# BIOST540HW3

Bryan Ng, 2427348

2025-05-28

## Problme 1

a)

```
## Rows: 1101 Columns: 5
## Delimiter: ","
## chr (1): sex_dv
## dbl (4): pidp, wave, age_dv, scghq1_dv
## i Use 'spec()' to retrieve the full column specification for this data.
## i Specify the column types or set 'show_col_types = FALSE' to quiet this message.
## Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']
## Formula: scghq1_dv ~ age_dv * sex + (1 | pidp)
##
     Data: df
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 6549.4
## Scaled residuals:
          1Q Median
## -3.5497 -0.4924 -0.1191 0.3433 4.8971
## Random effects:
## Groups Name
                     Variance Std.Dev.
## pidp (Intercept) 19.05
                            4.364
## Residual
                      16.07
                              4.009
## Number of obs: 1101, groups: pidp, 188
## Fixed effects:
                 Estimate Std. Error t value
##
## (Intercept)
                12.31082 1.75825
                                    7.002
## age_dv
                 -0.03155
                            0.03008 -1.049
## sexFemale
                  0.84137
                            2.25175
                                     0.374
## age_dv:sexFemale 0.01690
                            0.03894
                                    0.434
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##
            (Intr) age_dv sexFml
            -0.947
## age_dv
## sexFemale -0.781 0.739
## ag_dv:sxFml 0.732 -0.772 -0.947
```

```
## refitting model(s) with ML (instead of REML)
## Data: df
## Models:
## m.noInt: scghq1_dv ~ age_dv + sex + (1 | pidp)
## m.lmm: scghq1_dv ~ age_dv * sex + (1 | pidp)
                         BIC logLik -2*log(L) Chisq Df Pr(>Chisq)
##
           npar
                   AIC
              5 6549.8 6574.8 -3269.9
                                         6539.8
## m.noInt
              6 6551.6 6581.6 -3269.8
                                         6539.6 0.1864 1
## m.lmm
                                                               0.6659
```

The age×sex interaction estimate is

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{age}\times\text{sex}} = 0.017 \quad (\text{SE} = 0.039, \ t \approx 0.43),$$

and the likelihood-ratio test comparing models with vs. without this term yields

$$\chi^2(1) = 0.186, p = 0.67.$$

Therefore, we fail to reject the null hypothesis of no interaction: the decline in well-being with age is essentially the same for Males and Females in this sample.

#### b)

We fitted three GEE models with independence, exchangeable, and AR(1) working correlations and compared them using QIC.

```
##
## Call:
## geeglm(formula = scghq1_dv ~ age_dv * sex, family = gaussian,
##
       data = df, id = pidp, corstr = "independence")
##
##
   Coefficients:
##
                    Estimate
                              Std.err
                                         Wald Pr(>|W|)
## (Intercept)
                   12.673395 1.433761 78.133
                                                 <2e-16 ***
## age dv
                   -0.037437 0.026034 2.068
                                                  0.150
## sexFemale
                    1.311518 2.207334 0.353
                                                  0.552
## age_dv:sexFemale 0.002707 0.037675 0.005
                                                  0.943
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## Correlation structure = independence
## Estimated Scale Parameters:
##
##
              Estimate Std.err
## (Intercept)
                    34
                        4.401
## Number of clusters:
                        188 Maximum cluster size: 9
##
## geeglm(formula = scghq1_dv ~ age_dv * sex, family = gaussian,
       data = df, id = pidp, corstr = "exchangeable")
##
##
  Coefficients:
##
```

```
##
                    Estimate Std.err Wald Pr(>|W|)
                             1.4466 72.41
## (Intercept)
                     12.3099
                                             <2e-16 ***
## age dv
                              0.0264
                     -0.0315
                                     1.42
                                               0.23
## sexFemale
                      0.8845
                              2.0736
                                               0.67
                                      0.18
## age_dv:sexFemale
                      0.0160
                             0.0366 0.19
                                               0.66
##
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation structure = exchangeable
## Estimated Scale Parameters:
##
               Estimate Std.err
##
##
                   34.1
                           4.42
  (Intercept)
##
    Link = identity
##
## Estimated Correlation Parameters:
         Estimate Std.err
##
## alpha
            0.518 0.0644
## Number of clusters:
                         188 Maximum cluster size: 9
##
## Call:
  geeglm(formula = scghq1_dv ~ age_dv * sex, family = gaussian,
##
       data = df, id = pidp, corstr = "ar1")
##
##
   Coefficients:
##
                    Estimate Std.err Wald Pr(>|W|)
                                            1.1e-15 ***
## (Intercept)
                     12.1943 1.5226 64.14
## age_dv
                     -0.0272
                              0.0272 1.00
                                               0.32
## sexFemale
                      0.7383
                              2.0761 0.13
                                               0.72
## age_dv:sexFemale
                      0.0144 0.0364 0.16
                                               0.69
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation structure = ar1
## Estimated Scale Parameters:
##
##
               Estimate Std.err
##
   (Intercept)
                   34.1
                           4.42
##
    Link = identity
##
## Estimated Correlation Parameters:
##
         Estimate Std.err
## alpha
            0.799 0.0388
## Number of clusters:
                         188 Maximum cluster size: 9
```

We observed that all three specifications lead to the same conclusion: a modest, non-significant decline in well-being with age and no evidence of a sex difference in that slope.

#### **c**)

Comparing the LMM and GEE results shows that all estimated effects are virtually identical: a modest negative slope for age, a small positive main effect of sex, and a near-zero age×sex interaction; and in none of the models is the age×sex interaction statistically significant.

## Problem 2

```
## New names:
## Rows: 2148 Columns: 6
## -- Column specification
                                     ----- Delimiter: "," dbl
## (6): ...1, id, resp, age, smok, aXs
## i Use 'spec()' to retrieve the full column specification for this data. i
## Specify the column types or set 'show_col_types = FALSE' to quiet this message.
## * '' -> '...1'
GLMM assume that conditional independence given the random intercept; random effects \sim N(0, \sigma^2).
## Generalized linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood (Laplace
     Approximation) [glmerMod]
## Family: binomial (logit)
## Formula: resp ~ age + smok + aXs + (1 | id)
##
      Data: df
##
##
         AIC
                   BIC
                          logLik -2*log(L)
                                            df.resid
##
        1599
                  1628
                            -795
                                      1589
                                                 2143
##
## Scaled residuals:
     Min
              1Q Median
                            3Q
## -1.399 -0.178 -0.159 -0.128 2.602
##
## Random effects:
## Groups Name
                       Variance Std.Dev.
           (Intercept) 5.5
                                2.35
## Number of obs: 2148, groups: id, 537
##
## Fixed effects:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) -3.4017
                            0.2789
                                   -12.20
                                              <2e-16 ***
                -0.2170
                                     -2.50
                                              0.012 *
                            0.0868
## age
                 0.4782
## smok
                            0.2993
                                      1.60
                                              0.110
                 0.1046
                            0.1391
                                      0.75
## aXs
                                              0.452
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##
        (Intr) age
                      smok
## age
        0.272
## smok -0.442 -0.193
## aXs -0.146 -0.621 0.280
GEE assume that correct specification of the marginal mean.
##
## Call:
## geeglm(formula = resp ~ age + smok + aXs, family = binomial,
       data = df, id = id, corstr = "exchangeable")
##
```

```
## Coefficients:
##
              Estimate Std.err
                                  Wald Pr(>|W|)
## (Intercept) -1.9005 0.1191 254.69
               -0.1412 0.0582
                                  5.89
                                          0.015 *
## smok
                 0.3138 0.1878
                                  2.79
                                          0.095 .
## aXs
                 0.0708 0.0883
                                  0.64
                                          0.422
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation structure = exchangeable
## Estimated Scale Parameters:
##
               Estimate Std.err
##
                  0.999
                          0.114
## (Intercept)
##
    Link = identity
##
## Estimated Correlation Parameters:
         Estimate Std.err
           0.355
                    0.063
## alpha
## Number of clusters:
                        537 Maximum cluster size: 4
Transition model assume that each outcome depends on the immediate past outcome.
## Generalized linear mixed model fit by maximum likelihood (Laplace
     Approximation) [glmerMod]
## Family: binomial (logit)
## Formula: resp ~ prev_resp + age + smok + aXs + (1 | id)
##
     Data: df2
##
##
         AIC
                   BIC
                          logLik -2*log(L) df.resid
                            -792
##
        1596
                  1630
                                      1584
                                                2142
## Scaled residuals:
              1Q Median
                            3Q
## -1.413 -0.217 -0.191 -0.146 2.868
## Random effects:
## Groups Name
                       Variance Std.Dev.
           (Intercept) 3.46
                               1.86
## Number of obs: 2148, groups: id, 537
##
## Fixed effects:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
## (Intercept) -3.1337
                            0.2448 -12.80
                                           <2e-16 ***
                            0.2950
                                      2.27
## prev_resp
                 0.6707
                                             0.0230 *
                -0.2636
                            0.0872
                                     -3.02
                                             0.0025 **
## age
## smok
                 0.4127
                            0.2592
                                      1.59
                                             0.1113
                 0.0840
## aXs
                            0.1355
                                      0.62
                                             0.5351
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
            (Intr) prv_rs age
                                  smok
## prev_resp 0.386
```

```
## age 0.193 -0.248
## smok -0.474 -0.104 -0.193
## aXs -0.185 -0.062 -0.585 0.337
```

In summary, the three approaches give subtly different smoking coefficients because they rest on different conditioning and correlation assumptions: the GLMM estimates a subject-specific effect of  $\beta_{\rm smok} = 0.478$  by modeling a normal random intercept; the GEE yields a marginal effect of  $\beta_{\rm smok} = 0.314$  using an exchangeable working correlation and robust "sandwich" variances; and the first-order Markov transition model—by also conditioning on the immediately prior outcome plus a random intercept—produces  $\beta_{\rm smok} = 0.413$ .

The GLMM's subject-specific odds ratio of

$$\exp(\beta_{\rm smok}) = \exp(0.478) \approx 1.61$$

tells you that, for a given individual holding their baseline risk constant, picking up smoking raises their odds of the outcome by 61%—a clear, personalized message to motivate cessation.

At the population level, the GEE's marginal OR of

$$\exp(\beta_{\rm smok}) = \exp(0.314) \approx 1.37$$

means that, on average across everyone, smokers have 37% higher odds, so cutting smoking prevalence by, say, 10% could yield roughly a 13% drop in overall disease odds—insight critical for public-health policy.

And if you're building a longitudinal risk tool that updates at each visit, the first-order Markov transition model's OR of

$$\exp(\beta_{\rm smok}) = \exp(0.413) \approx 1.51$$

indicates that, conditional on someone's last outcome, smoking boosts their next visit's odds by 51%, which helps you dynamically recalibrate risk as history unfolds.

## Problem 3

**a**)

Treatment	Visit	Mean rate (seizures/week)	SD	N
placebo	Baseline (8 wk)	3.85	3.26	28
placebo	Visit 1 (2 wk)	4.68	5.07	28
placebo	Visit 2 (2 wk)	4.39	7.34	28
placebo	Visit 4 (2 wk)	3.98	3.81	28
progabide	Baseline (8 wk)	3.95	3.50	31
progabide	Visit 1 (2 wk)	4.29	9.12	31
progabide	Visit 2 (2 wk)	4.21	5.93	31
progabide	Visit 3 (2 wk)	4.06	6.95	31
progabide	Visit 4 (2 wk)	3.35	5.63	31

Table 1: Seizure rates by treatment arm and visit

```
b)
##
## Call:
## geeglm(formula = seizures ~ tx + age, family = poisson(link = "log"),
       data = df_long, offset = log(weeks), id = id, corstr = "exchangeable")
##
##
   Coefficients:
##
              Estimate Std.err Wald Pr(>|W|)
## (Intercept)
                2.2394 0.4461 25.20 5.2e-07 ***
## txprogabide 0.0292 0.2049 0.02
                                        0.887
               -0.0332 0.0147 5.10
                                        0.024 *
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
##
## Correlation structure = exchangeable
## Estimated Scale Parameters:
##
              Estimate Std.err
##
## (Intercept)
                  19.8
                          8.25
##
    Link = identity
##
## Estimated Correlation Parameters:
        Estimate Std.err
##
## alpha
           0.764 0.0867
## Number of clusters: 59 Maximum cluster size: 5
```

Estimated treatment effect:

```
\hat{\beta}_{\rm txprogabide} = 0.0292, \ {\rm SE} = 0.2049, \ p = 0.887, \quad {\rm IRR} = e^{0.0292} \approx 1.03 \ ({\rm with} \ 95\% \ {\rm CI} \ 0.69 - 1.54).
```

Estimated age effect:

$$\hat{\beta}_{\rm age} = -0.0332, \; {\rm SE} = 0.0147, \; p = 0.024, \quad {\rm IRR} = e^{-0.0332} \approx 0.97 \; ({\rm with} \; 95\% \; {\rm CI} \; 0.94 - 0.996).$$

Estimated working correlation:

$$\hat{\alpha} = 0.764.$$

Under this GEE, we observed that there is no significant treatment effect of progabide on seizure rates, but patient age does modestly reduce seizure incidence.

## Appendix: R Code

```
library(lme4)
library(geepack)
library(readr)
library(dplyr)
library(tidyverse)
#Problem 1 a)
df <- read_csv("C:/Users/ncwbr/Desktop/uk_sub.csv")</pre>
df$sex <- relevel(factor(df$sex_dv), ref="Male")</pre>
m.lmm <- lmer(scghq1_dv ~ age_dv * sex + (1 | pidp), data = df)</pre>
summary(m.lmm)
m.noInt <- update(m.lmm, . ~ . - age_dv:sex)</pre>
anova(m.noInt, m.lmm)
#Problem 1 b)
gee.ind <- geeglm(scghq1_dv ~ age_dv * sex,</pre>
                   id = pidp, corstr = "independence",
                   data = df, family = gaussian)
gee.exc <- update(gee.ind, corstr = "exchangeable")</pre>
gee.ar1 <- update(gee.ind, corstr = "ar1")</pre>
summary(gee.ind)
summary(gee.exc)
summary(gee.ar1)
#Problem 2
df <- read_csv("C:/Users/ncwbr/Desktop/sixcity.csv")</pre>
m.glmm <- glmer(resp ~ age + smok + aXs + (1 | id),</pre>
                 data = df, family = binomial)
summary(m.glmm)
m.gee <- geeglm(resp ~ age + smok + aXs,</pre>
                         = id,
                        = df,
                 data
                 family = binomial,
                 corstr = "exchangeable")
summary(m.gee)
df2 <- df %>%
  arrange(id, age) %>%
  group_by(id) %>%
  mutate(prev_resp = lag(resp, default=0)) %>%
  ungroup()
m.trans <- glmer(resp ~ prev_resp + age + smok + aXs + (1 | id),</pre>
```

```
data = df2, family = binomial)
summary(m.trans)
#Problem 3 a)
df <- read_csv("C:/Users/ncwbr/Desktop/Seizure.csv")</pre>
df_long <- df %>%
  pivot_longer(y0:y4, names_to="visit", values_to="seizures") %>%
  mutate(
    weeks
              = if_else(visit=="y0", 8, 2),
              = seizures / weeks,
    rate
    visit_lab = factor(visit,
                        levels=c("y0","y1","y2","y3","y4"),
                        labels=c("Baseline\n(8 \text{ wk})", "Visit 1\n(2 \text{ wk})",
                                  "Visit 2\n(2 \text{ wk})", "Visit 3\n(2 \text{ wk})", "Visit 4\n(2 \text{ wk})"))
  )
summary_tbl <- df_long %>%
  group_by(tx, visit_lab) %>%
  summarize(
    mean_rate = mean(rate),
               = sd(rate),
    sd_rate
                = n(),
               = "drop"
    .groups
knitr::kable(summary_tbl,
              col.names=c("Treatment","Visit","Mean rate\n(seizures/week)","SD","N"),
              digits=2)
             gee_mod <- geeglm(</pre>
  seizures ~ tx + age,
  offset = log(weeks),
        = id,
  data = df_long,
  family = poisson(link="log"),
  corstr = "exchangeable"
)
summary(gee_mod)
```