

Notes on modeling for Kerr black holes: Basis learning, QNM frequencies, and spherical-spheroidal mixing coefficients

L. T. London¹

¹*School of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, The Parade, Cardiff, CF24 3AA, United Kingdom*

(Dated: June 13, 2018)

The ongoing direct detection of gravitational wave signals is aided by representative models of theoretical predictions. In particular, the process of model based detection, and subsequent comparison of signals the general relativity's predictions are aided by the modeling of information related to perturbed Kerr black holes. Here, we summarize recent methods and models for the analytically understood gravitational wave spectra (quasi-normal mode frequencies), and harmonic structure of Kerr black holes (mixing coefficients between spherical and spheroidal harmonics).

I. INTRODUCTION

In the coming years, expectations for frequent Gravitational wave (GW) detections of increasing signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) are high. Concurrent with Virgo, the Advanced LIGO (aLIGO) detectors will enter their third observing run in **late 2018**. At this time, binary black hole (BH) detections are expected at a rate of **X** per month. In this context, signal detection and subsequent inference of physical parameters hinges upon efficient models for source properties and dynamics. Most prominently, there is ongoing interest in signal models for binary BH inspiral, merger and ringdown (IMR). As the merger of isolated BHs is expected to result in a perturbed Kerr BH, there is related interest in having computationally efficient models for perturbative parameters, namely those that enable evaluation of the related ringdown radiation.

In particular, a perturbed Kerr BH (e.g. resulting from binary BH merger) will have GW radiation that rings down with characteristic frequencies, $\tilde{\omega}_{\ell mn} = \omega_{\ell mn} + i/\tau_{\ell mn}$. These discrete frequencies have associated radial and spatial functions which are *spheroidal* harmonic in nature. These frequencies and harmonic functions are the so-called Quasi-Normal Modes (QNMs). They are the eigen-solutions of the source free linearized Einstein's equations (i.e. Teukolsky's equations) for a perturbed BH with final mass, M_f , and dimensionless final spin, j_f . The well known and effective completeness of these solutions allows gravitational radiation from generic perturbations to be well approximated by a spectral (multipolar) sum which combines the complex QNM amplitude, $A_{\ell mn}$, with the spin weight -2 spheroidal harmonics, $_{-2}S_{\ell mn}$.

$$\begin{aligned} h &= h_+ - i h_\times \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\ell mn} A_{\ell mn} e^{i\tilde{\omega}_{\ell mn} t} {}_{-2}S_{\ell mn}(j_f \tilde{\omega}_{\ell mn}, \theta, \phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m}} h_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m}}(t) {}_{-2}Y_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m}}(\theta, \phi). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

In the first and second lines of Eqn. (1), we relate the observable GW polarizations, h_+ and h_\times , with the analytically understood morphology of the time domain waveform. Here, the labels ℓ and m are eigenvalues of Teukolsky's angular equations, where units of $M = 1$ (e.g. the initial mass of the binary BH system), and $c = 1$. In the third line of Eqn. (1), we represent h in terms of *spherical* harmonic multipoles. This latter form is ubiquitous for the development and implementation of IMR signal models for binary BHs.

Towards the development of these models, Eqn. (1) enters in many incarnations. In the Effective One Body (EOB)

formalism, $h_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m}}$ is modeled such that, after its peak (near merger), the effective functional form reduces (asymptotically) to Eqn. (1)'s second line. This view currently comes with the added assumption that $_{-2}S_{\ell mn} = {}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}$, where only $n = 0$ is explicitly considered. The consequences of this choice are discussed in reference [X]. For the so-called *Phenom* models, the frequency domain multipoles, $\tilde{h}_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m}}(f)$, are constructed such that their high frequency behavior is consistent with Eqn. (1) in the time domain.

Both Phenom and EOB approaches directly use phenomenological models (i.e. fits) for the QNM frequencies, as these fits are more computationally efficient than the underlying analytic calculations, which involve the solving of continued fraction equations. In the case of PhenomHM and derivative models, fits for the QNM frequencies are used in the process of mapping $\tilde{h}_{22}(f)$ into other $\tilde{h}_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m}}(f)$ [X]. In that setting, the QNM frequencies impact the morphology of each $h_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m}}$ in not only ringdown, but also merger and late inspiral.

For models that assist tests of the No-Hair Theorem, and thereby only include precise ringdowns, the perspective of Eqn. (1)'s second and third lines are used to write each spherical harmonic multipole moment as

$$h_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m}} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\ell mn} A_{\ell mn} e^{i\tilde{\omega}_{\ell mn} t} \sigma_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m} \ell mn} \quad (2)$$

where, the spherical-spheroidal mixing coefficient, $\sigma_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m} \ell mn}$, is

$$\sigma_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m} \ell mn} = \int_{\Omega} {}_{-2}S_{\ell mn} {}_{-2}Y_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m}}^* d\Omega. \quad (3)$$

In Eqn. (3), $*$ denotes complex conjugation, and Ω is the standard solid angle in spherical polar coordinates.

In practice, Eqn. (2) is computationally preferable: The calculation of each $_{-2}S_{\ell mn}$ involves a series solution which slowly converges for j_f near unity. Therefore, it is more effective to have accurate models for $\sigma_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m} \ell mn}$, which can then be used directly to calculate $h_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m}}$ via Eqn. (2), and thereby the GW polarizations via Eqn. (1).

In this combined context, it is clear that the modeling of QNM frequencies, $\tilde{\omega}_{\ell mn}$, and spherical-spheroidal mixing coefficients, $\sigma_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m} \ell mn}$, underpin a wide range of GW signal models. While models for $\tilde{\omega}_{\ell mn}$ and $\sigma_{\tilde{\ell} \tilde{m} \ell mn}$ are present in many publications, there exist minor shortcomings which we wish to address here.

For the QNM frequencies, it is well known that for nearly extremal BHs (i.e. $j_f \rightarrow 1$) some of the frequencies have zero-damping (i.e. $\tau_{\ell mn} \rightarrow \infty$). In the context of aLIGO data analysis, where source parameters are estimated using routines which sample over the space of possible BH masses and

spins, it is useful to have accurate physical behavior in the extremal limit. Here, we present the first models for $\tilde{\omega}_{\ell mn}$ that explicitly account for zero-damping in the extremal Kerr limit.

For the modeling of $\sigma_{\tilde{m}\ell mn}$, we note that the results presented in [X] are limited to cases where the azimuthal indices, ℓ and $\tilde{\ell}$, are less than or equal to 3. As the most advanced signal models include at least ℓ or $\tilde{\ell}$ of 3, there is use in extending prior results. In particular, it is well known that σ_{43330} can have a significant impact on the (4, 3) spherical multipole. For consistency with the multipolar content of current ring-down models, here, we extend previous results to include the most significant multipoles with $\ell \leq 5$.

In parallel, the methods for modeling $\tilde{\omega}_{\ell mn}$ and $\sigma_{\tilde{m}\ell mn}$ have been dispersed: different phenomenological techniques have been used under no coherent framework. Here we will present a series of linear modeling techniques, namely the greedy-multivariate-polynomial (GMVP) and greedy-multivariate-rational (GMVR) algorithms, in which model terms are iteratively learned with no initial guess. The description of GMVP given here is complementary to similar algorithms used to model QNM excitation amplitudes, $A_{\ell mn}$, as present in reference [cite]. As we will discuss, the GMVR algorithm is an iterative approach to the (pseudo) non-linear mod-

eling of multivariate rational functions. Both GMVP and GMVR are intended for use with low noise data (e.g. the results of analytic calculations), and each employs a reverse (or negative) greedy algorithm to counter over modeling. As the underlying process for GMVP and GMVR is stepwise regression, highly correlated basis vectors (i.e. polynomial terms) are handled via an approach we will call *degree tempering*. It will be demonstrated that these approaches are readily capable of modeling the complex valued $\tilde{\omega}_{\ell mn}$ and $\sigma_{\tilde{m}\ell mn}$. Results suggest that the versions of GMVP and GMVR presented here may have broad application in instances where training data are approximately noiseless, and an initial guess is difficult to obtain.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section Section (II), blah. In Section (III), blah. In Section (IV), blah.

II. METHODS

III. RESULTS

IV. DISCUSSION