
Lesson 9
Thursday 2/22/24

Binary/Dichotomous Variables

New Example: we have 10 side-by-side state pairs where one state has the death penalty and the other one doesn't (Sellin, 1962, Capital Punishment. Federal Probation, 25:3-11 at page 8).



Binary/Dichotomous Variables

- New example: we have 12 side-by-side state pairs where one state has the death penalty and the other one doesn't.
- First batch of data: in 8 comparisons, the death penalty state had a higher homicide rate than the non-death-penalty state.
- Second batch of data: in the other 4 states, the deathpenalty state had a lower homicide rate (perhaps along the lines of what deterrence theory would predict).
- Now, suppose we give each of the 4 comparisons that are consistent with what deterrence theory would predict a code of 1 and the other 8 comparisons get a code of 0. What proportion of the comparisons support deterrence theory?

$$p(\text{pro-Deterrence}) = \frac{\text{Sum of Scores}}{\text{# of Scores}} = \frac{1+1+1+1+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0+0}{12} \approx 0.333$$

Review

Base and Comparison Years

- The crude homicide death rate was 5.5/100k population in 2015 and 6.0/100k population in 2016.
- One way to compare these 2 numbers is to say that the homicide rate increased by 0.5/100k population from 2015 to 2016.
- The reason the first year (2015) is the base year is simply because it's easier to interpret.
- Consider the alternative: We could say that the homicide rate decreased when we go from 2016 (base year) to 2015 (comparison year). This seems like an odd statement.
- So, researchers usually consider the base year to be the first year and the comparison year to be the second year.
- If it's easier for you to remember, researchers usually subtract the Time 1 measurement from the Time 2 measurement (i.e., Time 2 Time 1) to document change.

Percent Change v. Absolute Change

- So, we've established that the U.S. homicide rate increased by 0.5/100K population from 2015 to 2016.
- The next problem is that this statement is hard to interpret without knowing what the scale of measurement is.
- The percent change statistic provides a sense of scale to the comparison.
- For our example, we can say the absolute change was 0.5 homicides/100k population or we could say that homicides increased by $((6.0-5.5)/5.5) \times 100 = 9.1\%$.
- Let's work another example: using the same CDC homicide table linked above, notice that in 1990 the homicide rate was 9.9/100K population while in 2010 it was 5.3/100k population. The change was 5.3 9.9 = -4.6
- Is 4.6 a big number or a small number?

Percent Change v. Absolute Change

- Is 4.6 a big number or a small number?
- Well, when you consider that the starting point was 9.9, it seems like a pretty big number. Without knowing the starting point it is hard to tell, isn't it?
- We can express the relative magnitude of the change by calculating the percent change statistic:

Percent Change =
$$\frac{\text{Comparison Year} - \text{Base Year}}{\text{Base Year}} \times 100$$

OR

$$Percent Change = \frac{Time \ 2 \ Measurement - Time \ 1 \ Measurement}{Time \ 1 \ Measurement} \times 100$$


Percent Change v. Absolute Change

- Is 4.6 a big number or a small number?
- Well, when you consider that the starting point was 9.9, it seems like a pretty big number. Without knowing the starting point it is hard to tell, isn't it?
- We can express the relative magnitude of the change by calculating the percent change statistic:

Percent Change =
$$\frac{5.3 - 9.9}{9.9} \times 100 = -46.5\%$$

- Holy Cow! that's almost a 50% drop, which is huge.
- Notice, the word "drop" corresponds to the negative sign of the percent change statistic.

Example from U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics



Calculating percent changes

One-month percent changes

Movements of an index from one month to another are usually expressed as percent changes rather than as changes in the index value, because index value changes are affected by the level of the index in relation to its base period, while percent changes are not. To find the percent change, you first subtract the earlier index value from the later one, then divide that difference by the earlier index value, and finally multiply the result by 100. Table 1 shows an example of a CPI one-month change between November 2021 and December 2021 using the CPI-U U.S. city average series for **all items**, not seasonally adjusted. You can find <u>full</u> historical data for this series in our online database.

Table 1, 1-month percent change in CPI-U U.S. city average series for all items

rubic =, = monum percent change in cr = o oter city are ruge center for an items					
Representation	Value				
	278.802				
	277.948				
278.802 - 277.948	0.854				
0.854 / 277.948	0.003				
.003 * 100	0.3				
	278.802 - 277.948 0.854 / 277.948				

Age at Release from Prison	N =	# Rearrested
Age ≤ 24	62,700	47,000
Age 25-39	206,000	141,500
Age ≥ 40	140,600	82,400
Total	409,300	270,900

What is the recidivism rate for the 2 oldest age groups combined?

$$RR = \frac{\text{\# of Recidivists}}{\text{\# of People at Risk}} = \frac{141,500 + 82,400}{206,000 + 140,600} = 0.646$$

Consider the following 2 cities: Sunset Beach which had 85,000 residents and Crescent Beach which had 107,000 residents (according to last year's Census). Next, we learn from the 2 cities' police departments that Crescent Beach had 103 robberies last year while Sunset Beach had 95 robberies. Which city had the higher robbery rate? What was the robbery rate for the higher of the 2 cities?

- a. Sunset Beach / 111.8
- b. Crescent Beach / 87.3
- c. Sunset Beach / 104.2
- d. Crescent Beach / 78.5

Sunset Beach Robberies per 100k Population =
$$\frac{95}{85,000} \times 100,000 = 111.8$$

Crescent Beach Robberies per 100k Population =
$$\frac{103}{107,000} \times 100,000 = 96.3$$

Age at Release from Prison	N =	# Rearrested
Age ≤ 24	62,700	47,000
Age 25-39	206,000	141,500
Age ≥ 40	140,600	82,400
Total	409,300	270,900

What is the unit of analysis in the BJS recidivism study above? (short-answer question).

Answer: the unit of analysis is each individual person who was released from prison.

Suppose we do a study where we examine America's 100 largest cities and we count the number of these cities where at least one person was killed by the police last year. Next, we calculate the proportion of the cities where at least one person was killed by the police. What is the unit of analysis for this study?

- a. individual persons who were killed by the police
- b. the police officers who killed citizens
- c. each of the cities in the group of America's 100 largest cities
- d. the states where the 100 largest cities are located

Suppose we do a study where we examine America's 100 largest cities and we count the number of these cities where at least one person was killed by the police last year. Next, we calculate the proportion of the cities where at least one person was killed by the police. What is the unit of analysis for this study?

- a. individual persons who were killed by the police
- b. the police officers who killed citizens
- c. each of the cities in the group of America's 100 largest cities
- d. the states where the 100 largest cities are located

Suppose we do a study where we examine the records of a random sample of juvenile arrestees in a large American city. For each of the arrestees in our sample, we record the person's age at the time they were first arrested (i.e., age of onset). What is the level of measurement for this variable?

- a. nominal
- b. ordinal
- c. interval
- d. ratio

Suppose we do a study where we examine the records of a random sample of juvenile arrestees in a large American city. For each of the arrestees in our sample, we record the person's age at the time they were first arrested (i.e., age of onset). What is the level of measurement for this variable?

- a. nominal
- b. ordinal
- c. interval
- d. ratio <

Continuous & True Zero = Ratio!

Using the sample of juvenile arrestees in PQ10, we also record for each juvenile a binary/dichotomous variable measuring whether the child's case was waived up to the adult criminal justice system. Suppose there were 837 kids in the sample and 94 were waived up to the adult system. What is the sample estimate of p(waived) for this sample?

- a. 0.038
- b. 0.079
- c. 0.112
- d. 0.187

$$p(\text{Waived}) = \frac{\text{\# Waived}}{\text{\# Who Could Have Been Waived}} = \frac{94}{837} = 0.112$$


We visited a drug treatment court each Monday for 3 months. Each day, we ask all of the defendants in drug court to participate in a survey of drug usage patterns. This sample would be an example of a:

- a. random sample
- b. systematic sample
- c. snowball sample
- d. purposive sample


We visited a drug treatment court each Monday for 3 months. Each day, we ask all of the defendants in drug court to participate in a survey of drug usage patterns. This sample would be an example of a:

- a. random sample
- b. systematic sample
- c. snowball sample
- d. purposive sample


Chapter 4: Measures of Central Tendency



The Sample Mode



The Sample Median



The Sample Mean

