STAT534HW4

Bryan Ng, 2427348

2025-05-05

Problem 1

```
inverseLogit <- function(x) {</pre>
  return (\exp(x) / (1 + \exp(x)))
}
inverseLogit2 <- function(x) {</pre>
  return (\exp(x) / (1 + \exp(x))^2)
getPi <- function(x, beta) {</pre>
  x0 <- cbind(rep(1, length(x)), x)
  return (inverseLogit(x0 %*% beta))
getPi2 <- function(x, beta) {</pre>
  x0 <- cbind(rep(1, length(x)), x)</pre>
  return (inverseLogit2(x0 %*% beta))
logisticLoglik <- function(y, x, beta) {</pre>
  Pi <- getPi(x, beta)
  return (sum(y * log(Pi)) + sum((1 - y) * log(1 - Pi)))
logisticLoglik_star <- function(y, x, beta) {</pre>
  Loglik <- logisticLoglik(y, x, beta)</pre>
  return (-log(2 * pi) - (1 / 2) * (beta[1]^2 + beta[2]^2) + Loglik)
}
getGradient <- function(y, x, beta) {</pre>
  gradient <- matrix(0, 2, 1)</pre>
  Pi = getPi(x, beta)
  gradient[1, 1] \leftarrow sum(y - Pi) - beta[1]
  gradient[2, 1] \leftarrow sum((y - Pi) * x) - beta[2]
  return (gradient)
}
```

```
getHessian <- function(y, x, beta){</pre>
  hessian <- matrix(0, 2, 2)
  Pi2 <- getPi2(x, beta)
  hessian[1, 1] \leftarrow sum(Pi2) + 1
  hessian[1, 2] \leftarrow sum(Pi2 * x)
  hessian[2, 1] <- hessian[1, 2]</pre>
 hessian[2, 2] \leftarrow sum(Pi2 * x^2) + 1
  return (-hessian)
}
getcoefNR <- function(response, explanatory, beta) {</pre>
  beta <- matrix(0, 2, 1)
  y <- data[, response]
  x <- data[, explanatory]</pre>
  currentLoglik <- logisticLoglik_star(y, x, beta)</pre>
  while(1)
    # Compute update: new beta = old beta - solve(H) * gradient
    H <- getHessian(y, x, beta)</pre>
    G <- getGradient(y, x, beta)</pre>
    newBeta <- beta - drop(solve(H) %*% G)</pre>
    newLoglik <- logisticLoglik_star(y, x, newBeta)</pre>
    if (newLoglik < currentLoglik)</pre>
      cat("COGING ERROR!!\n")
      break
    }
    # Update beta and log-posterior
    beta <- newBeta
    # Stop if improvement is very small
    if (newLoglik - currentLoglik < 1e-4){</pre>
      break
    currentLoglik <- newLoglik</pre>
  return (beta)
}
# Laplace approximation of marginal likelihood p(D)
getLaplaceApprox <- function(response, explanatory, data, betaMode) {</pre>
  y <- data[, response]</pre>
  x <- data[, explanatory]</pre>
  beta <- betaMode
  # Compute penalized log-posterior at mode
  Loglik_star <- logisticLoglik_star(y, x, beta)</pre>
  H <- getHessian(y, x, beta)</pre>
  return (log(2 * pi) + Loglik_star - 0.5 * log(det(-H)))
```

Problem 2

```
getPosteriorMeans <- function(response, explanatory, data, betaMode, niter = 10000) {</pre>
  y <- data[, response]
  x <- data[, explanatory]</pre>
  # Initialize chain
  beta <- betaMode
  beta_chain <- matrix(0, nrow = niter, ncol = 2)</pre>
  # Sampling loop from 1 to niter
  for (i in seq_len(niter)) {
    H <- getHessian(y, x, beta)</pre>
    beta_temp <- mvrnorm(1, mu = beta, Sigma = -solve(H))</pre>
    diff <- logisticLoglik_star(y, x, beta_temp) - logisticLoglik_star(y, x, beta)</pre>
    # Metropolis acceptance
    if (diff >= 0 \mid | log(runif(1)) <= diff) {
      beta <- beta_temp</pre>
    beta_chain[i, ] <- beta</pre>
  # Compute sample means
  beta_mean <- apply(beta_chain, 2, mean)</pre>
  return(beta_mean)
```

Problem 3

```
bayesLogistic <- function(apredictor, response, data, niter){</pre>
  # Find the MAP estimate of beta via Newton-Raphson
  betaMode <- getcoefNR(response, apredictor, data)</pre>
  log_marglik <- getLaplaceApprox(response, apredictor, data, betaMode)</pre>
  beta_mean <- getPosteriorMeans(response, apredictor, data, betaMode, niter)</pre>
  list(apredictor = apredictor,
       logmarglik = log_marglik,
       betaObayes = beta_mean[1],
       beta1bayes = beta mean[2],
       betaOmle = betaMode[1],
       beta1mle = betaMode[2])
}
main <- function(datafile, NumberOfIterations, clusterSize)</pre>
  data = read.table(datafile, header=FALSE);
  response = ncol(data);
  lastPredictor = ncol(data)-1;
  cl <- makeCluster(clusterSize, type = "SOCK")</pre>
```

```
clusterExport(cl, "data")
  clusterExport(cl, c("bayesLogistic",
                      "getcoefNR", "getLaplaceApprox", "getPosteriorMeans",
                      "inverseLogit", "inverseLogit2",
                      "getPi", "getPi2",
                      "logisticLoglik", "logisticLoglik_star",
                      "getGradient", "getHessian"))
  clusterEvalQ(cl, library(MASS))
  results = clusterApply(cl, 1: lastPredictor, bayesLogistic,
                         response, data,NumberOfIterations);
  for(i in 1: lastPredictor)
    cat('Regression of Y on explanatory variable ',results[[i]]$apredictor,
        ' has log marginal likelihood ',results[[i]]$logmarglik,
        ' with beta0 = ',results[[i]]$beta0bayes,' (',results[[i]]$beta0mle,')',
        ' and beta1 = ',results[[i]]$beta1bayes,' (',results[[i]]$beta1mle,')',
        '\n');
  }
  stopCluster(cl);
}
library(MASS)
library(parallel)
library(snow)
## Attaching package: 'snow'
## The following objects are masked from 'package:parallel':
##
##
       closeNode, clusterApply, clusterApplyLB, clusterCall, clusterEvalQ,
##
       clusterExport, clusterMap, clusterSplit, makeCluster, parApply,
##
       parCapply, parLapply, parRapply, parSapply, recvData, recvOneData,
##
       sendData, splitIndices, stopCluster
path <- "C:/Users/ncwbr/Desktop/534binarydata.txt"</pre>
data <- as.matrix(read.table(path, header = FALSE))</pre>
set.seed(2427348)
response <- 61
main(path, 10000, 10)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 1 has log marginal likelihood -84.17025
## with beta0 = -0.7561469 (-0.7549792) and beta1 = 0.9862237 (0.9842621)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 2 has log marginal likelihood -91.13804
## with beta0 = -0.7724316 ( -0.7785309 ) and beta1 = -0.635131 ( -0.6468523 )
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 3 has log marginal likelihood -89.27079
   with beta0 = -0.7767911 (-0.7837034) and beta1 = 0.7313956 (0.7288721)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 4 has log marginal likelihood -94.74949
```

```
with beta0 = -0.7311459 (-0.7332059) and beta1 = 0.3684432 (0.3689551)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 5 has log marginal likelihood -92.78677
    with beta0 = -0.7397618 (-0.7550132)
                                            and beta1 = 0.5213229 ( 0.5229461 )
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 6
                                            has log marginal likelihood -94.44806
    with beta0 = -0.7312794 ( -0.7327855
                                            and beta1 = 0.3903294 ( 0.3891122 )
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable
                                            has log marginal likelihood -95.40641
    with beta0 = -0.7330991 ( -0.7273442 )
                                            and beta1 = 0.3168921 ( 0.3108188 )
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 8
                                            has log marginal likelihood -88.02388
    with beta0 = -0.7779883 ( -0.7780915 )
                                            and beta1 = 0.7687005 ( 0.7713092 )
  Regression of Y on explanatory variable
                                            has log marginal likelihood -95.46512
    with beta0 = -0.7146493 ( -0.7135338 )
                                            and beta1 = 0.311696 ( 0.2985043 )
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 10
                                            has log marginal likelihood -94.84316
    with beta0 = -0.7208622 (-0.7318991)
                                            and beta1 = 0.3697513 ( 0.3669237 )
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 11 has log marginal likelihood -91.98299
    with beta0 = -0.7512826 ( -0.7623398 )
                                            and beta1 = 0.5564607 ( 0.5647126 )
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 12 has log marginal likelihood -96.1455
    with beta0 = -0.7292766 (-0.7209605) and beta1 = -0.2243654 (-0.2290757)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 13 has log marginal likelihood -92.13999
    with beta0 = -0.7581035 (-0.7556561) and beta1 = 0.557884 (0.549674)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 14 has log marginal likelihood -95.39082
    with beta0 = -0.7223671 (-0.7272474) and beta1 = 0.3209494 (0.3115849)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 15 has log marginal likelihood -91.25791
    with beta0 = -0.7780403 (-0.7844429) and beta1 = 0.6605895 (0.672372)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 16 has log marginal likelihood -93.49182
    with beta0 = -0.7444724 (-0.7484474) and beta1 = 0.4871921 (0.4788875)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 17 has log marginal likelihood -91.31417
    with beta0 = -0.7657243 (-0.7706116) and beta1 = -0.6377286 (-0.6234695)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 18 has log marginal likelihood -89.08946
    with beta0 = -0.7695707 (-0.7797449) and beta1 = 0.7090495 (0.7143947)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 19 has log marginal likelihood -90.42463
    with beta0 = -0.7363493 (-0.7464287) and beta1 = 0.7096877 (0.720492)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 20 has log marginal likelihood -94.86778
    with beta0 = -0.7385129 (-0.7317445) and beta1 = 0.3560969 (0.3590619)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 21 has log marginal likelihood -85.74706
    with beta0 = -0.816563 (-0.8156821) and beta1 = 0.8669246 (0.880807)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 22 has log marginal likelihood -84.03714
    with beta0 = -0.8234537 (-0.828694) and beta1 = 0.9536007 (0.9552211)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 23 has log marginal likelihood -79.48414
    with beta0 = -0.8899663 (-0.8866648) and beta1 = 1.202566 (1.211364)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 24 has log marginal likelihood -94.15746
    with beta0 = -0.7330072 (-0.7385748) and beta1 = -0.4186187 (-0.4194562)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 25 has log marginal likelihood -96.58153
    with beta0 = -0.7185706 ( -0.7163197 ) and beta1 = 0.1678669 ( 0.1628502 )
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 26 has log marginal likelihood -91.73426
    with beta0 = -0.7343433 (-0.7415221) and beta1 = 0.5618195 (0.5674934)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 27 has log marginal likelihood -90.40866
    with beta0 = -0.7679609 (-0.7779678)
                                            and beta1 = -0.645742 ( -0.6583559 )
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 28 has log marginal likelihood -95.21228
    with beta0 = -0.7289214 (-0.7292691) and beta1 = 0.3274924 (0.329432)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 29 has log marginal likelihood -91.99002
    with beta0 = -0.7592473 (-0.7606315) and beta1 = 0.5617578 (0.5644083)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 30 has log marginal likelihood -90.80862
    with beta0 = -0.7931392 (-0.7833993) and beta1 = 0.6706027 (0.669029)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 31 has log marginal likelihood -90.88962
```

```
with beta0 = -0.7721337 (-0.7738447) and beta1 = 0.6363826 (0.6330321)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 32 has log marginal likelihood -90.48715
    with beta0 = -0.7926233 (-0.7975917) and beta1 = -0.7293692 (-0.7260067)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 33 has log marginal likelihood -95.73493
    with beta0 = -0.7249628 (-0.7246871) and beta1 = 0.2736161 (0.2782866)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 34 has log marginal likelihood -89.09465
    with beta0 = -0.7919612 (-0.8035621) and beta1 = -0.7521065 (-0.7591494)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 35 has log marginal likelihood -96.68771
    with beta0 = -0.7150878 (-0.7153149) and beta1 = 0.1350395 (0.1427644)
  Regression of Y on explanatory variable 36 has log marginal likelihood -96.73543
    with beta0 = -0.713951 (-0.7149075) and beta1 = 0.1313825 (0.1318086)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 37 has log marginal likelihood -83.42107
    with beta0 = -0.8385768 (-0.8374759) and beta1 = 0.9973077 (0.9953003)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 38 has log marginal likelihood -93.49103
    with beta0 = -0.7400759 (-0.7509762) and beta1 = -0.4660063 (-0.4788535)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 39 has log marginal likelihood -87.4932
    with beta0 = -0.8016122 (-0.8049518) and beta1 = 0.8105628 (0.8285465)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 40 has log marginal likelihood -91.01029
    with beta0 = -0.7760627 (-0.7687116) and beta1 = 0.6382831 (0.6320124)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 41 has log marginal likelihood -91.2524
    with beta0 = -0.7639219 (-0.7622365) and beta1 = -0.6022009 (-0.6010363)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 42 has log marginal likelihood -85.78488
    with beta0 = -0.8217979 (-0.8275532) and beta1 = -0.9178428 (-0.9140379)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 43 has log marginal likelihood -94.16321
    with beta0 = -0.7425195 (-0.7391006) and beta1 = -0.4169062 (-0.4184341)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 44 has log marginal likelihood -95.9192
    with beta0 = -0.7299893 (-0.7226125) and beta1 = 0.2590645 (0.2565493)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 45 has log marginal likelihood -95.12644
    with beta0 = -0.7272501 ( -0.7314595 ) and beta1 = -0.3407335 ( -0.3415704 )
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 46 has log marginal likelihood -86.60919
    with beta0 = -0.8184944 (-0.8196287) and beta1 = 0.8992378 (0.8998076)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 47 has log marginal likelihood -91.62257
    with beta0 = -0.7629485 (-0.7626076) and beta1 = 0.5716904 (0.5824422)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 48 has log marginal likelihood -91.46699
    with beta0 = -0.7702703 (-0.7625558) and beta1 = 0.5928079 (0.5937575)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 49 has log marginal likelihood -90.41233
    with beta0 = -0.7658003 (-0.76442) and beta1 = 0.6301173 (0.6375997)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 50 has log marginal likelihood -92.4211
    with beta0 = -0.7502928 (-0.7521175) and beta1 = -0.5388768 (-0.5359225)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 51 has log marginal likelihood -95.32329
    with beta0 = -0.7258747 (-0.7274459) and beta1 = -0.3257307 (-0.3187631)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 52 has log marginal likelihood -93.79355
    with beta0 = -0.7473147 (-0.7427776) and beta1 = 0.4398933 (0.4475682)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 53 has log marginal likelihood -93.90333
    with beta0 = -0.7402172 (-0.7467168) and beta1 = -0.4503396 (-0.4491826)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 54 has log marginal likelihood -91.25855
    with beta0 = -0.7778235 (-0.7743789) and beta1 = 0.6289296 (0.6345326)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 55 has log marginal likelihood -90.68376
    with beta0 = -0.773015 (-0.7741314) and beta1 = 0.6490587 (0.6443876)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 56 has log marginal likelihood -90.96067
    with beta0 = -0.75884 (-0.7561731) and beta1 = 0.5967838 (0.6058147)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 57 has log marginal likelihood -95.2976
    with beta0 = -0.7213369 (-0.7280938) and beta1 = 0.3210921 (0.3208882)
## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 58 has log marginal likelihood -92.44812
```

```
## with beta0 = -0.7429134 ( -0.7473734 ) and beta1 = 0.5347898 ( 0.5307593 ) ## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 59 has log marginal likelihood -97.02482 ## with beta0 = -0.7106043 ( -0.7121179 ) and beta1 = 0.01292713 ( 0.01142458 ) ## Regression of Y on explanatory variable 60 has log marginal likelihood -93.98176 ## with beta0 = -0.7345481 ( -0.738898 ) and beta1 = -0.4355138 ( -0.4319359 )
```