and 3.6GHz private network | |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: | |
| | ⊠ Cameras | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Physical infrastructure: | |
| | ⊠ Road markings | |
| | ⊠ Road edges delineation | |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Full range of highway conditions | |
| | | |
| Other specific characteristics | Connectivity: 59 Masts/ 100 radio sets. | |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | Hyper Dense Cellular small cell 5G Transport testbed. | |
| RSUs) | Highly configurable physical infrastructure for highways and roads. | |
| | Customisable road circuits. | |
| | Indoor and outdoor winter testing environments, giving 12 months snow and ice testing capability in Finland. | |

1.31 BOREALIS (Norway)

| Test Ecosystem for cross-border testing with Finland | | |
|--|--|--|
| General description | | |
| Name | Borealis, test ecosystem for cross border testing with Finland | |
| Short name | BOREALIS | |
| Partners/Consortium | managed by Norwegian Public Roads Agency (NPRA) | |
| Location | Kilpisjärvi border area towards Skibotn Valley in Norway | |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | ☑ Public | |
| involvement) | □ Private | |
| | □ PPT – joint | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): 2017 | |
| activities) | Planned duration: | |
| | □ 0 – 2 years | |
| | □ 2 – 5 years | |
| | □ > 5 years | |
| | □ Undefined | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Business areas | ☑ Road safety | |
| | ☐ Traffic efficiency | |
| | □ Customer Service | |
| | | |
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur | |
| | ☐ Shuttle bus | |
| | □ Freight Vehicles platooning | |
| | ☑ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles | |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: | |
| | ITS test bed Safety of heavy duty vehicles Cross-border testing of connected and automated driving | |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | 40 km | |
| Business model | | |
| Environment | □ Closed | |
| | ☑ Open area | |



| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
|---|--------------------------|
| Research/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | |
| | |
| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | |
| | |

| Technical characteristics (places check and enecify all which emplies) | | | |
|--|-------------|-------|--|
| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | | | |
| Type of environment | | Urb | pan |
| | \boxtimes | Mo | torway |
| | | Inte | er-Urban |
| | | Oth | ner, please specify: |
| Connectivity employed | | ITS | G G5 |
| | | 3G | |
| | | 4G | |
| | | LTE | E-V2X |
| | | Oth | ner, please specify: |
| Infrastructure support | Dig | ital | infrastructure: |
| | | Cai | meras |
| | | HD | maps |
| | \boxtimes | Oth | ner, please specify: |
| | | • | Real-time information about the weather Road surface conditions and traffic accidents Automatic scanning of the vehicle's brakes Warnings of wildlife or other obstacles on the roadway. |
| | <u>Phy</u> | /sica | al infrastructure: |
| | | Roa | ad markings |
| | | Roa | ad edges delineation |
| | | Oth | ner, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics | | | |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | | | |

1.32 AV-PL-ROAD (Poland)

| Polish Road to Au | tomation of Road Transport | |
|---|--|--|
| General description | | |
| Name | Polish Road to Automation of Road Transport | |
| Short name | AV-PL-ROAD | |
| Partners/Consortium | Ministry of Infrastructure, Motor Transport Institute, Warsaw University of Technology | |
| Location | Southern Poland | |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | ⊠ Public | |
| inversion, | □ Private | |
| | □ PPT – joint | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Lifespan (past and future planned activities) | Start of operation (year): in planning | |
| activities) | Planned duration: | |
| | □ 0 – 2 years | |
| | | |
| | □ > 5 years | |
| | ☐ Undefined | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Business areas | ☑ Road safety | |
| | □ Traffic efficiency | |
| | □ Customer Service | |
| | □ Maintenance/Construction | |
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur | |
| | □ Shuttle bus | |
| | □ Freight Vehicles platooning | |
| | □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles | |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: L1-L3 systems installed in the most popular, commercially available passenger vehicles in Poland. The systems will be tested upon the compliance with the characteristics of Polish road infrastructure and their high-level road safety increase potential | |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | The tests are planned to be taken on a test track (most probably a 1-1.5 km former airfield strip) as well as in naturalistic conditions | |
| Business model | The test results will serve as an input for the recommendations to the legal changes regarding the use of driving automation systems in Poland. | |

| Environment | ⊠ Closed |
|--|--------------------------|
| | □ Open area |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Research/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | - |

| Technical characteristics (please chee | ck and specify, all which applies) |
|--|--|
| | |
| Type of environment | ☐ Urban |
| | □ Motorway |
| | □ Inter-Urban |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: At this stage of the project it is yet hard to assume. The final decision will be made upon the testing requirements and legal restrictions |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 |
| | □ 3G |
| | □ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Cameras |
| | ☐ HD maps |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: D-GPS station, automated moving platform |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Road markings |
| | ☐ Road edges delineation |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: |
| | Road signsballoon vehicles and balloon VRUs |
| Other specific characteristics | |
| • | |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | |

1.33 Virginia Smart Roads (USA)

| Virginia Smart Roads | | |
|--|---|--|
| General description | | |
| Name | Virginia Smart Roads | |
| Short name | N/A | |
| Partners/Consortium | Virginia Tech Transportation Institute and the Virginia Department of Transportation | |
| Location | Blacksburg, Virginia, United States of America | |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | ⊠ Public | |
| involvement) | □ Private | |
| | □ PPT – joint | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): 2000 | |
| activities) | Planned duration: | |
| | □ 0 – 2 years | |
| | □ 2 – 5 years | |
| | ⊠ > 5 years | |
| | □ Undefined | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Business areas | ☑ Road safety | |
| | ☑ Traffic efficiency | |
| | □ Customer Service | |
| | | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Use Cases tested | | |
| | | |
| | □ Freight Vehicles platooning | |
| | ☑ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles | |
| | Other, please specify: | |
| | Urban automation (beyond LSAV) Many ADAS tests at all levels with varying automated capabilities | |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | Currently growing this year. At completion, the environment will have approximately 10,5 km of paved roadway and substantially more unpaved off-road trails. | |
| Business model | Supports research endeavours of VTTI and our partners. Facility is available for a fee; however, generally it is part of a service model with VTTI faculty involved directly with the research. | |
| | | |

| Environment | ⊠ Closed |
|---|--|
| | □ Open area |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| R&D/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | Over 25,000 hours of research on this facility. Listing all automation related activities would be a challenge as we work every day across the automation continuum using the Virginia Smart Roads. Broadly speaking, we work directly with various automobile manufactures, technology companies, and road operators to create safe and effective automated vehicle systems |

| Technical characteristics (please che | ck and specify, all which applies) |
|--|--|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban |
| | |
| | |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: We also have rural sections, from old windy rural roads to unpaved sections and off-road trails. |
| Connectivity employed | ☑ ITS G5 |
| | ⊠ 3G |
| | ⊠ 4G |
| | ☑ LTE-V2X |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: 802,11 (a-ac) |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Cameras |
| | ☑ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ☑ Road markings |
| | ☑ Road edges delineation |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: All standard roadway elements, as these roads are built to full VDOT specifications. |
| Other specific characteristics | The Virginia Smart Roads facility is built to represent actual roadways classified as Interstate, urban/suburban, and |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | rural. Thus, it has all infrastructure one would expect from functional roads – from traffic control devices (signs to signals) to bridges and guardrails. |
| | We have a variety of connectivity options including a full fibre optic network, various wireless access points, full RTK differential GPS systems, overhead gantries, smart roadway lighting systems, weather making equipment (rain, snow, fog), bridges, streams, lakes, adjacent building structures, and various apparatus to create traffic scenarios of virtually all types (e.g. robotic pedestrians, soft targets, etc). |

1.34 VAC and VCC (USA)

Virginia Automated Corridor and the Virginia Connected Corridor



| die virginia comice | Virginia Automated Corridors |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| General description | |
| Name | Virginia Automated Corridor and the Virginia Connected Corridor |
| Short name | VAC and VCC |
| Partners/Consortium | Virginia Tech Transportation Institute and the Virginia Department of Transportation are they key partnership. |
| Location | Northern Virginia, United States of America |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA | ⊠ Public |
| involvement) | □ Private |
| | □ PPT – joint |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): 2015 |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | □ 2 – 5 years |
| | |
| | □ Undefined |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Business areas | ⊠ Road safety |
| | |
| | □ Customer Service |
| | Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Operations |
| Use Cases tested | |
| | □ Automated Shuttle bus |
| | ☑ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | The region size is not precisely defined, as components are available state wide (e.g. ability to operate automated vehicles, cellular based connectivity and cloud access, etc). |
| | However, DSRC coverage is available for approximately 20 miles on I-66, 10 miles on I-495 for a total 30 miles on freeway. |
| | |

| | We have arterial coverage on 3.5 miles Rt7, 4.5 miles Rt 50, 4 miles rt29 and 4.6 miles of gallows rd for a total of 16.6 miles arterial. |
|---|--|
| | It is likely all of these estimates will increase as the deployment continues to expand. |
| Business model | Provides early test and evaluation of connected and automated vehicle systems on live roadways (living laboratory approach). Primary customer is VDOT; however, we support the USDOT and some automotive manufactures within this environment as well. |
| Environment | □ Closed |
| | □ Open area |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Live roadways in full operation |
| R&D/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | FHWA conducted heavy vehicle platooning projects on this testbed. VTTI has worked with VDOT on a variety of connected vehicle applications which are intended to support increasing levels of automation. |
| | We have built and demonstrated a full connected automated vehicle operating on a portion of the roadway, complete with various scenarios in which the AV performance was improved with connectivity. |
| | We have worked with automobile consortium to develop and evaluate new connected vehicle data messages with an eye on future use in automated systems. |

| Technical characteristics (please che | ck and specify, all which applies) |
|--|---|
| Type of environment | ☑ Urban |
| | ⊠ Motorway |
| | ☑ Inter-Urban |
| | |
| Connectivity employed | ☑ ITS G5 |
| | ⊠ 3G |
| | ⊠ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Cameras |
| | ☑ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ☑ Road markings |
| | ☑ Road edges delineation |
| | |
| Other specific characteristics | As an open real working roadway region, the VAC/VCC has |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | all infrastructure one would expect from functional roads – from traffic control devices (signs to signals) to bridges and guardrails. |
| , | We also have over 50 roadside units across intersection and expressway locations as well as RTK GPS corrections over a large region of Northern Virginia. |

1.35 Mcity Test Facility (USA)

Mcity Test Facility



| | UNIVERSITE OF MICHIGAN |
|--|--|
| General description | |
| Name | Mcity Test Facility |
| Short name | Mcity |
| Partners/Consortium | 60-member companies + University of Michigan. |
| Location | Ann Arbor, Michigan |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | ☑ Public |
| involvementy | □ Private |
| | □ PPT – joint |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): 2015 |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | □ 0 – 2 years |
| | □ 2 – 5 years |
| | |
| | □ Undefined |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Business areas | ☑ Road safety |
| | |
| | □ Customer Service |
| | □ Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur |
| | |
| | □ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | ☑ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | □ Other, please specify: General connected / automated vehicle testing |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | Approx. 12.14 Ha (0.12 km²) |
| Business model | Fee recovery for operating expenses |
| Environment | ⊠ Closed |
| | □ Open area |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | , p |

| R&D/Industry projects that are/were | The projects are largely confidential, but see for example |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| conducted at this test site/bed | https://mcity.umich.edu/how-to-launch-a-driverless-shuttle-u-michigan-shares-insights-in-new-case-study/ |

| Technical characteristics (please che | ck and specify, all which applies) |
|--|--|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban |
| | Motorway |
| | ☑ Inter-Urban |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 |
| | ⊠ 3G |
| | ⊠ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | Other, please specify: |
| | • 5G |
| | • DSRC |
| Infrastructure support | <u>Digital infrastructure</u> : |
| | ☑ Cameras |
| | ☑ HD maps |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: Real-time point cloud |
| | |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | ⊠ Road markings |
| | ⊠ Road edges delineation |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics | See GIS layer map: |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs) | https://drive.google.com/open?id=1aXvVZt3EuRgEmqOZ znkzgxJ1ese3ZAqj&usp=sharing |
| | |

1.36 ICVP (Australia)

| Ipswich Co | nnected Vehicle Pilot |
|--|---|
| General description | |
| Name | Ipswich Connected Vehicle Pilot |
| Short name | ICVP |
| Partners/Consortium | Queensland Transport and Main Roads, Queensland University of Technology, Motor Accident Insurance Commission (MAIC) |
| | Vendors/Suppliers: Kapsch, Codha, Amazon Web Services (AWS), Telstra, Transmax |
| Location | Ipswich, Queensland, Australia |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | ☑ Public |
| involvement) | □ Private |
| | □ PPT – joint |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): late 2019 |
| activities) | Planned duration: |
| | ⊠ 0 – 2 years |
| | □ 2 – 5 years |
| | □ > 5 years |
| | ☐ Undefined |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Business areas | ☑ Road safety |
| | ☐ Traffic efficiency |
| | □ Customer Service |
| | ☐ Maintenance/Construction |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur |
| | ☐ Automated Shuttle bus |
| | ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| | |
| | Emergency braking warning (V2V) In-vehicle speed warning (V2I) Turning warning for bicycle riders and pedestrians (V2I) Road works warning (V2I) Back-of-queue warning (V2I) Red light warning (V2I) |

Other specific characteristics

RSUs)

(e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits;

| | Stopped or slow vehicle warning (V2V)Hazard warning (V2I) |
|---|--|
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | 200 km ² |
| Business model | |
| Environment | □ Closed |
| | ☑ Open area |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| R&D/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | |
| | |
| Technical characteristics (please che | ck and specify, all which applies) |
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban |
| | |
| | □ Inter-Urban |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Connectivity employed | ☑ ITS G5 |
| | ⊠ 3G |
| | ⊠ 4G |
| | □ LTE-V2X |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: |
| | □ Cameras |
| | ☐ HD maps |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| | Physical infrastructure: |
| | □ Road markings |
| | ☐ Road edges delineation |

Other, please specify:V-ITS-SR-ITS-S

1.37K-City (South Korea)

| Ceneral description | | Cop to belle Accessed titles |
|---|--|--|
| Name K-City | K-City Company Compa | |
| Short name Partners/Consortium South Korea Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport, Korea Automobile Testing and Research Institute, Korea Automobile Testing and Research Institute, Korea Transportation Safety Authority Hwaseong Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) Private PPT – joint Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Start of operation (year): 2018 Planned duration: 0 - 2 years 2 - 5 years 2 - 5 years 1 Undefined 1 Other, please specify: Business areas Road safety Traffic efficiency Customer Service Maintenance/Construction Use Cases tested Highway Chauffeur Shuttle bus Freight Vehicles platooning Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles Dother, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | General description | |
| South Korea Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport, Korea Automobile Testing and Research Institute, Korea Transportation Safety Authority | Name | - |
| Korea Automobile Testing and Research Institute, Korea Transportation Safety Authority Hwaseong Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Lifespan (past and future planned duration: | | - |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) Private Private Private Private Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Start of operation (year): 2018 Planned duration: 0 - 2 years 2 - 5 years Videntified Other, please specify: Business areas Road safety Traffic efficiency Customer Service Maintenance/Construction Mighway Chauffeur Shuttle bus Freight Vehicles platooning Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 320.000 m² | Partners/Consortium | Korea Automobile Testing and Research Institute, Korea |
| involvement) □ Private □ PPT – joint □ Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Start of operation (year): 2018 Planned duration: □ 0 – 2 years □ 2 – 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | Location | Hwaseong |
| □ Private □ PPT – joint □ Other, please specify: Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Start of operation (year): 2018 Planned duration: □ 0 – 2 years □ 2 – 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | | ☑ Public |
| Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Start of operation (year): 2018 Planned duration: □ 0 − 2 years □ 2 − 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | involvementy | □ Private |
| Lifespan (past and future planned activities) Start of operation (year): 2018 Planned duration: □ 0 - 2 years □ 2 - 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model Start of operation (year): 2018 Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | | □ PPT – joint |
| Planned duration: □ 0 – 2 years □ 2 – 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| Planned duration: □ 0 – 2 years □ 2 – 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model □ Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | | Start of operation (year): 2018 |
| □ 2 – 5 years □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model □ Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | activities) | Planned duration: |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Sive rease specify: Sive rease specify: | | □ 0 – 2 years |
| Undefined □ Other, please specify: Business areas □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model □ Undefined □ Other, please specify: □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction □ Driverlesr platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Connectivity | | □ 2 – 5 years |
| Business areas □ Other, please specify: □ Road safety □ Traffic efficiency □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model □ Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | | ⊠ > 5 years |
| Business areas □ Road safety □ Customer Service □ Maintenance/Construction Use Cases tested □ Highway Chauffeur □ Shuttle bus □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model □ Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | | ☐ Undefined |
| Traffic efficiency ☐ Customer Service ☐ Maintenance/Construction Use Cases tested ☐ Highway Chauffeur ☐ Shuttle bus ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☐ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model ☐ Traffic efficiency ☐ Maintenance/Construction ☐ Please Service ☐ Maintenance/Construction ☐ Please Service ☐ Maintenance/Construction ☐ Shuttle bus ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☐ Other, please specify: Connectivity | | ☐ Other, please specify: |
| ☑ Customer Service ☐ Maintenance/Construction Use Cases tested ☐ Highway Chauffeur ☐ Shuttle bus ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☑ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 320.000 m² Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | Business areas | ☑ Road safety |
| Use Cases tested ☐ Highway Chauffeur ☐ Shuttle bus ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☐ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model ☐ Maintenance/Construction ☐ Highway Chauffeur ☐ Shuttle bus ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☐ Other, please specify: Connectivity | | ☑ Traffic efficiency |
| Use Cases tested ☐ Highway Chauffeur ☐ Shuttle bus ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☐ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model ☐ Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | | □ Customer Service □ |
| Shuttle bus ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles ☐ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | | ☐ Maintenance/Construction |
| □ Freight Vehicles platooning □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 320.000 m² Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur |
| □ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles □ Other, please specify: Connectivity Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 320.000 m² Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | | ☐ Shuttle bus |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Size (e.g. Km, Km²) Business model Other, please specify: Connectivity 320.000 m² Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | | □ Freight Vehicles platooning |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 320.000 m² Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) 320.000 m² Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly | | ☑ Other, please specify: Connectivity |
| 5,1 | Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | |
| oo including carried g | Business model | Placing Korea at head of autonomous driving, particularly 5G including Samsung |
| Environment Closed | Environment | ⊠ Closed |
| □ Open area | | □ Open area |

| | ☐ Other, please specify: |
|--|--------------------------|
| Research/Industry projects that were conducted at this test site/bed | |

| Technical characteristics (please check and specify, all which applies) | | |
|--|--|--|
| Type of environment | ⊠ Urban | |
| | | |
| | ☑ Inter-Urban | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Connectivity employed | □ ITS G5 | |
| | □ 3G | |
| | ⊠ 4G | |
| | ☑ LTE-V2X | |
| | | |
| Infrastructure support | Digital infrastructure: | |
| | ⊠ Cameras | |
| | □ HD maps | |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: GNSS jamming | |
| | | |
| | Physical infrastructure: | |
| | ☑ Road markings | |
| | ☐ Road edges delineation | |
| | ☑ Other, please specify: | |
| | Fake tunnel Trace | |
| | TreesWeather simulation | |
| | Parking bays | |
| | Bus lanes. | |
| Other specific characteristics | Toll booths, railway crossings, (fake) roadworks, parking, bus lanes, bike lanes | |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; | http://www.businesskorea.co.kr/ | |
| RSUs) | news/articleView.html?idxno=27605 | |
| | | |

4 Initial assessment and pre-selection

The final step in work package two involved performing a first assessment and pre-selection of the test sites/beds in the catalogue, to evaluate their feasibility for further investigation in the next steps of the project. The aim was to reduce the 39 test sites/test beds from the wideranging data collection (which includes the two confidential test sites not present in this deliverable) to the most promising for further consideration towards the analysis in WP3.

This was achieved through a qualitative assessment performed by the consortium team and took into account several relevant criteria. Based on previous consultations with the PEB members as well as with the Project Officers, several criteria were considered crucial, meaning that they were considered reason for disqualification / not selecting a test site/bed for the next steps of the project. The following criteria were considered:

- Purpose of test site/test bed: This criterion takes into account the use cases that can be tested at the test site/bed. Based on previous consultations with the PEB and the POs, four use cases were identified as priorities. These are:
 - Highway Chauffeur
 - o Automated Shuttle Bus
 - Freight Vehicles Platooning
 - Driverless Maintenance and road works vehicles

Therefore, any test sites/beds that do not focus on any of these use cases (or equivalents) should not be considered further.

- 2. **Location.** This criterion takes into account the geographical location of the test site/bed. Based on the PEB priorities, test sites/beds located outside Europe should not be considered further.
- 3. Availability of data. This criterion takes into consideration the willingness of the site owners/managers/operators to cooperate with the STAPLE project, as in WP3 further analysis and investigation into the selected test sites will need strong feedback and cooperation. Therefore, any sites where no feedback was received in the first data collection procedure (i.e. completion of the Description form for the Catalogue) should not be considered for further investigation.
- 4. **Longevity.** This criterion takes note of the operation times of the test site/bed. Therefore, sites/beds with an operation time higher than 5 years will be prioritised. The sites/beds that have closed or will close before the STAPLE project end (2021) should not be considered further.
- 5. **Confidentiality level.** This criterion looks at the confidentiality level of the information provided thus far by the site owners/managers/operators. As the project will need strong cooperation and openness from the selected sites for WP3, sites/beds that have provided confidential information should not be considered further.

The full list of 39 test sites and test beds were assessed against the five criteria described above. The results yielded a list of 14 sites in Europe that can be seen in Table 1 (in no particular order).

Table 1 List of pre-selected connected and automated test sites

| No | Test site/bed | Country |
|----|-----------------------|---------|
| 1. | Alp.Lab | Austria |
| 2. | Test region DigiTrans | Austria |



| 3. | Testbed Lower Saxony | Germany |
|-----|----------------------------------|---------|
| 4. | A2-M2 Connected Corridor | UK |
| 5. | Testbed Midlands Future Mobility | UK |
| 6. | Testbed Colas IPV | UK |
| 7. | Horiba-MIRA | UK |
| 8. | AURORA | Finland |
| 9. | BOREALIS | Norway |
| 10. | AstaZero | Sweden |
| 11. | ZalaZONE | Hungary |
| 12. | TRANSPOLIS | France |
| 13. | Catalonia Living Lab | Spain |
| 14. | IDIADA Proving Ground | Spain |

5 Conclusions and next steps

This deliverable presents the results of work package two, where a wide range of connected and automated driving test sites and test beds were identified and documented. Furthermore, a detailed data collection yielded a catalogue of over 35 test sites and test beds across Europe and beyond that can be used as a point of reference going forward. The processes used to arrive at a shortlist of test sites/beds to be taken for further consideration in the next steps of the project are also presented.

The next steps include:

- Stakeholder Workshop at the CEDR WG Automation event in Tallinn, Estonia. A
 workshop on the 6th of March with the project officers, members of the PEB and other
 experts will be organised to discuss the final list of test sites that will be taken into WP3
 for further investigations, as well as to identify and discuss the key performance areas
 for NRAs' core business.
- Stakeholder Workshop at FIRM19 in Brussels, Belgium. A subsequent workshop will be organised as a side event to the FIRM19 conference organised by FEHRL in Brussels on 27th of April, where additional insights and information will be collected from European and international stakeholders.
- Undertake a detailed investigation into a selected number of test sites including visiting
 a selection of sites. Following the final selection of sites (at the 1st Workshop), 2-3 visits
 to European test sites will be organised in conjunction with the PEB members to collect
 more information. Data collection in the form of online surveys, telephone interviews
 as well as face to face discussions (during the visits) will be conducted towards
 investigating the impact of test sites' activities on core NRAs' business.



Sources

- 1. Alp.Lab, Austrian Light Vehicle Proving Region for Automated Driving, Information and figure. Source: https://www.alp-lab.at/, last accessed 22nd February 2019
- 2. DigiTrans, Figure. Source: https://www.testregion-digitrans.at/, last accessed 22nd February 2019
- 3. AV Living Lab, BTC City Ljubljana, Figure. Source: http://avlivinglab.com/, last accessed 22nd February 2019
- 4. ZalaZONE Automated Proving Ground, Figure. Source: https://zalazone.hu/en/, last accessed 22nd February 2019
- 5. CityMobil 2, Figure. Source: https://connectedautomateddriving.eu/project/citymobil-2/, last accessed 22nd February 2019
- 6. Smart Mobility Living Lab, Figure. Source: https://www.smartmobility.london/, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 7. UK Autodrive, Figure. Source: http://www.ukautodrive.com/, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 8. UK CITE, Figure. Source: https://www.siemens.co.uk/en/news_press/index/news_archive/2016/siemens-technology-supports-uks-first-connected-road-test-environment.htm, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 9. Smart City Mobility Centre, Figure. Source: https://wmgrowth.com/article/new-multi-million-pound-smart-city-mobility-centre-announced-for-warwickshire-west-midlands, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 10. Millbrook Culham Test and Evaluation Environment, Figure. Source: http://www.millbrook.co.uk/cav/, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 11. Midlands Future Mobility, Figure. Source: https://midlandsfuturemobility.co.uk/, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 12. TIC-IT Trusted Intelligent CAV, Figure. Source: https://meridianmobility.tech/about-us/, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 13. COLAS United Kingdom, Figure. Source: https://www.colas.co.uk/, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 14. AUTOmated driving Progressed by Internet Of Things (AUTOPILOT), Figure. Source: <u>https://connectedautomateddriving.eu/project/autopilot/</u>, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 15. TRANSPOLIS, Figure. Source: http://www.transpolis.fr/en/, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 16. CTAG, SISCOGA Smart Corridor in European projects, Figure. Source: https://ctag.com/en/espanol-uso-del-corredor-inteligente-siscoga-en-proyecto-europeos/, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 17. Catalonia Living Lab, Figure. Source: http://catalonialivinglab.com/, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 18. Applus IDIADA, Figure. Source: https://www.applusidiada.com/en/, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 19. Kista, "The second demonstration day of Test Site Stockholm an arena for exploring the future of mobility", Figure. Source: http://www.kista.com/second-demonstration-day-test-site-stockholm-arena-exploring-future-mobility/, last accessed



26th February 2019

- 20. Test Site Sweden West, Testmiljöer för framtidens mobilitet, Projecktportfölj", Figure. Source:

 https://www.testsitesweden.com/sites/default/files/content/resource/files/tss_w_projektportfolj_juni_2017.pdf, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 21. AstaZero, Figure. Source. http://www.astazero.com/, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 22. Viinanen, R., "The Arctic Intelligent Transport Test Ecosystem", Figure. Source: https://vayla.fi/documents/20473/205877/Reija+Viinanen.pdf/a28f9b60-82ee-47ba-b630-d702548d5a42, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 23. Test World, Figure. Source: http://www.testworld.fi/, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 24. IEEE Connected Vehilcles, "Virginia Tech Transportation Institute and partners unveil Virginia Automated Corridors", Figure. Source: http://sites.ieee.org/connected-vehicles/2015/06/01/virginia-tech-transportation-institute-and-partners-unveil-virginia-automated-corridors/, last accessed 26th February 2019
- 25. Mcity, Figure. Source: https://mcity.umich.edu/, last accessed 26th February 2019

6 Annex 1: Full list of identified connected and automated driving test sites (non-exhaustive)

The full list of identified connected and automated driving test sites across Europe and beyond (Non-exhaustive). The list starts with the sites/beds located in the PEB member countries, sites/beds located in other countries (outside PEB) in Europe and sites/beds located outside Europe.

Table 2 List of identified connected and automated driving test sites (non-exhaustive)

| No. | Test site/bed | Country |
|-----|--|---------|
| 1. | Digital Test Bed A9 | Germany |
| 2. | Test Autonomous Driving Baden-Württemberg (TAF BW) | Germany |
| 3. | Aldenhoven Testing Center | Germany |
| 4. | Dusseldorf Test Track | Germany |
| 5. | Austrian Light Vehicle Proving Region for Automated Driving (Alp.Lab) | Austria |
| 6. | DigiTrans Test Region for automated driving with focus on freight mobility and logistics aspects (DigiTrans) | Austria |
| 7. | A2M2 Connected Corridor | UK |
| 8. | Smart Mobility Living Lab | UK |
| 9. | UK Autodrive | UK |
| 10. | UK CITE | UK |
| 11. | Midlands Future Mobility | UK |
| 12. | Millbrook Culham Test and Evaluation Environment (MCTEE) | UK |
| 13. | Horiba MIRA TIC-IT | UK |
| 14. | Project CAV Forth | UK |
| 15. | Project Appollo | UK |
| 16. | Testbed ServCity | UK |
| 17. | Connected Vehicle data Exchange (ConVEX) | UK |
| 18. | Smart City Mobility Centre | UK |
| 19. | Colas Impact Protection Vehicle (Colas IPV) | UK |
| 20. | Tech Valleys | UK |

| 21. | Brainport Pilot site | The Netherlands |
|-----|--|--------------------|
| 22. | AstaZero | Sweden |
| 23. | Test site Stockholm (TSS) | Sweden |
| 24. | Test site Sweden-West (TSS-W) | Sweden |
| 25. | Modern Mobility in Barkabystaden (MMiB) | Sweden |
| 26. | Artic Intelligent transport test ecosystem (AURORA) | Finland |
| 27. | Millbrook Test World | Finland, UK |
| 28. | Test ecosystems for cross-border testing with Finland (BOREALIS) | Norway |
| 29. | Autonomous snow ploughs at airports (Yeti Project) | Norway |
| 30. | Jaguar Land Rover Ireland CAV (JLR) | Ireland |
| 31. | AV Living Lab (AVLL) | Slovenia |
| 32. | ZalaZONE Automotive Proving Ground (ZalaZONE) | Hungary |
| 33. | Testbed Trikala (Project CityMobil2) | Greece |
| 34. | TRANSPOLIS | France |
| 35. | Test track Nantes IFSTTAR | France |
| 36. | CAR2ROAD Platform | France |
| 37. | SATORY – NEXTER Systems | France |
| 38. | CERAM UTAC Platform | France |
| 39. | Living Lab Nouvelle Aquitaine (LUNA) | France |
| 40. | IDIADA Proving Ground | Spain |
| 41. | Catalonia Living Lab | Spain |
| 42. | Sistemas Cooperativos Galicia for Cooperative and Autonomous Driving (SISCOGA4CAD) | Spain |
| 43. | PL-AV-ROAD | Poland |
| 44. | Australian Integrated multi-modal ecosystems (AIMES) | Australia |
| 45. | Smart Innovation Centre | Australia |
| 46. | Flinders Express (FLEX-Bus) | Australia |
| 47. | You Yangs test track | Australia |

| 48. | QUT Lab CARRS-Q | Australia |
|-----|--|----------------|
| 49. | Australia Automotive Research Centre (AARC) | Australia |
| 50. | Ipscwich Connected Vehicle Pilot (ICVP) | Australia |
| 51. | Intelligent Vehicle Proving Centre (iVPC Changshu) | China |
| 52. | Wrinatec | China |
| 53. | I-Vista ChongQing Liangjiang (I-Vista) | China |
| 54. | CATARC Tianjin | China |
| 55. | K-City | South Korea |
| 56. | Texas A&M University System (TAMUS) | US |
| 57. | University of Michigan's Mcity | US |
| 58. | Transportation Research Center (TRC) | US |
| 59. | Virginia Automated Corridor and the Virginia Connected Corridor (VAC and VCC) | US |
| 60. | Virginia Smart Roads | US |
| 61. | Proving Ground Pilot Site at City of Pittsburgh and the Thomas D. Larson Pennsylvania Transportation Institute | US |
| 62. | Texas AV Proving Ground Partnership – incl. the A&M System's RELLIS Campus | US |
| 63. | U.S. Army Aberdeen Test Center | US |
| 64. | American Center for Mobility (ACM) at Willow Run | US |
| 65. | Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA) & GoMentum Station | US |
| 66. | Proving Ground Pilot Site at San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) | US |
| 67. | Proving Ground Pilot Site at Iowa City Area Development Group | US |
| 68. | Proving Ground Pilot Site at University of Wisconsin-Madison | US |
| 69. | Central Florida Automated Vehicle Partners – incl. the SunTrax test | US |
| 70. | Proving Ground Pilot Site at Notrh Carolina Turnpike Authority | US |
| 71. | Proving Ground Pilot Site at Ford – Argo Washington DC | US |

7 Annex 2 Description form template

SiTe Automation Practical Learning (STAPLE)



TEST BED/ TEST SITE DESCRIPTION FORM

| General description | | |
|--|--|--|
| Name | | |
| Short name | | |
| Partners/Consortium | | |
| Location | | |
| Type of ownership (e.g. NRA involvement) | □ Public | |
| involvement, | □ Private | |
| | □ PPT – joint | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Lifespan (past and future planned | Start of operation (year): _ | |
| activities) | Planned duration: | |
| | □ 0 – 2 years | |
| | □ 2 – 5 years | |
| | □ > 5 years | |
| | □ Undefined | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Business areas | □ Road safety | |
| | □ Traffic efficiency | |
| | □ Customer Service | |
| | □ Maintenance/Construction | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Use Cases tested | ☐ Highway Chauffeur | |
| | ☐ Automated Shuttle bus | |
| | ☐ Freight Vehicles platooning | |
| | ☐ Driverless maintenance and road works vehicles | |
| | ☐ Other, please specify: | |
| Size (e.g. Km, Km²) | | |
| Business model | | |
| Environment | □ Closed | |

| | | Open area |
|---|------|--------------------------------|
| | | Other, please specify: |
| R&D/Industry projects that are/were conducted at this test site/bed | | |
| | | |
| Technical characteristics (please che | ck a | nd specify, all which applies) |
| Type of environment | | Urban |
| | | Motorway |
| | | Inter-Urban |
| | | Other, please specify: |
| Connectivity employed | | ITS G5 |
| | | 3G |
| | | 4G |
| | | LTE-V2X |
| | | Other, please specify: |
| Infrastructure support | Dig | gital infrastructure: |
| | | Cameras |
| | | HD maps |
| | | Other, please specify: |
| | | |
| | Ph | ysical infrastructure: |
| | | Road markings |
| | | Road edges delineation |
| | | Other, please specify: |
| Other specific characteristics | | |
| (e.g. traffic elements – intersections, tunnels, toll area, etc; speed limits; RSUs | | |