TLSv1.3

...quite a big change

TLSv1.3

- Administrivia
- Process
- Protocol
- Issues

Administrivia

- TLSv1.3 = draft-ietf-tls-tls13-26
- Draft is (after 4 years) at the final stages of approval
- https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-tls-tls13-26
- Don't worry if that -26 is incremented, it won't change much and the latest version will be fine to read
- RFC will likely pop out in a month or two
- 155 pages (eek!) do not ignore Apendices C,D and E!
- Written for implementers you may need to read it more than once (some less clear forward references), but it's pretty readable really
- Github repo for the spec: https://github.com/tlswg/tls13-spec
- Implementations: https://github.com/tlswg/tls13-spec/wiki/Implementations

Process

- Work started in 2014, motivations included TLS attacks seen in theory and in the wild and Snowdonia
- Represents a major change in the protocol version numbering bikeshed was well painted
- Academic cryptographers worked closely with implementers to (hopefully!) ensure we don't see the same crypto/protocol failures in future
- Two academic workshops were held and the protocol design was modified numerous times to better match cryptographic theory
 - TRON: https://www.ndss-symposium.org/ndss2016/tron-workshop-programme/
 - TLS-DIV: https://www.mitls.org/tls:div/

Major Changes

- Drop less desirable algorithms and move to AEAD everywhere
- Change how new ciphersuites get defined and get RECOMMENDED
- Added "0-RTT" mode, a double-edged sword! (aka sharp implement)
- RSA key transport removed, all key exchanges provide forward secrecy
- More encryption of handshake including some extensions
- ECC is now built-in
- No more compression or custom DH groups
- Pre-shared keying, tickets and session handling all done in one way
- PKCS#1v1.5 -> RSA PSS for protocol signatures (but not certificates)
- Versioning muck need to pretend to not be TLSv1.3 for deployment in the real world of middleboxes

TLSv1.3 Features

- These slides are **not** a replacement for reading the spec
- 1-RTT handshake
- HRR
- PSK/Resumption
- 0-RTT
- Ciphersuite re-factoring
- Key Derivation
- Versioning muck
- (Notable) extensions
- Record Protocol
- Security Properties

Full "1-RTT" Handshake

```
Client
                                                   Server
Key ^ ClientHello
Exch | + key share*
    | + signature algorithms*
    | + psk_key_exchange_modes*
    v + pre shared key*
                                                     ServerHello ^ Key
                                                   + key share* | Exch
                                               + pre shared key* v
                                           {EncryptedExtensions} ^ Server
                                           {CertificateRequest*} v Params
                                                 {Certificate*} ^
                                            {CertificateVerify*} | Auth
                                                     {Finished} v
                                           [Application Data*]
    ^ {Certificate*}
Auth | {CertificateVerify*}
    v {Finished}
      [Application Data] <----> [Application Data]
```

Handshake with HelloRetryRequest

Client		Server
ClientHello + key_share	> <	HelloRetryRequest + key_share
ClientHello + key share	>	
, ney_onale		ServerHello + key_share {EncryptedExtensions} {CertificateRequest*} {Certificate*} {CertificateVerify*} {Finished}
{Certificate*} {CertificateVerify*}	<	[Application Data*]
{Finished} [Application Data]	> <>	[Application Data]
[11PPIICACIOII DACA]	`	[11PPTTCacton Data]

Resumption/Re-use of PSK

Client		Server
Initial Handshake:		
ClientHello		
+ key share	>	
-		ServerHello
		+ key share
		{EncryptedExtensions}
		{CertificateRequest*}
		{Certificate*}
		{CertificateVerify*}
		{Finished}
	<	[Application Data*]
{Certificate*}	`	[Inperiodoron baca]
{CertificateVerify*}		
{Finished}	>	
(Friizonea)	<	[NewSessionTicket]
[Application Data]	· <>	[Application Data]
[Imprisoner basa]	,	[HPPTTOGOTON DGGG]
Subsequent Handshake:		
ClientHello		
+ key share*		
+ pre shared key	>	
F = 0_00001		ServerHello
		+ pre shared key
		+ key_share*
		{EncryptedExtensions}
		{Finished}
	<	[Application Data*]
{Finished}	>	[<u>-</u> <u>-</u>
[Application Data]	<>	[Application Data]
- + +		

"0-RTT" Early Data

```
Client
                                                   Server
ClientHello
+ early data
+ key share*
+ psk_key_exchange_modes
+ pre shared key
(Application Data*) ---->
                                              ServerHello
                                         + pre shared key
                                             + key share*
                                    {EncryptedExtensions}
                                            + early data*
                                               {Finished}
                                      [Application Data*]
                       <----
(EndOfEarlyData)
{Finished}
                       ---->
[Application Data] <---->
                                       [Application Data]
```

"0-RTT" Issues

"0-RTT" is a DANGEROUS IMPLEMENT

- "0-RTT" isn't really quite accurate terminology client needs first to have a PSK, and of course doesn't get an
 answer for at least one RTT and there could be a DNS RTT first
- Motivation: browsers want to send HTTP GET requests in "first flight"
 - Without this feature it's likely TLSv1.3 would not be adopted in the web
 - People need more incentives than just better security to cause them to upgrade
- Problem: early-data can be REPLAYed
 - Attacker records 0-RTT messages incl. early data
 - Replay that against another instance of a load-balanced server, e.g. in another data-centre where loadbalanced instances can't easily share an anti-replay cache
 - Example: DPRIVE DNS/TLS with anycast recursives
- Bigger problem: properly handling the semantics of early-data is neither simple nor obvious, but the attraction of go-faster-stripes is simple and obvious
 - Prediction: this'll lead to headlines when it goes badly wrong
- •Smaller problem early-data is not authenticated until server has validated the client's Finished can cause API headaches in servers, but rule is to not act on early-data until after Finished is checked
 - Web servers might or might not (yuk) adhere to this rule, as in theory (but not in practice), HTTP GET and some other HTTP request methods are idempotent
 - HTTP processing of early-data: https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-httpbis-replay-02

Ciphersuite Re-factoring

- As the handshake has changed a lot, the WG decided to separate out record layer crypto from key exchange and authentication so...
- TLSv1.3 ciphersuites only reflect the record layer encryption (bulk cipher and key derivation function hash function) and not the key exchange and authentication parameters
 - TLS_AES_128_GCM_SHA256 is a TLSv1.3 ciphersuite
 - TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA256 is a TLSv1.2 ciphersuite
- Key exchange and authentication parameters are dealt with in handshake extensions in TLSv1.3, e.g. using the key_share, supported_groups and signature_algorithms extensions in ClientHello and other handshake messages

Key Schedule/Derivation Function

Key Schedule/Derivation (1/2)

```
0
  PSK -> HKDF-Extract = Early Secret
                 +----> Derive-Secret(.,
                                       "ext binder" |
                                       "res binder",
                                       = binder key
                +----> Derive-Secret(., "c e traffic",
                                       ClientHello)
                                       = client_early_traffic_secret
                +----> Derive-Secret(., "e exp master",
                                      ClientHello)
                                       = early_exporter_master_secret
          Derive-Secret(., "derived", "")
(EC) DHE -> HKDF-Extract = Handshake Secret
```

Key Schedule/Derivation (2/2)

```
(EC) DHE -> HKDF-Extract = Handshake Secret
              +----> Derive-Secret(., "c hs traffic",
                                   ClientHello...ServerHello)
                                    = client handshake traffic secret
              +----> Derive-Secret(., "s hs traffic",
                                   ClientHello...ServerHello)
                                    = server handshake traffic secret
       Derive-Secret(., "derived", "")
  0 -> HKDF-Extract = Master Secret
              +----> Derive-Secret(., "c ap traffic",
                                   ClientHello...server Finished)
                                    = client application traffic secret 0
              +----> Derive-Secret(., "s ap traffic",
                                   ClientHello...server Finished)
                                    = server application traffic secret 0
              +----> Derive-Secret(., "exp master",
                                   ClientHello...server Finished)
                                    = exporter master secret
             +----> Derive-Secret(., "res master",
                                   ClientHello...client Finished)
                                    = resumption master secret
```

Versioning Muck

- Middleboxes break things, so TLSv1.3 pretends to be TLSv1.2 in various ways
- supported_versions extension is where the real info is now
- ClientHello/ServerHello pretend to be TLSv1.0 or TLSv1.2
- "Dummy" change_cipher_spec messages (see Appendix D.4) make the handshake look more like TLSv1.2
- HelloRetryRequest pretends to be a TLSv1.2 ServerHello (magic values distinguish HRR)
- Record layer messages pretend to be TLSv1.2
- Absent this muck, at least 5-10% of TLSv1.3 sessions fail
- Appendix D also covers additional cases, e.g. where only some loadbalanced server instances are updated at the moment (maybe due to reboots/failures or slow rollout of a new TLSv1.3 deployment)

Notable Extensions

- There are lots, some are mandatory to use for TLSv1.3, some are in-practice mandatory for the web, some not mentioned so far include:
- cookie helps with DDoS and DTLS
- post_handshake_auth is how TLS client auth is supported in TLSv1.3
- psk_key_exchange_modes and pre_shared_key when using PSK
- encrypted_extensions used from server -> client
- Some TLSv1.2 extensions remain usable in TLSv1.2 e.g. ALPN (RFC 7301)

Record Layer

TBD

Security Properties

• TBD

Outstanding Issues

TBD

Summary

• TBD