

Week 3 Problem

Zih-Yu Hsieh

August 15, 2025

1

Question 1 Give A, B two abelian categories, an additive functor $F : A \rightarrow B$ is exact if it maps short exact sequences in A to short exact sequences in B .

Prove that exact functors commute with cohomology: if F is exact and L^\bullet is a cochain complex in A , then $H^\bullet(F(L^\bullet)) \cong F(H^\bullet(L^\bullet))$, where $F(L^\bullet)$ denotes the cochain complex in B obtained by applying F to all objects and morphisms in L^\bullet .

Pf:

First, we need to show an exact functor F preserves kernels and cokernels (or, the image of a kernel / cokernel of φ obtains the property of kernel / cokernel of $F\varphi$).

For any morphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ in A , $0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\varphi) \xrightarrow{\text{ker}(\varphi)} M \xrightarrow{\varphi} N \xrightarrow{\text{coker}(\varphi)} \text{Cok}(\varphi) \longrightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence. So, with F preserves exact sequences, the following forms an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow F(\text{Ker}(\varphi)) \xrightarrow{F \text{ker}(\varphi)} F(M) \xrightarrow{F\varphi} F(N) \xrightarrow{F \text{coker}(\varphi)} F(\text{Cok}(\varphi)) \longrightarrow 0$$

First, it provides $F \text{ker}(\varphi)$ as a monomorphism, and $F \text{coker}(\varphi)$ as an epimorphism, hence $F \text{ker}(\varphi)$ is a kernel of $\text{coker}(F \text{ker}(\varphi))$, while $F \text{coker}(\varphi)$ is a cokernel of $\text{ker}(F \text{coker}(\varphi))$.

- 1) The exactness at $F(M)$ first provides $F\varphi \circ F \text{ker}(\varphi) = 0$, hence $F \text{ker}(\varphi)$ factors uniquely through $\text{ker}(F\varphi) : \text{Ker}(F\varphi) \rightarrow F(M)$, or there exists a unique morphism $\alpha : F(\text{Ker}(\varphi)) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(F\varphi)$ such that $F \text{ker}(\varphi) = \text{ker}(F\varphi) \circ \alpha$.

Then, it also provides $\text{coker}(F \text{ker}(\varphi)) \circ \text{ker}(F\varphi) = 0$, hence $\text{ker}(F\varphi)$ must factor uniquely through kernel of $\text{coker}(F \text{ker}(\varphi))$, which $F \text{ker}(\varphi)$ satisfies it as claimed before. So, there exists a unique morphism $\beta : \text{Ker}(F\varphi) \rightarrow F(\text{Ker}(\varphi))$, where $F \text{ker}(\varphi) \circ \beta = \text{ker}(F\varphi)$. Which, it can be represented as the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{Ker}(F\varphi) & & \\
 & \swarrow \exists! \alpha & \downarrow \text{ker}(F\varphi) & & \\
 F(\text{Ker}(\varphi)) & \xrightarrow{F \text{ker}(\varphi)} & F(M) & \xrightarrow{F\varphi} & F(N) \\
 & & \downarrow \text{coker}(F \text{ker}(\varphi)) & & \\
 & & \text{Cok}(F \text{ker}(\varphi)) & &
 \end{array}$$

Which, one can verify the existence of unique α and β implies $F(\text{Ker}(\varphi)) \cong \text{Ker}(F\varphi)$, and $F \text{ker}(\varphi)$ satisfies all properties as a kernel of $F\varphi$.

- 2) Then, the exactness at $F(N)$ first provides $F\text{coker}(\varphi) \circ F\varphi = 0$, hence $F\text{coker}(\varphi)$ factors uniquely through $\text{coker}(F\varphi) : F(N) \rightarrow \text{Cok}(F\varphi)$, or there exists a unique morphism $\gamma : \text{Cok}(F\varphi) \rightarrow F(\text{Cok}(\varphi))$, such that $F\text{coker}(\varphi) = \gamma \circ \text{coker}(F\varphi)$.

Similarly, it also provides $\text{coker}(F\varphi) \circ \ker(F\text{coker}(\varphi)) = 0$, hence $\text{coker}(F\varphi)$ factors uniquely through cokernel of $\ker(F\text{coker}(\varphi))$, which $F\text{coker}(\varphi)$ itself satisfies this condition. So, there exists a unique morphism $\epsilon : F(\text{Cok}(\varphi)) \rightarrow \text{Cok}(F\varphi)$, such that $\text{coker}(F\varphi) = \epsilon \circ F\text{coker}(\varphi)$. Diagrammatically, we get:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{Ker}(F\text{coker}(\varphi)) & & \\
 & & \downarrow \text{ker}(F\text{coker}(\varphi)) & & \\
 F(M) & \xrightarrow{F\varphi} & F(N) & \xrightarrow{F\text{coker}(\varphi)} & F(\text{Cok}(\varphi)) \\
 & & \downarrow \text{coker}(F\varphi) & \swarrow \exists! \epsilon & \nwarrow \exists! \gamma \\
 & & \text{Cok}(F\varphi) & &
 \end{array}$$

Which, existence of unique ϵ, γ guarantees $\text{Cok}(F\varphi) \cong F(\text{Cok}(\varphi))$, and $F\text{coker}(\varphi)$ obtains all desired properties as a cokernel of $F\varphi$.

So, the above demonstrates how exact functor F preserves kernels and cokernels of morphisms.

Now, given cochain complex L^\bullet , since for any index i , $\text{im}(\delta^{i-1})$ factors uniquely through $\ker(\delta^i)$ through some morphism α^i (take this as given), with $H^i(L^\bullet) := \text{Cok}(\alpha^i)$, one obtains the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 L^{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta^{i-1}} & L^i & \xrightarrow{\delta^i} & L^{i+1} & & \\
 & \searrow \text{im}(\delta^{i-1}) & \swarrow \ker(\delta^i) & & & & \\
 & \text{Im}(\delta^{i-1}) & & \text{Ker}(\delta^i) & \xrightarrow{\text{coker}(\alpha^i)} & H^i(L^\bullet) & \\
 & & \text{Im}(\delta^{i-1}) \xrightarrow{\exists! \alpha^i} & \text{Ker}(\delta^i) & & &
 \end{array}$$

Applying functor F , we get:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 F(L^{i-1}) & \xrightarrow{F\delta^{i-1}} & F(L^i) & \xrightarrow{F\delta^i} & F(L^{i+1}) & & \\
 & \searrow F\text{im}(\delta^{i-1}) & \swarrow F\ker(\delta^i) & & & & \\
 & F(\text{Im}(\delta^{i-1})) & & F(\text{Ker}(\delta^i)) & \xrightarrow{F\text{coker}(\alpha^i)} & F(H^i(L^\bullet)) & \\
 & & F(\text{Im}(\delta^{i-1})) \xrightarrow{F\alpha^i} & F(\text{Ker}(\delta^i)) & & &
 \end{array}$$

Also, we've seen that kernel and cokernel of $F\varphi$ factor uniquely through the image of kernel and cokernel of φ (respectively) via invertible morphisms, so if apply the functor F first before consider its cohomology, together with the above diagrams, we get:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 F(L^{i-1}) & \xrightarrow{F\delta^{i-1}} & F(L^i) & \xrightarrow{F\delta^i} & F(L^{i+1}) & & \\
 & \searrow \text{im}(F\delta^{i-1}) & \swarrow \ker(F\delta^i) & & & & \\
 & \text{Im}(F\delta^{i-1}) & & \text{Ker}(F\delta^i) & & & \\
 & \downarrow \exists! f \sim & \downarrow F\text{im}(\delta^{i-1}) F\ker(\delta^i) & \downarrow \sim \exists! g & & & \\
 & F(\text{Im}(\delta^{i-1})) & \xrightarrow{F\alpha^i} & F(\text{Ker}(\delta^i)) & & &
 \end{array}$$

Hence, $\text{im}(F\delta^{i-1})$ factors through $\ker(F\delta^i)$ via a morphism $\beta^i = g^{-1} \circ F\alpha^i \circ f : \text{Im}(F\delta^{i-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(F\delta^i)$, or $\text{im}(F\delta^{i-1}) = \ker(F\delta^i) \circ \beta^i$. With such factorization being unique, then β^i is the unique factorization of $\text{im}(F\delta^{i-1})$ through $\ker(F\delta^i)$, so cohomology of $F(L^i)$ can be derived through $\text{Cok}(\beta^i)$.

Finally, compile the diagrams above, we get the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
F(L^{i-1}) & \xrightarrow{F\delta^{i-1}} & F(L^i) & \xrightarrow{F\delta^i} & F(L^{i+1}) \\
& \searrow \text{im}(F\delta^{i-1}) & \swarrow \text{ker}(F\delta^i) & & \\
\text{Im}(F\delta^{i-1}) & \xrightarrow{\beta^i} & \text{Ker}(F\delta^i) & \xrightarrow{\text{coker}(\beta^i)} & H^i(F(L^\bullet)) \\
f \downarrow \sim & & g \downarrow \sim & & \\
F(\text{Im}(\delta^{i-1})) & \xrightarrow{F\alpha^i} & F(\text{Ker}(\delta^i)) & \xrightarrow{F\text{coker}(\alpha^i)} & F(H^i(L^\bullet))
\end{array}$$

Which, based on the diagram, we get the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{coker}(\beta^i) \circ (g^{-1} \circ F\alpha^i \circ f) &= \text{coker}(\beta^i) \circ \beta^i = 0 \\
\implies (\text{coker}(\beta^i) \circ g^{-1}) \circ F\alpha^i &= 0 \circ f^{-1} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

This indicates $\text{coker}(\beta^i) \circ g^{-1}$ factors uniquely through $\text{coker}(F\alpha^i)$, where $F\text{coker}(\alpha^i)$ satisfies such requirement (since in some intuitive sense, kernel / cokernel operation commutes with F , an exact functor). Hence, there exists unique morphism $\gamma : F(H^i(L^\bullet)) \rightarrow H^i(F(L^\bullet))$, where $\text{coker}(\beta^i) \circ g^{-1} = \gamma \circ F\text{coker}(\alpha^i)$, or $\text{coker}(\beta^i) = \gamma \circ F\text{coker}(\alpha^i) \circ g^{-1}$.

Similarly, another relation is given as below:

$$(F\text{coker}(\alpha^i) \circ g) \circ \beta^i = (F\text{coker}(\alpha^i) \circ g) \circ (g^{-1} \circ F\alpha^i \circ f) = (F\text{coker}(\alpha^i) \circ F\alpha^i) \circ f = 0 \circ f = 0$$

This indicates $F\text{coker}(\alpha^i) \circ g$ factors uniquely through $\text{coker}(\beta^i)$, there exists unique morphism $\epsilon : H^i(F(L^\bullet)) \rightarrow F(H^i(L^\bullet))$, where $F\text{coker}(\alpha^i) \circ g = \epsilon \circ \text{coker}(\beta^i)$. Which, we end up with the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Im}(F\delta^{i-1}) & \xrightarrow{\beta^i} & \text{Ker}(F\delta^i) & \xrightarrow{\text{coker}(\beta^i)} & H^i(F(L^\bullet)) \\
f \downarrow \sim & & g \downarrow \sim & & \exists! \epsilon \downarrow \uparrow \exists! \gamma \\
F(\text{Im}(\delta^{i-1})) & \xrightarrow{F\alpha^i} & F(\text{Ker}(\delta^i)) & \xrightarrow{F\text{coker}(\alpha^i)} & F(H^i(L^\bullet))
\end{array}$$

Where, the existence of such γ and ϵ guarantees $H^i(F(L^\bullet)) \cong F(H^i(L^\bullet))$, so the exact functor F preserves the i^{th} cohomology. Hence, if given the cohomology functor H^\bullet on the category of cochain complexes, we get $H^\bullet(F(L^\bullet)) \cong F(H^\bullet(L^\bullet))$, due to the relation above isomorphic relation.