

# CEDA Model Card

## Purpose:

This document records the **declared structure** of a proposed early-universe model *prior to any diagnostics*.

All entries must be explicit. **Omissions default to failure of the Run Validity Gate (RG).**

No diagnostic interpretation is permitted in this document.

---

## 0. Proposal Identification

- Title:
  - Authors:
  - Year / Venue (arXiv / journal):
  - Primary reference (link):
- 

## 1. Claimed Mechanism (Concise, Author-Stated)

In **1–3 sentences**, state exactly what the authors claim *causes* accelerated expansion, smoothing, or inflation-like behavior.

Avoid interpretive language.

State the mechanism **as the authors intend it to function physically**, not how it is often described secondarily.

---

## 2. Degrees of Freedom (DOF)

### 2.1 Explicit Dynamical DOF

List all fields, modes, or variables that enter the **action or equations of motion** as dynamical entities.

## 2.2 Effective / Collective DOF

List any coarse-grained, emergent, averaged, or expectation-value quantities treated as contributors to stress–energy or dynamics.

## 2.3 Fixed / Constrained Quantities

List quantities assumed fixed, frozen, externally specified, or constrained by hand (e.g., constant  $\epsilon$ , imposed background, fixed equation of state).

---

# 3. System–Environment Partition

- **System** (interior degrees of freedom):
- **Environment** (traced-out / inaccessible / external DOF):
- **Boundary definition** (horizon, cutoff, causal surface, none):

State explicitly:

- whether any DOF are *traced out*,
- whether the partition **evolves in time**, and
- what physical principle enforces the partition.

If no partition is claimed, state “**closed system**” explicitly.

---

# 4. Conservation Accounting (RG-Critical)

## 4.1 Stress–Energy Structure

- **Stress–energy tensor(s)** used:

- **Origin (action-derived / effective / expectation value):**

## 4.2 Exchange-Term Status (Mandatory)

Declare **one and only one**:

- ☐ No exchange term QQQ; system is closed
- ☐ Explicit exchange term QQQ derived from partition evolution
- ☐ Effective / phenomenological exchange term (must be flagged for D3)

If **no exchange term** is claimed, explicitly state:

“No exchange term is introduced or required; any effective-fluid representation is reducible to action-derived field equations.”

## 4.3 Conservation Enforcement

- Where conservation is enforced (equations, identities, symmetries):
- Whether conservation holds exactly or only effectively / on average:

Failure to complete this section **fails RG**.

---

## 5. Horizon Use (if applicable)

- **Horizon definition (event / particle / apparent / effective / none):**
- **Role in the argument:**
  - ☐ bookkeeping only
  - ☐ constraint
  - ☐ dynamical (must be flagged)
- **Quantities explicitly dependent on horizon choice:**

If the horizon is claimed to be non-dynamical, state **how** it nevertheless enters the bookkeeping.

---

## 6. Coarse-Graining Prescription

- **Smoothing / cutoff scale(s):**
- **Justification for choice:**
- **Admissible variations (must be physical):**

If no admissible variation is specified, this will be flagged in **D2**.

---

## 7. Location of Negative Pressure / Acceleration

Identify **where** the inflationary behavior physically resides.

- **Equation number(s):**
- **Term(s) responsible:**
- **Physical source:**
  - ☐ dynamical DOF
  - ☐ constraint
  - ☐ geometric term
  - ☐ boundary / bookkeeping term

“Emerges from interpretation” is not an acceptable entry.

---

## 8. Model Scope Declaration (Framework vs Submodel)

This section prevents C1/D4 ambiguity.

Declare **one**:

- ☐ **Submodel:**  
Explicit functional forms / parameters are fixed and audited as a concrete mechanism.
- ☐ **Framework:**  
Claims apply only to generic properties across a class of models; mechanism-level credit requires additional restriction.

If **Framework** is selected, list:

- what is claimed to be generic,
  - what is explicitly *not* claimed to be generic.
- 

## 9. Known Fragilities / Author-Acknowledged Limits

List all caveats acknowledged by the authors, including but not limited to:

- regime restrictions (e.g., constant  $\epsilon$ , slow variation),
- stability conditions (ghosts, gradients),
- EFT cutoffs / strong coupling,
- scheme, state, or vacuum dependence,
- need for resummation or nonperturbative control.

These declarations are **binding** in diagnostics.

---

## 10. Diagnostics Requested (Pre-Declared)

Check only diagnostics that are intended to be applied.

- ☐ **D1** — Horizon Reconfiguration Null

- ☐ **D2** — Coarse-Graining Stability
- ☐ **D3** — Exchange-Term Provenance
- ☐ **C1** — Coupling Provenance & Redundancy
- ☐ **S1** — Scheme / State Dependence Classification
- ☐ **D4** — Predictive Wedge

Unchecked diagnostics may not be invoked later.

---

**End of Model Card**