

## 4. GREEDY ALGORITHMS I

---



- ▶ *coin changing*
- ▶ *interval scheduling*
- ▶ *interval partitioning*
- ▶ *scheduling to minimize lateness*
- ▶ *optimal caching*

# Coin changing

---

**Goal.** Given U. S. currency denominations { 1, 5, 10, 25, 100 }, devise a method to pay amount to customer using fewest coins.

**Ex.** 34¢.



**Cashier's algorithm.** At each iteration, add coin of the largest value that does not take us past the amount to be paid.

**Ex.** \$2.89.



# Cashier's algorithm

---

At each iteration, add coin of the largest value that does not take us past the amount to be paid.

**CASHIERS-ALGORITHM** ( $x, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$ )

SORT  $n$  coin denominations so that  $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_n$ .

$S \leftarrow \emptyset$ . ← multiset of coins selected

**WHILE** ( $x > 0$ )

$k \leftarrow$  largest coin denomination  $c_k$  such that  $c_k \leq x$ .

**IF** (no such  $k$ )

**RETURN** “*no solution.*”

**ELSE**

$x \leftarrow x - c_k$ .

$S \leftarrow S \cup \{ k \}$ .

**RETURN**  $S$ .



## Is the cashier's algorithm optimal?

- A. Yes, greedy algorithms are always optimal.
- B. Yes, for any set of coin denominations  $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_n$  provided  $c_1 = 1$ .
- C. Yes, because of special properties of U.S. coin denominations.
- D. No.



# Cashier's algorithm (for arbitrary coin denominations)

---

Q. Is cashier's algorithm optimal for any set of denominations?

A. No. Consider U.S. postage: 1, 10, 21, 34, 70, 100, 350, 1225, 1500.

- Cashier's algorithm:  $140\text{¢} = 100 + 34 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$ .
- Optimal:  $140\text{¢} = 70 + 70$ .



A. No. It may not even lead to a feasible solution if  $c_1 > 1$ : 7, 8, 9.

- Cashier's algorithm:  $15\text{¢} = 9 + ?$ .
- Optimal:  $15\text{¢} = 7 + 8$ .

# Properties of any optimal solution (for U.S. coin denominations)

---

**Property.** Number of pennies  $\leq 4$ .

Pf. Replace 5 pennies with 1 nickel.

**Property.** Number of nickels  $\leq 1$ .

**Property.** Number of quarters  $\leq 3$ .

**Property.** Number of nickels + number of dimes  $\leq 2$ .

Pf.

- Recall:  $\leq 1$  nickel.
- Replace 3 dimes and 0 nickels with 1 quarter and 1 nickel;
- Replace 2 dimes and 1 nickel with 1 quarter.



**dollars**  
(100¢)

**quarters**  
(25¢)

**dimes**  
(10¢)

**nickels**  
(5¢)

**pennies**  
(1¢)

# Optimality of cashier's algorithm (for U.S. coin denominations)

---

**Theorem.** Cashier's algorithm is optimal for U.S. coins { 1, 5, 10, 25, 100 }.

Pf. [ by induction on amount to be paid  $x$  ]

- Consider optimal way to change  $c_k \leq x < c_{k+1}$  : greedy takes coin  $k$ .
- We claim that any optimal solution must take coin  $k$ .
  - if not, it needs enough coins of type  $c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}$  to add up to  $x$
  - table below indicates no optimal solution can do this
- Problem reduces to coin-changing  $x - c_k$  cents, which, by induction, is optimally solved by cashier's algorithm. ■

| $k$ | $c_k$ | all optimal solutions must satisfy | max value of coin denominations $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-1}$ in any optimal solution |
|-----|-------|------------------------------------|--|
| 1   | 1     | $P \leq 4$                         | —  |
| 2   | 5     | $N \leq 1$                         | 4  |
| 3   | 10    | $N + D \leq 2$                     | $4 + 5 = 9$  |
| 4   | 25    | $Q \leq 3$                         | $20 + 4 = 24$  |
| 5   | 100   | <i>no limit</i>                    | $75 + 24 = 99$   |