

# Math 111C HW8

Zih-Yu Hsieh

June 6, 2025

1

**Question 1** *Show that every finite separable extension  $K/F$  has only finitely many sub-extensions.*

**Pf:**

Since  $K/F$  is a finite separable extension, there exists  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in K$ , such that  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = K$  (and all  $\alpha_i$  are separable over  $F$  by definition).

Which, fix  $\overline{F}$  such that  $K \subseteq \overline{F}$ , and take  $A = \{m_{\alpha_1, F}(x), \dots, m_{\alpha_n, F}(x)\} \subset F[x]$ . Consider  $L \subseteq \overline{F}$  to be the splitting field of  $A$ , then since each polynomial in  $A$  must split completely over  $L$ , it must necessarily contain all the roots of all polynomials in  $A$ ; on the other hand, since each  $\alpha_i \in K \subseteq \overline{F}$  is a root of  $m_{\alpha_i, F}(x) \in A$ , then  $\alpha_i \in L$ , hence  $K = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \subseteq L$ .

Now, because each  $\alpha_i$  is separable, then  $m_{\alpha_i, F}(x) \in A$  is also a separable polynomial, hence  $A$  consists of separable polynomials. Then, because  $L$  is a splitting field of  $A$ , then  $L/F$  is in fact a finite Galois Extension. Hence,  $|\text{Gal}(L/F)| = [L : F] < \infty$ .

Which, based on **Galois Correspondance** of finite Galois Extension, any subfield  $F \subseteq E \subseteq L$  corresponds to a unique subgroup  $H \leq \text{Gal}(L/F)$  (where  $E = L^H$ ). Then, for any sub-extension of  $K$ , given as  $E$  (where  $F \subseteq E \subseteq K \subseteq L$ ), we know  $E$  corresponds to a unique subgroup  $H \leq \text{Gal}(L/F)$ . Then, since  $\text{Gal}(L/F)$  is proven to be finite, there are only finitely many subgroups. Hence, this implies that  $K/F$  can only have finitely many sub-extensions, since each distinct sub-extension must correspond to a unique subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(L/F)$  (which has only finitely many distinct subgroups).

So, we concluded that  $K/F$  (as a finite separable extension), must have only finitely many sub-extensions.

**Question 2** Let  $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be the splitting field of  $f(x) = x^3 - 3x + 1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in L$  be roots of  $f(x)$ .

(a) Calculate  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  as a group of permutations of  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ .

(b) Is there an automorphism of  $L$  that acts on  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$  as the transposition  $(\alpha, \beta)$ ?

(**Hint:-** For a polynomial  $f(x) = x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  with roots  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ , the discriminant of  $f(x)$ ,  $D$  is defined as

$$D = (\alpha - \beta)^2(\beta - \gamma)^2(\gamma - \alpha)^2$$

It is known that  $D = 18abc + a^2b^2 - 4b^3 - 4a^3c - 27c^2$ ).

**Pf:**

Before starting the question, we'll try to understand the relations between the roots, and some implications we could make: Since  $f(x)$  (for the discriminant calculation) has  $a = 0$ ,  $b = -3$ , and  $c = 1$ , then we get  $D = -4(-3)^3 - 27 \cdot 1^2 = 27 \cdot 4 - 27 = 81 > 0$ . This implies that  $f(x)$  has three distinct real roots. And, based on Rational Root Theorem,  $f(x)$  only has possible rational roots  $\pm 1$ , and since these are not the actual roots (by plugging in  $f(x)$ ), then  $f(x)$  has no rational roots. Also, because  $f(x)$  has degree 3, then it is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Notice that  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  (since  $L$  is the splitting field of  $f(x)$ , which is generated by the roots of  $f(x)$ ). Also, based on **Vieta's Formula**, the  $x^2$  coefficient satisfies  $0 = -(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$ , and the constant coefficient  $1 = \alpha\beta\gamma$ . So, in terms of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  satisfies the following formula:

$$\gamma = -\alpha - \beta, \quad \alpha\beta\gamma = \alpha\beta(-\alpha - \beta) = 1 \implies \alpha\beta^2 + \alpha^2\beta + 1 = 0 \quad (1)$$

Hence,  $\beta$  satisfies the equation  $\alpha x^2 + \alpha^2 x + 1 = 0$ , which is a root of  $\alpha x^2 + \alpha^2 x + 1 \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  (similar logic can be applied to  $\gamma$ ). Hence, by quadratic formula, we get the following relation:

$$\beta, \gamma = \frac{-\alpha^2 \pm \sqrt{(\alpha^2)^2 - 4\alpha}}{2\alpha} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad (2)$$

WLOG, assume  $\beta$  is the root with positive sign. Notice that this implies  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha, \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}}\right)$  (since  $\beta, \gamma$  can be created by combinations of  $\alpha$  and  $\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}}$ , while conversely  $\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}}$  can also be created by  $\beta, \gamma$ ). Also, we get the following relations:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha - \beta = \frac{3\alpha}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ \beta - \gamma = 2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}} \\ \gamma - \alpha = -\frac{3\alpha}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Hence, without considering the "usual" square root in  $\mathbb{R}$ , define  $\sqrt{D} := (\alpha - \beta)(\beta - \gamma)(\gamma - \alpha)$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{D} &= \left(\frac{3\alpha}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) \left(2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) \left(-\frac{3\alpha}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{9\alpha^2}{4} - \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\right) 2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}} = \left(4\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Which, because  $\sqrt{D}$  can be created by  $\alpha$  and  $\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}}$ ,  $\sqrt{D} \in \mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha, \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) = L$ ; also, because  $D = 81 \neq 0$ , so  $\sqrt{D} \neq 0$ , which shows that  $4\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \neq 0$ . Hence,  $\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}} = \sqrt{D} (4\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha})^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \sqrt{D})$ . These two relations show that  $L = \mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha, \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \sqrt{D})$ .

As a consequence, since  $D = 81$  for  $f(x)$  in this problem, then  $\sqrt{D} = \pm 9 \in \mathbb{Q}$  (Note: this  $\sqrt{D}$  is what we've defined above, not the actual square root of  $\mathbb{R}$ ; hence, depending on the arrangement of the roots,  $\sqrt{D}$  could be positive or negative). Hence,  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \sqrt{D}) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . On the other hand, because  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f(x) = x^3 - 3x + 1$ , which has proven to be irreducible initially (and monic), then  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f(x))$ , showing that  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}[x]/(f(x)) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg(f(x)) = 3$ . Hence,  $|\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})| = [L : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$ .

- (a) First, since for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  fixes all elements of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then for all  $k \in L$  and the fact that  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , we have  $\sigma(f(k)) = f(\sigma(k))$ . Hence, any  $k \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$  (the roots of  $f(x)$ ), we must have  $0 = \sigma(f(k)) = f(\sigma(k))$ , showing that  $\sigma(k) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\sigma(k)$  is again a root of  $f(x)$ , showing that  $\sigma(k) \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ .

This shows that  $\sigma$  can only send roots of  $f(x)$  to roots of  $f(x)$ , hence it acts on the set  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$  as a permutation; also, because  $L\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , then the structure of  $\sigma$  is solely determined by its action on  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ . Hence,  $\sigma$  can be identified by a unique permutation in  $S_3$ , and therefore  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong H \leq S_3$  for some subgroup  $H$ .

However, initially we've determined that  $|\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})| = 3$ , which shows that  $|H| = 3$ . Then, because  $S_3$  (with  $|S_3| = 6$ ) only has one subgroup with order  $\frac{6}{2} = 3$ , namely  $A_3$  (the collection of all 3-cycles together with identity in this case), then we must have  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong H = A_3$ .

- (b) In **part (a)** we've identified that  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong A_3 \leq S_3$ , which shows that every element being the identity or a 3-cycle, hence there has no transpositions at all. Therefore, as a consequence there is no automorphism in  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  that acts as a transposition  $(\alpha, \beta)$  on  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ .

**Question 3** Repeat the above question with  $f(x) = x^3 - 4x + 1$ .

**Pf:**

For this problem, we'll try a different approach (as a practice). For  $f(x)$  in the question, to calculate discriminant, we have  $a = 0$ ,  $b = -4$ , and  $c = 1$ . Hence, we get  $D = -4(-4)^3 - 27 \cdot 1^2 = 256 - 27 = 229$ , this indicates that  $f(x)$  has three distinct real roots (which we'll use the same notation  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ ). Notice that by Rational Root Theorem, the only possible rational roots of  $f(x)$  are  $\pm 1$ ; but, none of these values are actual roots of  $f(x)$  (by manual check), hence  $f(x)$  has no rational roots. Since it's with degree 3, then  $f(x)$  is in fact irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Now, define  $\sqrt{D} := (\alpha - \beta)(\beta - \gamma)(\gamma - \alpha)$ , since  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , then we have  $\sqrt{D} \in L$ , or  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \subseteq L$ . Which, because  $D = 229$ , then  $\sqrt{D} = \pm\sqrt{229} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ; which, because  $\sqrt{D}$  is a root of  $x^2 - 229 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , and this polynomial has no roots in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then it is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Hence,  $x^2 - 229$  (which is monic) is the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt{D}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so we get that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2 - 229)$ , showing that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ . As a consequence, because  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \subseteq L$ , then  $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$  is divisible by 2.

On the other hand, we also know that  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \subseteq L$ , and  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f(x)$  while  $f(x)$  is irreducible and monic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , hence it is the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]/(f(x))$ , showing that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg(f(x)) = 3$ . This also implies that  $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$  is divisible by 3, hence  $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$  is divisible by 6.

- (a) Again, since  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , then any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  is purely determined by its action on  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ ; also, since for any  $k \in L$ , because  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  (which has coefficients fixed by  $\sigma$ ), then  $\sigma(f(k)) = f(\sigma(k))$ . Hence, for any root  $k \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ , we must have  $0 = \sigma(f(k)) = f(\sigma(k))$ , showing that  $\sigma(k)$  is also a root of  $f(x)$ , or  $\sigma(k) \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ . Hence,  $\sigma$  acts on the three roots as a permutation, showing that  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  has a permutation action on the three roots. So,  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong H \leq S_3$  (since it acts as a permutation of a 3-element set, it can be characterized by a subgroup of  $S_3$ ).

Now, based on the subgroup relation,  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = |\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})| \leq |S_3| = 6$ ; also, because we've proven beforehand that 6 divides  $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$ , which shows that  $|\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})| = [L : \mathbb{Q}] \geq 6$ . So,  $|\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})| = [L : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$ , which enforces  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong H = S_3$  (since the only subgroup of  $S_3$  with order 6 is  $S_3$  itself).

- (b) Because in **part (a)**,  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  is proven to have a permutation action on  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$  and is isomorphic to  $S_3$  as groups, then there exists automorphism that acts as a transposition  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .

**Question 4** Let  $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be the splitting field of  $f(x) = (x^2 - 2)(x^2 - 3)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

- (a) Show that  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$  and  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ .
- (b) Find  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  as a group of permutations of the roots of  $f$ .
- (c) Which elements of your answer to (b) belong to the subgroup  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}))$ ?

**Pf:**

First,  $f(x) = (x^2 - 2)(x^2 - 3)$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  can be factored as  $(x - \sqrt{2})(x + \sqrt{2})(x - \sqrt{3})(x + \sqrt{3})$ , hence the roots are  $\pm\sqrt{2}, \pm\sqrt{3}$ . This indicates that the splitting field  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$ .

- (a) First, it is clear that  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3} \in L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$ , hence  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) \subseteq L$ ; on the other hand, this element satisfies the below relation:

$$(\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{2})(\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2}) = 3 - 2 = 1 \implies \sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}} \quad (5)$$

Hence,  $\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$ . Then,  $\sqrt{3} = \frac{1}{2}((\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{2}) + (\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2})) \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$ , which also implies that  $\sqrt{2} = (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}) - \sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$ . Hence,  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$ , which proves that  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$ .

Now, to consider the degree, we'll use the relation  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \subseteq L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$ : Since  $\sqrt{2}$  has minimal polynomial  $x^2 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , then  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ .

Now, consider the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt{3}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ : Since it satisfies  $x^2 - 3$ , then the minimal polynomial must divide  $x^2 - 3$ ; then, to prove that  $x^2 - 3$  is the minimal polynomial, we'll show that  $x^2 - 3$  has no roots in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ .

Suppose the contrary that it has roots in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ , then for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $(a + b\sqrt{2})$  satisfies  $(a + b\sqrt{2})^2 - 3 = 0$ , or  $(a^2 + 2b^2) + 2ab\sqrt{2} = 3 = 3 + 0 \cdot \sqrt{2}$ . Since  $1, \sqrt{2}$  forms a basis of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ , this equation implies that  $2ab = 0$ , which  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ . Yet, if  $b = 0$ , we have  $a^2 = 3$ , or  $a = \pm\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , which violates the fact that  $\sqrt{3}$  is irrational; on the other hand, if  $a = 0$ , we have  $(b\sqrt{2})^2 = 2b^2 = 3$ . Since  $b = \frac{p}{q}$  for some  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q \neq 0$ , and  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ , then the equation implies  $2\frac{p^2}{q^2} = 3$ , showing that  $2p^2 = 3q^2$ .

Then, this implies  $2 \mid 3q^2$ , and while  $2 \nmid 3$ , we must have  $2 \mid q^2$ , or  $2 \mid q$ , hence  $q = 2k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; now, we have  $2p^2 = 3q^2 = 3(2k)^2$ , then  $p^2 = 3 \cdot 2k^2$ , showing that  $2 \mid p^2$ , or  $2 \mid p$ . Then, we get that 2 is a common factor of  $p$  and  $q$ , yet this violates the assumption that  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ , so we reach a contradiction. So, the assumption is false, showing that  $x^2 - 3$  has no roots over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ , hence it is irreducible over  $x^2 - 3$ .

As a consequence, since  $x^2 - 3$  is monic, while  $\sqrt{3}$  is a root of it, it is the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt{3}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ , showing that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{3}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[x]/(x^2 - 3)$ , hence  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})] = 2$ .

Together with the initial degree of  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ , we get the following:

$$[L : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})] \cdot [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2 \cdot 2 = 4 \quad (6)$$

- (b) From the degree derive in **part (a)**, we know  $|\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})| = [L : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ . Then, since  $4 = 2^2$  (which the group has order prime square), then not only  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  is abelian, we know it is isomorphic to either  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Now, we'll consider  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}) \subseteq L$  respectively:

- First, since  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$  and  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ , then we must have  $[L : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})] = 2$ . Hence,  $|\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}))| = [L : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})] = 2$ , showing that  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Which, Since  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{3})$  (and we know this is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[x]/(x^2 - 3)$  with the map  $\bar{x} \mapsto \sqrt{3}$  based on the relation proven in **part (a)**), hence, since  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[x]/(x^2 - 3)$  has an automorphism given by  $\bar{x} \mapsto -\bar{x}$  (which fixes all elements in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ ), as a consequence, this means it has a corresponding automorphism  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}))$  that is characterized by  $\sigma(\sqrt{3}) = \sigma(-\sqrt{3})$ .
- Then, using similar logic, we know  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$  and  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ , showing that  $[L : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})] = 2$  also, so  $|\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}))| = [L : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})] = 2$ , showing that  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Again, since  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})(\sqrt{2})$ ,  $L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$  is a degree 2 extension implies that  $\sqrt{2}$  has the minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$  being degree 2; then, because it is a root of  $x^2 - 2$  while this polynomial is monic and with degree 2, then  $x^2 - 2$  must be the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt{2}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ . Hence,  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})(\sqrt{2}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})[x]/(x^2 - 2)$ . Notice that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})[x]/(x^2 - 2)$  again has an automorphism given by  $\bar{x} \mapsto -\bar{x}$  that fixes  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ , while the field has an isomorphism to  $L$  given by  $\bar{x} \mapsto \sqrt{2}$ , then under suitable compositions, we get that there exists an automorphism  $\psi \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}))$  that satisfies  $\psi(\sqrt{2}) = -\sqrt{2}$ .

From the above, we have two automorphisms acting on the set of roots  $\{\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, -\sqrt{3}\}$ .

$\sigma \in \text{Aut}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}))$  fixes  $\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2}$ , while acting as a transposition  $(\sqrt{3}, -\sqrt{3})$ ; on the other hand,  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}))$  fixes  $\sqrt{3}, -\sqrt{3}$ , while acting as a transposition  $(\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2})$ .

Now, if composition the two together, we get that  $\psi \circ \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  is characterized by the composition of transpositions  $(\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{3}, -\sqrt{3})$  (which is an order 2 permutation, since it is composed by two disjoint transpositions, which both have order 2).

Then, notice that  $\sigma, \psi, \psi \circ \sigma, \text{Id}_L \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  all represents different elements (since they each correspond to a different permutation), together with the fact that  $|\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})| = 4$ , these must be all the elements.

On the other hand, notice that none of the element has order 4 (since  $\sigma, \psi$  are both transpositions, while  $\psi \circ \sigma$  has order 2, since it is a composition of two disjoint transpositions), then this implies that  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = \{\text{Id}_L, \sigma, \psi, \psi \circ \sigma\}$  cannot be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . Then, we must have  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

- (c) Finally, since  $\sqrt{6} = \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{3} \in L$ , and it satisfies  $(\sqrt{6})^2 - 6 = 0$ , hence it is a root of  $x^2 - 6 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ . However, since this polynomial is irreducible and monic (because the roots  $\pm\sqrt{6} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , so as a degree 2 polynomial with no roots in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it is irreducible), it is the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt{6}$ . Then, we have  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2 - 6)$ , hence  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ . As a consequence,  $[L : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})] = 2$ , showing that  $|\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}))| = [L : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})] = 2$ .

Now, we know  $\text{Id}_L \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}))$ ; also, if consider  $\psi \circ \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ , we know it acts on the roots as composition of disjoint transpositions  $(\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{3}, -\sqrt{3})$ . Then, if plug in  $\sqrt{6}$ , we get:

$$\psi \circ \sigma(\sqrt{6}) = \psi \circ \sigma(\sqrt{2}) \cdot \psi \circ \sigma(\sqrt{3}) = (-\sqrt{2})(-\sqrt{3}) = \sqrt{6} \quad (7)$$

Then, because  $\psi \circ \sigma$  fixes the generator  $\sqrt{6}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$ , then  $\psi \circ \sigma$  fixes  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$ . Hence, it belongs to  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}))$ .

Which, because it is a group of order 2, and we have the above two distinct elements, then  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})) = \{\text{Id}_L, \psi \circ \sigma\}$  (corresponds to  $\{e, (\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2})(\sqrt{3}, -\sqrt{3})\}$  as sets of permutations).

**Question 5** The Galois group of a polynomial  $f(x)$  over a perfect field  $F$  is defined as  $\text{Gal}(K/F)$  where  $K$  is a splitting field of  $f(x)$ . Find the Galois groups of  $x^6 - 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_5$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_{5^2}$ , and  $\mathbb{F}_{5^3}$ .

**Pf:**

### 1. Relations of the 6<sup>th</sup> Roots of Unity in Arbitrary Field:

Before starting, just based on factorization, we know  $x^6 - 1 = (x^3 - 1)(x^3 + 1) = (x - 1)(x^2 + x + 1)(x + 1)(x^2 - x + 1)$ . So, the splitting field of  $x^6 - 1$  is the same as the splitting field of  $(x^2 + x + 1)$  and  $(x^2 - x + 1)$ .

Also, the roots of the two polynomials above are also related: Let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $x^2 - x + 1$  (so  $\alpha \neq 0$ , since 0 is not a root of  $x^2 - x + 1$ ), then if consider  $\alpha^{-1}$ , we get the following relationship:

$$\alpha^2 \neq 0, \quad \alpha^2(\alpha^{-2} - \alpha^{-1} + 1) = 1 - \alpha + \alpha^2 = 0 \implies \alpha^{-2} - \alpha^{-1} + 1 = 0 \quad (8)$$

Hence,  $\alpha^{-1}$  is also a root of  $x^2 - x + 1$ . Notice that  $\alpha^{-1} \neq \alpha$ , since if they're the same (which  $\alpha^{-1} = \alpha \implies \alpha^2 = 1$ ), then we must have  $\alpha = \pm 1$ ; but since  $\pm 1$  are not the roots of  $x^2 - x + 1$ , it'll form a contradiction. So, the roots of  $x^2 - x + 1$  are  $\alpha, \alpha^{-1}$ .

On the other hand, if consider  $-\alpha$ , notice that it satisfies the following equation:

$$(-\alpha)^2 + (-\alpha) + 1 = \alpha^2 - \alpha + 1 = 0 \quad (9)$$

Hence,  $-\alpha$  is a root of  $x^2 + x + 1$ . On the other hand, if consider  $-\alpha^{-1}$ , we also get the following:

$$\alpha^2((-\alpha^{-1})^2 + (-\alpha^{-1}) + 1) = \alpha^2(\alpha^{-2} - \alpha^{-1} + 1) = 1 - \alpha + \alpha^2 = 0 \implies (-\alpha^{-1})^2 + (-\alpha^{-1}) + 1 = 0 \quad (10)$$

So, this implies that  $-\alpha^{-1}$  is also a root of  $x^2 + x + 1$ . Beforehand, we already know  $\alpha^{-1} \neq \alpha$ , hence  $-\alpha^{-1} \neq -\alpha$ . So, the roots of  $x^2 + x + 1$  is then given by  $-\alpha, -\alpha^{-1}$ .

As a conclusion, the splitting field of  $x^2 - x + 1$  (regardless of the base field) automatically contains all the roots of  $x^2 + x + 1$ , hence it forms a splitting field of  $x^6 - 1$  (since the potential nonlinear factors  $(x^2 - x + 1), (x^2 + x + 1)$  all splits completely over the splitting field of  $x^2 - x + 1$ , and it cannot have any smaller fields with this property). So, for the below sections, we'll directly consider the splitting field of  $x^2 - x + 1$ .

### 2. Galois Group of $x^6 - 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_5$ :

Given  $x^2 - x + 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_5$ , the following are the results if we plug in the elements:

$$\begin{cases} 0^2 - 0 + 1 = 1 \neq 0 \\ 1^2 - 1 + 1 = 1 \neq 0 \\ 2^2 - 2 + 1 = 4 - 2 + 1 = 3 \neq 0 \\ 3^2 - 3 + 1 = (9 \mod 5) - 3 + 1 = 4 - 3 + 1 = 2 \neq 0 \\ 4^2 - 4 + 1 = (16 \mod 5) - 4 + 1 = 1 - 4 + 1 = (-2 \mod 5) = 3 \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Hence, since  $x^2 - x + 1$  is a degree 2 polynomial with no roots in  $\mathbb{F}_5$ , it is irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_5$ . Then, its splitting field can be obtained through  $K = \mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2 - x + 1)$  (since this is the smallest field containing the

root of  $x^2 - x + 1$ , and because it's degree 2, it automatically contains all the possible roots). Hence,  $K/\mathbb{F}_5$  is a splitting field of  $x^2 - x + 1$ , hence a splitting field of  $x^6 - 1$ .

Which, because  $[K : \mathbb{F}_5] = \deg(x^2 - x + 1) = 2$ , then as a consequence,  $|\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F}_5)| = [K : \mathbb{F}_5] = 2$ , showing that  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F}_5) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . So, the Galois Group of  $x^6 - 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_5$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

### 3. Galois Group of $x^6 - 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{5^2}$ :

Recall that  $\mathbb{F}_{5^2}$  is obtained by considering a splitting field of  $x^{5^2} - x \in \mathbb{F}_5[x]$ . Which, this polynomial has the following factorization:

$$x^{5^2} - x = x(x^{24} - 1) = x(x^{12} - 1)(x^{12} + 1) = x(x^6 - 1)(x^6 + 1)(x^{12} + 1) \quad (12)$$

Then, since  $x^{5^2} - x$  splits completely over  $\mathbb{F}_{5^2}$ , as a liner factor of it,  $x^6 - 1$  must also split completely over  $\mathbb{F}_{5^2}$ . Since this is the base field, then  $x^6 - 1$  has splitting field  $\mathbb{F}_{5^2}$  over the base field that's also the same. Hence, its galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{5^2}/\mathbb{F}_5) = \{\text{Id}_{\mathbb{F}_{5^2}}\}$ , which is a trivial group.

### 4. Galois Group of $x^6 - 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{5^3}$ :

First, we need to find the splitting field of  $x^2 - x + 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{5^3}$ , and we'll claim that it doesn't have a root in  $\mathbb{F}_{5^2}$  (which as a consequence it doesn't split over  $\mathbb{F}_{5^3}$  since it is a degree 2 polynomial).

Suppose the contrary that  $x^2 - x + 1$  has roots in  $\mathbb{F}_{5^3}$ , since we know the prime field of  $\mathbb{F}_{5^3}$  is  $\mathbb{F}_5$ , and  $x^2 - x + 1$  doesn't have any root in  $\mathbb{F}_5$ , then let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{5^3}$  be a root of  $x^2 - x + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_5[x]$ , we know  $\mathbb{F}_5(\alpha) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{5^3}$ . However, since  $x^2 - x + 1$  is proven to be irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_5$  in **section 2** of this question, with it being monic and  $\alpha$  being its root, it is a minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{F}_5(\alpha) \cong \mathbb{F}_5[x]/(x^2 - x + 1)$ , showing that  $[\mathbb{F}_5(\alpha) : \mathbb{F}_5] = 2$ . But, since we know  $[\mathbb{F}_{5^3} : \mathbb{F}_5] = 3$ , and  $\mathbb{F}_5 \subseteq \mathbb{F}_5(\alpha) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{5^3}$ , then we must have  $[\mathbb{F}_5(\alpha) : \mathbb{F}_5] = 2 \mid 3 = [\mathbb{F}_{5^3} : \mathbb{F}_5]$ , which is a contradiction.

Therefore, our assumption is false,  $x^2 - x + 1$  cannot have a root in  $\mathbb{F}_{5^3}$ , which further implies that it is irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_{5^3}$ . Now, consider  $K = \mathbb{F}_{5^3}[x]/(x^2 - x + 1)$ , it is the smallest field extension of  $\mathbb{F}_{5^3}$  containing the roots of  $x^2 - x + 1$ , which forms a splitting field of it. Hence,  $[K : \mathbb{F}_{5^3}] = \deg(x^2 - x + 1) = 2$ . As a consequence, since it is also a splitting field of  $x^6 - 1$ , then the galois group of  $x^6 - 1$  has  $|\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F}_{5^3})| = [K : \mathbb{F}_{5^3}] = 2$ , showing that  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F}_{5^3}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ .