Math CS 122A HW1

Zih-Yu Hsieh

January 18, 2025

1

Question 1 Ahlfors Pg. 33 Problem 4

Pf:

Suppose the given function R(z) is a rational function such that the numerator and denominator have no common roots, and it satisfies |R(z)| = 1 whenever |z| = 1. Which, R(z) is in the following form:

$$R(z) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_n z^n}{b_0 + b_1 z + \dots + b_m z^m}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a_n, b_n \neq 0$$

Notice that without loss of generality, we can assume m = n: If the two are not equal, multiply R(z) by z^{m-n} would form the same degree on both the numerator and denominator (if m > n, the numerator has highest degree of $z^{m-n} \cdot z^n = z^m$; else if m < n, it's the same as the denominator multiplied by z^{n-m} , which the highest degree of the denominator is $z^{n-m} \cdot z^m = z^n$).

Also, since for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with |z| = 1, $|z^{m-n}| = 1$, thus $R_1(z) = z^{m-n}R(z)$ still fulfills the given property (since if |z| = 1, $|z^{m-n}R(z)| = |z|^{m-n}|R(z)| = 1$).

Now, since for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with |z| = 1, $|z|^2 = z\bar{z} = 1$, thus $z = 1/\bar{z}$. Similarly, since |R(z)| = 1, then $|R(z)|^2 = R(z)\overline{R(z)} = 1$. Which, we can substitute z by $1/\bar{z}$, and get the following:

$$|z| = 1 \implies R(z)\overline{R(1/\overline{z})} = 1$$

Notice that $\overline{R(1/\overline{z})}$ itself is also a rational function:

$$\overline{R(1/\bar{z})} = \overline{\left(\frac{a_0 + a_1(1/\bar{z}) + \dots + a_n(1/\bar{z})^n}{b_0 + b_1(1/\bar{z}) + \dots + b_n(1/\bar{z})^n}\right)} = \overline{\left(\frac{a_0\bar{z}^n + a_1\bar{z}^{n-1} + \dots + a_n}{b_0\bar{z}^n + b_1\bar{z}^{n-1} + \dots + b_n}\right)}$$

$$\overline{R(1/\bar{z})} = \frac{\bar{a_0}z^n + \bar{a_1}z^{n-1} + \dots + \bar{a_n}}{\bar{b_0}z^n + \bar{b_1}z^{n-1} + \dots + \bar{b_n}}$$

Thus, the product $R(z)\overline{R(1/\overline{z})}$ is also a rational funtion.

Then, consider $R(z)\overline{R(1/\overline{z})} - 1$: From the above equation, every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with |z| = 1 satisfies the following:

$$R(z)\overline{R(1/\bar{z})} - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0$$

Thus, every z on the unit circle is a zero of the rational function $R(z)\overline{R(1/\overline{z})} - 1$; yet, suppose the rational function has ordr m > 0, this indicates that it has at most m distinct zeroes, which it is a contradiction. Therefore, $R(z)\overline{R(1/\overline{z})} - 1$ must have order 0, indicating that it is a constant function.

Also, since every z on the unit circle has $R(z)\overline{R(1/\overline{z})} - 1 = 0$, then the function itself (as a constant) must be 0, which implies $R(z)\overline{R(1/\overline{z})} = 1$.

Finally, since $R(z)\overline{R(1/\bar{z})}=1$, then for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$ that is a zero of R(z) $(R(\alpha)=0)$, must also be the pole of $\overline{R(1/\bar{z})}$: Supopse $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$ is a zero of R(z), but not a pole of $\overline{R(1/\bar{z})}$, then $\overline{R(1/\bar{\alpha})}\in\mathbb{C}$ and $R(\alpha)=0$. Then:

$$R(\alpha)\overline{R(1/\bar{\alpha})} = 0 \cdot \overline{R(1/\bar{\alpha})} = 0 \neq 1$$

Which, the function $R(z)\overline{R(1/\overline{z})}$ is defined on α , but has an output of 0 instead of 1, which indicates the function is not a constant function. Yet, this contradicts the previous statement, so α must a pole of $\overline{R(1/\overline{z})}$, or $1/\overline{\alpha}$ is a pole of R(z).

Given the rational function with the condition |z|=1 implies |R(z)|=1, if $\alpha \neq 0$ is a zero of R(z), then $1/\bar{\alpha}$ must be a pole of R(z).

For the special case $\alpha=0$, since as z approaches 0, $\overline{R(1/\bar{z})}=1/R(z)$ diverges, indicating that as $1/\bar{z}$ goes unbounded (approaching ∞ on extended complex plane), $\overline{R(1/\bar{z})}$ diverges, hence R(z) has a pole at ∞ .

And, for the other special case $\alpha = \infty$, the function R(1/z) approaches 0, which $\overline{R(1/\overline{(1/z)})} = \overline{R(\overline{z})}$ would diverge when z approaches 0, indicating that R(z) has a pole at 0.

Question 2 Ahlfors Pg. 37 Problem 2

Pf:

Suppose $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = A$, then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N, with $n \ge N \implies |z_n - A| < \epsilon$.

Also, because the sequence converges, it is also bounded. Thus, there exists M > 0, such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, |z_n - A| < M.$

Which, for all $\epsilon > 0$, since $\frac{\epsilon}{2} > 0$, there exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, with $n \ge N_1$ implies $|z_n - A| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then, for the given ϵ , since $\frac{\epsilon}{2} > 0$, by Archimedean's Property, there exists $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, with $N_1 M < N_2 \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ (Or, $\frac{N_1M}{N_2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$).

Now, let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\} + 1$, for all $n \geq N$, it is clear that $n > N_1$ and $n > N_2$. Which, consider the following difference:

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i}{n} - A \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i - A)}{n} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{(z_i - A)}{n} + \sum_{i=N_1+1}^{n} \frac{(z_i - A)}{n} \right|$$
$$\left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i}{n} - A \right| \le \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{(z_i - A)}{n} \right| + \left| \sum_{i=N_1+1}^{n} \frac{(z_i - A)}{n} \right|$$
$$\left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i}{n} - A \right| \le \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{|z_i - A|}{n} + \sum_{i=N_1+1}^{n} \frac{|z_i - A|}{n}$$

Which, by the construction beforehand, for index $i \in \{1,...,N_1\}$, $|z_i - A| < M$; and for index $j \in \{N_1 + 1\}$ 1,...,n, $|z_j - A| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ (since $j > N_1$). Thus, the above inequality can be expressed as:

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i}{n} - A \right| \le \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{M}{n} + \sum_{i=N_1+1}^{n} \frac{\epsilon/2}{n} = \frac{N_1 M}{n} + \frac{(n-N_1)\epsilon}{2n}$$
$$\left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i}{n} - A \right| \le \frac{N_1 M}{n} + \frac{n\epsilon}{2n} \le \frac{N_1 M}{n} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

(Note: the second inequality holds since $(n-N_1) < n$). Now, since $n > N_2$, then $\frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{N_2}$. So, $\frac{N_1 M}{n} < \frac{N_1 M}{N_2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then, the above inequality becomes:

$$\left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i}{n} - A \right| \le \frac{N_1 M}{n} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

Hence, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N, with $n \ge N$ implies $\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{z_i}{n} - A \right| < \epsilon$, which implies:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{z_i}{n} = A$$

Question 3 Ahlfors Pg. 41 Poblem 7

Pf:

Given that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{|a_{n+1}|} = R \ (R \in [0,\infty]).$

When $0 < R < \infty$:

Since $\frac{1}{R}$ is well-defined, then $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{|a_n|/|a_{n+1}|}=\frac{1}{R}$. Without Loss of Generality, one can assume after some sufficiently large index n, $|a_n|>0$ for the limit of ratio to be well defined, and all the proof below would assume for chosen index n, $|a_n|>0$. Now, the goal is to prove $\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}=\frac{1}{R}$:

(1) $\limsup\{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}\} \leq \frac{1}{R}$: To approach this, consider any $U > \frac{1}{R}$. Since $(U - \frac{1}{R}) > 0$, by the definition of convergence, there exists N, with $n \geq N$ implies $\left|\frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} - \frac{1}{R}\right| < (U - \frac{1}{R})$. Thus:

$$\left(\frac{1}{R} - U\right) < \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} - \frac{1}{R} < \left(U - \frac{1}{R}\right), \quad \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} < U$$

Then, for the fixed N and U constructed above, consider arbitrary n > N, the term $|a_n|$ could be expressed as:

$$|a_n| = \frac{|a_n|}{|a_{n-1}|} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{|a_{N+1}|}{|a_N|} \cdot |a_N| = |a_N| \cdot \prod_{k=N}^{n-1} \frac{|a_{k+1}|}{|a_k|}$$

Notice that for index $k \in \{N, N+1, ..., n-1\}$, since $k \ge N$, then $0 < \frac{|a_{k+1}|}{|a_k|} < U$, thus:

$$|a_n| = |a_N| \cdot \prod_{k=N}^{n-1} \frac{|a_{k+1}|}{|a_k|} < |a_N| \prod_{k=N}^{n-1} U = |a_N| U^{n-N}$$

Now, let $M=|a_N|U^{-N}>0$, for all n>N, $|a_n|< U^n\cdot M$, or $\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}<\sqrt[n]{U^n\cdot M}=U\sqrt[n]{M}$.

Based on this inequality, define the two quantities as follow:

$$\alpha_n = \sup\{\sqrt[k]{|a_k|} \mid k \ge n\}, \quad \beta_n = \sup\{U\sqrt[k]{M} \mid k \ge n\}$$

Since for all $k \geq n$, $\sqrt[k]{|a_k|} < U\sqrt[k]{M} \leq \beta_n$, thus β_n is the upper bound of the set $\{\sqrt[k]{|a_k|} \mid k \geq n\}$, hence $\alpha_n \leq \beta_n$; and, since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{M} = 1$ for M > 0, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} U\sqrt[n]{M} = U$, which all subsequential limit is U. Thus, the following is true:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = \lim \sup \{ U \sqrt[n]{M} \} = \lim_{n \to \infty} U \sqrt[n]{M} = U$$

Which, since for all n > N, $\alpha_n \leq \beta_n$, the following is true:

$$\lim \sup \{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = U$$

Thus, $\limsup \{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}\} \le U$ for all $U > \frac{1}{R}$, hence $\limsup \{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}\} \le \frac{1}{R}$.

(2) $\liminf\{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}\} \ge \frac{1}{R}$: Similarly, consider any $0 < L < \frac{1}{R}$. Since $(\frac{1}{R} - L) > 0$, there exists N, with $n \ge N$ implies $\left|\frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} - \frac{1}{R}\right| < (\frac{1}{R} - L)$. Thus:

$$\left(\mathbf{L} - \frac{1}{R} \right) < \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|} - \frac{1}{R} < \left(\frac{1}{R} - L \right), \quad 0 < L < \frac{|a_{n+1}|}{|a_n|}$$

Then, for the fixed N and L, any n > N satisfies the following:

$$|a_n| = |a_N| \cdot \prod_{k=N}^{n-1} \frac{|a_{k+1}|}{|a_k|} > |a_N| \cdot \prod_{k=N}^{n-1} L = |a_N| \cdot L^{n-N}$$

4

Now, let $m = |a_N| \cdot L^{-N} > 0$, for all n > N, $|a_n| > L^n \cdot m$, thus $\sqrt[n]{|a_n|} > \sqrt[n]{L^n \cdot m} = L\sqrt[n]{m}$. Again, define the following two quantities:

$$\gamma_n = \inf\{\sqrt[k]{|a_k|} \mid k \ge n\}, \quad \delta_n = \inf\{L\sqrt[k]{m} \mid k \ge n\}$$

Since for all $k \geq n$, $\sqrt[k]{|a_k|} > L\sqrt[k]{m} \geq \delta_n$, thus δ_n is a lower bound of $\{\sqrt[k]{|a_k|} \mid k \geq n\}$, hence $\gamma_n \geq \delta_n$. And, since m > 0, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{m} = 1$, thus $\lim_{n \to \#} L\sqrt[n]{m} = L$. Thus:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n = \lim \inf \{ L \sqrt[n]{m} \} = \lim_{n \to \infty} L \sqrt[n]{m} = L$$

Which, since for all n > N, $\gamma_n \ge \delta_n$, the following is true:

$$\lim\inf\{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n = L$$

Hence, $\liminf \{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}\} \ge L$ for all L satisfying $0 < L < \frac{1}{R}$, which $\liminf \{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}\} \ge \frac{1}{R}$.

From the above 2 statements, the following is true:

$$\frac{1}{R} \leq \liminf \{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}\} \leq \limsup \{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}\} \leq \frac{1}{R}$$

Thus, $\liminf \{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}\} = \limsup \{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}\} = \frac{1}{R}$, so the radius of convergence $\frac{1}{\limsup \{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}\}} = R$.

When $R = \infty$: Now, given that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{|a_{n+1}|} = R = \infty$, which for all M > 0, there exists N, with $n \geq N$ implies $\frac{|a_n|}{|a_{n+1}|} > M$. (Note: For simplicity, assume for after some index $n, |a_n| > 0$, so the ratio is well-defined).

We'll prove by contradiciton. Suppose the radius of convergence R' < R, which $R' \in [0, \infty)$. Then,

choose $r \in (R', \infty)$, and consider $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n r^n$: For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the ratio $\frac{|a_{n+1}r^{n+1}|}{|a_nr^n|} = \frac{r}{|a_n|/|a_{n+1}|}$. Now, for all $\epsilon > 0$ (which $\frac{\epsilon}{r} > 0$), since there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 < M \frac{\epsilon}{r}$, then $\frac{1}{M} < \frac{\epsilon}{r}$. Which, for the chosen M, there exists N, such that $n \geq N$ implies $\frac{|a_n|}{|a_{n+1}|} > M$, thus the ratio $\frac{1}{|a_n|/|a_{n+1}|} < \frac{1}{M}$. So:

$$\frac{|a_{n+1}r^{n+1}|}{|a_nr^n|} = \frac{r}{|a_n|/|a_{n+1}|} < \frac{r}{M} < r\frac{\epsilon}{r} = \epsilon$$

So, since for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N with $n \ge N$ implies $\left| \frac{|a_{n+1}r^{n+1}|}{|a_nr^n|} - 0 \right| < \epsilon$, thus $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|a_{n+1}r^{n+1}|}{|a_nr^n|} = 0 < 1$. Then, by Ratio Test, we can conclude that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n r^n$ converges. Yet, since |r| = r > R', it is outside of the radius of convergence, which the given series should diverge, and this is a contradiction.

So, the radius of convergence $R' \geq R$, which since $R = \infty$, $R' = \infty$ is the radius of convergence.

When R=0:

Now, given that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{|a_{n+1}|} = R = 0$, which for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N, with $n \geq N$ implies $\left|\frac{|a_n|}{|a_{n+1}|}-0\right|<\epsilon$. (Note: again, for simplicity, assume after some index $n, |a_n|>0$).

We'll approach by contradiction again. Suppose the radius of convergence R' > R = 0, which $R' \in (0, \infty]$.

Then, choose $r \in (0, R')$, and consider $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n r^n$:
Again, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the ratio $\frac{|a_{n+1}r^{n+1}|}{|a_nr^n|} = \frac{r}{|a_n|/|a_{n+1}|}$. Which, for all M > 0 $(\frac{r}{M} > 0)$, since there exists N, with $n \geq N$ implies $\left|\frac{|a_n|}{|a_{n+1}|} - 0\right| = \frac{|a_n|}{|a_{n+1}|} < \frac{r}{M}$. Then, $\frac{1}{|a_n|,|a_{n+1}|} > \frac{M}{r}$.

So, for any $n \geq N$, the following is true:

$$\frac{|a_{n+1}r^{n+1}|}{|a_nr^n|} = \frac{r}{|a_n|/|a_{n+1}|} > r\frac{M}{r} = M$$

Since the choice of M > 0 is arbitrary, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|a_{n+1}r^{n+1}|}{|a_nr^n|} = \infty$, which according to ratio test, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n r^n$ diverges.

 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n r^n$ diverges. Yet, since 0 < |r| = r < R', it is in the radius of convergence, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n r^n$ should converge, which is a contradiction.

So, the radius of convergence $R' \leq R = 0$, which indicates that R' = 0 is the radius of convergence.

Regardless of the case, R is always the radius of convergence, thus we can also define radius of convergence as $R = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|a_n|}{|a_{n+1}|}$, if the limit is well-defined.

4

Question 4 Ahlfors Pg. 41 Problem 9

Given the following series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{1+z^{2n}}$, to indicate the values of $z \in \mathbb{C}$ that lead to convergence, there are three cases:

(i): When |z| < 1:

For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with |z| < 1, since $\lim_{n \to \infty} |z|^n = 0$, choose $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $n \ge N$ implies $|z|^n < \frac{1}{2}$ (or $-|z|^n > -\frac{1}{2}$). Then, for all $n \ge N$ (which $2n \ge N$), the following is true:

$$|1+z^{2n}| = |1-(-z^{2n})| \ge ||1|-|-z^{2n}|| = 1-|z^{2n}| > 1-\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Thus, for $n \ge N$, $\frac{1}{2} < |1 + z^{2n}|$, which indicates the following:

$$\frac{1}{|1+z^{2n}|} < 2, \quad \left|\frac{z^n}{1+z^{2n}}\right| = \frac{|z^n|}{|1+z^{2n}|} < 2|z^n| = 2|z|^n$$

Thus, if consider $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left| \frac{z^n}{1+z^{2n}} \right|$, since every term satisfies $0 \le \left| \frac{z^n}{1+z^{2n}} \right| < 2|z|^n$, and the series $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} 2|z|^n$ converges due to the assumption that |z| < 1, then by comparison test, the series $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left| \frac{z^n}{1+z^{2n}} \right|$ converges, which implies $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{1+z^{2n}}$ also converges. Thus, given |z| < 1, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{1+z^{2n}}$ converges.

(ii): When |z| = 1:

For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with |z| = 1, and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider $\left| \frac{z^n}{1+z^{2n}} \right|$: Suppose $1 + z^{2n} \neq 0$, by the Triangle Inequality, since $|1 + z^{2n}| \le |1| + |z^{2n}| = 2$, then:

$$\frac{1}{|1+z^{2n}|} \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \left|\frac{z^n}{1+z^{2n}}\right| \geq \frac{|z^n|}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

This indicates that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{z^n}{1+z^{2n}}\neq 0$, since choosing $\epsilon=\frac{1}{2}$, every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ with $(1+z^{2n})\neq 0$, satisfies $\left| \frac{z^n}{1+z^{2n}} - 0 \right| \ge \frac{1}{2} = \epsilon.$

Then, since the sequence is not converging to 0 for all z with |z|=1, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{1+z^{2n}}$ diverges.

(iii): When |z| > 1:

For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with |z| > 1, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|z|^{2n} > |z|^n > 1$. Thus, the following is true:

$$|1+z^{2n}|=|z^{2n}-(-1)|\geq \left||z^{2n}|-|-1|\right|=|z|^{2n}-1>0$$

7

Question 5 Stein and Shakarchi Pg. 28 Problem 16 (e)

Given the hypergeometric series as:

$$F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)...(\alpha+n-1)\beta(\beta+1)...(\beta+n-1)}{n!\gamma(\gamma+1)...(\gamma+n-1)} z^{n}$$

With $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\gamma \notin \{-n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Now, in **Question 3** it has proven, if the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{|a_n|}{|a_{n+1}|}=R$ for some $R\in[0,\infty]$, then R is precisely the radius of convergence. Then, define the coefficient a_n as follow:

$$a_n = \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)...(\alpha+n-1)\beta(\beta+1)...(\beta+n-1)}{n!\gamma(\gamma+1)...(\gamma+n-1)}$$

Which, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the ratio $\frac{|a_n|}{|a_{n+1}|}$ is defined as follow:

$$\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)...(\alpha+n-1)\beta(\beta+1)...(\beta+n-1)}{n!\gamma(\gamma+1)...(\gamma+n-1)} \cdot \frac{(n+1)!\gamma(\gamma+1)...(\gamma+n-1)(\gamma+n)}{\alpha(\alpha+1)...(\alpha+n-1)(\alpha+n)\beta(\beta+1)...(\beta+n-1)(\beta+n)} = \frac{(n+1)(\gamma+n)}{(\alpha+n)(\beta+n)} = \frac{n^2+(\gamma+1)n+\gamma}{n^2+(\alpha+\beta)n+\alpha\beta} = \frac{1+(\gamma+1)/n+\gamma/n^2}{1+(\alpha+\beta)/n+\alpha\beta/n^2}$$

Then, since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0$, then the following limit is defined as:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|a_n|}{|a_{n+1}|} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 + (\gamma + 1)/n + \gamma/n^2}{1 + (\alpha + \beta)/n + \alpha\beta/n^2} = \frac{1 + (\gamma + 1) \cdot 0 + \gamma \cdot 0}{1 + (\alpha + \beta) \cdot 0 + \alpha\beta \cdot 0} = 1$$

Which, the radius of convergence of hypergeometric series is R=1.

6

Question 6 Stein and Shakarchi Pg. 29 Problem 19 (c)

Pf:

For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ $(z \neq 1)$ satisfying |z| = 1, consider the following partial sum:

$$a_n = \sum_{i=0}^n z^n = \frac{1-z^{n+1}}{1-z}$$

Notice that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following inequality is true:

$$|a_n| = \left| \frac{1 - z^{n+1}}{1 - z} \right| \le \frac{|1| + |z^{n+1}|}{|1 - z|} = \frac{2}{|1 - z|}$$

Thus, for given z with $z \neq 1$ and |1| = 1, the geometric partial sum A_n is always bounded by $\frac{2}{|1-z|}$.

Summation by Part Formula:

Given sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, and let $A_N = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n$ (with $A_0 = 0$), then for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ (with p < q), the following formula is true:

$$\sum_{n=p}^{q} a_n b_n = \sum_{n=p}^{q} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k \right) b_n = \sum_{n=p}^{q} (A_n - A_{n-1}) b_n$$

$$= \sum_{n=p}^{q} A_n b_n - \sum_{n=p}^{q} A_{n-1} b_n = \sum_{n=p}^{q} A_n b_n - \sum_{n=(p-1)}^{(q-1)} A_n b_{n+1}$$
$$= \sum_{n=p}^{q-1} A_n (b_n - b_{n+1}) + A_q b_q - A_{p-1} b_p$$

Convergence of Series of Products:

Now, suppose $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a complex sequence, and $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a real sequence, such that the partial sum of a_n are all bounded (i.e. there exists M > 0, such that every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $A_N = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n$ has $|A_N| < M$), and b_n is monotonic nonincreasing that converges to 0 (i.e. for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $b_n \geq b_{n+1}$, and $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = 0$; this also implies $b_n \geq 0$). Then, with the given condition, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n$ converges.

To prove this, let $s_N = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n b_n$, the goal is to prove that the sequence $(s_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy. First, by the convergence of b_n , for all $\epsilon > 0$, since $\frac{\epsilon}{2M} > 0$, there exists N, with $n \geq N$ implies $|b_n - 0| = b_n < \epsilon$. (Note: M > 0 is the bound of A_N here)

Then, for the same ϵ given, any p, q > N with p < q (Note: with $(p-1) \ge N$) satisfy the following:

$$\begin{split} |s_q - s_{p-1}| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^q a_n b_n - \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} a_n b_n \right| = \left| \sum_{n=p}^q a_n b_n \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{n=p}^{q-1} A_n (b_n - b_{n+1}) + A_q b_q - A_{n-1} b_n \right| \le \sum_{n=p}^{q-1} |A_n (b_n - b_{n+1})| + |A_q b_q| + |A_{n-1} b_n| \end{split}$$

Which, since every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $|A_n| < M$, then:

$$|s_q - s_{p-1}| \le \sum_{n=p}^{q-1} |A_n(b_n - b_{n+1})| + |A_q b_q| + |A_{p-1} b_p| \le \sum_{n=p}^{q-1} M|(b_n - b_{n+1})| + M|b_q| + M|b_p|$$

Also, since $b_n \ge b_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, thus $(b_n - b_{n+1}) \ge 0$; along with the condition that $b_n \ge 0$, the following is true:

$$|s_q - s_{p-1}| \le \sum_{n=p}^{q-1} M |(b_n - b_{n+1})| + M |b_q| + M |b_p| = M \left(\sum_{n=p}^{q-1} (b_n - b_{n+1}) + b_q + b_p \right)$$

$$|s_q - s_{p-1}| \le M \left(\sum_{n=p}^{q-1} b_n - \sum_{n=p}^{q-1} b_{n+1} + b_q + b_p \right)$$

$$|s_q - s_{p-1}| \le M \left(\sum_{n=p}^{q-1} b_n - \sum_{n=p+1}^{q} b_n + b_q + b_p \right)$$

$$|s_q - s_{p-1}| \le M (b_p - b_q + b_q + b_p) = 2Mb_p$$

Now, since $p \geq N$, then by the convergence of b_n constructed beforehand, $b_p < \frac{\epsilon}{2M}$. Thus:

$$|s_q - s_{p-1}| \le 2Mb_p < 2M\frac{\epsilon}{2M} = \epsilon$$

Hence, the sequence $(s_N)_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy, thus converges.

Convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n/n$ on unit circle:

For any $z \neq 1$ with |z| = 1, let $a_n = z^n$ and $b_n = \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From the first part, the partial sum of a_n is bounded (proven that $|A_n| \leq \frac{2}{|1-z|}$), and $b_n = \frac{1}{n}$ is a nonincreasing sequence that converges to 0. Then, by the above proof, the series of product $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n$ converges. Thus, the following series converges, given that $z \neq 1$ and |z| = 1:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} = L \in \mathbb{C}$$