# Math 111B HW2

## Zih-Yu Hsieh

January 25, 2025

1

**Question 1** Let  $f:(a,b) \to \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable on (a,b).

Prove: if  $\forall x \in (a,b), f'(x) \neq 0$ , then f is one-to-one on (a,b).

Give an example showing that the converse statement is in general not true.

#### Pf:

Suppose  $\forall x \in (a,b), f'(x) \neq 0$ :

## (1) f'(x) is strictly less than or greater than 0 on (a,b):

We'll prove by contradiction: Suppose f'(x) is neither strictly less than 0 nor strictly greater than 0 on (a,b), then there exists  $x_0, x_1 \in (a,b)$ , with  $f'(x_0) \leq 0$  and  $f'(x_1) \geq 0$ , and by the assumption that  $f'(x) \neq 0$ , the strict inequality  $f'(x_0) < 0$  and  $f'(x_1) > 0$  is applied. (This also implies  $x_0 \neq x_1$ , since derivatives are different at the two points).

Recall that for function  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable on (a,b), if a < c < d < b and  $f'(c) \neq f'(d)$ , for any  $\lambda$  strictly in between f'(c) and f'(d) (either  $f'(c) < \lambda < f'(d)$  or  $f'(c) > \lambda > f'(d)$ ), there exists  $x \in (c,d)$  with  $f'(x) = \lambda$ .

Then, first suppose  $x_0 < x_1$ : f is differentiable on (a,b) and  $f'(x_0) < 0 < f'(x_1)$  implies there exists  $x \in (x_0, x_1)$  with f'(x) = 0, which contradicts the assumption;

then suppose  $x_1 < x_0$ : again, f is differentiable on (a,b) and  $f'(x_1) > 0 > f'(x_0)$  implies there exists  $x \in (x_1, x_0)$  with f'(x) = 0, which again contradicts the assumption.

So, the assumption is false, f'(x) must be strictly greater than 0 or less than 0 for all  $x \in (a,b)$ .

## (2) f is strictly increasing or decreasing on (a,b):

Based on (1), f'(x) is strictly less than 0 or strictly greater than 0.

Suppose f'(x) > 0 for all  $x \in (a, b)$ , then for any  $x, y \in (a, b)$  with x < y, by the Mean Value Theorem, there exists  $c \in (x, y) \subseteq (a, b)$ , such that the following is true:

$$\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} = f'(c) > 0, \quad (f(y) - f(x)) = f'(c)(y - x)$$

Since (y-x), f'(c) > 0 by assumption, the (f(y) - f(x)) = f'(c)(y-x) > 0, thus f(y) > f(x), showing that f is strictly increasing.

Similarly, suppose f'(x) < 0 for all  $x \in (a, b)$ , with the same x, y above, by Mean Value Theorem, there exists  $c \in (x, y) \subseteq (a, b)$ , such that the following is true:

$$\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} = f'(c) < 0, \quad (f(y) - f(x)) = f'(c)(y - x)$$

Since (y - x) > 0 and f'(c) < 0, then (f(y) - f(x)) = f'(c)(y - x) < 0, of f(y) < f(x), showing that f is strictly decreasing.

With the above condition, since f is either strictly increasing or strictly decreasing on [a, b], then for all  $x, y \in (a, b), x \neq y$  implies  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  (or else it's no longer strictly increasing or decreasing). Thus, f is in fact one-to-one on (a, b).

## Counterexample of Converse:

Let  $f: [-1,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  be  $f(x) = x^3$ , which  $f'(x) = 3x^2$ , which f'(0) = 0. Yet, suppose  $x, y \in (-1,1)$  has  $x^3 = y^3$ , then:

$$x^3 - y^3 = (x - y)(x^2 + xy + y^2) = 0$$

Which, the only real solution is x = y (since if treating y as constant,  $y^2 - 4y^2 = -3y^2 \le 0$ ; the only time with real solution is when y = 0, which implies  $x^3 = 0$ , or x = 0).

So,  $f(x) = x^3$  is one-to-one on the region (-1,1), but still has f'(0) = 0, which is a counterexample.

## $\mathbf{2}$

**Question 2** Let  $f:(a,b) \to R$  be a function such that:

$$\exists M > 0, \exists \alpha > 0, \ \forall x, y \in (a, b), \ |f(x) - f(y)| < M|x - y|^{\alpha}$$

If  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ , then f is Holder of order  $\alpha$  in (a,b). If  $\alpha = 1$ , then f is Lipschitz. Prove:

- (a) If  $\alpha > 1$ , then f is constant.
- (b) If  $\alpha \in (0,1]$ , then f is uniformly continuous on (a,b).
- (c) Give an example such that f is Lipschitz, but not differentiable.
- (d) If f is differentiable on (a, b) and f(x) is bounded on (a, b), then f is Lipschitz.

## Pf:

(a) Suppose  $\alpha > 1$ , then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$ , such that  $\alpha = 1 + \epsilon$ . Which, for all  $x, y \in (a, b)$  (with  $x \neq y$ ), the following is true:

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < M|x - y|^{\alpha} = M|x - y|^{1+\epsilon} = M|x - y| \cdot |x - y|^{\epsilon}$$

$$\left| \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \right| = \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} < M|x - y|^{\epsilon}$$

Which, fix arbitrary  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ , for all  $y \in (a, b)$  with  $y \neq x_0$ , the following is true:

$$0 \le \left| \frac{f(x_0) - f(y)}{x_0 - y} \right| < M|x_0 - y|^{\epsilon}, \quad -M|x_0 - y|^{\epsilon} < \frac{f(x_0) - f(y)}{x_0 - y} < M|x_0 - y|^{\epsilon}$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $\lim_{y \to x_0} |x_0 - y|^{\epsilon} = 0$ . Which, by Squeeze Theorem, the following is true:

$$0 = \lim_{y \to x_0} -M|x_0 - y|^{\epsilon} \le \lim_{y \to x_0} \frac{f(x_0) - f(y)}{x_0 - y} \le \lim_{y \to x_0} M|x_0 - y|^{\epsilon} = 0$$

Thus,  $\lim_{y\to x_0} \frac{f(x_0)-f(y)}{x_0-y} = 0$ , or  $f'(x_0) = 0$ .

This implies that f(x) is a constant function: Suppose f(x) is not a constant function, then there exists  $c, d \in (a, b)$  with c < d, such that  $f(c) \neq f(d)$ .

Notice that since  $f'(x_0)$  exists for all  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ , then by Mean Value Theorem, there exists  $x \in (c, d)$ , such that f'(x)(d-c) = f(d) - f(c).

Yet, since f'(x) = 0, while  $f(d) - f(c) \neq 0$ ,  $0 = f'(x)(d - c) \neq f(d) - f(c)$ , which it is a contradiction. Thus, f(x) must be a constant function. (b) Suppose  $\alpha \in (0,1]$ , notice that for all  $x,y \in (a,b)$ , the following is true:

$$a < x < b$$
,  $-b < -y < -a$ ,  $(a - b) = -(b - a) < (x - y) < (b - a)$ ,  $|x - y| < |b - a|$ 

Which, since  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $|x - y|^{\alpha} < |b - a|^{\alpha}$ . Now, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , define  $\delta = (\frac{\epsilon}{M})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} > 0$ , then for all  $x, y \in (a, b)$ , if  $|x - y| < \delta$ , the following is true:

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < M|x - y|^{\alpha} < M \cdot \delta^{\alpha}$$

(Note: the above inequality is true, since  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $0 \le |x-y| < |b-a|$  implies  $|x-y|^{\alpha} < |b-a|^{\alpha}$ ). Thus, it can be rewritten as:

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < M \cdot \delta^{\alpha} = M \cdot \left( \left( \frac{\epsilon}{M} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right)^{\alpha} = M \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{M} = \epsilon$$

Thus, since for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$  implies  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ , f is uniformly continuous.

(c) Consider the function  $f:(-1,1)\to\mathbb{R}$  by f(x)=|x|.

Choose M = 1.01 and  $\alpha = 1$ , then the following is true:

$$\forall x, y \in (-1, 1), \quad |f(x) - f(y)| = ||x| - |y|| \le |x - y| = |x - y|^{\alpha} < 1.01|x - y|^{\alpha} = M|x - y|^{\alpha}$$

Thus, f is Lipschitz continuous.

Yet, f is not differentiable at x = 0: For all x < 0 and y > 0 (with  $x, y \in (-1, 1)$ ), the following is true:

$$\frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x - 0} = \frac{|x| - 0}{x - 0} = \frac{-x}{x} = -1$$

$$\frac{f(y) - f(0)}{y - 0} = \frac{|y| - 0}{y - 0} = \frac{y}{y} = 1$$

Which,  $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{f(x)-f(0)}{x-0}$  is not defined, since the left and right limits as x approaches 0 are different.

(d) Suppose f is differentiable on (a,b) and f'(x) is bounded on (a,b), then there exists M>0, with |f'(x)|< M for all  $x\in (a,b)$ . Which, for all  $x,y\in (a,b)$  with x< y, by the Mean Value Theorem, there exists  $c\in (x,y)$ , such that f(y)-f(x)=f'(c)(y-x). Which, the following is true:

$$|f(y) - f(x)| = |f'(c)| \cdot |y - x| < M|y - x|$$

Thus, f is Lipschitz continuous.

**Question 3** For any  $a \geq 0$ , define  $f_a : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  as:

$$f_a(x) = \begin{cases} x^a sin(\frac{1}{x}) & x > 0\\ 0 & x \le 0 \end{cases}$$

- (a) For which values of a is  $f_a$  continuous at 0.
- (b) For which values of a is  $f'_a(0)$  defined.
- (c) For which values of a is  $f'_a$  continuous at 0.
- (d) For which values of a is  $f''_a(0)$  defined.

Pf:

(a) **Ans:** a > 0. For a = 0, the function  $f_a(x)$  is not continuous: Choose the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  by  $x_n = \frac{1}{(2n+1/2)\pi} > 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1/2)\pi} = 0$ , thus  $x_n$  converges to 0; but, consider  $(f_a(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ :

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad f_a(x_n) = x_n^0 \sin\left(\frac{1}{x_n}\right) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{1/(2n+1/2)\pi}\right) = \sin((2n+1/2)\pi) = 1$$

Which,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_a(x_n) = 1 \neq 0 = f_a(0)$ , thus  $f_a(x_n)$  doesn't converge to  $f_a(0)$ , showing it's not continuous.

Now, for all a > 0, for any x > 0, since  $x^a > 0$ , it satisfies the following:

$$-1 \le \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \le 1, \quad -x^a \le f_a(x) = x^a \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \le x^a$$

Which, take the right limit of  $x^a$  of 0,  $\lim_{x\to 0^+} x^a = 0$ , then by Squeeze Theorem, the following is true:

$$0 = \lim_{x \to 0^+} -x^a \le \lim_{x \to 0^+} x^a \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \le \lim_{x \to 0^+} x^a = 0$$

Thus,  $\lim_{x\to 0^+} f_a(x) = 0$ .

Also, since  $\lim_{x\to 0^-} f_a(x) = 0$  (since for x < 0,  $f_a(x) = 0$ ), then the left and right limits both agree with  $f_a(0) = 0$ , showing it's continuous at 0. Every a > 0 has  $f_a(x)$  being continuous at 0.

(b) **Ans:** a > 1. In case for  $f'_a(0)$  to be defined,  $f_a$  must be continuous at 0. Thus, a > 0 is required.

Consider the slope  $\frac{f_a(x)-f_a(0)}{x-0}$  for all  $x \neq 0$ . If x < 0, then since  $f_a(x) = 0$ , then the slope is 0. Thus, the left limit of the slope  $\lim_{x\to 0^-} \frac{f_a(x)-f_a(0)}{x-0} = 0$ .

Now, consider the slope from the right:

$$x > 0$$
,  $\frac{f_a(x) - f_a(0)}{x - 0} = \frac{x^a \sin(1/x) - 0}{x - 0} = x^{a - 1} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ 

Since the left limit is evaluated as 0, in case for f'(0) to be defined, the right limit also needs to converge to 0.

First, notice that if  $a \leq 1$ , the right limit doesn't exist:

Consider the same sequence  $x_n = \frac{1}{(2n+1/2)\pi} > 0$  used in part (a), then the following is true:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (x_n)^{a-1} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x_n}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{(2n+1/2)\pi}\right)^{a-1} \sin((2n+1/2)\pi) = \left(\frac{1}{(2n+1/2)\pi}\right)^{a-1} \sin((2n+1/2)\pi)$$

Which, if a=1 (or a-1=0), then  $(x_n)^{a-1}\sin(1/x_n)=1$  for all  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ , which  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{f_a(x_n)-f_a(0)}{x_n-0}=1$ , while  $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=0$ . This shows that the right limit of the slope is not 0, which  $f_a'(0)$  is not defined.

Else, if a < 1 (or a - 1 < 0), then  $(x_n)^{a-1} \sin(1/x_n) = \left(\frac{1}{(2n+1/2)\pi}\right)^{a-1} = ((2n+1/2)\pi)^{1-a}$  is in fact unbounded as n increases indefinitely (since 1 - a > 0), so again the right limit of the slope is not defined, implying  $f'_a(0)$  is not defined.

So, in case for the right limit to be defined, a > 1. Which, since a - 1 > 0, then for all x > 0,  $x^{a-1} > 0$ , and  $\lim_{x \to 0^+} a^{a-1} = 0$ . Thus based on Squeeze Theorem:

$$-1 \le \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \le 1, \quad x > 0, \quad -x^{a-1} \le x^{a-1}\sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \le x^{a-1}$$

$$0 = \lim_{x \to 0^+} -x^{a-1} \le \lim_{x \to 0^+} x^{a-1} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \le \lim_{x \to 0^+} x^{a-1} = 0$$

So, the right limit of  $x^{a-1}\sin(1/x)$  is 0 when x approaches 0, which it agrees with the initial left limit, hence for a > 1,  $\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f_a(x) - f_a(0)}{x - 0} = 0$ ,  $f'_a(0) = 0$  is defined.

(c) Ans: a > 2. For  $f'_a$  to be continuous at 0,  $f'_a(0)$  needs to be defined. So, a > 1 is required.

For x < 0, since  $f_a(x) = 0$ , then  $f'_a(x) = 0$ , which  $\lim_{x \to 0^-} f'_a(x) = 0$ .

Consider  $f_a'(x)$  for x > 0, which by the differentiation rule, it is evaluated as:

$$f'_a(x) = ax^{a-1}\sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + x^a\cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\frac{-1}{x^2} = ax^{a-1}\sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - x^{a-2}\cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

In case for  $f'_a(x)$  to be continuous at 0,  $\lim_{x\to 0^+} f'_a(x) = 0$ .

Since  $x^{a-1}\sin(1/x)$  has right limit exists as x approaches 0 (since we assume a > 1), it suffices to find values of a which  $x^{a-2}\cos(1/x)$  has right limit being 0, when x approaches 0.

For  $a \le 2$ , the right limit of  $x^{a-2}\cos(1/x)$  is not 0:

Consider the sequence  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  by  $x_n=\frac{1}{2n\pi}$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=0$ . Which, the following is true:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (x_n)^{a-2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{x_n}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2n\pi}\right)^{a-2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2n\pi}\right) = (2n\pi)^{2-a}$$

Which, if a = 2, 2 - a = 0, hence  $(x_n)^{a-2} \cos(1/x_n) = 1$ , implying  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (x_n)^{a-2} \cos(1/x_n) = 1 \neq 0$ . This implies that  $x^{a-2} \cos(1/x)$  doesn't converge to 0 as x converges to 0.

Else, if a < 2, then since (2 - a) > 0,  $(2n\pi)^{2-a}$  goes unbounded as n increases indefinitely, so again  $x^{a-2}\cos(1/x)$  doesn't converge to 0 when x converges to 0.

So, for right limit of  $f'_a(x)$  of x = 0 to be 0, a > 2 is required. Which, for a > 2, since a - 2 > 0, then for all x > 0,  $x^{a-2} > 0$ . Thus by Squeeze Theorem:

$$-x^{a-2} \le x^{a-2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \le x^{a-2}$$

$$0 = \lim_{x \to 0^+} -x^{a-2} \le \lim_{x \to 0^+} x^{a-2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \le \lim_{x \to 0^+} x^{a-2} = 0$$

So, the right limit of  $x^{a-2}\cos(1/x)$  is 0 as x approaches 0, hence the right limit of  $f'_a(x) = ax^{a-1}\sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - x^{a-2}\cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$  is 0 as x approaches 0. Hence, for a > 2,  $f'_a(x)$  is continuous at 0, since the left and right limit agrees with  $f'_a(0)$ .

(d) **Ans:** a > 3. To make sense of the second derivative,  $f'_a(x)$  needs to be continuous at 0, thus a > 2. Since for all x < 0,  $f'_a(x) = 0$ , thus  $f''_a(x) = 0$ . So, the left limit  $\lim_{x\to 0^-} f''_a(x) = 0$ .

Then, in case for  $f_a''(0)$  to be defined, the right limit must also be 0.

Now, for all x > 0, consider the slope  $\frac{f'_a(x) - f'_a(0)}{x - 0}$ :

$$\frac{f_a'(x) - f_a'(0)}{x - 0} = \frac{ax^{a - 1}\sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - x^{a - 2}\cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - 0}{x - 0} = \frac{ax^{a - 1}\sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - x^{a - 2}\cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)}{x}$$
$$= ax^{a - 2}\sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - x^{a - 3}\cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

Which, in case for  $\lim_{x\to 0^+}\frac{f_a'(x)-f_a'(0)}{x-0}$  to be defined, a>3.

If  $a \leq 3$ , the again take the sequence  $x_n = \frac{1}{2n\pi}$  used in part (c), the above limit becomes:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad ax_n^{a-2} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x_n}\right) - x^{a-3} \cos\left(\frac{1}{x_n}\right) = a\left(\frac{1}{2n\pi}\right)^{a-2} \sin(2n\pi) - \left(\frac{1}{2n\pi}\right)^{a-3} \cos(2n\pi)$$
$$= 0 - (2n\pi)^{3-a}$$

If a=3, then the above expression is -1. Thus, as n approaches  $\infty$ , the sequence  $\frac{f'_a(x_n)-f'_a(0)}{x_n-0}$  converges to  $1\neq 0$ , hence the right limit doesn't agree with the left limit, hence  $f''_a(0)$  is not defined.

Else if a < 3, then the above expression is not bounded, since 3 - a > 0, so the right limit doesn't exist in  $\mathbb{R}$ , hence  $f_a''(0)$  is again not defined.

For all a > 3, and all x > 0, the above terms can again be approached by Squeeze Theorem:

$$-x^{a-2} \le x^{a-2} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \le x^{a-2}$$

$$0 = \lim_{x \to 0^+} -x^{a-2} \le \lim_{x \to 0^+} x^{a-2} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \le \lim_{x \to 0^+} x^{a-2} = 0$$

$$-x^{a-3} \le x^{a-3} \cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \le x^{a-3}$$

$$0 = \lim_{x \to 0^+} -x^{a-2} \le \lim_{x \to 0^+} x^{a-2} \cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \le \lim_{x \to 0^+} x^{a-2} = 0$$

Hence,  $\lim_{x\to 0^+} \frac{f_a'(x)-f_a'(0)}{x-0} = \lim_{x\to 0^+} ax^{a-2}\sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - x^{a-3}\cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = 0$ , which agrees with the left limit. So, for all a>3,  $f_a''(0)$  is defined.

**Question 4** Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined as  $f(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$  if  $x \neq 0$  and f(0) = 0. Show that f is infinitely differentiable and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f^{(n)}(0) = 0$ .

#### Pf.

First, we'll prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{1}{x^n} e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} = 0$ . By doing the substitution  $h = \frac{1}{x}$ , the expression becomes  $\lim_{h\to\infty} h^n e^{-h^2}$ .

For base cases n=0, the limit  $\lim_{h\to\infty}h^0e^{-h^2}=\lim_{n\to\infty}e^{-h^2}=0$  (since  $e^{-h^2}=1/e^{h^2}$ , and  $e^{h^2}$  is not bounded). Same applies for another base case n=1, the limit  $\lim_{h\to\infty}he^{-h^2}=\lim_{h\to\infty}\frac{h}{e^{h^2}}$ . Since both h and  $e^{h^2}$  are not bounded, then apply L'hopital's Rule becomes:

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{h}{e^{h^2}} = \lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{1}{2he^{h^2}} = 0$$

The second part is true since  $he^{h^2}$  is not bounded. Which, the case is also true for n=1.

Then, suppose for given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all integer  $0 < k \le n$ ,  $\lim_{h \to \infty} h^k e^{-h^2} = 0$ , for the case of (n+1),  $\lim_{h \to \infty} h^{(n+1)} e^{-h^2} = \lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{h^{n+1}}{e^{h^2}}$ , which both  $h^{(n+1)}$  and  $e^{h^2}$  are not bounded in this limit. Thus, apply L'hopital's Rule, the limit becomes:

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{h^{(n+1)}}{e^{h^2}} = \lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{(n+1)h^n}{2he^{h^2}} = \lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{(n+1)}{2}h^{n-1}e^{-h^2}$$

If 0 < (n+1) < n, then based on induction hypothesis, the above limit evalutes to be 0; if (n-1) = 0, then it returns to the initial case, which again evaluates to be 0; else, if (n-1) < 0, then the limit becomes  $\lim_{h\to\infty} \frac{(n+1)}{2h^{1-n}e^{h^2}}$ , where (1-n) > 0. Thus, the denominator goes unbounded, the limit again evaluates to be 0.

So, by the Principle of Mathematical Induction, the limit  $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{1}{x^n} e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} = \lim_{h\to\infty} h^n e^{-h^2} = 0$  for all  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ . And, if take finite linear combination of different powers, for any real-valued polynomial  $p(h)=a_nh^n+\ldots+a_0$ ,  $p(1/x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$  also converges to 0 as x approaches 0 (since  $p(1/x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}=a_n(1/x^n)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}+\ldots+a_0e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$ , where each individual component converges to 0 as x approaches 0).

Now, we can use induction to prove that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the function  $f(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$  has  $n^{th}$  derivative in the form  $p(1/x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$  for some polynomial p(h), and is differentiable at 0, with  $f^{(n)}(0) = 0$ .

First, for base case n=1, for all  $x \neq 0$ ,  $f'(x) = \frac{2}{x^3}e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$  by the differentiation rules, which let polynomial  $p_1(h) = 2h^3$ , then  $f'(x) = p_1(1/x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$ . Which,  $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{2}{x^3}e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} = 0$ , since  $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{1}{x^3}e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} = 0$  follows from the statment proven previously.

Now, for f'(0), consider  $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{f(x)-f(0)}{x-0}$ :

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x - 0} = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{x^2} - 0}}{x} = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{1}{x} e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} = 0$$

Thus, we can conclude that f'(0) = 0.

Then, suppose for given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $n^{th}$  derivative is in the form  $f^{(n)}(x) = p_n(1/x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$  for some real coefficient polynomial  $p_n(h)$ , and is differentiable at 0.

Which, for the  $(n+1)^{th}$  derivative, since for  $x \neq 0$ , using differentiation rule:

$$f^{(n+1)}(x) = p'_n(1/x)\frac{-1}{x^2}e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} + p_n(1/x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}\frac{-2}{x^3} = (\frac{2}{x^3}p_n(1/x) - \frac{1}{x^2}p'_n(1/x))e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$$

Which, let  $p_{(n+1)}(h) = 2h^3p_n(h) - h^2p_n'(h)$  be the polynomial,  $f^{(n+1)}(x) = p_{(n+1)}(1/x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$ . Which,  $\lim_{x\to 0} p_{(n+1)}(1/x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} = 0$  is proven initially.

Now, for  $f^{(n+1)}(0)$ , consider  $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{f^{(n)}(x)-f^{(n)}(0)}{x-0}$ :

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f^{(n)}(x) - f^{(n)}(0)}{x - 0} = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{p_n(1/x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} - 0}{x} = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{1}{x}p_n(1/x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$$

Let  $p(h) = hp_n(h)$  be the polynomial, the  $p(1/x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} = \frac{1}{x}p_n(1/x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$ , thus the above limit is evaluated as 0. Which,  $f^{(n+1)}(0) = 0$ .

By the principle of mathematical induction, we can conclude that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $n^{th}$  derivative is in the form  $f^{(n)}(x) = p_n(1/x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$  for some polynomial  $p_n(h)$ , and  $f^{(n)}(0) = 0$ . Thus, f(x) described in the problem is in fact infinitely differentiable, and  $f^{(n)}(0) = 0$  for all natural number

Question 5 From the textbook solve exercises 2, 7 and 15 (first part) of Chapter 5.

**Q2:** Suppose f'(x) > 0 in (a, b) Prove that f is strictly increasing in (a, b), and let g be its inverse function. Prove that g is differentiable, and that g'(f(x)) = 1/f'(x) for a < x < b.

## Pf:

## f is Strictly Increasing:

First, to prove that f is strictly increasing in (a,b), we'll use contradiction: Suppose f is not strictly increasing in (a,b). Then, there exists  $c,d \in (a,b)$ , where c < d, such that  $f(c) \ge f(d)$ . But, by Mean Value Theorem, thre exists  $x \in (c,d0)$ , with  $f(x) = \frac{f(d)-f(c)}{d-c}$ . Which, since  $f(d) \le f(c)$ ,  $f(d)-f(c) \le 0$ ; and  $f(c) \le d$  implies  $f(c) \le d$ . Thus,  $f'(c) \le d$  implies  $f'(c) \le d$ . So, the assumption is false,  $f(c) \le d$  must be strictly increasing in f(c).

## Continuity of q:

Notice that g is defined on f((a,b)), which for all  $c \in (a,b)$ , g(f(c)) = c, thus g is a surjective function. Also, suppose  $x,y \in f((a,b))$  satisfies g(x) = g(y), since there exists  $c,d \in (a,b)$  with f(c) = x and f(d) = y, then c = g(f(c)) = g(x) = g(y) = g(f(d)) = d, which x = f(c) = f(d) = y. So, g is also injective.

Then, because  $f:(a,b)\to f(a,b)$  and  $g:f(a,b)\to (a,b)$  are bijective, then f(g(U))=U for all  $U\subseteq f((a,b))$  and g(f(V))=V for all  $V\subseteq (a,b)$ .

Which, because f is continuous, then for all open sets  $U \in (a, b)$ , consider  $g^{-1}(U)$ : Since  $f(g(g^{-1}(U))) = g^{-1}(U)$ , and g is bijective implies  $g(g^{-1}(U)) = U$ , thus  $g^{-1}(U) = f(U)$ .

## Differentiability of g:

Now, given that g if the inverse of f, then for all  $x \in (a,b)$ , then g(f(x)) = x. Since g is defined on the set f((a,b)), and (a,b) is a connected interval while f is continuous, the f((a,b)) is an interval (recall that differentiability of f implies continuity).

Which, take  $D = (f((a,b)))^{\circ}$  an open set, for every point  $x \in D$  there exists r > 0, with  $(x - r, x + r) \in D$ .

**Q7:** Suppose f'(x), g'(x) exists,  $g'(x) \neq 0$ , and f(x) = g(x) = 0. Prove that  $\lim_{t \to x} \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} = \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}$ .

### Pf:

Since f'(x), g'(x) exists, within some neighborhood  $(x-\epsilon, x+\epsilon)$ , if t is in the neighborhood,  $\lim_{t\to x} \frac{f(t)-f(x)}{t-x} = f'(x)$  and  $\lim_{t\to x} \frac{g(t)-g(x)}{t-x} = g'(x)$ . Thus, for all  $t\neq x$  within the given neighborhood, if  $g(t)\neq 0$ , the following is true:

$$\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} = \frac{f(t) - 0}{g(t) - 0} = \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{g(t) - g(x)} = \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} \frac{t - x}{g(t) - g(x)} = \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} \frac{1}{\frac{g(t) - g(x)}{t - x}}$$

Notice that since  $\lim_{t\to x} \frac{f(t)-f(x)}{t-x} = f'(x)$ , and  $\lim_{t\to x} \frac{g(t)-g(x)}{t-x} = g'(x) \neq 0$ , thus  $\lim_{t\to x} 1/\left(\frac{g(t)-g(x)}{t-x}\right) = 1/g'(x)$ . So, the limit is given as follow:

$$\lim_{t \to x} \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} = \lim_{t \to x} \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} \frac{1}{\frac{g(t) - g(x)}{t - x}} = \left(\lim_{t \to x} \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x}\right) \left(\lim_{t \to x} \frac{1}{\frac{g(t) - g(x)}{t - x}}\right) = f'(x) \frac{1}{g'(x)}$$

Hence,  $\lim_{t\to x} \frac{f(t)}{g(t)} = \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}$ .

**Q15:** Suppose  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , f is a twice-differentiable real function on  $(a, \infty)$ , and  $M_0, M_1, M_2$  are the least upper bounds of |f(x)|, |f'(x)|, |f''(x)|, respectively, on  $(a, \infty)$ . Prove that  $M_1^2 \leq 4M_0M_2$ .

## Pf:

For all  $x_0 \in (a, \infty)$ , consider the Taylor Polynomial  $P_1(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0)$ . Which, for all h > 0 (2h > 0), since  $x_0 + 2h > x_0$ , so  $(x_0 + 2h) \in (a, \infty)$ . Thus, by Taylor's Theorem, there exists  $z \in (x_0, x_0 + 2h)$ , with  $f(x_0 + 2h) - P_1(x_0 + 2h) = \frac{f''(z)}{2!}((x_0 + 2h) - x_0)^2$ . Thus:

$$f(x_0 + 2h) - P_1(x_0 + 2h) = f(x_0 + 2h) - (f(x_0) + f'(x_0)((x_0 + 2h) - x_0))$$
$$= f(x_0 + 2h) - f(x_0) - 2hf'(x_0)$$

$$\frac{f''(z)}{2!}((x_0+2h)-x_0)^2 = \frac{f''(z)}{2}(2h)^2$$

So,  $f(x_0 + 2h) - f(x_0) - 2hf'(x_0) = \frac{f''(z)}{2}4h^2$ , thus  $2hf'(x_0) = f(x_0 + 2h) - f(x_0) - f''(z)2h^2$ , or  $f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{2h}f(x_0 + 2h) - f(x_0) - hf''(z)$ . Hence, the following inequality is true:

$$|f'(x_0)| = \left| \frac{1}{2h} f(x_0 + 2h) - f(x_0) - hf''(z) \right| \le \frac{1}{2h} (|f(x_0 + 2h)| + |f(x_0)|) + h|f''(z)|$$
$$|f'(x_0)| \le \frac{1}{2h} 2M_0 + hM_2 = \frac{M_0}{h} + hM_2$$

Which, if choose  $h = \sqrt{M_0/M_2}$ , the following is true:

$$|f'(x_0)| \le \frac{M_0}{\sqrt{M_0/M_2}} + \sqrt{\frac{M_0}{M_2}} M_2 = \sqrt{M_0 M_2} + \sqrt{M_0 M_2} = 2\sqrt{M_0 M_2}$$

Thus,  $2\sqrt{M_0M_2}$  is an upper bound of |f'(x)| for all  $x \in (a, \infty)$ , hence  $M_1 \leq 2\sqrt{M_0M_2}$  (since  $M_1$  by definition is the least upper bound of |f'(x)|). So:

$$M_1^2 \le (2\sqrt{M_0 M_2})^2 = 4M_0 M_2$$