

Math CS 122B HW4

Zih-Yu Hsieh

April 25, 2025

1

Question 1 Freitag Chap. V.1 Exercise 10:

Let f be an entire function, and let L be a lattice in \mathbb{C} . For any lattice point $w \in L$ let there exists a number $C_w \in \mathbb{C}$ with the property

$$f(z + w) = C_w f(z)$$

Then

$$f(z) = Ce^{az}$$

for suitable constants C and a .

Pf:

We'll consider the meromorphic function $f'/f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$: For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $w \in L$, since $f(z+w) = C_w f(z)$, then $f'(z+w) = C_w f'(z)$. Then, f'/f satisfies:

$$\frac{f'(z+w)}{f(z+w)} = \frac{C_w f'(z)}{C_w f(z)} = \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$$

This shows that f'/f is in fact an elliptic function with respect to the given lattice L .

Now, we'll consider the singularities of f'/f : Since f is entire, then f' is also entire, hence the only singularities possible for f'/f , are the zeros of f .

Since the singularities of f'/f must be discrete, then we can choose a fundamental region P of lattice L , such that its boundary ∂P contains no singularities of f'/f . Then, by argument principle, we get:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial P} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = (\text{Number of zeros of } f \text{ in } P) - (\text{Number of poles of } f \text{ in } P)$$

Also, since f'/f is an elliptic function, then we also get the following:

$$\int_{\partial P} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = 0$$

So, this implies that the number of zeros of f in P , is precisely the same as the number of poles of f in P . Because f is entire, there are no poles in \mathbb{C} , hence number of poles in P is 0; this implies that the number of zeros of f in P is also 0, showing that f'/f is in fact entire in P , which further extends to be entire in \mathbb{C} (since f'/f is an elliptic function).

Hence, by the **First Liouville's Theorem**, f'/f is in fact a constant.

Lastly, because $f'/f = a \in \mathbb{C}$, then $f'(z) = af(z)$, showing that $f(z) = Ce^{az}$.

Question 2 Freitag Chap. V.2 Exercise 1:

If $L \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a lattice, then the formula

$$\sum_{w \in L} \frac{1}{(z - w)^n}$$

defines for any $n \geq 3$ an elliptic function of order n . What is the connection with the Weierstrass \wp -function?

Pf:

Recall that the Weierstrass \wp -function with lattice L is given as:

$$\wp : \mathbb{C} \setminus L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\substack{w \in L \\ w \neq 0}} \left(\frac{1}{(z - w)^2} - \frac{1}{w^2} \right)$$

Which, the above series converges normally in $\mathbb{C} \setminus L$, hence the derivative to any order in fact can be performed termwise.

For any integer $n \geq 3$, $n - 2 \geq 1$, then the $(n - 2)^{th}$ derivative is given as:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^{(n-2)}}{dz^{(n-2)}} \wp(z) &= \frac{d^{(n-2)}}{dz^{(n-2)}} \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\substack{w \in L \\ w \neq 0}} \frac{d^{(n-2)}}{dz^{(n-2)}} \frac{d^{(n-2)}}{dz^{(n-2)}} \left(\frac{1}{(z - w)^2} - \frac{1}{w^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n \cdot (n - 1)!}{z^n} + \sum_{\substack{w \in L \\ w \neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^n \cdot (n - 1)!}{(z - w)^n} = (-1)^n \cdot (n - 1)! \sum_{w \in L} \frac{1}{(z - w)^n} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, they're in fact some multiple of the derivative of Weierstrass \wp -function.

Question 3 Freitag Chap. V.2 Exercise 5:

Let $L \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a lattice. we denote by \widehat{L} the set of all conformal maps $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the form

$$z \mapsto \pm z + w, \quad w \in L$$

We identify (similar to the construction of the torus \mathbb{C}/L) two points in \mathbb{C} , iff they can be mapped into each other by suitable substitutions of \widehat{L} . After identification, we obtain \mathbb{C}/\widehat{L} , first as a set. Show that the \wp -function gives a bijection

$$\mathbb{C}/\widehat{L} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$$

The field of all \widehat{L} -invariant meromorphic functions is generated by \wp .

Pf:

First, consider the surjectivity: Since $\wp : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is a nonconstant elliptic function with L being the lattice, then it is in fact surjective:

For all $b \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, if $b = \infty$, we know \wp satisfies $\wp(z) = \infty$ for all $z \in L$.

On the other hand, if $b \in \mathbb{C}$, consider the function $\wp(z) - b$, which is again an elliptic function with poles at all points of L . Then, since its derivative is again given by $\wp'(z)$, consider the elliptic function $\frac{\wp'(z)}{\wp(z) - b}$, with a suitable fundamental region P such that ∂P contains no singularities. Then, we get:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial P} \frac{\wp'(z)}{\wp(z) - b} dz = 0$$

And, by argument principle, the above integral provides (Number of zeros of $(\wp - b)$ in P) – (Number of poles of $(\wp - b)$ in P). Since in given fundamental region P , there exists precisely one double pole (the point $w \in L$ that's also contained in P), hence this forces $\wp - b$ to have two zeros (including multiplicity) within the region P . So, there exists $z \in P$, with $\wp(z) - b = 0$, or $\wp(z) = b$. This proves surjectivity of $\wp(z)$.

Now, to prove injectivity of $\wp : \mathbb{C}/\widehat{L} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, recall that $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $\wp(z_1) = \wp(z_2)$ iff $z_1 \equiv z_2 \pmod{L}$ or $z_1 \equiv -z_2 \pmod{L}$. Hence, $z_1 = z_2 + w$ or $z_1 = -z_2 + w$ for some $w \in L$, which, z_1 and z_2 have the same representation under \mathbb{C}/\widehat{L} . This finishes the injectivity of \wp when domain is given by \mathbb{C}/\widehat{L} .

As conclusion, $\wp : \mathbb{C}/\widehat{L} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is in fact a bijection.