

[URL Link](#)

Step 5 Draft: Implement MOST Remaining Entities/Pages

Date: May 28, 2024

Team 6 — Patrick Kim & William Chen

Project: Online Bookstore Management System for The Book Club

Feedback by the TAs and peer reviewer



Henry Kanaskie

4 days ago

- Does the overview describe what problem is to be solved by a website with DB back end?
 - Yes the overview describes in a lot of detail the background to the problem and how the database backend will work.
- Does the overview list specific facts?
 - The overview is a little vague on the facts part. Listing that a library contains 5000+ books as the only metric is a little vague, instead adding how many customers/transactions there usually are annually could help garner more comprehension.
- Are at least four entities described and does each one represent a single idea to be stored as a list?
 - Yes, the draft describes 5 entities and each one represents a single idea. There are no obscure or confusing entities in the draft. Everything is very detailed and organized. The explanation that sums up the entity at the bottom was really helpful in understanding the flow of everything.
- Does the outline of entity details describe the purpose of each, list attribute datatypes and constraints and describe relationships between entities?
 - Yes, each entity outline is very detailed and has attributes and constraints on each. The relationships are extremely well defined and explained.
- Are 1:M relationships correctly formulated? Is there at least one M:M relationship? Does the ERD present a logical view of the database?
 - The 1:M relationships are correctly formulated, there is one M:M relationship, and the ERD presents a clear junction table to show how the M:M relationship will work.
- Is there consistency in a) naming between overview and entity/attributes b) entities plural, attributes singular c) use of capitalization for naming?
 - There is consistent naming all around.



Jessica Ebanks

4 days ago

- Does the overview describe what problem is to be solved by a website with DB back end?
 - The overview identifies a problem: customers are frustrated by inaccurate online inventory descriptions and inefficient order fulfillment. It proposes a solution in the form of a database designed to track inventory accurately and in real time.
- Does the overview list specific facts?
 - The overview states that there are over 5,000 books in the system. This gives a clear view of the scale of the project.
- Are at least four entities described and does each one represent a single idea to be stored as a list?
 - All 5 entities listed represent singular ideas and are described in the outline.
- Does the outline of entity details describe the purpose of each, list attribute datatypes and constraints and describe relationships between entities?
 - The outline describes the purpose of each entity and is detailed with datatypes and constraints. Relationships between entities are also noted.
- Are 1:M relationships correctly formulated? Is there at least one M:M relationship? Does the ERD present a logical view of the database?
 - 1:M relationships look to be correctly formulated and there is a M:M relationship listed between orders and books. The ER diagram is consistent and represents a logical view of the database.

- Is there consistency in a) naming between overview and entity/attributes b) entities plural, attributes singular c) use of capitalization for naming?
 - One suggestion I have as it relates to naming the entities in your outline would be to make the names plural. This is because each entity will have multiple entries. For example, there will be several books to be tracked in the books category. All attributes seem to be named consistently and each one of the attributes is singular.

Overall, the project seems well organized. I can't wait to see the final project!



Monica Cao
2 days ago

Great work on this draft!

- Does the overview describe what problem is to be solved by a website with DB back end?
 - The overview was wonderfully written and engaging to read. This DB's purpose is to streamline inventory management to optimize customer satisfaction.
- Does the overview list specific facts?
 - The overview mentions how big the inventory approximate is (50000+) which is good. There could be more information in regards to how many customers the book club serves on average each month.
- Are at least four entities described and does each one represent a single idea to be stored as a list?
 - Yes, there are five entities: books, authors, publishers, customers, and orders. Each one represents a single idea.
- Does the outline of entity details describe the purpose of each, list attribute datatypes and constraints and describe relationships between entities?
 - Yes, the outline is very detailed with appropriate attribute datatypes and constraints, primary keys, and foreign keys. The relationships are clearly stated as well.
- Are 1:M relationships correctly formulated? Is there at least one M:M relationship? Does the ERD present a logical view of the database?
 - Yes, the 1:M relationships are correctly formulated and there is at least one M:M relationship between books and orders. The ERD is logical.
- Is there consistency in a) naming between overview and entity/attributes b) entities plural, attributes singular c) use of capitalization for naming?
 - There are some small discrepancies between the the entity outline and diagram naming such as capitalization. In addition, OrderDetail is not an entity but for clarity I would suggest mirroring the way it is written in the diagram (order_detail vs. OrderDetail). Entities should be plural as well. Otherwise, everything else looks consistent.



Nicole Lipe
4 days ago

Hi Patrick and William! I think it's really fun how you guys started your project with a quote. I'm assuming this quote was some of the inspiration behind your project which is really neat. (Not important for the project, but make sure to use single quotes inside double quotes for 'physical book'.)

As far as your overview, it is very descriptive and allows the reader to fully grasp what 'problem' will be solved on your website. The only suggestion for the overview would be to add more facts/details/numbers as you progress through your project (how many customers does The Book Club usually have annually or monthly? Etc. Also, I'm assuming based on the description that there is only one location, but maybe clarify.)

You've met the requirement of having at least four entities described and each represents a single idea, so nice work there! You clearly lay out the entity attributes, explain relationships, and use a consistent naming structure. The only suggestion I may have is to make the entities plural, this is just the more common naming structure.

Your 1:M relationships are correctly formulated, and there is a M:M relationship. I also think that you have played out your ERD in a really nice way that is easy to understand, it's very clean.

This looks like it is going to be a great project. Good luck this quarter :)

Draft Feedback from Alexander Licato (TA):

"Excellent first draft! I like the quotation. I'll leave some more detailed comments after you incorporate peer feedback, but there's one I'll leave here now: The ERD should omit some details. This type of diagram is meant to

provide more of a logical view of a database, one which just lets you see what entities there are and what relationships they stand in. See here for an example of just how bare-boned the diagram can be: <https://edstem.org/us/courses/57666/discussion/4700552>

Step 1 Final - Feedback from Alexander Licato (TA):

Apr 26 at 8:36pm

Excellent work! I don't really have much to suggest.

- Alexander Licato

Comments

"e-mail" should omit "-" under Customers

Step 2 Draft Feedback



Phuc Nguyen
5 days ago



Hi William and Patrick,

The project looks really good. Below is my review.

Does the schema present a physical model that follows the database outline and the ER logical diagram exactly?

- *The schema provides a physical representation of the database that adheres to the logical structure outlined in the database description and the ER diagram. It includes tables, relationships, and attributes specified in the outline and diagram.*

Is there consistency in a) naming between overview, outline, ER and schema entity/attributes b) entities plural, attributes singular c) use of capitalization for naming?

- *There is consistency in naming conventions across the overview, outline, ER diagram, and schema. Entities are named consistently, adhering to a plural form for entities and a singular form for attributes.*

Is the schema easy to read (e.g. diagram is clear and readable with relationship lines not crossed)?

- *The schema's diagram is relatively clear, although some relationships may appear a bit complex due to the interconnected nature of the database. Nonetheless, it provides a clear representation of the relationships without excessive line-crossings.*

Are intersection tables properly formed (e.g. two FKs and facilitate a M:N relationship)?

- *The intersection tables, such as OrderDetails, are correctly formed with two foreign keys, facilitating the many-to-many relationships between books and orders.*

Does the sample data suggest any non-normalized issues, e.g. partial dependencies or transitive dependencies?

- *The sample data appears to be well-structured, with no immediate indication of partial or transitive dependencies, indicating that the database design adheres to the normalization steps up to 3NF.*

Is the SQL file syntactically correct? This can be easily verified by using PhPMysqlAdmin and your CS 340 database (do not forget to take backup of your own database before you do this!)

- I got this error message when using PhPMysqlAdmin

```
Error
SQL query: Copy

/* ----- CREATE ----- */

CREATE OR REPLACE TABLE `Authors` (
  `ID` INT NOT NULL AUTO_INCREMENT,
  `FirstName` VARCHAR(45) NOT NULL,
  `LastName` VARCHAR(45) NOT NULL,
  PRIMARY KEY (`ID`))
ENGINE=InnoDB;

MySQL said:

#1046 - No database selected
```

In the SQL, are the data types appropriate considering the description of the attribute in the database outline?

- *The data types assigned in the SQL file match the descriptions in the database outline, with appropriate types for attributes like decimal for price and totalPrice and varchar for textual attributes.*

In the SQL, are the primary and foreign keys correctly defined when compared to the Schema? Are appropriate CASCADE operations declared?

- *Primary and foreign keys are appropriately defined, aligning with the schema. Cascade operations are declared to ensure referential integrity when changes occur.*

In the SQL, are relationship tables present when compared to the ERD/Schema?

- *Relationship tables are present, such as OrderDetails, which facilitate the M:N relationship between Orders and Books.*

In the SQL, is all example data shown in the PDF INSERTED?

- *All example data mentioned in the PDF appears to be included in the SQL file, ensuring consistency between the two.*

Is the SQL well structured and commented (e.g. hand authored) or not (e.g. exported from MySQL)?

- *The SQL is well-structured and commented, suggesting a hand-authored nature, which is preferred for clarity and ease of understanding.*

Keep up with the good work.



Haedon Kaufman
48 minutes ago



Does the schema present a physical model that follows the database outline and the ER logical diagram exactly?

- Yes, the schema is a physical model of the outline and is exactly the way described.

Is there consistency in a) naming between overview, outline, ER and schema entity/attributes b) entities plural, attributes singular c) use of capitalization for naming?

- Yes, there is consistency in the capitalization, pluralization, and naming.

Is the schema easy to read (e.g. diagram is clear and readable with relationship lines not crossed)?

- There aren't any lines crossed. The tables are placed properly and you can read the schema easily without any problems.

Are intersection tables properly formed (e.g. two FKs and facilitate a M:N relationship)?

- The OrderDetails table is correctly structured to manage the many-to-many relationship between Books and Orders.

Does the sample data suggest any non-normalized issues, e.g. partial dependencies or transitive dependencies?

- Seems to be normalized properly. I don't see any possibility for data redundancy.

Is the SQL file syntactically correct? This can be easily verified by using PHPMyAdmin and your CS 340 database (do not forget to take backup of your own database before you do this!)

- So I had two issues with two lines in your sql. PHP did not like these:

```
----- CREATE -----
----- INSERTS -----
```

- When I removed these lines your sql worked properly. So just make sure you are commenting out these lines so it does not affect your sql in the future.

In the SQL, are the data types appropriate considering the description of the attribute in the database outline?

- Yes, the data types matched the attribute name. There were not recommendations for changing the attribute.

In the SQL, are the primary and foreign keys correctly defined when compared to the Schema? Are appropriate CASCADE operations declared?

- Yes cascade operations were declared and they also provided details for primary and foreign keys. Easy to read and provided all the details.

In the SQL, are relationship tables present when compared to the ERD/Schema?

- Yes all the tables and attributes in ERD and Schema were in the sql.

In the SQL, is all example data shown in the PDF INSERTED?

- Yes the inserts for the tables matched the data in the document.

Is the SQL well-structured and commented (e.g. hand authored) or not (e.g. exported from MySQL)?

- There are some comments. They were hand authored. I think they labeled correctly. Only things I would suggest would be to maybe add a few more comments.

Step 3 Draft Feedback



Gabriele Falchini 1w

1. Does the UI utilize a SELECT for every table in the schema?

Yes, the UI uses SELECT statements for each table.

2. Does at least one SELECT utilize a search/filter with a dynamically populated list of properties?

Yes, there are many search or filter options with dynamically populated lists. They can be found in the Costumers, Orders, Order Details pages.

3. Does the UI implement an INSERT for every table in the schema?

Yes, there are input fields for inserting data into each table.

4. Does each INSERT also add the corresponding FK attributes, including at least one M:M relationship?

Yes, INSERT operations handle foreign keys, including many-to-many relationships, at least one in Order Details.

5. Is there at least one DELETE, and does at least one DELETE remove things from a M:M relationship?

Yes, DELETE functionality is implemented and handles many-to-many relationships correctly. Found in Order Details.

6. Is there at least one UPDATE for any one entity?

Yes, UPDATE functionality is present for each table. In both Costumer and Order Details.

7. Is at least one relationship NULLable?

Hard to tell, it doesn't explicitly mentioned any NULLable relationship.

8. Do you have any other suggestions for the team to help with their HTML UI?

I would populate the book collection page. You guys did an amazing job.

Step 3 Final Feedback

May 20 at 10:58am

This project was in great shape and still is.

Great work. Please see the rubric for some comments.

- Alexander Licato

Comments

Did not cleanly import. Can fix by changing single quotes around ID on line 17 to backticks. Appears to be hand-authored, at least in part.

Step 4 Draft Feedback



Sidney Wong

4 days ago

- Do the implemented CRUD steps function as the team expects (e.g. if the team stated that a CRUD step worked, but you found an error, please tell them)?
 - CRUD appears to be in functioning order for the order details table.
- Would a user easily be able to use the UI to complete the step? If not, or you have suggestions for how the UI can be improved, please elaborate.
 - The UI is pretty straightforward and would be easy for anyone to quickly grasp how it works.
- What suggestions do you have for the team in any areas where they are blocked or having difficulty? Detailed, helpful feedback will receive higher credit. If the team is not blocked or is having difficulty, encouraging and supportive comments would be a better response than NO feedback.
 - Since there were no obstacles from this team, I don't have many recommendations, other than to update the title of the Order Details table. It is showing up as "Authors" for Order Details. Keep chugging along and look forward to seeing the final project once it's done.



Ngan Nguyen

22 hours ago

Hi William and Patrick,

Please see the feedback below!

- Do the implemented CRUD steps function as the team expects (e.g. if the team stated that a CRUD step worked, but you found an error, please tell them)?
 - The CRUD operations for the order details table are functioning correctly.
- Would a user easily be able to use the UI to complete the step? If not, or you have suggestions for how the UI can be improved, please elaborate.
 - The UI is intuitive, user-friendly, and easy for users to navigate and interact.
- What suggestions do you have for the team in any areas where they are blocked or having difficulty? Detailed, helpful feedback will receive higher credit. If the team is not blocked or is having difficulty, encouraging and supportive comments would be a better response than NO feedback.

- The website seems to be progressing well without major obstacles. One minor suggestion I could think of is to ensure consistency in theme/button colors to enhance user-friendly. Keep up the good work, and I'm looking forward to seeing your final product!



Kevin Mathew

21 hours ago

Hello William and Patrick!

- Do the implemented CRUD steps function as the team expects (e.g. if the team stated that a CRUD step worked, but you found an error, please tell them)?
 - When Attempting to Add an item to OrderDetails, the page broke and just displayed "Internal Server Error" in <h1> and console logged "GET http://classwork.engr.oregonstate.edu:5809/orderdetails 500 (Internal Server Error)". However on refreshing the page, the item was adding to the table correctly. This error wasn't consistent - the next few adds seemed to work fine. It may have to do with when an item matches the title and OID of an existing row.
 - Delete looked to be working perfectly.
 - Edit is working perfectly generally, but runs into that same error as add occasionally.
- Would a user easily be able to use the UI to complete the step? If not, or you have suggestions for how the UI can be improved, please elaborate.
 - Order ID should be a drop down selection. As of now, any number can be entered, including negative numbers and numbers not matching an order. You may wish to check if a book title and order ID combination exists already when they submit and add or edit request. Then you can update the existing data (if an order details is 4 quantity, and you add a matching order details with 3 quantity, than just update the 4 to a 7).
- What suggestions do you have for the team in any areas where they are blocked or having difficulty? Detailed, helpful feedback will receive higher credit. If the team is not blocked or is having difficulty, encouraging and supportive comments would be a better response than NO feedback.
 - You list a "stock" column in "Book Collection" - while this would be useful in a real life application it may over complicate things - may be easier to just remove that column rather than worrying about an order for 51 copies when you only have 50 in stock.
 - You use OID for your CRUD - It would be more user friendly if you could tie it in to the customer full name associated. Ie: "OID: 1 - Patrick Kim's Order"
 - You may wish to reevaluate your ERD and Schema. They list every single relationship as mandatory which seems incorrect. For example, you may wish to create a Customer entity who has not ordered anything just yet. A mandatory relationship would only allow you to create a customer if they are created alongside an attachment to an order.

Great job so far, good luck on the next step!

Feedback from Alexander Licato (TA)

May 28 at 9:23pm



Nice work. Please see the rubric for one other comment.

- Alexander Licato

Comments

EDIT: Updated since it seems to be working perfectly.

Actions Based on Feedback

From Step 1 Draft to Step 1 Final

- Changed entity names to be plural and PascalCase
- We matched the ER Diagram Entity names to what we have in our outline
- Edited ER Diagram to omit some details to provide more of a logical view of a database.

From Step 1 Final to Step 2 Draft

- Omitted “-” from “e-mail” under Customers per TA feedback
- Added Primary Key to intersection table make it easier to access from the M:M relationship and more attributes
- Included primary keys for ERD

From Step 2 Draft to Step 3 Draft

- One feedback was on if the SQL file was syntactically correct because the reviewer got an error message when using PHPAdmin. We did not make any changes from this feedback because the error message states “No database selected”.

From Step 3 Draft to Step 3 Final

- The only feedback we received was from the TA which was to update our SQL file names to be DDL.sql and DML.sql.
- Edit: Received late feedback -
 - Did not make any changes as the feedback said Nullable relationships were not explicitly mentioned but they are clearly in the DDL.sql file where certain Foreign Key's do not contain the NOT NULL constraint. Also there is an ON DELETE to set a Foreign key to NULL as well in the Orders Entity table.
 - There was also a comment that said we should populate the Book Collection page but this had already been done as well.

From Step 3 Final to Step 4 Draft

- Received feedback from TA stating that file did not import cleanly due to single quotes around ID in DDL.sql file. Removed this line entirely as the Unique constraint on ID is redundant because it is already defined as a primary key which is defined to contain a unique value

From Step 4 Draft to Step 5 Draft

- Corrected the Label for the table in the Order Details table.
- Did not take actions on the button color or any styling comments in order to focus on the deliverables of this step.
- Considered removing the stock attribute in Books entity but decided to have this attribute update accordingly and accommodate for any CRUD functionality that may affect it.
- Changed OID foreign key to be a drop down in Order Details page.
- Added Note to report stating not all relationships are mandatory.

Upgrades

From Step 1 Draft to Step 1 Final

- Added a numeric value to the amount of customers and monthly orders
- Added a Primary Key to the OrderDetails intersection table

From Step 1 Final to Step 2 Draft

- Added Attributes to OrderDetails intersection table

From Step 2 Draft to Step 3 Draft

- Removed TotalPrice Attribute from Orders Entity for simplicity, the Total price can be shown in an SELECT query that shows an invoice slip from the OrderDetails Table if desired.

From Step 3 Draft to Step 3 Final

- Nullable action
 - Not all orders require a customer, therefore Customer ID can be NULL
 - If a book gets removed from the bookstore, we set the old ISBN in OrderDetails to NULL

From Step 3 Final to Step 4 Draft

- Fixed syntax error by wrapping alias for column name that has spaces, with backticks in Select query for Order Detail Entity in DML.sql file.
- Corrected syntax error in Select query for Books Entity in DML.sql file
- Added CRUD to OrderDetails

Overview

“The technology that threatens to kill off books as we know them - the “physical book,” a new phrase in our language - is also making the physical book capable of being more beautiful than books have been since the middle ages.”

- Art Spiegelman

At The Book Club, the primary goal is to optimize inventory management and enhance the customer experience with our site. The bookstore currently faces challenges in efficiently managing its 50000+ different titles as well as the inventory of 1m+ books due to the lack of a centralized system. Not only is inventory management a problem, but also the record of 50000 customers and 30000 monthly orders. As a result, employees spend significant time manually updating inventory records, leading to errors and inconsistencies in stock levels causing delays to their \$800000 monthly business transactions. Additionally, customers may experience delays or frustration due to inaccuracies in online availability and fulfillment process.

By implementing the online bookstore management system through MySQL, the bookstore aims to streamline its inventory management processes. Not only will the system provide real-time visibility into available book inventory, but also modernize online order fulfillment.

Outline

- Books: Records information on the individual book in our system
 - **ISBN: int(13), auto-increment, unique, not NULL, PK**
 - **Title: varchar(50), unique, not NULL**
 - **Genre: varchar(45), not NULL**
 - **Stock: int(3), not NULL**
 - **Price: decimal(5.2), not NULL**
 - **AID (FK), not NULL**
 - **PID (FK), not NULL**
 - Relationship: a 1:M relationship between Author and Book is implemented with Book containing author_ID as a FK. Book has the same relationship with Publisher
 - Book can have only one author and one publisher
 - Delete CASCADE on AID, No Action UPDATE on AID
 - Delete CASCADE on PID, No Action UPDATE on PID
 - **Mandatory** with Authors and Publishers
- Authors: Records information on an Author for each book
 - **ID: int, auto-increment, unique, not NULL, PK**
 - **FirstName: varchar(45), not NULL**
 - **LastName: varchar(45), not NULL**
 - **Gender: varchar(45)**
 - Relationship: a 1:M relationship between Author and Book is implemented, with author_ID as a FK inside of Book
 - Author may have written multiple books
 - **Mandatory** with Books
- Publishers: Records information about the Publisher for each book
 - **ID: int, auto-increment, unique, not NULL, PK**
 - **Company: varchar(45), unique, not NULL**
 - **Year: int(4)**
 - Relationship: a 1:M relationship between Publisher and Book is implemented with publisher_ID as a FK inside of Book
 - Publisher may have published multiple books
 - **Mandatory** with Books
- Customers: Records the details of Customers we do business with
 - **ID: int, auto-increment, unique, not NULL, PK**
 - **FirstName: varchar(45), not NULL**


- **LastName: varchar(45), not NULL**
- **Email: varchar(45), unique, not NULL**
- Relationship: 1:M relationship with Orders which contains customer_ID as a foreign key.
- Customer may have placed zero or many orders
- **Optional** with Orders

- Orders: Records the details of an order placed by a customer
 - **ID: int, auto-increment, unique, not NULL, PK**
 - **Date: date, not NULL**
 - **FK: CID**
 - Relationship: Order and Book have a M:M relationship that is facilitated by an intersection “OrderDetail” which contains order_ID and ISBN as foreign Keys. Order also has a 1:M relationship with Customer, it contains customer_ID as a foreign key.
 - An Order can have only one customer but Customer can place many orders
 - Delete SET NULL on CID, Update NO ACTION on CID
 - **Optional** with Customers and OrderDetails


- OrderDetails: (NOT AN ENTITY)
 - **ID: int, auto-increment, unique, not NULL, PK**
 - **FK: OID Int**
 - **FK: BookISBN Int**
 - **OrderQty: Int**
 - **UnitPrice: decimal(7,2)**
 - **LineTotal: decimal(7,2)**
 - Relationship: Intersection table that facilitates the M:M relationship between books and orders.
 - Delete SET NULL on ISBN, Update NO ACTION on ISBN
 - Delete CASCADE on OID, Update NO ACTION on OID
 - **Mandatory** with Books
 - **Optional** with Orders

*NOTE: ERD and SCHEMA use solid lines to discern and exemplify the relationships, but does not necessarily equate to a mandatory relationship

ERD



Schema



Example Data

```
[MariaDB [cs340_kimpatr]> select * from Authors;
```

ID	FirstName	LastName	Gender
1	Joanne	Rowling	Female
2	Alex	Michaelides	Male
3	Ray	Bradbury	Male
4	Liu	Cixin	Male

```
[MariaDB [cs340_kimpatr]> select * from Publishers;
```

ID	Company	Year
1	Scholastic Corporation	1920
2	Macmillian Publishers	1843
3	Ballantine Books	1952

```
[MariaDB [cs340_kimpatr]> select * from Books;
```

ISBN	Title	Genre	Stock	Price	AID	PID
1	Harry Potter and the Sorcerer's Stone	Fantasy	50	7.00	1	1
2	The Silent Patient	Thriller	123	10.53	2	2
3	Fahrenheit 451	Sci-Fi	451	8.36	3	3
4	The Three-Body Problem	Sci-Fi	333	10.59	4	2
5	The Fury	Thriller	72	16.19	2	2

```
[MariaDB [cs340_kimpatr]> select * from Customers;
```

ID	FirstName	LastName	Email
1	Patrick	Kim	kimpatr@oregonstate.edu
2	William	Chen	chewill@oregonstate.edu
3	Jane	Smith	jsmith@mail.com

```
[MariaDB [cs340_kimpatr]> select * from Orders;
```

ID	Date	CID
1	2024-03-23 00:00:00	1
2	2024-03-31 00:00:00	2
3	2024-04-02 00:00:00	1
4	2024-04-20 00:00:00	3

```
[MariaDB [cs340_kimpatr]> select * from OrderDetails;
```

ID	BookISBN	OID	OrderQty	UnitPrice	LineTotal
1	4	1	1	10.59	10.59
2	5	1	1	16.19	16.19
3	2	2	1	10.53	10.53
4	3	2	1	8.36	8.36
5	2	3	1	10.53	10.53
6	3	4	10	8.36	83.60