


ECE 1395 Problem Set 6

Bryan Hess: 4259226

Ps6-1-a.)

 X_train_0 364x8 double
X_train_1 176x8 double
750 1 1 1 1

These values will slightly change each run depending on how the X_train and X_test values shuffle.


Ps6-1-b.)

Class 0 Mean	Class 0 STDEV	Class 1 Mean	Class 1 STDEV
3.3352	4.9261	3.0238	3.7918
110.09	142.04	25.743	31.616
68.266	70.477	17.942	20.445
19.291	22.432	15.049	17.528
65.286	103.64	91.552	144.6
30.291	34.987	7.6605	6.8344
0.4191	0.55247	0.28195	0.3847
31.052	37.852	11.573	11.187

Ps6-1-c.)

Accuracy is: 68.7237

Ps6-2-a.)

 C 8x8 double

C =

1.0e+04 *

0.0011	0.0014	0.0007	-0.0005	-0.0024	0.0000	-0.0000	0.0021
0.0014	0.0996	0.0100	0.0029	0.1059	0.0057	0.0001	0.0102
0.0007	0.0100	0.0354	0.0055	0.0186	0.0037	0.0000	0.0056
-0.0005	0.0029	0.0055	0.0254	0.0764	0.0049	0.0001	-0.0032
-0.0024	0.1059	0.0186	0.0764	1.2757	0.0173	0.0005	-0.0072
0.0000	0.0057	0.0037	0.0049	0.0173	0.0060	0.0000	0.0001
-0.0000	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001	0.0005	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
0.0021	0.0102	0.0056	-0.0032	-0.0072	0.0001	0.0000	0.0141

Ps6-2-c.)

Accuracy is: 66.5211

>>

In this case the naïve classifier slightly outperformed the Mahalanobis classifier. This is not always the case as multiple runs will show that one may perform better one run, but poorer the second time. Both methods are suitable, and often give comparable results, rarely deviating more than a few percentages from one another (although I have seen a 14% difference once).