

¹ Is there really such a thing as *Tropical Biology*?¹

² Emilio M. Bruna^{1, 2, 3}

³ ¹ Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation, University of Florida, PO Box 110430,

⁴ Gainesville, FL 32611-0430, USA

⁵ ² Center for Latin American Studies, University of Florida, PO Box 115530, Gainesville, FL

⁶ 32611-5530, USA

⁷ ³ Corresponding Author; email: embruna@ufl.edu

⁸ Received: _____; Revised: _____; Accepted: _____.

¹ Inspired by the provocative title of M. H. Robinson's 1978 essay in the journal *Tropical Ecology*.

9

Abstract

10 The ecosystems of The Tropics comprise the majority of the planet's biodiversity,
11 approximately 40% of its terrestrial surface area, and half the human population. Despite
12 this, Tropical Biology has historically been conceptualized as a specialized subdiscipline of
13 the Biological Sciences. I assessed the validity of this assumption, and conclude that the
14 answer depends on the evidence and logic used to evaluate it. I suggest that the way forward
15 as a discipline is not for Tropical Biologists to drop the adjective that unites them, but to
16 recenter The Tropics as the the foundational ecosystems of ecology and evolutionary biology.

17 *Keywords:* bibliometrics, collaboration, colonialism, Global South, scholarly societies,
18 scientometrics, temperate, text-mining

¹⁹ 1. INTRODUCTION

²⁰ “This is an interesting and useful study, but I feel the manuscript is better suited
²¹ to a specialized journal focusing on tropical ecosystems.”

²² Subject Editor (*name and journal redacted*)

²³ This decision regarding my submission to one of our field’s well-known journals is likely
²⁴ familiar to many members of the Association for Tropical Biology & Conservation (ATBC).
²⁵ All three reviews were positive, with none of the referees identifying significant shortcomings
²⁶ or requesting major changes. So why had the manuscript been rejected? My only clue was in
²⁷ the Editor’s conclusion, from which I gathered they felt studies done *in* the tropics were of
²⁸ limited relevance to researchers working *outside* the tropics. That’s for whom a specialized
²⁹ journal is published, after all – a smaller community of subject-matter experts – and the
³⁰ journal to which we had submitted our study sought to publish “broad conceptual advances”.
³¹ In short, the Subject Editor was drawing a distinction between Biology and *Tropical Biology*,
³² with the latter a specialized subdiscipline of the former.

³³ This provincial view of research done in the tropics is not new. In 1963, P. W.
³⁴ Richards felt it necessary to use his Presidential Address to the British Ecological Society to
³⁵ explain “what the Tropics can contribute to ecology”, advocate for financial investment in
³⁶ tropical research and field stations, and encourage students to visit and dedicate study “the
³⁷ most [biologically] exciting part of the world” (Richards 1963). His justification for this topic
³⁸ was self-deprecating but pointed — he was concerned that a talk summarizing recent
³⁹ advances in tropical ecology “would probably bore the large part of my audience who have
⁴⁰ never been to the tropics and never intend to do so” (Richards 1963). That he felt this
⁴¹ advocacy was necessary despite decades of effort (Richards 1946, 1964) must have been
⁴² extremely frustrating.

⁴³ Sixty years on many of us find ourselves similarly frustrated. Field stations in the
⁴⁴ tropics remain underfunded (Chapman *et al.* 1945, Corner 1946, Eppley *et al.* 2024).
⁴⁵ Financial support for tropical research continues to decline (Chapman *et al.* 1945, Sohmer

46 1980, Stegmann *et al.* 2024). And despite tropical ecosystems comprising the majority of the
47 planet's biodiversity (Gaston 2000), approximately 40% of its terrestrial surface area, and
48 half the human population (Hoornweg & Pope 2017), their study continues to be seen by
49 many as a scientific specialization. My objective here is not to review the biological validity
50 (Robinson 1978, Moles & Ollerton 2016) or scientific implications (Zuk 2016) of this
51 generalization, nor to summarize the history, status, and direction of tropical research (*e.g.*,
52 Buechner & Fosberg 1967, Janzen 1972, Janzen 1986, Chazdon & Whitmore 2001, Bawa *et*
53 *al.* 2004). Instead, I will attempt to assess the fundamental assumption behind the Editor's
54 summary that motivated this essay: Is there really such a thing as *Tropical Biology*?

55 **1. Why the answer is ‘No’:**

56 “...in the case of biology, a major part of the accumulated biological knowledge is
57 concerned with a rather minor part of the world’s fauna and flora, because of the
58 chance development of biology in the temperate zones.”

59 S. D. Ripley (1967)

60 One means of assessing if *Tropical Biology*² is a distinct academic discipline is by
61 considering the communities into which scientists self-organize. Scholarly societies are one
62 such community; their establishment requires both an intellectual pursuit with which
63 individuals identify and a critical mass of like-minded individuals in search of community.
64 Some of these communities coalesce around broad conceptual domains (*e.g.*, *Evolutionary*
65 *Biology*, *Conservation Biology*, *Integrative Biology*; Figure 1A). Still others bring together
66 individuals from different conceptual domains that share an interest in a particular system
67 (*e.g.*, *Avian Biology*, *Island Biology*; Figure 1B). Finally, some scholarly societies comprise
68 individuals grounded in a common methodological framework, though they may do so with
69 disparate study systems or to address questions in distinct conceptual domains (*e.g.*,
70 *Molecular Biology*, *Mathematical Biology*, *Experimental Biology*; Figure 1C).

² Biology *sensu lato*, i.e., the study of living organisms, including their morphology and physiology, behavior, ecology, evolution, and conservation.

71 *Tropical Biology* fails to align with any of these constructs. Its practitioners investigate
72 fundamental questions across conceptual domains with a broad range of methodological
73 approaches and study systems. Put another way, “The work that tropical biologists do is
74 nearly as diverse as the ecosystems they study” (Raby (2017a); p. 5). Moreover, the
75 “geographic pigeonhole” (Raby 2017a) that would seem to unite this community of scientists
76 — the adjective ‘tropical’ — is itself difficult to operationalize. Formally, *The Tropics* are the
77 band of the Earth’s surface receiving at least one day of direct overhead sunlight per year;
78 this region is delineated by the Tropics of Capricorn and Cancer ($23^{\circ}26'10.4''$ S and N,
79 respectively). However, the ranges of many ‘tropical’ species and ecosystems extend far
80 beyond these boundaries³, which is in part why Feeley and Stroud (2018) identified no less
81 than eight distinct criteria by which authors to define ‘tropical’ systems. How then is it that
82 *Tropical Biology* came to be seen as a distinct subdiscipline, despite the lack the sharp
83 boundaries around which scientific groups typically coalesce?

84 These contemporary perceptions of ‘The Tropics’ as distant and different are the result
85 of centuries of historical and cultural reinforcement (Arnold 1996, Driver & Yeoh 2000,
86 Stepan 2001, Miller & Reill 2011). The first Europeans to visit the tropics returned with
87 vivid, captivating, and frequently pejorative descriptions of the places and people they
88 encountered (Putz & Holbrook 1988). Their stories and images established a series of
89 persistent, often contradictory tropes about tropical regions and people that were repeated
90 and reinterpreted by subsequent visitors and inculcated by colonial expansion (Smith 1950,
91 Stepan 2001). The historian David Arnold (1996) has argued that these narratives of
92 *Tropicality* (*sensu* Gourou 1947), or even using the collective term *The Tropics*, allowed
93 Europeans simultaneously define the region as environmentally and culturally distinct while
94 also superimposing a common identity on culturally distinct parts of the tropical world.

95 The narratives of naturalists such as von Humboldt, Darwin, and Wallace were both

³ Perhaps the most extreme examples are migratory birds such as the northern wheatear (*Oenanthe oenanthe*), which fly over 14,000 km from sub-Saharan Africa to their breeding grounds in the Arctic (Bairlein *et al.* 2012)

96 informed by and reinforced these conceptions of the tropics as ‘distant’ and ‘other’ (Raby
97 2017a); their writing inspired many of the scientists central to the coalescing sciences of
98 ecology and evolutionary biology. Another historian, Megan Raby, has elegantly
99 demonstrated how the resulting scientific narratives, including the unique status of *Tropical*
100 Biology, were not simply distillations of prevailing cultural tropes. Instead they emerged
101 from the complex interplay of the European colonialism, the expansion of US hegemony in
102 Latin America and the Caribbean at the turn of the twentieth century, and the establishment
103 of new field stations as tropical outposts for North American scientists that accompanied
104 this political and economic expansion (Raby 2017a). The role of this scientific colonialism at
105 such a pivotal moment of scientific consolidation cannot be overstated. As Richards (1963)
106 explains, “the science of ecology developed first in central Europe, Scandinavia and Britain
107 and very slightly later in the United States. The ideas and concepts with which it started
108 were therefore inevitably based on the conditions in a temperate climate” (see also Webb
109 1960, Buechner & Fosberg 1967, Ripley 1967) The same would be true of subsequent studies
110 testing and refining these fundamental concepts, further reinforcing the “temperate bias”
111 (*sensu* Zuk 2016) in the leading journals of the day. While engagement with the burgeoning
112 community of field biologists in tropical countries (Raby 2017b) could have expanded the
113 prevailing theories to make them more general, these scientists were rarely to work at the
114 new US-run field stations (Raby 2017a). Their exclusion from the scientific discourse and
115 literature, coupled with the temperate-centered focus of the early theory, suggests that the
116 distinction between Biology and *Tropical* Biology is a historical legacy and largely artificial.

117 **2. Why the answer is ‘*Maybe*’.**

118 “...to this day ecology is biased by concepts and ideas appropriate mainly to the
119 study of vegetation in temperate climate and areas where a very large proportion
120 of the land has long been modified by agriculture and other more or less intensive
121 forms of land usage.”

122 P. W. Richards (1963)

123 Even if *The Tropics* are a historical construct, *Tropical Biology* could still be conceptually
124 distinct field of study if, over time, the scientific community converged on a suite of topics
125 either unique to or best studied in tropical systems. To assess this possibility, I used
126 text-mining tools to compare the content of 15,417 articles reporting research from the
127 tropics with 26,597 studies conducted in other parts of the world. These studies were
128 published from 1990-2022 in N = 11 journals (*Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *Ecology*,
129 *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *Evolution*, *Biotropica*, *Journal of Ecology*, *Tropical Conservation*
130 *Science*, *American Naturalist*, *Tropical Ecology*, *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, *Revista de*
131 *Biología Tropical*). A complete description of the methods used to gather and process these
132 data are in the *Supporting Information*; briefly, I began by extracting all keywords and titles
133 from the entire collection of articles (N = 69,919), then calculated the percentage of articles
134 in each category using each of those keywords. The results below are based on the top N =
135 50 keywords in each article category (similar patterns emerge when analyzing titles, see
136 *Supporting Information*). Two major patterns emerge from this analysis. The first is that
137 28% of the most frequently used keywords from ‘tropical’ articles reflected geographic
138 locations (e.g., *Costa Rica*, *Amazonia*, *Barro Colorado Island*). In contrast, the
139 overwhelming majority of keywords from non-tropical articles (98%) were conceptual (e.g.,
140 *competition*, *ecosystem function*, *sexual selection*; Table 1). The second is that after removing
141 the system- and location-specific keywords, there is ample conceptual overlap between
142 tropical and non-tropical studies (Table 2) that is consistent with broader trends in
143 ecological research (Carmel *et al.* 2013, McCallen *et al.* 2019, Anderson *et al.* 2021). That
144 said, the most common research topics within each article category often differ dramatically
145 in their relative rankings (Figure S1), and there are notable areas of topical divergence
146 (Table 2). One interpretation of these results is that *Tropical Biology* is in fact a
147 subdiscipline focused on problems and topics of particular relevance in tropical locations.
148 While there are subjects for which this is undoubtedly true, the observed differences could

149 also reflect the historical relegation of certain subjects to the tropics (Zuk 2016) or the
150 over-representation of certain research sites (Stocks *et al.* 2008). Both of these can shape the
151 development of theory and determine what data are used to test it (Raby 2017a). A similar
152 argument has been put forward for the social sciences by Castro Torres and
153 Alburez-Gutierrez (2022), who argue that the far greater prevalence of geographic markers in
154 the titles of articles by authors in the Global South both indicates and perpetuates “an
155 unwarranted claim on universality” by scholars from North America and Europe. This
156 parallel evidence from a different field is compelling; nevertheless, the patterns presented
157 here are insufficient for affirming the intellectual independence of *Tropical Biology*.

158 **3. Why the answer is ‘Yes’**

159 “*No education is complete without a trip to the Tropics.*”

160 J. E. Webb (1960)

161 Finally, I believe an argument can be made for treating *Tropical Biology* as a unique
162 discipline, but not one based on the reasons typically put forward by others. What sets
163 *Tropical Biology* apart is not the biology *per se* (*sensu* Robinson 1978). Rather, what
164 Tropical Biologists have in common is the broader context in which their scholarship is
165 embedded and carried out. Research anywhere is challenging, but for tropical biologists the
166 precarious infrastructure, economic volatility, limited resources, and political instability can
167 make the challenges feel insurmountable. These struggles can be compounded by having to
168 communicate one’s results in a foreign language (Amano *et al.* 2016) to the potentially
169 biased reviewers and readers (Smith *et al.* 2023) of journals that are increasingly charging
170 publications fees equivalent to several months salary (Smith *et al.* 2021). When added to the
171 physical and emotional toll of disease, crime, working in isolation, habitat loss, and the
172 potential for professional retribution or physical violence (Clancy *et al.* 2014, Ellwanger *et al.*
173 2020, Palinkas & Wong 2020), tropical biology and conservation can be uniquely dangerous —
174 even deadly. Lamentably, this is also true for the heroic conservationists, indigenous leaders,

175 and journalists with whom we work (Cavalcanti *et al.* 2023).

176 **4. The Future of (Tropical) Biology**

177 “*There are few things more presumptuous than a US scientist holding forth on the*
178 *future of tropical ecology*”

179 D. H. Janzen (1972)

180 In 1945 the President of the Ecological Society of America (ESA), Orlando Park, encouraged
181 its members to establish a “full scale program in tropical ecology”, including “a new
182 journal... dealing with tropical biology in its broadest aspects” (Park 1945). How would the
183 field be different if the ESA had done so? What if the scientific community had paid heed to
184 Richards (1946) and properly centered the tropics when drawing biological generalizations?
185 Or if UNESCO’s International Hylean Amazon Institute, the ambitious international
186 consortium proposed in 1946 by Brazilian biochemist and diplomat Paulo Carneiro (Dresser
187 1948, Maio & Sá 2000), had come to fruition? Perhaps universities in Europe and North
188 America would offer elective courses in *Temperate Biology*. The instructors of these courses
189 might present their research at the annual meeting of the *Association for Temperate Biology*
190 & Conservation (Figure 2) and publish papers in specialized journals, with article titles that
191 — in contrast to the more broadly relevant research from the tropics — emphasize the
192 temperate systems or locations the work was done (Figure 3).

193 I prefer instead to consider what the ambiguity of my conclusions implies for how we
194 should move forward. I suggest that the future lies in neither dropping the adjective that
195 motivates so many of us, nor keeping it and accepting status as as specialization. Instead, I
196 call on ATBC members to continue taking pride in and elevating what makes biology in the
197 tropics distinct and important — the places and context in which we work — while working
198 to recenter tropical ecosystems as the biological foundation and conceptual focus of Ecology
199 and Evolutionary Biology. Below are six actions with which I propose anyone can help us
200 *reclaim and reshape the Tropical Narrative*.

201 **Cite with purpose.** Citation is a powerful and political act; it conveys legitimacy on
202 the scholarship in the article being cited as well as its author, helps elevate the profile of the
203 author and study system, and those reading your work will cite these articles when writing
204 their own. For many scientists it also plays an important role in their professional
205 advancement. Be mindful of this impact and the opportunity it presents when choosing
206 whom to cite. Cite scientists whose work or approach you feel is undervalued or overlooked.
207 Cite scientists from countries or institutions that have been ignored by the broader scientific
208 community. Cite scientists whose approach to research you feel others should emulate. Cite
209 studies conducted in the tropics.

210 **Teach with Purpose.** All tropical biologists are teachers, whether it be in a
211 classroom or in a meeting with policy makers, and teaching also provides an opportunity to
212 elevate the scholarship of others. Be mindful of whose papers are assigned as readings, the
213 studies and systems used to illustrate concepts, and the scientists highlighted in
214 presentations. Use your syllabus as a tool to recast the narrative about the tropics and the
215 scientific community that studies them. Train students in the skills needed when working in
216 tropical systems — collaboration, facilitation, conflict resolution, and communication to
217 diverse audiences (Kainer *et al.* 2006, Duchelle *et al.* 2009). Teach collaboratively and
218 cross-nationally (Russell *et al.* 2022).

219 **Collaborate with Purpose.** International collaboration can be challenging, but
220 personally and professionally rewarding (Smith *et al.* 2014). Be mindful of global scientific
221 inequities, laws, and ‘parachute science’ (Gómez-Pompa 2004, Asase *et al.* 2022,
222 Ramírez-Castañeda *et al.* 2022). Allow community members to guide the development of
223 research priorities and questions (Kainer *et al.* 2009). Push for organizations to strengthen
224 collaborations with — and especially within — the Global South (Ocampo-Ariza *et al.*
225 2023). Partner with communities to identify research questions and return the results of
226 research (Ferreira de Athayde *et al.* 2006, Kainer *et al.* 2006). Treat the parataxonomists,
227 field technicians, and station staff that make our work possible with the respect they deserve

228 (Basset *et al.* 2004); that includes recognizing their contributions with coauthorship (Bruna
229 *et al.* 2004). Review submissions for and submit articles to national journals. Make an effort
230 to learn the local language.

231 **Engage the Public.** Public fascination with the tropics and their charismatic species
232 (Albert *et al.* 2018) provides unparalleled opportunities for outreach and education (Moreira
233 & Robles 2017). Take advantage of global sporting events (Melo *et al.* 2014), teams with
234 tropical species as mascots (Sartore-Baldwin & McCullough 2019), movies set in the tropics
235 (Yong *et al.* 2011), tropical images in fashion (Kutesko 2014), or other connections between
236 people's interests and tropical biodiversity. Leverage this universal appeal into support for
237 tropical research and conservation, but beware of philanthropic paternalism and the risk of
238 perpetuating stereotypes.

239 **Get in the Game.** Help make the process of publishing more fair by serving as a
240 review or subject editor for *Biotropica* (Powers *et al.* 2024). Contribute to capacity building
241 efforts by reviewing student seed grants proposals or serving as a judge for student
242 presentations at the ATBC's Annual Meeting. Join a committee or chapter and organize a
243 webinar, workshop, hackathon, or reading group. What should the ATBC be doing
244 differently? Communicate your ideas to the leadership or stand for election and push for
245 change as a Councilor.

246 **Support and celebrate one another.** Finally, remember that the work done by
247 tropical biologists addresses the “neglected problems that afflict most of the world’s people”
248 (Annan 2003). Conducting research — regardless of the subject — advances the
249 socioeconomic condition of the country in which it’s conducted. It is difficult, frustrating,
250 and not without risk. Take a moment to thank, congratulate, and support each other
251 (Rudzki *et al.* 2022, Nordseth *et al.* 2023) for your contributions and the effort and resilience
252 that they required. There is no more important a time to be a *Tropical Biologist*.

Table 1

Top keywords in tropical articles, non-tropical articles, and keywords that the categories have in common. Keywords in bold refer to species, geographic locations, or systems.

Tropical: Unique Top Keywords (rank)	Non-Tropical: Unique Top Keywords (rank)	Shared Top Keywords (rank in Tropical, Non-Tropical)
costa rica (1)	sexual selection (1)	diversity (2, 6)
tropical forest (3)	phenotypic plasticity (4)	fragmentation (8, 42)
mexico (4)	tradeoff (9)	herbivory (10, 11)
seed dispersal (5)	adaptation (10)	disturbance (11, 27)
tropical rainforest (6)	natural selection (12)	climate change (12, 3)
conservation (7)	population dynamic (13)	species richness (13, 24)
brazil (9)	density dependence (15)	competition (16, 2)
panama (14)	fitness (16)	phenology (21, 46)
rainforest (15)	coevolution (17)	predation (22, 14)
neotropic (17)	body size (18)	speciation (24, 5)
savanna (18)	evolution (19)	pollination (28, 48)
growth (19)	local adaptation (20)	dispersal (36, 7)
seed germination (20)	gene flow (21)	nitrogen (39, 44)
frugivory (23)	phylogeny (22)	temperature (41, 37)
tropical dryforest (25)	quantitative genetic (23)	mutualism (45, 33)
reproduction (26)	food web (25)	lifehistory (49, 8)
seasonality (27)	heritability (26)	
venezuela (29)	coexistence (28)	
caribbean (30)	hybridization (29)	
distribution (31)	experimental evolution (30)	
biogeography (32)	selection (31)	
biomass (33)	reproductive isolation (32)	
abundance (34)	demography (34)	
seed predation (35)	survival (35)	
taxonomy (37)	facilitation (36)	
atlantic forest (38)	maternal effect (38)	
amazon (40)	metapopulation (39)	
functional trait (42)	usa (40)	
colombia (43)	extinction (41)	
succession (44)	mate choice (43)	
phosphorus (46)	sperm competition (45)	
tropic (47)	ecosystem function (47)	
mortality (48)	sexual conflict (49)	
fire (50)	mating system (50)	

Table 2

Top keywords from tropical and non-tropical articles that are unique to each category once system-specific keywords have been excluded, followed by the top keywords from each category that they have in common. Keywords in bold refer to species, geographic locations, or systems.

Tropical: Unique Top Keywords (rank)	Non-Tropical: Unique Top Keywords (rank)	Shared Top Keywords (rank in Tropical, Non-Tropical)
seed dispersal (2)	sexual selection (2)	diversity (1,6)
conservation (3)	phenotypic plasticity (3)	fragmentation (4,41)
rainforest (9)	tradeoff (9)	herbivory (5,11)
savanna (11)	adaptation (11)	disturbance (6,27)
growth (12)	natural selection (12)	climate change (7,3)
seed germination (13)	density dependence (13)	species richness (8,24)
frugivory (16)	fitness (16)	competition (10,2)
reproduction (18)	coevolution (18)	phenology (14,45)
seasonality (19)	body size (19)	predation (15,14)
distribution (21)	evolution (21)	speciation (17,5)
biomass (22)	local adaptation (22)	pollination (20,47)
biogeography (23)	gene flow (23)	dispersal (25,7)
abundance (24)	quantitative genetic (24)	nitrogen (28,43)
seed predation (26)	food web (26)	temperature (29,37)
taxonomy (27)	heritability (27)	mutualism (32,33)
functional trait (30)	coexistence (30)	lifehistory (35,8)
succession (31)	experimental evolution (31)	population dynamic (45,13)
phosphorus (33)	hybridization (33)	phylogeny (48,22)
mortality (34)	selection (34)	demography (49,35)
fire (36)	reproductive isolation (36)	
coral reef (37)	survival (37)	
bird (38)	facilitation (38)	
mangrove (39)	maternal effect (39)	
diet (40)	metapopulation (40)	
regeneration (41)	extinction (41)	
recruitment (42)	mate choice (42)	
species diversity (43)	sperm competition (43)	
community structure (44)	ecosystem function (44)	
ecology (46)	sexual conflict (46)	
litter (47)	mating system (47)	
beta diversity (50)	migration (50)	




Figure 1. Alternative ways in which researchers self-organize in scholarly societies: (a) Conceptual Domain, (b) Systemic Domain, or (c) Methodological Domain. The Association for Tropical Biology & Conservation (i.e., ATBC) is unique in that transcends the three domains: its members use a broad diversity of species, ecosystems, and methods to address questions grounded in – or even transcending – multiple distinct conceptual domains.



Figure 2. The logo for a proposed new scholarly society for researchers specializing on temperate ecosystems and species.






a. Original title**b. Reimagined title**

Figure 3. The (a) original and (b) reimagined titles of four high-profile research articles. Comparing these emphasizes how the original titles reflect and reinforce the idea that 'reference' or 'default ecosystems are found in the Temperate Zone.

253 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

254 I am grateful to the organizers of the 2022 Meeting of the ATBC for encouraging the
255 Presidential Plenary on which this essay is based, to J. Powers for her outstanding editorial
256 work, and to P. Delamônica for her unending support and insights. I am also grateful to M.
257 Raby and N. Stepan, whose outstanding books shaped many of the ideas expressed here.
258 This essay is dedicated to the memory of Emilio Bruna Jr.

259 DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

260 The data used in this publication are available at Dryad <DOI added upon
261 acceptance>. The code used to import, organize, and analyze these data, along with the
262 code for preparing the figures, tables, and manuscript, are available at Zenodo <DOI added
263 upon acceptance>.

264 The data used in this paper are part of a larger dataset collected for a longitudinal
265 study of research in the tropics. That data set, and the code used to harvest, clean, and
266 organize it, are available at Github https://github.com/BrunaLab/tropical_bibliometrics.
267 Questions regarding the data or code, or suggestions for improvement should be posted as
268 Issues on that repository or referred to E. M. Bruna. That repository also includes a
269 NEWS.md file summarizing any post-publication updates.

270 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

271 The author confirms that there have been no involvements that might raise the
272 question of bias in the work reported or in the conclusions, implications, or opinions stated.

273 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

274 E.M.B conceived the study and is responsible for the methodology, data collection,
275 data curation, formal analysis, validation, visualization, software, and writing.

276

REFERENCES

- 277 ALBERT, C., G. M. LUQUE, and F. COURCHAMP. 2018. The twenty most charismatic
278 species. PLOS ONE 13: e0199149. 10.1371/journal.pone.0199149.
- 279 AMANO, T., J. P. GONZÁLEZ-VARO, and W. J. SUTHERLAND. 2016. Languages are still a
280 major barrier to global science. PLoS Biology 14: e2000933.
281 10.1371/journal.pbio.2000933.
- 282 ANDERSON, S. C., P. R. ELSEN, B. B. HUGHES, R. K. TONIETTO, M. C. BLETZ, D. A.
283 GILL, M. A. HOLGERSON, S. E. KUEBBING, C. McDONOUGH MACKENZIE, M. H.
284 MEEK, and D. VERÍSSIMO. 2021. Trends in ecology and conservation over eight decades.
285 Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 19: 274–282. 10.1002/fee.2320.
- 286 ANNAN, K. 2003. A challenge to the world's scientists. Science 299: 1485–1485.
287 10.1126/science.299.5612.1485.
- 288 ARNOLD, D. 1996. The problem of nature: Environment, culture and European expansion.
289 Blackwell.
- 290 ASASE, A., T. I. MZUMARA-GAWA, J. O. OWINO, A. T. PETERSON, and E. SAUPE.
291 2022. Replacing “parachute science” with “global science” in ecology and conservation
292 biology. Conservation Science and Practice 4: e517. 10.1111/csp2.517.
- 293 BAIRLEIN, F., D. R. NORRIS, R. NAGEL, M. BULTE, C. C. VOIGT, J. W. FOX, D. J. T.
294 HUSSELL, and H. SCHMALJOHANN. 2012. Cross-hemisphere migration of a 25 g
295 songbird. Biology Letters 8: 505–507. 10.1098/rsbl.2011.1223.

- 296 BASSET, Y., V. NOVOTNY, S. E. MILLER, G. D. WEIBLEN, O. MISSA, and A. J. A.
297 STEWART. 2004. Conservation and biological monitoring of tropical forests: The role of
298 parataxonomists. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 41: 163–174.
- 299 BAWA, K. S., W. J. KRESS, N. M. NADKARNI, and S. LELE. 2004. Beyond paradise:
300 Meeting the challenges in tropical biology in the 21st century. *Biotropica* 36: 437–446.
301 10.1111/j.1744-7429.2004.tb00341.x.
- 302 BENOIT, K., D. MUHR, and K. WATANABE. 2021. Stopwords: Multilingual stopword lists.
303 [Https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=stopwords](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=stopwords).
- 304 BRUNA, E. M., W. J. KRESS, F. MARQUES, and O. F. DA SILVA. 2004. *Heliconia*
305 *acuminata* reproductive success is independent of local floral density. *Acta Amazonica* 34:
306 467–471. 10.1590/S0044-59672004000300012.
- 307 BUECHNER, H. K., and F. R. FOSBERG. 1967. A contribution toward a world program in
308 tropical biology. *BioScience* 17: 532–538. 10.2307/1294010.
- 309 CARMEL, Y., R. KENT, A. BAR-MASSADA, L. BLANK, J. LIBERZON, O. NEZER, G.
310 SAPIR, and R. FEDERMAN. 2013. Trends in ecological research during the last three
311 decades: A systematic review. *PLoS ONE* 8: e59813. 10.1371/journal.pone.0059813.
- 312 CASTRO TORRES, A. F., and D. ALBUREZ-GUTIERREZ. 2022. North and South: Naming
313 practices and the hidden dimension of global disparities in knowledge production.
314 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 119: e2119373119.
315 10.1073/pnas.2119373119.

- 316 CAVALCANTI, R. P., G. F. BENZAQUEN, S. DA S. R. GOMES, and V. P. ALMEIDA. 2023.
317 Political violence and mobilisation in Brazil's Amazonian region during Bolsonaro's
318 government (2019–2022). *Justice, Power and Resistance* 6: 152–170. 10.1332/SONH8866.
- 319 CHAPMAN, V. J., C. O. FLEMMICH, A. L. GRIFFITH, J. L. HARLEY, R. HOBBINS, C. H.
320 HOLMES, C. DE ROSAYRO, and J. WYATT-SMITH. 1945. Need for development of
321 tropical ecological studies. *Nature* 156: 627–628. 10.1038/156627a0.
- 322 CHAZDON, R. L., and T. C. WHITMORE eds. 2001. *Foundations of Tropical Forest
323 Biology: Classic Papers with Commentaries*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
- 324 CLANCY, K. B. H., R. G. NELSON, J. N. RUTHERFORD, and K. HINDE. 2014. Survey of
325 academic field experiences (SAFE): Trainees report harassment and assault. *PLoS ONE*
326 9: e102172. 10.1371/journal.pone.0102172.
- 327 CORNER, E. J. H. 1946. Need for the development of tropical ecological stations. *Nature*
328 157: 377–377. 10.1038/157377b0.
- 329 DRESSER, P. VAN. 1948. The Future of the Amazon. *Scientific American* 178: 11–15.
- 330 DRIVER, F., and B. S. A. YEOH. 2000. Constructing the tropics: introduction. Singapore
331 *Journal of Tropical Geography* 21: 1–5. 10.1111/1467-9493.00059.
- 332 DUCHELLE, A. E., K. BIEDENWEG, C. LUCAS, A. VIRAPONGSE, J. RADACHOWSKY, D.
333 J. WOJCIK, M. LONDRES, W.-L. BARTELS, D. ALVIRA, and K. A. KAINER. 2009.
334 Graduate students and knowledge exchange with local stakeholders: Possibilities and
335 preparation. *Biotropica* 41: 578–585. 10.1111/j.1744-7429.2009.00563.x.

- 336 ELLWANGER, J. H., B. KULMANN-LEAL, V. L. KAMINSKI, J. M. VALVERDE-VILLEGRAS,
337 A. B. G. D. VEIGA, F. R. SPILKI, P. M. FEARNSIDE, L. CAESAR, L. L. GIATTI, G.
338 L. WALLAU, S. E. M. ALMEIDA, M. R. BORBA, V. P. D. HORA, and J. A. B.
339 CHIES. 2020. Beyond diversity loss and climate change: Impacts of Amazon
340 deforestation on infectious diseases and public health. Anais da Academia Brasileira de
341 Ciências 92: e20191375. 10.1590/0001-3765202020191375.
- 342 EPPLEY, T. M. et al. 2024. Tropical field stations yield high conservation return on
343 investment. Conservation Letters e13007. 10.1111/conl.13007.
- 344 FEELEY, K. J., and J. T. STROUD. 2018. Where on Earth are the “tropics”? Frontiers of
345 Biogeography 10. 10.21425/F5FBG38649.
- 346 FERREIRA DE ATHAYDE, S., G. MOSIMANN DA SILVA, J. KAIABI, M. KAIABI, H. ROCHA
347 DE SOUZA, K. ONO, and E. M. BRUNA. 2006. Participatory Research and Management
348 of Arumã (*Ischnosiphon gracilis* [Rudge[Köern., Marantaceae]) by the Kaiabi People in
349 the Brazilian Amazon. Journal of Ethnobiology 26: 36–59.
350 10.2993/0278-0771_2006_26_36_pramoa_2.0.co_2.
- 351 FOURNIER, A. M. V., M. E. BOONE, F. R. STEVENS, and E. M. BRUNA. 2020.
352 Refsplitr: Author name disambiguation, author georeferencing, and mapping of
353 coauthorship networks with Web of Science data. Journal of Open Source Software 5:
354 2028. 10.21105/joss.02028.
- 355 GASTON, K. J. 2000. Global patterns in biodiversity. Nature 405: 220–227.
356 10.1038/35012228.

- 357 GÓMEZ-POMPA, A. 2004. The role of biodiversity scientists in a troubled world. BioScience
358 54: 217–225. 10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0217:TROBSI]2.0.CO;2.
- 359 GOUROU, P. 1947. Les pays tropicaux, principes d'une géographie humaine et économique.
360 [1. éd.]. Presses Universitaires de France, Paris.
- 361 HOORNWEG, D., and K. POPE. 2017. Population predictions for the world's largest cities
362 in the 21st century. Environment and Urbanization 29: 195–216.
363 10.1177/0956247816663557.
- 364 JANZEN, D. 1972. Whither Tropical Ecology. In J. A. Behnke (Ed.) Challenging Biological
365 Problems: Directions Toward Their Solution. pp. 281–296, Oxford University Press, New
366 York.
- 367 JANZEN, D. H. 1986. The future of tropical ecology. Annual Review of Ecology and
368 Systematics 17: 305–324.
- 369 KAINER, K. A., M. L. DiGIANO, A. E. DUCHELLE, L. H. O. WADT, E. M. BRUNA, and
370 J. L. DAIN. 2009. Partnering for greater success: Local stakeholders and research in
371 tropical biology and conservation. Biotropica 41: 555–562.
372 10.1111/j.1744-7429.2009.00560.x.
- 373 KAINER, K. A., M. SCHMINCK, H. COVERT, J. R. STEPP, E. M. BRUNA, J. L. DAIN, S.
374 ESPINOSA, and S. HUMPHRIES. 2006. A graduate education framework for tropical
375 conservation and development. Conservation Biology 20: 3–13.
376 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2006.00356.x.

- 377 KUTESKO, E. 2014. Adidas shows the changing face of Brazil with tropical collection. The
378 Conversation (available at <http://theconversation.com/adidas-shows-the-changing-face-of-brazil-with-tropical-collection-26546>).
379
- 380 MAIO, M. C., and M. R. SÁ. 2000. Ciência na periferia: A Unesco, a proposta de criação
381 do Instituto Internacional da Hidroárea Amazônica e as origens do Inpa. História, Ciências,
382 Saúde-Manguinhos 6: 975–1017. 10.1590/S0104-5970200000500011.
- 383 MCCALLEN, E., J. KNOTT, G. NUNEZ-MIR, B. TAYLOR, I. JO, and S. FEI. 2019. Trends
384 in ecology: Shifts in ecological research themes over the past four decades. Frontiers in
385 Ecology and the Environment 17: 109–116. 10.1002/fee.1993.
- 386 MELO, F. P., J. A. SIQUEIRA, B. A. SANTOS, O. ÁLVARES-DA-SILVA, G. CEBALLOS,
387 and E. BERNARD. 2014. Football and biodiversity conservation: FIFA and Brazil can
388 still hit a green goal. Biotropica 46: 257–259. 10.1111/btp.12114.
- 389 MILLER, D. P., and P. H. REILL eds. 2011. Visions of empire: Voyages, botany, and
390 representations of nature. Cambridge University Press.
- 391 MOLES, A. T., and J. OLLERTON. 2016. Is the notion that species interactions are stronger
392 and more specialized in the tropics a zombie idea? Biotropica 48: 141–145.
393 10.1111/btp.12281.
- 394 MOREIRA, J. C., and R. A. ROBLES. 2017. Tamar Project: Conservation and education in
395 ecotourism activities related to turtles in Fernando de Noronha Archipelago, Brazil. *In* I.
396 Borges de Lima and R. J. Green (Eds.) Wildlife Tourism, Environmental Learning and
397 Ethical Encounters: Ecological and Conservation Aspects. Geoheritage, Geoparks and

- 398 Geotourism. pp. 169–181, Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- 399 10.1007/978-3-319-55574-4_10.
- 400 NORDSETH, A. E., J. R. GERSON, L. K. AGUILAR, A. E. DUNHAM, A. GENTLES, Z.
401 NEALE, and E. REBOL. 2023. The Fieldwork Wellness Framework: A new approach to
402 field research in ecology. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 21: 297–303.
403 10.1002/fee.2649.
- 404 OCAMPO-ARIZA, C. et al. 2023. Global South leadership towards inclusive tropical ecology
405 and conservation. *Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation* 21: 17–24.
406 10.1016/j.pecon.2023.01.002.
- 407 PALINKAS, L. A., and M. WONG. 2020. Global climate change and mental health. *Current
408 Opinion in Psychology* 32: 12–16. 10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.06.023.
- 409 PARK, O. 1945. Observations concerning the future of ecology. *Ecology* 26: 1–9.
410 10.2307/1931910.
- 411 POWERS, J. S., J. RATNAM, and E. SLADE. 2024. *Biotropica* 's first open call for editorial
412 service. *Biotropica* 56: e13339. 10.1111/btp.13339.
- 413 PUTZ, F. E., and N. M. HOLBROOK. 1988. Tropical rain-forest images. In J. S. Denslow
414 and C. Padoch (Eds.) *People of the Tropical Rain Forest*. pp. 37–52, University of
415 California Press, Berkeley.
- 416 R CORE TEAM. 2023. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
417 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria <https://www.R-project.org/>.

- 418 RABY, M. 2017a. American tropics: The Caribbean roots of biodiversity science. UNC
419 Press Books.
- 420 RABY, M. 2017b. The colonial origins of tropical field stations: To confront persistent
421 geographic and demographic biases in environmental science, researchers must
422 understand the history of their field sites. *American Scientist* 105: 216–224.
- 423 RAMÍREZ-CASTAÑEDA, V. et al. 2022. A set of principles and practical suggestions for
424 equitable fieldwork in biology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 119:
425 e2122667119. 10.1073/pnas.2122667119.
- 426 RICHARDS, P. W. 1946. Need for the development of tropical ecological stations. *Nature*
427 157: 377–377. 10.1038/157377a0.
- 428 RICHARDS, P. W. 1963. What the tropics can contribute to ecology. *Journal of Ecology* 51:
429 231–241. 10.2307/2257682.
- 430 RICHARDS, P. W. 1964. Towards a programme for tropical biology. *Bulletin of the*
431 *Association for Tropical Biology* 8–15.
- 432 RIPLEY, S. D. 1967. Perspectives in tropical biology. *BioScience* 17: 538–540.
433 10.2307/1294011.
- 434 ROBINSON, M. H. 1978. Is tropical biology real. *Tropical Ecology* 19: 30–52.
- 435 RUDZKI, E. N., S. E. KUEBBING, D. R. CLARK, B. GHARAIBEH, M. J. JANECKA, R.
436 KRAMP, K. D. KOHL, T. MASTALSKI, M. E. B. OHMER, M. M. TURCOTTE, and C.

- 437 L. RICHARDS-ZAWACKI. 2022. A guide for developing a field research safety manual that
438 explicitly considers risks for marginalized identities in the sciences. *Methods in Ecology*
439 and Evolution
- 440 13: 2318–2330. 10.1111/2041-210X.13970.
- 441 RUSSELL, A. E., T. M. AIDE, E. BRAKER, C. N. GANONG, R. D. HARDIN, K. D. HOLL,
442 S. C. HOTCHKISS, J. A. KLEMENS, E. K. KUPREWICZ, D. McCLEARN, G.
443 MIDDENDORF, R. OSTERTAG, J. S. POWERS, S. E. RUSSO, J. L. STYNOSKI, U.
444 VALDEZ, and C. G. WILLIS. 2022. Integrating tropical research into biology education is
urgently needed. *PLoS Biology* 20: e3001674. 10.1371/journal.pbio.3001674.
- 445 SARTORE-BALDWIN, M., and B. MCCULLOUGH. 2019. Examining sport fans and the
446 endangered species who represent their affiliated team mascots. *Society & Animals* 29:
447 268–286. 10.1163/15685306-12341605.
- 448 SILGE, J., and D. ROBINSON. 2016. Tidytext: Text mining and analysis using tidy data
449 principles in R. *Journal of Open Source Software* 1(3). 10.21105/joss.00037.
- 450 SMITH, A. C., L. MERZ, J. B. BORDEN, C. K. GULICK, A. R. KSHIRSAGAR, and E. M.
451 BRUNA. 2021. Assessing the effect of article processing charges on the geographic
452 diversity of authors using Elsevier’s “Mirror Journal” system. *Quantitative Science*
453 *Studies* 2: 1123–1143. 10.1162/qss_a_00157.
- 454 SMITH, B. 1950. European vision and the South Pacific. *Journal of the Warburg and*
455 *Courtauld Institutes* 13: 65–100. 10.2307/750143.
- 456 SMITH, M. J., C. WEINBERGER, E. M. BRUNA, and S. ALLESINA. 2014. The scientific
457 impact of nations: Journal placement and citation performance. *PLoS ONE* 9.

- 458 10.1371/journal.pone.0109195.
- 459 SMITH, O. M., K. L. DAVIS, R. B. PIZZA, R. WATERMAN, K. C. DOBSON, B. FOSTER,
460 J. C. JARVEY, L. N. JONES, W. LEUENBERGER, N. NOURN, E. E. CONWAY, C. M.
461 FISER, Z. A. HANSEN, A. HRISTOVA, C. MACK, A. N. SAUNDERS, O. J. UTLEY, M.
462 L. YOUNG, and C. L. DAVIS. 2023. Peer review perpetuates barriers for historically
463 excluded groups. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 7: 512–523. 10.1038/s41559-023-01999-w.
- 464 SOHMER, S. H. 1980. NSF support of basic research in tropical biology. *BioScience* 30:
465 412–415. 10.2307/1308006.
- 466 STEGMANN, L. F., F. M. FRANÇA, R. L. CARVALHO, J. BARLOW, E. BERENGUER, L.
467 CASTELLO, L. JUEN, F. B. BACCARO, I. C. G. VIEIRA, C. A. NUNES, R. OLIVEIRA,
468 E. M. VENTICINQUE, J. SCHIETTI, and J. FERREIRA. 2024. Brazilian public funding
469 for biodiversity research in the Amazon. *Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation* 22:
470 1–7. 10.1016/j.pecon.2024.01.003.
- 471 STEPAN, N. 2001. *Picturing tropical nature*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca.
- 472 STOCKS, G., L. SEALES, F. PANIAGUA, E. MAEHR, and E. M. BRUNA. 2008. The
473 geographical and institutional distribution of ecological research in the tropics.
474 *Biotropica* 40: 397–404. 10.1111/j.1744-7429.2007.00393.x.
- 475 WEBB, J. E. 1960. Biology in the Tropics. *Nature* 188: 617–619. 10.1038/188617a0.
- 476 YONG, D. L., S. D. FAM, and S. LUM. 2011. Reel conservation: Can big screen animations
477 save tropical biodiversity? *Tropical Conservation Science* 4: 244–253.

478 10.1177/194008291100400302.

479 ZUK, M. 2016. Temperate assumptions: How where we work influences how we think. The
480 American Naturalist 188: S1–S7. 10.1086/687546.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Is there really such a thing as *Tropical Biology*?

Emilio M. Bruna ^{1,2} *

¹ Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation, University of Florida, PO Box 110430, Gainesville, FL 32611-0430, USA

² Center for Latin American Studies, University of Florida, PO Box 115530, Gainesville, FL 32611-5530, USA

* Corresponding author; email: embruna@ufl.edu.

¹ **1. Collection, processing, and visualization of bibliometric data**

² To identify the conceptual domains studied by researchers working in ‘Tropical’ and
³ “non-Tropical” locations, I used information extracted from the bibliographic records of
⁴ articles published These studies were published from 1990-2022 in N = 11 journals (*Journal*
⁵ *of Evolutionary Biology*, *Ecology*, *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *Evolution*, *Biotropica*, *Journal*
⁶ *of Ecology*, *Tropical Conservation Science*, *American Naturalist*, *Tropical Ecology*, *Journal of*
⁷ *Tropical Ecology*, *Revista de Biología Tropical*). Specifically, I compared (1) article keywords
⁸ and title bigrams (i.e., pairs of sequential words in titles, e.g., *seed predation*, *species*
⁹ *diversity*). Below I describe how the article records were identified, downloaded, processed,
¹⁰ and assigned to the ‘Tropical’ and “non-Tropical” categories using code written in the R
¹¹ programming language (R Core Team 2023).

¹² On 8 February 2023, I downloaded all bibliographic data available in SCOPUS and the
¹³ Web of Science ‘Core Collection’ for all articles published in the focal journals; both SCOPUS
¹⁴ and the Web of Science were queried because they differ in the years indexed for each journal.
¹⁵ I then used the `refsplitr` package (Fournier *et al.* 2020) to process the records and remove
¹⁶ any duplicates. After removing all stopwords (Benoit *et al.* 2021) from article titles and
¹⁷ keywords, I spell-checked, stemmed, and lemmatized all of the keywords and title words and
¹⁸ extracted bigrams from titles with the `tidytext` library (Silge & Robinson 2016). Finally, I
¹⁹ identified each article as either ‘Tropical’ or ‘non-Tropical’; all articles published in (*Journal*
²⁰ *of Evolutionary Biology*, *Ecology*, *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *Evolution*, *Biotropica*, *Journal*
²¹ *of Ecology*, *Tropical Conservation Science*, *American Naturalist*, *Tropical Ecology*, *Journal of*
²² *Tropical Ecology*, *Revista de Biología Tropical*) were assigned to the ‘Tropical’ category, while
²³ articles published in the other journals were assigned to one of these categories based on a
²⁴ search of the titles, keywords, or abstracts for a list of domain-specific terms (e.g., tropical:
²⁵ *amazon*, *andes*, *congo*, *bci*, *chamela*; non-tropical: *finland*, *boreal*, *eastern decid*, *arctic*, *polar*).
²⁶ These procedures resulted in N = 42,014 total articles published, of which N = 15,417
²⁷ reported research conducted in the tropics and N = 26,597 were based on work conducted in

28 other locations. Collectively, these articles used $N = 69,919$ and $N = 126,796$ title bigrams.

29 The number of articles varies widely between journals, as does the number of keywords
30 per article. Comparing counts of keyword frequency in tropical and non-tropical articles
31 could therefore bias results towards the content published in a small number of journals. To
32 correct for this, I calculated the percentage of articles in each geographic category that used
33 each keyword or title bigram. I then selected the $N = 50$ most frequently used terms in each
34 geographic category, and identified (a) any terms that ‘tropical’ and ‘non-tropical’ articles
35 had in common, and (b) any terms that were unique to each article category.

36 2. Data and Code

37 The data used in this publication are available at Dryad <*DOI added upon acceptance*>.
38 The code used to import, organize, and analyze these data, along with the code for preparing
39 the figures, tables, and manuscript, are available at Zenodo <*DOI added upon acceptance*>.

40 The data used in this paper are part of a larger data set collected for a longitudinal
41 study of research in the tropics. That data set and the code used to harvest, clean, and
42 organize it are available at Github https://github.com/BrunaLab/tropical_bibliometrics.
43 Questions regarding the data or code, or suggestions for improvement should be posted as
44 Issues on that repository or referred to E. M. Bruna. That repository also includes a
45 NEWS.md file summarizing any post-publication updates.

46 REFERENCES

47 BENOIT, K., D. MUHR, and K. WATANABE. 2021. Stopwords: Multilingual stopword
48 lists. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=stopwords>

49 FOURNIER, A. M. V., M. E. BOONE, F. R. STEVENS, and E. M. BRUNA. 2020.
50 Refsplitr: Author name disambiguation, author georeferencing, and mapping of coauthorship
51 networks with Web of Science data. Journal of Open Source Software 5: 2028.

52 R CORE TEAM. 2023. R: {A} language and environment for statistical computing. R
53 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>

- 54 SILGE, J., and D. ROBINSON. 2016. Tidytext: Text mining and analysis using tidy
55 data principles in R. Journal of Open Source Software 1(3).




Figure S1. The N = 50 most common keywords from articles based on research conducted in (a) the tropics and (b) non-tropical regions. The rank of these words is based on the percentage of articles in each category that included them. Terms reflecting geography (e.g., *tropics*, *Peru*, *Southern*) are indicated in bold and with filled bars.




Figure S2. The N = 50 most common bigrams in titles of articles based on research conducted in (a) the tropics and (b) non-tropical regions. The rank of these words is based on the percentage of article titles in each category that included those words. Bigrams reflecting geography (e.g., *tropics*, *Peru*, *Atlantic Forest*) are indicated in bold and with filled bars.




Figure S3. The number of articles from each journal and geographic category that were used in used the analysis of keywords.




Figure S4. The number of articles from each journal and geographic category that were used in the analysis of title words and title bigrams.