

University for Applied Sciences
Informatics Department
Applied Informatics

To be defined

Documentation for the Architecture of an Mobile Application for Preventing
Food Waste

Bruno Macedo da Silva
676839
inf3645@hs-worms.de

| | |
|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Supervisor | Prof.Dr. Volker Schwarzer |
| Working Period: | Summer Semester 2022 |
| Due Date: | 31.Juni 2022 |

Inhaltsverzeichnis

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Abbreviations | 3 |
| 1 Introduction and Goals | 5 |
| 1.1 Design Purpose | 6 |
| 1.2 Requirement Overview | 6 |
| 1.3 Quality Goals | 8 |
| 1.4 Stakeholders | 9 |
| 2 4+1 Architectural View Model | 11 |
| 2.1 Behaviour view | 12 |
| 2.2 Structural view | 13 |
| 3 Architecture Constraints | 14 |
| 4 Quality Requirements | 16 |
| 4.1 Quality Tree | 16 |
| 4.2 Evaluation Scenarios | 16 |
| Literaturverzeichnis | 21 |

Abbreviations

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

UN United Nations.

1 Introduction and Goals

According to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) in 2019 931 millions tonne of food were wasted [FAO, 2013]. This has environmental, but special social consequences. In a world where approximately 9.9% of the [AAH, 2022] population suffers from hunger that waste percentage sounds paradoxal.

According to United Nations (UN) 5% of the global food loss and waste comes from restaurants [UN, 2022]. The solution for this problem must be locally applied so its effects can be seen in a global structure. To do so we propose to develop a mobile application that connects restaurants, bakeries and or pastries to clients. The former would offer their remaining products, which are still consumable, prior to the closing time, to a small price and the latter would browser in the app to find which shops are offering products.

We as “Clean Up the World ®” are a rising StartUp whose main concerns is to find environmental solutions to daily problems. Our portfolio includes projects about management of waste and optimization of household water usage. This product we want to develop targets small communities, like small cities or regions within a big city, to reduce the amount of wasted consumable food.

With our project we want to achieve the following goals:

- Connect provider with clients, so the former can offer products that the latter can purchase
- Collect statistical data about waste reduction within the provider
- Promote reduction of food waste that still could be consumed
- Allow clients to have a different dining experience.
- Allow provider to promote their products and gather new clients.

1.1 Design Purpose

The main purpose of this architecture is creating exploratory prototype of an App. We aim to test it with potential stakeholders and regions to analyze their general acceptance and wishes [Cervantes and Kazman, 2016] and get a fast feedback.

This prototype will also make it feasible to identify unknown needs and wishes of the potential stakeholders, so we can eventually increase the scope of functionality. Exploring this domain will also provide us with information regarding the behavior of our target group when it comes to buying and serving food that would be wasted, but is still consumable.

1.2 Requirement Overview

The following functionalities describe the basic requirement for the App:

| Id | Requirement | Description |
|-----|-----------------------|--|
| F-1 | Register as Client. | A Client can register to the app with its e-mail. |
| F-2 | Login | After registration Client can login into the app. |
| F-3 | Purchase option | A registered Client can purchase an available offer (see F7). |
| F-4 | Filter/search options | A Client can perform filter and search actions for products. |
| F-5 | Register as Provider | A Provider can register with store and add logos and pictures. |
| F-6 | Create offer | A registered Provider can publish what products they are offering with price and amount. |
| F-7 | Upload offer | A registered Provider can add, edit or remove offers to his catalog. |
| F-8 | Check orders | A registered Provider can check all existing orders. |

| ID | Motivation |
|-----------|---|
| F-1 | The entry door of the App, where our Client get an overview of all available offers |
| F-2 | In order to place purchases our client need to be registered. It will also provide statistical information about consumer behavior |
| F-3 | Since we are dealing with a business relationship we have on one side a client willing to pay and for a product and on the other side a provider willing to offer a product/service |
| F-4 | Like any other online-shop it is important that our Client browse through the available possibilities |
| F-5 | In order to make a product available a Provider needs to register his/her shop. This information will also be used for statistical analyzes about providers, products and consumer behavior |
| F-6 - F-7 | A registered Provider can make an offer available according to his/her daily planning. For future development of this app, this will be helpful to identify tendencies regarding dates, periods and availabilities. |
| F-8 | Also registered provider can get an overview about how often their products haben been sold. This may open a different kind of business orientation. |

The following Use Case Diagram displays an overview of the primary functionality of the app:




Abbildung 1: Preliminary functions

1.3 Quality Goals

The key qualities of this app are described in the table below:

| Quality | Priority | Motivation |
|-------------------------|----------|--|
| Usability | 1 | Since we are working with a prototype it is important the the usage is ease as possible, to attract more users and to gather information about consumer behaviour. clients and provider should have a simple interface where they can quickly interact without any burdens. |
| Interoperability | 2 | To reduce programming burdens and accelerate the delivery of a working product the the registration and payment process will rely on third party providers. For that reason the developed features should work faultless in combination with the external APIs (i.g Mobile Payment Gateway and Federated Login). |
| Performance | 3 | Many mobile and web-apps lose potential users because of the lack of performance. A System Response that takes to long (more than 1 second [AppDynamics, 2020]) may frustrate potential users and discourage them of using the application. |

1.4 Stakeholders

The main stakeholders of this app are described in the table below:

| Stakeholder | Description |
|---|--|
| Providers | Owner of a restaurant, bakery or pastry. |
| Clients | Person who wants to purchase last minute product from a provider. |
| Developers | Team in charge of creating the application using existing tactics and creating new solutions. |
| Boarding Committee of "Clean Up the Word (R)" | Members of the management team who wants to delivery environmental solution do daily problems and at the same time develop a profitable product. |
| Environment Activist | Part of the society who aims to find environmental solutions to daily problems. |

The first two stakeholders are the main protagonist of the this app. They will interact with each other and provide valuable information regarding consumer behaviour. Accor-

ding to the analyze of their data it will be possible to promote a sustainable consumer relationships. In this relationship provider will be able to reduce the amount of consumable food that would be wasted, and clients may get a different consuming experience.

The third stakeholder will guarantee that the main requirements of the app are fulfilled and fully functional. Since they will be dealing with the background of the product, it is important that they understand it very good so it can also be implemented in a final version.

The boarding committee is in charge of main decisions regarding what will be developed. Their decision are based on mark tendencies and on environmental issues.

The last group Environment Activist integrates the civil society. They are members of local discussion groups, local public institutions, schools and universities. They are the one who brings their concerns to the boarding committee.

2 4+1 Architectural View Model

In this section we will describe the App using the 4+1 Architectural View Model. With this model we will represent the App using five different views, which should focus on specific elements of the project. Each view provide a different purpose [Kruchten, 1995]. For this project we will provide the 3 following views of the 4+1 Architectural View Model:

- **Scenario view:** simple description for the end user
- **Behaviour view:** description of the existing processes
- **Structural view:** object-oriented decomposition

The scenario view was presented in the section ?? of this project.

2.1 Behaviour view

The following Activity Diagram depicts the register and login procedure within the app.




Abbildung 2: Login procedures

2.2 Structural view

To describe this view we choose a Class Diagram. With it we may provide a static description of elements within the structure of our system. They can also be used during the programming process to display what is needed to be done.




Abbildung 3: Classes of the project

3 Architecture Constraints

In this project we must distinguish between Technical and Organizational Constraints. The former describes specific elements of the project, like programming language, released platform (e.g. operational systems) and technical decisions related to the functionalities. The latter deals with management elements[Franzen and Thoms, 2020] (e.g time, budget and team). The following tables describes the technical and the organizational constraints of this project:

| Technical | | |
|-----------|----------------------|--|
| Id | Constraint | Description |
| CT-T-1 | Programming Language | A multilanguage (Java, Kotlin, iOS, Swift) approach increases the maintainability burden and consequently the costs (see CT-B-4). It can also interfere with compatibility with different kind of devices. |
| CT-T-2 | Platform | Offering the application for different platforms (iOS and/or Android) increases costs for maintainability and requires a bigger team. Since the prototype should run during the first year mainly to gather information about consumer behavior the costs in this test phase can increase rapidly if we decide to develop for the most common platforms. |
| CT-T-3 | Payment | One the one hand creating an own payment framework can gives full control of the application, but on the other hand it will required specialized team and increases costs and time (see CT-B-4). |
| CT-T-4 | Payment gateway | Using existing Mobile Payment Gateway reduces development time, but demands fully Interoperability of the app with the existing gateways. It may also be a problem if the Client don't use this kind of payment method. |
| CT-T-5 | Login | Using existing Federated Login decreases development time, but like CT-T-4 demands fully interoperability of the app with appliances. It may also be a problem if the Client don't trust this kind of login. |

| Organizational | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Id | Constraint | Description |
| CT-B-1 | Time to first prototype release | How much time is acceptable from starting the project until we have a functional prototype that can be used by our user? |
| CT-B-2 | Development Team | The existing team can cover the main existing platforms, but their availability may be restricted to due work on other projects Specially for the maintainability of the app it can represents a problem. |
| CT-B-3 | Analytical Team | During running phase of the prototype it will be necessary to have a team in charge of evaluating and interpreting the collected data, to find out if the goals are being achieved. |
| CT-B-4 | Budget | Since this application falls in the category “middle app” according to [SPD LOAD, 2019] the available budget of US\$ 150.000 should cover the development of the main functionality and the data analysis (see CT-B-3) |

4 Quality Requirements

4.1 Quality Tree




Abbildung 4: Preliminary functions

4.2 Evaluation Scenarios

From the requirements, 1.2, we could develop the following uses cases and depict the main quality attributes of this project.

| Use Case | Description |
|----------------------------|---|
| UC-1: Register as Client | The Client registers an e-mail address. |
| UC-2: Login | The Client logs in to the system. |
| UC-3: Places an order | The Client chooses a Provider. |
| UC-4: Register payment | The Client registers a payment method. |
| UC-5: Register as Provider | The Provider registers their facility and products. |
| UC-6: Update availability | The Provider uploads their product catalog. |

With the given use cases we will then be able to define the major quality attributes

that are involved in the development of this application. We want those qualities to be measurable and testable so we can verify if the system meets the needs our stakeholders [Cervantes and Kazman, 2016].

| ID | Quality Attribute | Scenario | Associated Use Case |
|------|-------------------|--|---------------------|
| QA-1 | Usability | A Provider is able to register his company, to specify the kind of products he/she offers and upload a logo or picture of his shop and products in a easy and fast (within 5 Minutes) fashion. | UC-5 |
| QA-2 | Usability | A Provider is able to update the offers at any time. | UC-6 |
| QA-3 | Usability | A Client is able to search and filter options. | UC-6 |
| QA-4 | Interoperability | A Client can register his e-mail using another account (Google, Microsoft, Facebook) in a Federated Login | UC-1 |
| QA-5 | Interoperability | A Client can pay the order using a Mobile Payment Gateway (e.g. Stripe, Square, PayPay, Secure-Pay) | UC-4 |
| QA-5 | Performance | A Client registers his/her e-mail address and can immediately browse in the app. | UC-1 |
| QA-6 | Performance | A Client opens the app and he can immediately search for products or provider. | UC-2 |
| QA-7 | Performance | A Client chooses a Provider and places his order. After the confirmation of payment, a push-message is displayed in the app confirming the purchase. | UC-3 |

The defined quality attributes are represented in the following scenarios:

| Usability | |
|------------------|---|
| Scenario | Value |
| Source | Provider |
| Stimulus | wants to register his/her shops |
| Artifact | app |
| Environment | working time, during afternoon |
| Response | offer available in the app |
| Response Measure | How long did the registration and upload process take? How many and what kind of error messages did the Provider get? |
| Source | Registered Provider |
| Stimulus | wants wants to make a last minute offer |
| Artifact | app |
| Environment | peak period, between 4 and 7 pm on Friday |
| Response | immediate availability of the offer in the app |
| Response Measure | How long did it take to upload an offer? How many and what kind of error messages did the Provider get? |
| Source | Registered Client |
| Stimulus | wants to search/filter offers |
| Artifact | app |
| Environment | peak period, between 4 and 7 pm on Friday |
| Response | display of the filter/search output |
| Response Measure | What kind of inputs did the user has to place until he/she finds what he/she wants? Did he have to type anything or were filter/search options available? How long it takes until the client finds a product? |

| Interoperability | |
|------------------|---|
| Scenario | Value |
| Source | Client |
| Stimulus | wants register using a Federated Login |
| Artifact | app and Federated Login provider |
| Environment | peak period (on the context of the Federated Login provider) |
| Response | authentication succeed or failed |
| Response Measure | How much data was transmitted and how much was queued? |
| Focus | System overload [Kasunic and Anderson, 2004] |
| Source | Client |
| Stimulus | wants to pay using existing mobile payment account |
| Artifact | app and Mobile Payment Gateway |
| Environment | peak period (on the context of the gateway) |
| Response | confirmation / declined |
| Response Measure | Total amount generated data in the app that are transferred and processed and rejected by the gateway |
| Focus | Connectivity and System overload [Kasunic and Anderson, 2004] |

| Performance | |
|------------------|--|
| Scenario | Value |
| Source | Client |
| Stimulus | wishes to create an account |
| Artifact | app |
| Environment | weekend between 3 and 7 PM |
| Response | immediate access to the app |
| Response Measure | time between confirmation and access |
| Source | Client |
| Stimulus | wants to search for a Provider |
| Artifact | app |
| Environment | peak period, between 6 and 7 pm on a Friday |
| Response | immediate access to the offers |
| Response Measure | how quickly does the client's device get update of availabilities |
| Source | Client |
| Stimulus | places an order |
| Artifact | platform |
| Environment | peak period, between 6 and 7 pm on a Friday |
| Response | confirmation of payment / payment declined |
| Response Measure | How long did take until the client get the confirmation/declined of payment? |

Literaturverzeichnis

- [AAH, 2022] AAH (2022). World hunger: Key facts and statistics 2022. *actionagainsthunger.org*. <https://www.actionagainsthunger.org/world-hunger-facts-statistics>, Accessed 18th May 2022.
- [AppDynamics, 2020] AppDynamics (2020). 16 metrics to ensure mobile app success. <https://www.hwe.design/theories-concepts/system-response-stability>. Accessed 8st June 2022.
- [Baresi, 2009] Baresi, L. (2009). *Activity Diagrams*, pages 41–45. Springer US, Boston, MA. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-39940-9_9, Accessed 26th May 2022.
- [Cervantes and Kazman, 2016] Cervantes, H. and Kazman, R. (2016). *Designing Software Architectures: A Practical Approach*. Pearson Education, Boston.
- [FAO, 2013] FAO (2013). Food wastage: Key facts and figures. *fao.org*. <https://www.fao.org/news/story/en/item/196402/icode/>, Accessed 18th May 2022.
- [FAO, 2022] FAO (2022). 17 *fao.org*. <https://www.fao.org/food-loss-reduction/news/detail/en/c/1378973/>, Accessed 18th May 2022.
- [Franzen and Thoms, 2020] Franzen, E. and Thoms, M. S. (2020). Architectural drivers in modern software architecture. <https://medium.com/@janerikfra/architectural-drivers-in-modern-software-architecture-cb7a42527bf2>, Accessed 18th May 2022.
- [HWE.DESIGN, 2020] HWE.DESIGN (2020). Hardware engineering design. <https://www.hwe.design/theories-concepts/system-response-stability>. Accessed 8st June 2022.
- [IBM, 2004] IBM (2004). What is Class Diagram? <https://developer.ibm.com/articles/the-class-diagram/>, Accessed 18th May 2022.
- [Kasunic and Anderson, 2004] Kasunic, M. and Anderson, W. (2004). Measuring systems interoperability: Challenges and opportunities. Technical Report CMU/SEI-2004-TN-003, Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA. <http://resources.sei.cmu.edu/library/asset-view.cfm?AssetID=6871>. Accessed 1st June 2022.
- [Kruchten, 1995] Kruchten, P. (1995). The 4+1 View Model of architecture. *IEEE Software*, 12(6):42–50. <https://doi.org/10.1109/52.469759>, Accessed 18th May 2022.
- [Robinson, 2019] Robinson, M. (2019). What does federated login mean? a simple, de-

tailed answer. <https://carvesystems.com/news/what-does-federated-login-mean-a-simple-detailed-answer/>. Accessed 1st June 2022.

[SPD LOAD, 2019] SPD LOAD (2019). How much does it cost to develop an app in 2022? cost breakdown. <https://carvesystems.com/news/what-does-federated-login-mean-a-simple-detailed-answer/>. Accessed 1st June 2022.

[UN, 2022] UN (2022). Stop food loss and waste, for the people, for the planet. *un.org*. <https://www.un.org/en/observances/end-food-waste-day>, Accessed 18th May 2022.

[Vilimate, 2019] Vilimate (2019). Payment gateway integration in a mobile application. <https://vilimate.com/blog/payment-gateway-integration-in-a-mobile-application/>, Accessed 31st May 2022.

[Waykar, 2015] Waykar, Y. (2015). role of use case diagram in software development. *International Journal of Management and Economics*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/322991847_role_of_use_case_diagram_in_software_development. Accessed 1st June 2022.