CS 383: Machine Learning

Prof Adam Poliak
Fall 2024
10/01/2024
Lecture 11

Announcements

HW03 is due Tuesday night

- Reading quiz: Thursday
 - Duame 9.3 (2 pages)

Updated schedule

No lecture on Thursday
No lecture Wednesday 10/09
Lecture tomorrow Wed 10/02

HW03 polynomial regression due tonight HW04 naive Bayes due Tuesday 10/08 (it'll be a shorter assignment) Midterm 1 on Thursday after fall break

- 1. How would you say P(A, B) in words?
- 2. Based on class on Tuesday, what is Bayes rule?

$$P(A,B) =$$

- 3. What is the Naive Bayes assumption? (circle one)
 - (a) The label and the features are independent given the model
 - (b) Features are independent given the label
 - (c) Training examples are independent given their labels
- 4. If I want to predict the label (y) of an example based on its features (\vec{x}) , which of the following expressions would I want to compute? (circle the best one)
 - (a) $p(\vec{x}, y)$
 - (b) $p(\vec{x} \mid y)$
 - (c) $p(y \mid \vec{x})$

1. How would you say P(A, B) in words?

Probability of A and B

$$P(A,B) =$$

- 3. What is the Naive Bayes assumption? (circle one)
 - (a) The label and the features are independent given the model
 - (b) Features are independent given the label
 - (c) Training examples are independent given their labels
- 4. If I want to predict the label (y) of an example based on its features (\vec{x}) , which of the following expressions would I want to compute? (circle the best one)
 - (a) $p(\vec{x}, y)$
 - (b) $p(\vec{x} \mid y)$
 - (c) $p(y \mid \vec{x})$

1. How would you say P(A, B) in words?

Probability of A and B

$$P(A,B) = P(A) P(B|A)$$
 or $P(B) P(A|B)$

- 3. What is the Naive Bayes assumption? (circle one)
 - (a) The label and the features are independent given the model
 - (b) Features are independent given the label
 - (c) Training examples are independent given their labels
- 4. If I want to predict the label (y) of an example based on its features (\vec{x}) , which of the following expressions would I want to compute? (circle the best one)
 - (a) $p(\vec{x}, y)$
 - (b) $p(\vec{x} \mid y)$
 - (c) $p(y \mid \vec{x})$

1. How would you say P(A, B) in words?

Probability of A and B

$$P(A,B) = P(A) P(B|A)$$
 or $P(B) P(A|B)$

- 3. What is the Naive Bayes assumption? (circle one)
 - (a) The label and the features are independent given the model
 - (b) Features are independent given the label
 - (c) Training examples are independent given their labels
- 4. If I want to predict the label (y) of an example based on its features (\vec{x}) , which of the following expressions would I want to compute? (circle the best one)
 - (a) $p(\vec{x}, y)$
 - (b) $p(\vec{x} \mid y)$
 - (c) $p(y \mid \vec{x})$

1. How would you say P(A, B) in words?

Probability of A and B

$$P(A,B) = P(A) P(B|A)$$
 or $P(B) P(A|B)$

- 3. What is the Naive Bayes assumption? (circle one)
 - (a) The label and the features are independent given the model
 - (b) Features are independent given the label
 - (c) Training examples are independent given their labels
- 4. If I want to predict the label (y) of an example based on its features (\vec{x}) , which of the following expressions would I want to compute? (circle the best one)
 - (a) $p(\vec{x}, y)$
 - (b) $p(\vec{x} \mid y)$
 - (c) $p(y \mid \vec{x})$

Outline

Naive Bayes

Confusion Matrix

Identify the evidence, prior, posterior, and likelihood in the equation below

$$p(y = k | \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{p(y = k)p(\boldsymbol{x}|y = k)}{p(\boldsymbol{x})}$$

Identify the evidence, prior, posterior, and likelihood in the equation below

$$p(y = k | \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{p(y = k)p(\boldsymbol{x}|y = k)}{p(\boldsymbol{x})}$$

Evidence: this is the data (features) we observe, which we think will help us predict the outcome we're interested in

Identify the evidence, prior, posterior, and likelihood in the equation below

$$p(y = k | \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{p(y = k)p(\boldsymbol{x}|y = k)}{p(\boldsymbol{x})}$$

Prior: without seeing any evidence (data), what is our prior believe about each outcome (intuition: what is the outcome in the population as a whole?)

Identify the evidence, prior, posterior and likelihood in the equation below

$$p(y = k|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(y = k)p(\mathbf{x}|y = k)}{p(\mathbf{x})}$$

Posterior: this is the quantity we are actually interested in. **Given** the evidence, what is the probability of the outcome?

Identify the evidence, prior, posterior, and likelihood in the equation below

$$p(y = k | \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{p(y = k)p(\boldsymbol{x}|y = k)}{p(\boldsymbol{x})}$$

Likelihood: given an outcome, what is the probability of observing this set of features?

	Cat	Documents
Training	-	just plain boring
	-	entirely predictable and lacks energy
	-	no surprises and very few laughs
	+	very powerful
	+	the most fun film of the summer

Test: powerful very fun

$$p(+) = ?$$

$$p(-) = ?$$

	Cat	Documents
Training	-	just plain boring
	-	entirely predictable and lacks energy
	-	no surprises and very few laughs
	+	very powerful
	+	the most fun film of the summer

Test: powerful very fun

$$p(+) = \frac{p(pwerful \mid +)p(very \mid +)p(fun \mid +)p(+)}{p(powerful)p(very)p(fun)}$$

	Cat	Documents
Training	-	just plain boring
	-	entirely predictable and lacks energy
	-	no surprises and very few laughs
	+	very powerful
	+	the most fun film of the summer

Test: predictable with no fun

$$p(+) = ?$$

$$p(-) = ?$$

Test: predictable with no fun

	Cat	Documents
Training	-	just plain boring
	-	entirely predictable and lacks energy
	-	no surprises and very few laughs
	+	very powerful
	+	the most fun film of the summer

$$p(+) = \frac{p(predictable | +)p(with | +)p(no | +)p(fun | +)p(+)}{p(predictable)p(with)p(no)p(fun)}$$

$$p(-) = \frac{p(predictable \mid -)p(with \mid -)p(no \mid -)p(fun \mid -)p(-)}{p(predictable)p(with)p(no)p(fun)}$$

Laplacian Smoothing

$$\hat{P}(w_i|c) = \frac{count(w_i,c)+1}{\sum_{w \in V} (count(w,c)+1)} = \frac{count(w_i,c)+1}{\left(\sum_{w \in V} count(w,c)\right)+|V|}$$

	Cat	Documents
Training	-	just plain boring
	-	entirely predictable and lacks energy
	-	no surprises and very few laughs
	+	very powerful
	+	the most fun film of the summer

Test: predictable with no fun

$$p(+) = ?$$

$$p(-) = ?$$

Outline

Naive Bayes

Confusion Matrix

Logistic Regression

Case Study: you need to identify the medical condition of a patient in the emergency room on the basis of their symptoms.

Possible conditions (y) are:

- Stroke
- Drug overdose
- Epileptic seizure
- 1) If you were forced to use linear regression for this problem, how could you encode *y* to make it real-valued?

2) What issues arise with making y real-valued?

3) What if you just had two outcomes (i.e. stroke and drug overdose) -- why is linear regression still not a good choice?

Case Study: you need to identify the medical condition of a patient in the emergency room on the basis of their symptoms.

Possible conditions (y) are:

- Stroke
- Drug overdose
- Epileptic seizure
- 1) If you were forced to use linear regression for this problem, how could you encode *y* to make it real-valued?

You could choose stroke=0, drug overdose=1, epileptic seizure=2 (or some permutation)

2) What issues arise with making y real-valued?

3) What if you just had two outcomes (i.e. stroke and drug overdose) -- why is linear regression still not a good choice?

Case Study: you need to identify the medical condition of a patient in the emergency room on the basis of their symptoms.

Possible conditions (y) are:

- Stroke
- Drug overdose
- Epileptic seizure
- 1) If you were forced to use linear regression for this problem, how could you encode *y* to make it real-valued?

You could choose stroke=0, drug overdose=1, epileptic seizure=2 (or some permutation)

2) What issues arise with making y real-valued?

Assumes some *ordering* of the outcomes that is probably not there!

3) What if you just had two outcomes (i.e. stroke and drug overdose) -- why is linear regression still not a good choice?

Case Study: you need to identify the medical condition of a patient in the emergency room on the basis of their symptoms.

Possible conditions (y) are:

- Stroke
- Drug overdose
- Epileptic seizure
- 1) If you were forced to use linear regression for this problem, how could you encode *y* to make it real-valued?

You could choose stroke=0, drug overdose=1, epileptic seizure=2 (or some permutation)

2) What issues arise with making y real-valued?

Assumes some *ordering* of the outcomes that is probably not there!

3) What if you just had two outcomes (i.e. stroke and drug overdose) -- why is linear regression still not a good choice?

The range of a linear function (i.e. y values) is $[-\infty, \infty]$, but we want [0, 1]