Math CS 122B HW6

Zih-Yu Hsieh

May 8, 2025

1

Question 1 Freitag Chap. V.6 Exercise 5:

Lef f be an elliptic function for the lattice L. We choose $b_1, ..., b_n$ to be a system of representatives modulo L for the poles of f, and we consider for each j the principal part of f in the pole b_j :

$$\sum_{v=1}^{l_j} \frac{a_{v,j}}{(z-b_j)^v}$$

The Second Liiouville Theorem ensures the relation

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1,j} = 0$$

Show:

(a) Let $c_1, ..., c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ b given numbers, and let $b_1, ..., b_n$ modulo L be a set of different points in \mathbb{C}/L . The function

$$h(z) := \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \zeta(z - b_j)$$

constructed by means of the Weierstrass ζ -function, is then elliptic, iff

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j = 0$$

(b) Let $b_1, ..., b_n$ be pairwise different modulo L, and let $l_1, ..., l_n$ be prescribed natural numbers. Let $a_{v,j}$ $(1 \le j \le n, \ 1 \le v \le l_j)$ be complex numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} = 0$ and $a_{l_j,j} \ne 0$ for all j.

Then, there exists an elliptic function for the lattice L, having poles modulo L exactly in the points $b_1, ..., b_n$, and having the corresponding principal parts respectively equal to

$$\sum_{v=1}^{l_j} \frac{a_{v,j}}{(z-b_j)^v}$$

Pf:

(a) Given the Weierstrass σ -function below ($\sigma: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$), the Weierstrass ζ -function ($\zeta: \mathbb{C} \setminus L \to \mathbb{C}$) is

defined as:

$$\sigma(z) = z \prod_{\substack{w \in L \\ w \neq 0}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{w}\right) \exp\left(\frac{z}{w} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z}{w}\right)^2\right), \quad \zeta(z) = \frac{\sigma'(z)}{\sigma(z)}$$

Based on the formula of σ , it has simple zeros at all $w \in L$; and, it implies that ζ is not defined only on L. Now, to prove the statement, consider the following:

 \Longrightarrow : Suppose the defined h(z) is elliptic. Then, since for each index $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$, $\sigma(z - b_j)$ has a simple zero at $(w + b_j)$ for each $w \in L$ (which the set $b_j + L$ contains all the simple zeros of $\sigma(z - b_j)$, which is discrete). Then, since $\bigcup_{j=1}^n (b_j + L)$ is also discrete, choose the fundamental region P of lattice L such that ∂P contains no points from $\bigcup_{j=1}^n (b_j + L)$ (the set containing all the zeros of each $\sigma(z - b_j)$, also the set of all undefined points of all $\zeta(z - b_j)$), by the Second Liouville's Theorem, we get the following:

$$0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial P} h(z)dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial P} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \zeta(z - b_j)dz = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial P} \frac{\sigma'(z - b_j)}{\sigma(z - b_j)}dz$$

For each $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$, since P only contains one representative of $b_j \in \mathbb{C}/L$, then it only contains one zero of $\sigma(z - b_j)$. Hence, by argument principle, we get the following:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial P} \frac{\sigma'(z - b_j)}{\sigma(z - b_j)} dz = 1 = \text{Number of zeros of } \sigma(z - b_j) \text{ in } P$$

Hence, the original integral becomes:

$$0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial P} h(z)dz = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial P} \frac{\sigma'(z - b_j)}{\sigma(z - b_j)} dz = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j$$

So, $\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j = 0$.

 $\Leftarrow=:$ Now, suppose $\sum_{j=1}^n c_j=0$. For all $w\in L$, since $\sigma(z+w)$ and $\sigma(z)$ both have simple zeros at any $w'\in L$, then $\frac{\sigma(z+w)}{\sigma(z)}$ is an entire function with no zeros in $\mathbb C$ (since the zeros cancel out at each $w'\in L$). Hence, there exists an analytic function $h:\mathbb C\to\mathbb C$, with $\frac{\sigma(z+w)}{\sigma(z)}=e^{h(z)}$. Then, apply derivatives, we get:

$$\frac{\sigma'(z+w)\sigma(z) - \sigma'(z)\sigma(z+w)}{(\sigma(z))^2} = h'(z)e^{h(z)} = h'(z) \cdot \frac{\sigma(z+w)}{\sigma(z)}$$
$$\frac{\sigma'(z+w)\sigma(z+w)}{\sigma(z+w)\sigma(z)} - \frac{\sigma'(z)\sigma(z+w)}{(\sigma(z))^2} = h'(z) \cdot \frac{\sigma(z+w)}{\sigma(z)}$$
$$\frac{\sigma'(z+w)}{\sigma(z+w)} - \frac{\sigma'(z)}{\sigma(z)} = h'(z)$$

On the other hand, since $\left(\frac{\sigma'(z)}{\sigma(z)}\right)' = -\wp(z)$, then:

$$h''(z) = \left(\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma}\right)'(z+w) - \left(\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma}\right)'(z) = (-\wp(z+w)) - (-\wp(z)) = 0$$

Hence, h(z) is in fact a degree 1 polynomial. So, there exists $a_w, b_w \in \mathbb{C}$, such that:

$$\frac{\sigma(z+w)}{\sigma(z)} = e^{h(z)} = e^{a_w z + b_w}, \quad \sigma(z+w) = e^{a_w z + b_w} \sigma(z)$$

Then, apply the derivative, and take its quotient with $\sigma(z+w)$, we get:

$$\sigma'(z+w) = a_w e^{a_w z + b_w} \sigma(z) + e^{a_w z + b_w} \sigma'(z)$$

$$\zeta(z+w) = \frac{\sigma'(z+w)}{\sigma(z+w)} = \frac{a_w e^{a_w z + b_w} \sigma(z) + e^{a_w z + b_w} \sigma'(z)}{e^{a_w z + b_w} \sigma(z)} = a_w + \frac{\sigma'(z)}{\sigma(z)} = a_w + \zeta(z)$$

Which, apply it to the definition of h(z), we get:

$$h(z+w) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \zeta(z-b_j+w) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j (a_w + \zeta(z-b_j)) = a_j \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \zeta(z-b_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \zeta(z-b_j) = h(z)$$

(Note: recall that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j$ is assumed to be 0).

Hence, h(z) is an elliptic function.

he above two implication shows that h(z) is an elliptic function iff $\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j = 0$.

(b) To construct the desired principal part for each point $b_1, ..., b_n$ modulo L, we need to consider the order 1 case separately from the other poles:

For order 1, we have the condition that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1,j} = 0$, so we can utilize the statement proven in **part** (a). Notice that $\zeta(z) = \frac{\sigma'(z)}{\sigma(z)}$ is the logarithmic derivative of $\sigma(z)$, with the formula given in **part** (a), we get the following:

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{\sigma'(z)}{\sigma(z)} = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{\substack{w \in L \\ w \neq 0}} \left(\frac{-1/w}{1 - z/w} + \frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{z}{w} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{z^2}{w^2} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{\substack{w \in L \\ w \neq 0}} \left(\frac{1}{z - w} + \frac{1}{w} + \frac{z}{w^2} \right)$$

This demonstrats that $\zeta(z)$ has its principal part given as $\frac{1}{z-w}$ at all $w \in L$. Hence, $\zeta(z-b_j)$ would have its principal part given as $\frac{1}{z-b_j}$ for all point equivalent to $b_j \mod L$. Which, using the statement in **part** (a), we know since $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} = 0$, it implies that $h_1(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1,j} \zeta(z-b_j)$ is an elliptic function; moreover, since each b_j is distinct, its principal part is governed by only $a_{1,j}\zeta(z-b_j)$ for each index j, hence this is an elliptic function describing the principal part up to the simple poles at each point.

For order ≥ 2 , we could utilize the fact that $\wp(z)$ has a double pole at all $w \in L$. Recall the formula of $\wp(z)$ in series form:

$$\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\substack{w \in L \\ w \neq 0}} \left(\frac{1}{(z-w)^2} - \frac{1}{w^2} \right)$$

Which, its principal part is given by $\frac{1}{(z-w)^2}$ at all $w \in L$. So, for any index j with $l_j \geq 2$, to describe the principal part with $\frac{a_{2,j}}{(z-b_j)^2}$ at each point equivalent to $b_j \mod L$, we can use $a_{2,j}\wp(z-b_j)$ (shift the double poles to each point in $b_j + L$).

Besides that, for any n > 0, since $\wp(z)$ converges normally within $\mathbb{C} \setminus L$, then its n^{th} order derivative can be performed term by term:

$$\wp^{(n)}(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} \left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right) + \sum_{\substack{w \in L \\ w \neq 0}} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} \left(\frac{1}{(z-w)^2} - \frac{1}{w^2}\right) = \frac{(-1)^n \cdot (n+1)!}{z^{n+2}} + \sum_{\substack{w \in L \\ w \neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^n \cdot (n+1)!}{(z-w)^{n+2}}$$

$$\frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!}\wp^{(n)}(z) = \frac{1}{z^{n+2}} + \sum_{\substack{w \in L \\ w \neq 0}} \frac{1}{(z-w)^{(n+2)}}$$

This shows that the function $\frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!}\wp^{(n)}(z)$ has principal part $\frac{1}{(z-w)^{n+2}}$ at all $w \in L$. So, for all index j with $l_j > 2$, any $2 < v < l_j$ with its principal part given by $\frac{a_{v,j}}{(z-b_j)^v}$ at each point equivalent to b_j mod L, could be given by $a_{v,j} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{(v-2)}}{(v-1)!}\wp^{(v-2)}(z-b_j)$, based on similar logic as above.

In general, to create an elliptic function with the prescribed principal parts, one explicit formula can be given as:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1,j} \zeta(z - b_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{v=2}^{l_j} a_{v,j} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{v-2}}{(v-1)!} \wp^{(v-2)}(z - b_j)$$

(Note: if $l_j < 2$, simply ignore the term).

Question 2 Freitag Chap. V.6 Exercise 7:

We are interested in alternating \mathbb{R} -bilinear maps (forms)

$$A: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$$

Show:

(a) Any such map A is of the form

$$A(z, w) = hIm(z\overline{w})$$

with a uniquely determined real number h. We have explicitly h = A(1,i).

(b) Let $L \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a lattice. Then A is called a Riemannian form with respect to L iff h is positive, and A only takes integral values on $L \times L$. If

$$L = \mathbb{Z}w_1 + \mathbb{Z}w_2, \quad Im\left(\frac{w_2}{w_1}\right) > 0$$

then the formula

$$A(t_1w_1 + t_2w_2, s_1w_1 + s_2w_2) := \det \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & s_1 \\ t_2 & s_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a Riemannian form A on L.

(c) A non-constant analytic function $\Theta : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a theta function for the lattice $L \subset \mathbb{C}$, iff it satisfies an equation of the type

$$\Theta(z+w) = e^{a_w z + b_2} \cdot \Theta(z)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and all $w \in L$. Here, a_w and b_w are onstants that may depend on w, but not on z.

Show the existence of a Riemannian form A with respect to L, such that

$$A(w,\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (a_w \lambda - w a_\lambda)$$

for all $w, \lambda \in L$.

Pf:

(a) For any $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, with z = a + bi and w = c + di. Then, by the property of a bilinear form, we get:

$$A(z,w) = A(a+bi,c+di) = A(a,c+di) + A(bi,c+di) = A(a,c) + A(a,di) + A(bi,c) + A(bi,di)$$
$$= acA(1,1) + adA(1,i) + bcA(i,1) + bdA(i,i)$$

Then, because of the property of alternating form, A(z, w) = -A(w, z), which any $u \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies A(u, u) = -A(u, u), so A(u, u) = 0. Hence, we can further reduce the equation to the following:

$$A(z,w) = acA(1,1) + adA(1,i) + bcA(i,1) + bdA(i,i) = adA(1,i) - bcA(1,i) = (ad - bc)A(1,i)$$

Now, notice that if we take $z\overline{w}$, we get:

$$z\overline{w} = (a+bi)\overline{(c+di)} = (a+bi)(c-di) = (ac+bd) + (bc-ad)i$$

Which, $\text{Im}(z\overline{w}) = bc - ad$. So in fact, we get the following formula:

$$A(z, w) = (ad - bc)A(1, i) = -A(1, i) \cdot \operatorname{Im}(z\overline{w})$$

So, let h = -A(1,i) = A(i,1) (which is uniquely determined by the alternating form), we get:

$$A(z, w) = A(i, 1) \cdot \operatorname{Im}(z\overline{w}) = h \cdot \operatorname{Im}(z\overline{w})$$

(b) If view \mathbb{C} as an \mathbb{R} -vector space, it is a two-dimensional vector space. Which, the basis w_1, w_2 of the lattice L is also a basis for \mathbb{C} . Then, for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $z = t_1w_1 + t_2w_2$, and $w = s_1w_2 + s_2w_2$.

First, we'll check that the given form is an alternating bilinear form:

If consider A(z, w) and A(w, z), we get:

$$A(z, w) = A(t_1w_1 + t_2w_2, s_1w_1 + s_2w_2) = \det \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & s_1 \\ t_2 & s_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= -\det \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & t_1 \\ s_2 & t_2 \end{pmatrix} = -A(s_1w_2 + s_2w_2, t_1w_2 + t_2w_2) = -A(w, z)$$

So, the alternating property is checked. Now, if given $u \in \mathbb{C}$, with $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $u = k_1 w_1 + k_2 w_2$, then given arbitrary $k, l \in \mathbb{R}$, we get the following:

$$\begin{split} A(kz+lu,w) &= A(k(t_1w_1+t_2w_2)+l(k_1w_1+k_2w_2), s_1w_1+s_2w_2) \\ A((kt_1+lk_1)w_1+(kt_2+lk_2)w_2, s_1w_1+s_2w_2) &= \det\begin{pmatrix} (kt_1+lk_1) & s_1 \\ (kt_2+lk_2) & s_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (kt_1+lk_1)s_2-(kt_2+lk_2)s_1 = k(t_1s_2-t_2s_1)+l(k_1s_2-k_2s_1) \\ &= k\det\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & s_1 \\ t_2 & s_2 \end{pmatrix}+l\det\begin{pmatrix} k_1 & s_1 \\ k_2 & s_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= kA(t_1w_1+t_2w_2, s_1w_1+s_2w_2)+lA(k_1w_1+k_2w_2, s_1w_1+s_2w_2) \\ &= kA(z,w)+lA(u,w) \end{split}$$

This proves the bilinearity (including the alternating property, this also proves the linearity of the second column).

So, A defined in the question is an alternating bilinear form.

Now, for all $z, w \in L \times L$, since there exists $t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $z = t_1w_1 + t_2w_2$ and $w = s_1w_1 + s_2w_2$, we get:

$$A(z,w) = A(t_1w_1 + t_2w_2, s_1w_1 + s_2w_2) = \det\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & s_1 \\ t_2 & s_2 \end{pmatrix} = t_1s_2 - t_2s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

So, A yields integer value for all elements in $L \times L$.

Lastly, consider h = A(1, i) given in **part** (a). Given that $w_1 = a + bi$, $w_2 = c_d i$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\text{Im}(w_2/w_1) > 0$, we get:

$$\frac{w_2}{w_1} = \frac{c+di}{a+bi} = \frac{(c+di)(a-bi)}{(a+bi)(a-bi)} = \frac{(ac+bd)+(ad-bc)i}{a^2+b^2}, \quad \text{Im}\left(\frac{w_2}{w_1}\right) = \frac{ad-bc}{a^2+b^2} > 0$$

$$\implies ad-bc > 0$$

Then, given the definition of A, we know the following:

$$A(w_1, w_2) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1$$

$$A(w_1, w_2) = A(a + bi, c + di) = acA(1, 1) + adA(1, i) + bcA(i, 1) + bdA(i, i)$$

$$= adA(1, i) - bcA(1, i) = (ad - bc)h$$

Hence, we derived the following:

$$(ad - bc)h = 1 < 0, \quad ad - bc > 0 \implies h = \frac{1}{ad - bc} > 0$$

Then, since A is an alternating bilinear form, takes integer values on $L \times L$, and has h > 0, A is a Riemannian Form.

(c) Let $L = \mathbb{Z}w_1 + \mathbb{Z}w_2$, with $\operatorname{Im}(\frac{w_2}{w_1}) > 0$. Given the definition of Θ function, we know for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, if $\Theta(z) = 0$, then for all $w \in L$, $\Theta(z+w) = e^{a_w z + b_w} \Theta(z) = 0$. Hence, let $b_1, ..., b_n$ represent the zeros of Θ in a fundamental region P, then for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we get $\Theta(z) = 0$ iff $z \equiv b_j \mod L$ for some $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ (since if $z \in P$ satisfies $z \neq b_j$ for all index j, then for all $w \in L$, $\Theta(z+w) = e^{a_w z + b_w} \Theta(z) \neq 0$).

On the other hand, for all $w \in L$, if consider the derivative $\Theta'(z+w)$, we get:

$$\Theta'(z+w) = a_w e^{a_w z + b_w} \Theta(z) + e^{a_w z + b_w} \Theta'(z)$$

Which, the following is true:

$$\frac{\Theta'(z+w)}{\Theta(z+w)} = \frac{a_w e^{a_w z + b_w} \Theta(z) + e^{a_w z + b_w} \Theta'(z)}{e^{a_w z + b_w} \Theta(z)} = a_w + \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)}$$

1. Relations of a_w with basis w_1, w_2 :

For any $w \in L$, there exists $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $w = kw_1 + lw_2$. Which, notice the following:

$$\frac{\Theta'(z+w_1)}{\Theta(z+w_1)} = a_{w_1} + \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)}$$

Which, by induction, any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \geq 0$ satisfies:

$$\frac{\Theta'(z+kw_1)}{\Theta(z+kw_1)} = ka_{w_1} + \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)}$$

Then, for k < 0, since $z = (z + kw_1) - kw_1$ with -k > 0, we get the following relation:

$$\frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)} = \frac{\Theta'((z+kw_1)-kw_1)}{\Theta((z+kw_1)-kw_1)} = (-k)a_{w_1} + \frac{\Theta'(z+kw_1)}{\Theta(z+kw_1)}, \quad \frac{\Theta'(z+kw_1)}{\Theta(z+kw_1)} = ka_{w_1} + \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)}$$

Hence, the above formula can be generalize to any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, apply similar logic to w_2 , we also get the following:

$$\forall l \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \frac{\Theta'(z + lw_2)}{\Theta(z + lw_2)} = la_{w_2} + \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)}$$

So, for arbitrary $w \in L$, since there exists $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $w = kw_1 + lw_2$, then the following relation is true:

$$a_{w} + \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)} = \frac{\Theta'(z+w)}{\Theta(z+w)} = \frac{\Theta'(z+kw_{1}+lw_{2})}{\Theta(z+kw_{1}+lw_{2})}$$
$$= la_{w_{2}} + \frac{\Theta'(z+kw_{1})}{\Theta'(z+kw_{1})} = ka_{w_{1}} + la_{w_{2}} + \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)}$$

$$\implies a_w = ka_{w_1} + la_{w_2}$$

2. Define the Riemannian Form:

Since L is a lattice, w_1 and w_2 are linearly independent when viewing \mathbb{C} as an \mathbb{R} -vector space, hence w_1, w_2 forms a basis of \mathbb{C} . Which, for all $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $u = t_1w_1 + t_2w_2$ and $v = s_1w_1 + s_2w_2$. So, define the map $A : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ as follow:

$$A(u,v) = A(t_1w_1 + t_2w_2, s_1w_1 + s_2w_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}((t_1a_{w_1} + t_2a_{w_2})v - (s_1a_{w_1} + s_2a_{w_2})u)$$

Notice that the image isn't guaranteed to be in \mathbb{R} . Temporarily, we'll postpone the proof of $A(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and verify that A satisfies all the other properties of being a Riemannian Form first.

- Alternating Property:

Given the definition of A, we get:

$$A(v,u) = A(s_1w_1 + s_2w_2, t_1w_1 + t_2w_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}((s_1a_{w_1} + s_2a_{w_2})u - (t_1a_{w_1} + t_2a_{w_2})v)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi i}((t_1a_{w_1} + t_2a_{w_2})v - (s_1a_{w_1} + s_2a_{w_2})u) = -A(u,v)$$

- Bilinearity:

Given arbitrary $w \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, with $w = r_1w_1 + r_2w_2$. Which, for arbitrary $k, l \in \mathbb{R}$ we get:

$$\begin{split} A(ku+lw,v) &= A(k(t_1w_1+t_2w_2)+l(r_1w_1+r_2w_2),s_1w_1+s_2w_2) = A((kt_1+lr_1)w_1+(kt_2+lr_2)w_2,s_1w_1+s_2w_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}(((kt_1+lr_1)a_{w_1}+(kt_2+lr_2)a_{w_2})v-(s_1a_{w_1}+s_2a_{w_2})(ku+lw)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(k(t_1a_{w_1}+t_2a_{w_2})v-(s_1a_{w_1}+s_2a_{w_2})ku\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(l(r_1a_{w_1}+r_2a_{w_2})v-(s_1a_{w_1}+s_2a_{w_2})lw\right) \\ &= kA(u,v)+lA(w,v) \end{split}$$

Combining the alternating property, the linearity in the second column is also given.

- A yields integer values on $L \times L$:

Given any $w, \lambda \in L$, there exists $t_1, t_2, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $w = t_1w_1 + t_2w_2$, and $\lambda = s_1w_1 + s_2w_2$. Which, with $a_w = t_1a_{w_1} + t_2a_{w_2}$ and $a_\lambda = s_1a_{w_1} + a_2a_{w_2}$ proven in statement 1, we get:

$$A(w,\lambda) = A(t_1 a_{w_1} + t_2 a_{w_2}, s_1 a_{w_1} + s_2 a_{w_2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} ((t_1 a_{w_1} + t_2 a_{w_2})\lambda - (s_1 a_{w_1} + s_2 a_{w_2})w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (a_w \lambda - a_\lambda w)$$

This is the desired formula for any $w, \lambda \in L$. To prove that the value is an integer, consider the parallelagram P spanned by w and λ . Which, given ∂P , since it's closed and Θ has discrete zeros, then there exists $a \in \mathbb{C}$, such that P' = a + P with $\partial P'$ containing no zeros of Θ (hence $\frac{\Theta'}{\Theta}$ is well-defined on $\partial P'$).

Insert image

Which, WLOG, assume the orientation of $\partial P'$ is given by $a \to (a+w) \to (a+w+\lambda) \to (a+\lambda) \to a$, along with argument principal, we get the following:

Number of zeros of
$$\Theta$$
 in $P' = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial P'} \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)} dz$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{a}^{a+w} \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)} dz + \int_{a+w}^{a+w+\lambda} \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)} dz + \int_{a+w+\lambda}^{a+\lambda} \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)} dz + \int_{a+\lambda}^{a} \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)} dz \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\left(\int_{a}^{a+w} \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)} dz - \int_{a}^{a+w} \frac{\Theta'(z+\lambda)}{\Theta(z+\lambda)} dz \right) + \left(\int_{a}^{a+\lambda} \frac{\Theta'(z+w)}{\Theta(z+w)} dz - \int_{a}^{a+\lambda} \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)} dz \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{a}^{a+w} \left(\frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)} - \left(a_{\lambda} + \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)} \right) \right) dz + \int_{a}^{a+\lambda} \left(a_{w} + \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)} - \frac{\Theta'(z)}{\Theta(z)} \right) dz \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{a}^{a+\lambda} a_{w} dz - \int_{a}^{a+w} a_{\lambda} dz \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} (a_{w}\lambda - a_{\lambda}w) = A(w, \lambda)$$

This shows that $A(w, \lambda)$ is in fact an integer.

Finally, to prove the image is contained in \mathbb{R} , we'll utilize the continuity of A (since fixing one entry, A becomes a linear map that is continuous).

First, we'll prove that any $w, \lambda \in (\mathbb{Q}w_1 + \mathbb{Q}w_2)$ satisfies $A(w, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$: Since both w, λ have the coefficients of w_1, w_2 being rational, then for large enough $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $kw, l\lambda \in L$ (EX: choose k, l to be the multiples of the denominators of the ratioal coefficients of w, λ respectively, the each coefficient of $kw, l\lambda$ is an integer). So, evaluate in A, we get:

$$A(kw, l\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad A(w, \lambda) = \frac{1}{kl} A(kw, l\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$$

Now, let $L' = \mathbb{Q}w_1 + \mathbb{Q}w_2$, since L' is a dense set in \mathbb{C} (due to the denseness of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R}), then $L' \times L'$ is a dense set iin $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$. So, for any $(u, v) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$, it is a limit point of $L' \times L'$, hence there exists a sequence $(u_n, v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L' \times L'$, with $\lim_{n \to \infty} (u_n, v_n) = (u, v)$. Hence, by continuity of A, we get:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A(u_n, v_n) = A(u, v)$$

And, since each index n satisfies $A(u_n, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}$ (recall that $(u_n, v_n) \in L' \times L'$), then by completeness of \mathbb{R} , A(u, v) as a limit of sequence in \mathbb{R} , must also belong to \mathbb{R} . Hence, $A(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}$.

3

Question 3 Freitag Chap. V.7 Exercise 5: Show:

- (a) For the lattice $L_i = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}i$ we have $g_3(i) = 0$ and $g_2(i) \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$, in particular $\Delta(i) = g_2^3(i) > 0$.
- (b) For the lattice $L_w = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}w$, $w := e^{2\pi i/3}$, we have $g_2(w) = 0$ and $g_3(w) \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$, in particular $\Delta(w) = -27g_3^2(w)$.

Pf:

4

Question 4 Freitag Chap. V.8 Exercise 3:

The Eisenstein series are "real" functions, i.e. $\overline{G_k(\mathcal{T})} = G_k(-\mathcal{T})$. This implies

$$G_k\left(\frac{\alpha(-\overline{T})+\beta}{\gamma(-T)+\delta}\right) = (\gamma(-\overline{T})+\delta)\overline{G_k(T)}$$
 and

$$j\left(\frac{\alpha(-\overline{\mathcal{T}})+\beta}{\gamma(-\overline{\mathcal{T}})+\delta}\right) = \overline{j(\mathcal{T})} \qquad for \ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$$

On the vertical half-lines $Re(\mathcal{T}) = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ in \mathbb{H} in \mathbb{H} the Eisenstein series and the j-function are real. if $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{H}$ lies on the circle line $|\mathcal{T}| = 1$, then $j(\mathcal{T}) = \overline{j(\mathcal{T})}$. In particular, the j-function is real on the boundary of the modular figure, and on the imaginary axis.

Pf: