

## Reading 3

**Due: start of class, Wednesday 2/8**

I've switched up reading 3 as we have a special opportunity with a HRI researcher visiting campus next week. [Marynel Vazquez](http://www.marynel.net/), <http://www.marynel.net/> a Ph.D. Candidate at CMU, will be giving a colloquium presentation titled "Beyond One-on-One Human-Robot Interactions" in DLC 170 on Tuesday, Feb. 7 from 3:30-4:30 PM.

For Reading 3, you can either:

- Read both of the papers listed below

OR

- Read one of the papers listed below (your choice) and attend Marynel's talk

Readings:

- Vázquez, Marynel, et al. "[Spatial and other social engagement cues in a child-robot interaction: Effects of a sidekick](#)." *Proceedings of the 2014 ACM/IEEE international conference on Human-robot interaction*. ACM, 2014.
- 
- Vázquez, Marynel, Aaron Steinfeld, and Scott E. Hudson. "[Maintaining awareness of the focus of attention of a conversation: A robot-centric reinforcement learning approach](#)." *Robot and Human Interactive Communication (RO-MAN), 2016 25th IEEE International Symposium on*. IEEE, 2016.
- 

Submit a response to this forum that either:

- Provides a review of both readings
- OR
- Provides a review of one reading (your choice) and a picture that provides some proof you were at the talk (e.g., take a picture of the talk with your cell phone or come talk to me so I know that you attended)

In crafting your review, please conform to the format listed below (which is a pseudo review format I took from HRI and related conferences):

1. Briefly state what contribution the paper makes to the field of Human-Robot Interaction in your view. Use this field to summarize the contribution (not the flaws) of this work.
- 2.
- 3.
4. Write your full review of the paper here, including strengths and weaknesses. Think about:
  - Significance of the work's contribution to HRI and the benefit that others can gain from the contribution: why do the contribution and benefit matter?
  - Originality of the work: what new ideas or approaches are introduced?
  - Validity of the work presented: how confidently can researchers and practitioners use the results?
  - Presentation clarity;
  - Relevant previous work: is prior work adequately reviewed?
  - If you have concerns about the methodological or statistical approaches taken by the authors, or its level of advancement over prior work, please cite a source

for your objection (e.g., a definitive paper, a set of professional guidelines or a standard textbook