

Math CS 122b HW8 Part 1

Zih-Yu Hsieh

May 24, 2025

1 (need slight modification)

Question 1 *Stein and Shakarchi Pg. 201-202 Exercise 8:*

The function ζ has infinitely many zeros in the critical strip. This can be seen as follows.

- (a) Let $F(s) = \xi(1/2 + s)$, where $\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s)$. Show that $F(s)$ is an even function of s , and as a result, there exists G so that $G(s^2) = F(s)$.
- (b) Show that the function $(s-1)\zeta(s)$ is an entire function of growth order 1, that is

$$|(s-1)\zeta(s)| \leq A_\epsilon e^{a_\epsilon |s|^{1+\epsilon}}$$

As a consequence $G(s)$ is of growth order $1/2$.

- (c) Deduce from the above that ζ has infinitely many zeros in the critical strip.

[Hint: To prove (a) and (b) use the functional equation for $\zeta(s)$. For (c), use a result of Hadamard, which states that an entire function with fractional order has infinitely many zeros (Exercise 14 in Chapter 5)].

Pf:

- (a) Recall that in **HW 7 Question 1 (Freitag Chap. VII.5 Problem 5)**, to deduce the functional equation of ζ , we've proven the functional equation $\xi(s') = \xi(1-s')$. As a result, for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$, if treating F as a meromorphic function, we get:

$$F(s) = \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + s\right) = \xi\left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} + s\right)\right) = \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} - s\right) = F(-s)$$

Hence, this proves that $F(s)$ is an even function.

- (b) Recall that $\zeta(s)$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$, with a simple pole at $s = 1$ with residue 1, then $(s-1)\zeta(s)$ is in fact having a removable singularity at $s = 1$, hence can be extended to an entire function.

1. $(s-1)\zeta(s)$ Has growth order 1 for $\text{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$:

In **Freitag Lemma VII.5.2**, the following functions are well defined:

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \beta(t) = t - [t] - \frac{1}{2}, \quad [t] := \max n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad n \leq t$$

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0, \quad F(s) := \int_1^\infty t^{-s-1} \beta(t) dt$$

Then as a result, the following equation is true for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, hence defines an analytic continuation for $\zeta(s)$ on $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$:

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1, \quad \zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{s-1} - sF(s)$$

So, if multiply with $(s-1)$, for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $(s-1)\zeta(s)$ is well-defined, and can be given as the following formula:

$$(s-1)\zeta(s) = \frac{(s-1)}{2} + 1 - (s-1)sF(s)$$

Which, let $s = x + iy$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, on $\operatorname{Re}(s) = x \geq \frac{1}{2}$ (which $\frac{1}{x} \leq 2$), $F(s)$ can be bounded as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} |F(s)| &= \left| \int_1^\infty t^{-s-1} \beta(t) dt \right| \leq \int_1^\infty |t^{-(x+iy)-1} \beta(t)| dt \leq \int_1^\infty |t^{-x-1} \cdot t^{iy}| dt = \int_1^\infty t^{-x-1} dt \\ &= \left. \frac{-1}{x} t^{-x} \right|_1^\infty = \frac{1}{x} \leq 2 \end{aligned}$$

(Note: for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\beta(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} < 1$, and since $x \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then the integral of t^{-x-1} has power < -1 , which is absolutely convergent).

So, if considering the modulus of $(s-1)\zeta(s)$ on $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} |(s-1)\zeta(s)| &= \left| \frac{(s-1)}{2} + 1 - (s-1)sF(s) \right| \leq \frac{|s-1|}{2} + 1 + |(s-1)s| \cdot |F(s)| \leq \frac{|s|+1}{2} + 1 + 2(|s|^2 + |s|) \\ &\leq 2|s|^2 + \frac{3}{2}|s| + \frac{3}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Which, take $4e^{|s|} = 4 + 4|s| + 2|s|^2 + \sum_{n=3}^\infty \frac{4}{n!}|s|^n$, since for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ each term is nonnegative, then we can deduce:

$$|(s-1)\zeta(s)| \leq 2|s|^2 + \frac{3}{2}|s| + \frac{3}{2} \leq 4 + 4|s| + 2|s|^2 \leq 4 + 4|s| + 2|s|^2 + \sum_{n=3}^\infty \frac{4}{n!}|s|^n = 4e^{|s|}$$

This shows that $(s-1)\zeta(s)$ has growth order 1 on the half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

2. $(s-1)\zeta(s)$ Has growth order 1 for the whole plane:

In the previous part the growth order is verified for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. so the rest suffices to show it for the half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s') < \frac{1}{2}$. (And, we'll utilize the fact that for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $|e^s| \leq e^{|s|}$, which can be seen using Taylor Series).

Recall that in **HW 7**, we've proven the following functional equation of ζ :

$$\zeta(1-s) = 2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)$$

Hence, for any s' with $\operatorname{Re}(s') < \frac{1}{2}$, let $s' = 1-s$ for some $s \in \mathbb{C}$, then $s = 1-s'$, so $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \operatorname{Re}(1-s') > \frac{1}{2}$. Then, the equation $(s'-1)\zeta(s')$ becomes:

$$(s'-1)\zeta(s') = ((1-s)-1)\zeta(1-s) = -s \cdot 2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)$$

And, since $\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 0$, $\cos(\frac{\pi s}{2})$ has a zero at $s = 1$, then $\cos(\frac{\pi s}{2}) = (s-1)h(s)$ for some analytic function h . So, the above formula can be further written as:

$$((1-s)-1)\zeta(1-s) = -s \cdot 2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) h(s) \cdot (s-1)\zeta(s)$$

Which, $|s| = |1 - s'| \leq |s'| + 1$, so the growth order in terms of $|s|$ can be replaced using $|s'|$ instead. From the above equality, we do need to talk about the growth order of different components:

- For $(2\pi)^{-s} = e^{-\log(2\pi)s} = e^{-\log(2\pi)(x+iy)} = e^{-\log(2\pi)x} \cdot e^{-\log(2\pi)iy}$, it satisfies the following:

$$|(2\pi)^{-s}| = |e^{-\log(2\pi)s}| \leq e^{\log(2\pi)|s|}$$

This proves that $(2\pi)^{-s}$ has growth order 1.

- For $\Gamma(s)$, since we're working with the half plane $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$, then it's valid to apply **Stirling's Formula** (given in **Freitag Proposition IV.1.14**):

Let $H(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left((s+n+\frac{1}{2}) \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{s+n} \right) - 1 \right)$, then $\Gamma(s)$ can be expressed as:

$$\Gamma(s) = \sqrt{2\pi} s^{s-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-s} e^{H(s)} = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{(s-1/2)\log(s)-s+H(s)}$$

and $s \rightarrow \infty$ implies $H(s) \rightarrow 0$ (within the given half plane $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$).

Which, notice that for s in the half plane, since $s \rightarrow \infty$ implies $H(s) \rightarrow 0$, then there exists $M > 0$, such that $|s| > M$ implies $|H(s)| < 1$. And, since for all $\epsilon > 0$ (specifically, can limit to $\epsilon < 1$), there exists $M' > 0$, such that $|\log(s)| \leq |s|^\epsilon$, then for all s in the half plant satisfies $|s| > M, M'$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(s - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log(s) - s + H(s) \right| &\leq \left(|s| + \frac{1}{2} \right) |\log(s)| + |s| + |H(s)| \leq \left(|s| + \frac{1}{2} \right) |s|^\epsilon + |s| + 1 \\ &\leq |s|^{1+\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2}|s|^\epsilon + |s|^{1+\epsilon} + 1 \leq \frac{5}{2}|s|^{1+\epsilon} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\Gamma(s)$ satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma(s)| &= \left| \sqrt{2\pi} e^{(s-1/2)\log(s)-s+H(s)} \right| \leq \sqrt{2\pi} \exp \left(\left| \left(s - \frac{1}{2} \right) \log(s) - s + H(s) \right| \right) \\ &\leq \sqrt{2\pi} \exp \left(\frac{5}{2}|s|^{1+\epsilon} + 1 \right) = e\sqrt{2\pi} e^{\frac{5}{2}|s|^{1+\epsilon}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for any $\epsilon > 0$, with suitable constant $A_\epsilon, a_\epsilon > 0$, on the half plane $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$, $|\Gamma(s)| \leq A_\epsilon e^{a_\epsilon |s|^{1+\epsilon}}$, showing that $\Gamma(s)$ has growth order 1.

- For $h(s)$ mentioned above, since $(s-1)h(s) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right)$, and $\cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right)$ can be written as:

$$\cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) = \frac{e^{i\frac{\pi s}{2}} + e^{-i\frac{\pi s}{2}}}{2}$$

Hence, the following inequality is true:

$$\left| \cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} (|e^{i\frac{\pi s}{2}}| + |e^{-i\frac{\pi s}{2}}|) \leq \frac{1}{2} (e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|s|} + e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|s|}) = e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|s|}$$

Hence, $\cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right)$ is with growth order 1, which also implies that $h(s)$ is with growth order 1.

Finally, back to the original equation, since for any s' with $\text{Re}(s') < \frac{1}{2}$, writing $s' = 1 - s$ for $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$ yields the following expression:

$$(s' - 1)\zeta(s') = ((1 - s) - 1)\zeta(1 - s) = -s \cdot 2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)h(s) \cdot (s - 1)\zeta(s)$$

Then, since $s, (2\pi)^{-s}, \Gamma(s), h(s)$ are all with growth order 1, and $(s - 1)\zeta(s)$ has been proven to have growth order 1 also in the previous part, then the whole product $(s' - 1)\zeta(s')$ is with growth order 1

(with input s). However, since $|s| = |1 - s'| \leq |s'| + 1$ as mentioned before, then it is also with growth order 1 with respect to s' .

Regardless of the choice of s (either $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ or $\operatorname{Re}(s) < \frac{1}{2}$), we eventually get that $(s - 1)\zeta(s)$ is with growth order 1.

- (c) In **Part (b)**, it was proven that F has growth order 1, while G (after being modified into an entire function) has growth order $1/2$. So based on Hadamard's result, it has infinitely many zeros, which also implies that $F(s) = G(s^2)$ has infinitely many zeros. However, since $F(s)$ is given as:

$$F(s) = \xi(1/2 + s) = \pi^{-(1/2+s)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{(1/2 + s)}{2}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + s\right)$$

Which, because $F(s)$ is even, it is enough to consider the half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$: Because π^z , $\Gamma(z)$ are both nonzero functions, then these zeros of F must be contributed by $\zeta(1/2 + s)$; On the other hand, it is well-known that $\zeta(z)$ has no zeros for $\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq 1$, hence for $\operatorname{Re}(1/2 + s) \geq 1$, or $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, since $\zeta(1/2 + s)$ has no zeros, then $F(s)$ has no zeros. Hence, the zeros of $F(s)$ (on the half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$) must appear in the range $0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) < \frac{1}{2}$, which eventually implies that there are infinitely many s in this strip (which satisfies $\frac{1}{2} \leq \operatorname{Re}(1/2 + s) < 1$, with $(1/2 + s)$ being in the critical strip) satisfying $\zeta(1/2 + s) = 0$.

So, we can conclude that $\zeta(s)$ has infinitely many zeros in the critical strip.

Question 2 Stein and Shakarchi Pg. 202-203 Exercise 10:

In the theory of primes, a better approximation for $\pi(x)$ (instead of $x/\log(x)$) turns out to be $Li(x)$ defined by

$$Li(x) = \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log(t)}$$

(a) Prove that

$$Li(x) = \frac{x}{\log(x)} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log(x))^2}\right) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

and that as a consequence

$$\pi(x) \sim Li(x) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

(b) Refine the previous analysis by showing that for every integer $N > 0$ one has the following asymptotic expansion

$$Li(x) = \frac{x}{\log(x)} + \frac{x}{(\log(x))^2} + 2\frac{x}{(\log(x))^3} + \cdots + (N-1)!\frac{x}{(\log(x))^N} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log(x))^{N+1}}\right)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Pf:

(a) First, using integration by parts, for all $x \geq 4$ (where $x \geq \sqrt{x} \geq 2$), $Li(x)$ can be expressed as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} Li(x) &= \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log(t)} = \frac{t}{\log(t)} \Big|_2^x - \int_2^x t \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{\log(t)} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{x}{\log(x)} - \frac{2}{\log(2)} - \int_2^x t \cdot \frac{-1}{(\log(t))^2} \cdot \frac{1}{t} dt \\ &= \frac{x}{\log(x)} - \frac{2}{\log(2)} + \int_2^x \frac{1}{(\log(t))^2} dt \end{aligned}$$

Which, for the last integral expression, it can be reformulate as follow:

$$\int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^2} = \int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^2} + \int_{\sqrt{x}}^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^2}$$

Since $\log(t)$ is a strictly increasing function on $(1, \infty)$ and is strictly positive, then $\frac{1}{(\log(t))^2}$ is a strictly decreasing function on this interval instead. Hence, for all $t \in [2, \sqrt{x}]$, $\frac{1}{(\log(t))^2} \leq \frac{1}{(\log(2))^2}$, while any $t \in [\sqrt{x}, x]$ satisfies $\frac{1}{(\log(t))^2} \leq \frac{1}{(\log(\sqrt{x}))^2} = \frac{4}{(\log(x))^2}$. Hence, the above expression satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^2} &= \int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^2} + \int_{\sqrt{x}}^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^2} \leq \int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{dt}{(\log(2))^2} + \int_{\sqrt{x}}^x \frac{4dt}{(\log(x))^2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{x} - 2}{(\log(2))^2} + \frac{4(x - \sqrt{x})}{(\log(x))^2} \leq \frac{4x}{(\log(x))^2} + \frac{\sqrt{x}}{(\log(2))^2} \end{aligned}$$

Which, if evaluate the following limit, we get:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{x/(\log(x))^2} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\log(x))^2}{\sqrt{x}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \log(x)/x}{1/(2\sqrt{x})} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4 \log(x)}{\sqrt{x}}$$

$$= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4/x}{1/(2\sqrt{x})} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{8}{\sqrt{x}} = 0$$

Hence, for some $x_1 > 4$ and $A_1 > 0$, we have $x > x_1$ implies $\sqrt{x} \leq A_1 \frac{x}{(\log(x))^2}$. So, the integral follows the inequality below for $x > x_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^2} &\leq \frac{\sqrt{x}}{(\log(2))^2} + \frac{4x}{(\log(x))^2} \leq \frac{1}{(\log(2))^2} \cdot \frac{A_1 x}{(\log(x))^2} + \frac{4x}{(\log(x))^2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{A_1}{(\log(2))^2} + 4 \right) \frac{x}{(\log(x))^2} \end{aligned}$$

So, this shows that $\int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^2} = O\left(\frac{x}{(\log(x))^2}\right)$. Hence:

$$\text{Li}(x) = \frac{x}{\log(x)} - \frac{2}{\log(2)} + \int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^2} \leq \frac{x}{\log(x)} + \int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^2} = \frac{x}{\log(x)} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log(x))^2}\right)$$

This shows that $\text{Li}(x) = \frac{x}{\log(x)} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log(x))^2}\right)$.

(b) First, we'll consider the following formula about the integral of $\frac{1}{(\log(t))^n}$ using integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^n} &= \frac{t}{(\log(t))^n} \Big|_2^x - \int_2^x t \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{(\log(t))^n} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{x}{(\log(x))^n} - \frac{2}{(\log(2))^n} - \int_2^x t \cdot \frac{-n}{(\log(t))^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{t} dt = \frac{x}{(\log(x))^n} - \frac{2}{(\log(2))^n} + n \int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^{n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Which, using the same argument used in **part (a)** about $\frac{1}{(\log(t))^n}$ is a decreasing function for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $x \geq 4$ (where $x > \sqrt{x} \geq 2$), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^{n+1}} &= \int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^{n+1}} + \int_{\sqrt{x}}^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^{n+1}} \leq \int_2^{\sqrt{x}} \frac{dt}{(\log(2))^{n+1}} + \int_{\sqrt{x}}^x \frac{dt}{(\log(\sqrt{x}))^{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{(\sqrt{x} - 2)}{(\log(2))^{n+1}} + \frac{2^{n+1}(x - \sqrt{x})}{(\log(x))^{n+1}} \leq \frac{2^{n+1}x}{(\log(x))^{n+1}} + \frac{\sqrt{x}}{(\log(2))^{n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Now, since the base case $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{x/(\log(x))^2} = 0$ is proven in **part (a)**, using induction, we can get the following relationship:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{x/(\log(x))^{n+1}} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\log(x))^{n+1}}{\sqrt{x}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)(\log(x))^n/x}{1/(2\sqrt{x})} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} 2(n+1) \frac{\sqrt{x}}{x/(\log(x))^n} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists $x_n > 4$ and $A_n > 0$, such that $x > x_n$ implies $\sqrt{x} \leq A_n \frac{x}{(\log(x))^{n+1}}$. Hence, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^{n+1}} &\leq \frac{2^{n+1}x}{(\log(x))^{n+1}} + \frac{\sqrt{x}}{(\log(2))^{n+1}} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{n+1}x}{(\log(x))^{n+1}} + \frac{A_n}{(\log(2))^{n+1}} \frac{x}{(\log(x))^{n+1}} = \left(2^{n+1} + \frac{A_n}{(\log(2))^{n+1}} \right) \frac{x}{(\log(x))^{n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^{n+1}} = O\left(\frac{x}{(\log(x))^{n+1}}\right)$.

Finally, using the case proven in **part (a)**, we know $\text{Li}(x) = \frac{x}{\log(x)} - \frac{2}{\log(2)} + \int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^2}$. Which utilizing the above equation, by induction, one can show that for any integer $n \geq 2$, the following formula holds:

$$\text{Li}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n (k-1)! \frac{x}{(\log(x))^k} - \sum_{k=1}^n (k-1)! \frac{2}{(\log(2))^k} + n! \int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^{n+1}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n (k-1)! \frac{x}{(\log(x))^k} + n! \int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^{n+1}}$$

Then, with the statement that $\int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log(t))^{n+1}} = O\left(\frac{x}{(\log(x))^{n+1}}\right)$ deduced previously, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Li}(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (k-1)! \frac{x}{(\log(x))^k} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log(x))^{n+1}}\right) \\ &= \frac{x}{\log(x)} + \frac{x}{(\log(x))^2} + 2! \frac{x}{(\log(x))^3} + \cdots + (n-1)! \frac{x}{(\log(x))^n} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log(x))^{n+1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Question 3 Stein and Shakarchi Pg. 204 Problem 2:

One of the "explicit formulas" in the theory of primes is as follows: if ψ_1 is the integrated Tchebychev function considered in Section 2, then

$$\psi_1(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho+1}}{\rho(\rho+1)} - E(x)$$

where the sum is taken over all zeros ρ of the ζ -function in the critical strip. The error term is given by $E(x) = c_1x + c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{1-2k}/(2k(2k-1))$, where $c_1 = \zeta'(0)/\zeta(0)$ and $c_0 = \zeta'(-1)/\zeta(-1)$. Note that $\sum_{\rho} 1/|\rho|^{1+\epsilon} < \infty$ for every $\epsilon > 0$, because $(1-s)\zeta(s)$ has order of growth 1. Also, obviously $E(x) = O(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Pf:

First, recall that the following formula of $\psi_1(x)$ holds for any $x > 1$ and $c > 1$:

$$\psi_1(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \left(-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \right) ds$$

Which, to get a closed expression, we'll utilize Hadamard's product formula for ζ and Residue Theorem.

1. Product Formula for ζ and $-\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}$:

Based on **Question 1 part (b)** in this assignment, we've proven that $(s-1)\zeta(s)$ is an entire function with growth order 1, and it is zero precisely at all the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ since at $s=1$, $\zeta(s)$ has residue 1. Which, $(s-1)\zeta(s)$ has zeros at $-2k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and all zeros of ζ , denoted as ρ in the critical strip.

Then, based on **Hadamard's Factorization Theorem** (can be seen in **Stein and Shakarchi Chapter 5.5**), since $(s-1)\zeta(s)$ has growth order 1 with the zeros mentioned above (which the zeros are all nonzero), then there exists polynomial $P(s) = cs + d$ with degree 1 (at most the growth order), such that the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} (s-1)\zeta(s) &= e^{cs+d} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} E_1\left(\frac{s}{2k}\right) \right) \left(\prod_{\rho} E_1\left(\frac{s}{\rho}\right) \right) \\ &= e^{cs+d} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{s}{2k}\right) e^{s/(2k)} \right) \left(\prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) e^{s/\rho} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Where the second product contains all nontrivial zeros of ζ in the critical strip. Hence, the following is a formula for $\zeta(s)$ in terms of products of zeros and poles:

$$\zeta(s) = (s-1)^{-1} e^{cs+d} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{s}{2k}\right) e^{s/(2k)} \right) \left(\prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) e^{s/\rho} \right)$$

Then, utilizing logarithmic derivative, we get the following:

$$\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = -\frac{1}{s-1} + c + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{s-2k} + \frac{1}{2k} \right) + \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \right)$$

And, this formula is normally convergent within any compact subset of the domain (not containing the zeros and the poles of ζ), so integration can be exchanged with summation.

Question 4 *Stein and Shakarchi Pg. 204 Problem 3:*

Using the previous problem one can show that

$$\pi(x) - Li(x) = O(x^{\alpha+\epsilon}) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$, where α is fixed and $1/2 \leq \alpha < 1$ if and only if $\zeta(s)$ has no zeros in the strip $\alpha < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1$. The case $\alpha = 1/2$ corresponds to the Riemann Hypothesis.

Pf: