



Project Title: .....  
Name of Student: ..... Degree: .....  
Supervisor: .....  
Second Reader: .....

**Result** from total at  
bottom right of form

/100  
=/120

Name of Evaluator: .....  
Signature: ..... Date: .....

**Agreed mark:**

/100  
=/120

**Project Description:**

What was the scope and  
aims of the project?

Criterion	Comment	Weight*	Mark
<b>Introduction/Background:</b> Has the nature of the project been suitably outlined in the opening sections of the report? Have the reasons for, and objectives of, the project been clearly explained. Has adequate but not excessive background information been provided to give the reader a clear appreciation of the aims of the project?	<div></div>	<div>15</div>	<div><div></div><div>(0-100%)</div></div>
<b>Technical Content/Project Execution:</b> Has the principal creative, investigative and/or developmental work of the project been well undertaken by the student? Were technical difficulties encountered, and how well were they resolved? What was creative or innovative about the project? Have analytical techniques, analyses, experimental procedures, designs, programs etc. been soundly established and designed and implemented effectively? Has the student carried out sufficient investigations to verify his/her work? Have suitable results, whether good or bad, been presented in the report for this purpose?	<div></div>	<div>55</div>	<div><div></div><div>(0-100%)</div></div>
<b>Conclusion:</b> Has student explained sufficiently their results and drawn conclusions from them at suitable points throughout the work? In particular, has adequate comment been made on the overall outcome of the project and how effective it has been in achieving its goals? (ICT only: has student identified how PCDR skills assisted with project?)	<div></div>	<div>15</div>	<div><div></div><div>(0-100%)</div></div>
<b>Presentation:</b> Presentation Is the report well laid out and structured? Has the student presented and expressed his/her work clearly, concisely and effectively? Is the grammar, punctuation and spelling accurate? Has a reasonable effort been made to eliminate typing errors? Are diagrams, tables and graphs properly drawn, titled and labeled? Has a suitable list of references been included?	<div></div>	<div>15</div>	<div><div></div><div>(0-100%)</div></div>

---

\*Suggested weights: Introduction 10-20, Technical Content 40-70, Conclusion 10-20, Presentation 10-20. Total must be 100.