

# Optimization and Computational Linear Algebra for Data Science

## Lecture 3: Rank

Léo MIOLANE · leo.miolane@gmail.com

July 10, 2019

**Warning:** This material is not meant to be lecture notes. It only gathers the main concepts and results from the lecture, without any additional explanation, motivation, examples, figures...

## 1 More on basis

### Proposition 1.1

Let  $V$  be a vector space of dimension  $n$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$ . If  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  are linearly independent then one can find vectors  $x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n \in V$  such that  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  forms a basis of  $V$ .

## 2 Definition of the rank

### Definition 2.1 (Rank of a family of vectors)

We define the rank of a family  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  of vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as the dimension of its span:

$$\text{rank}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim(\text{Span}(x_1, \dots, x_k)).$$

If the vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  are linearly independent then  $\text{rank}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = k$ . Indeed, in that case  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  forms a basis of  $\text{Span}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  so  $\dim(\text{Span}(x_1, \dots, x_k)) = k$ .

### Definition 2.2 (Rank of a matrix)

Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ . Let  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be the lines of  $M$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be its columns. Then we have

$$\text{rank}(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) = \text{rank}(c_1, \dots, c_m). \quad (1)$$

The rank of the matrix  $M$  is then defined as  $\text{rank}(M) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{rank}(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) = \text{rank}(c_1, \dots, c_m)$ .

Since  $\text{Im}(M) = \text{Span}(c_1, \dots, c_m)$  an equivalent definition is  $\text{rank}(M) = \dim(\text{Im}(M))$ .

**Proof.** In order to prove (1) it suffices to show (since columns and rows are playing exchangeable roles) that  $\text{rank}(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) \leq \text{rank}(c_1, \dots, c_m)$ . Let  $r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{rank}(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$  and  $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  be a basis of  $\text{Span}(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$ . We will prove that

$$(Mx_1, \dots, Mx_r) \text{ is linearly independent.} \quad (2)$$

The result follows. Indeed  $(Mx_1, \dots, Mx_r)$  is then a linearly independent family of  $r$  vectors of  $\text{Im}(M) = \text{Span}(c_1, \dots, c_m)$ : this implies that  $\text{rank}(c_1, \dots, c_m) = \dim(\text{Span}(c_1, \dots, c_m)) \geq r = \text{rank}(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$ .

It remains to prove (2). Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\alpha_1 Mx_1 + \dots + \alpha_r Mx_r = 0$ . We will show that in such case the  $\alpha_i$  are all zero. Define  $v \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_r x_r$ . We have by linearity

$$Mv = M(\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_r x_r) = \alpha_1 Mx_1 + \dots + \alpha_r Mx_r = 0.$$

Since the  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinate of  $Mv$  is equal to  $(Mv)_i = \ell_i \cdot v$ , we get that  $v$  is orthogonal to all the  $\ell_i$ , and therefore to  $\text{Span}(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$ . Notice now that  $v \in \text{Span}(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \text{Span}(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$  by construction. The vector  $v$  is orthogonal to itself, hence  $\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_r x_r = v = 0$ . Recall that the family  $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  is linearly independent (because it is a basis) so  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_r = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** For  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  one can easily verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(v_1, \dots, v_k) &= \text{rank}(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, \alpha v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k) \\ &= \text{rank}(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_j + \beta v_i, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_k). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, the Gaussian elimination method keeps the rank of a matrix unchanged!

### 3 Properties of the rank

#### Proposition 3.1

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ . Then the following holds

- (i)  $\text{rank}(A) \leq \min(n, m)$ .
- (ii)  $\text{rank}(AB) \leq \min(\text{rank}(A), \text{rank}(B))$ .

#### Theorem 3.1

Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ . The following points are equivalent:

- (i)  $M$  is invertible.
- (ii)  $\text{rank}(M) = n$ .
- (iii)  $\text{Ker}(M) = \{0\}$ .

**Proof.** Points (ii) and (iii) are equivalent by Theorem 3.2 below. The fact that (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  [(ii)-(iii)] follows from Proposition 3.1 from Lecture 2.  $\square$

#### Theorem 3.2 (Rank-nullity theorem)

Let  $L : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a linear transformation. Then

$$\text{rank}(L) + \dim(\text{Ker}(L)) = m.$$

**Proof.** Let us write  $k = \dim(\text{Ker}(L))$  and let us fix a basis  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  of  $\text{Ker}(L)$ . By Proposition 1.1 one can complete this family into a basis  $(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . We will show that

- (i)  $\text{Span}(L(x_{k+1}), \dots, L(x_m)) = \text{Im}(L)$ .
- (ii) the family  $(L(x_{k+1}), \dots, L(x_m))$  is linearly independent.

By proving (i) and (ii) we will get that  $(L(x_{k+1}), \dots, L(x_m))$  is a basis of  $\text{Im}(L)$  which implies that

$$\text{rank}(L) = \dim(\text{Im}(L)) = m - k = m - \dim(\text{Ker}(L)),$$

hence the result.

We start by proving (i). Since  $L(x_{k+1}), \dots, L(x_m)$  are all in  $\text{Im}(L)$  (which is a linear subspace) any linear combination of these vectors belongs to  $\text{Im}(L)$ , hence  $\text{Span}(L(x_{k+1}), \dots, L(x_m)) \subset \text{Im}(L)$ .

Let us prove the converse inclusion. Let  $y \in \text{Im}(L)$ , which means that we can find  $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $y = L(z)$ . Let  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be the coordinates of  $z$  in the basis  $(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ :  $z = \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_m x_m$ . We have then by linearity of  $L$

$$y = L(z) = L(\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_m x_m) = \alpha_1 L(x_1) + \dots + \alpha_m L(x_m).$$

Recall now that  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  belong to  $\text{Ker}(L)$ . Therefore  $L(x_1) = \dots = L(x_k) = 0$ . We get

$$y = \alpha_{k+1} L(x_{k+1}) + \dots + \alpha_m L(x_m),$$

hence  $y \in \text{Span}(L(x_{k+1}), \dots, L(x_m))$ :  $\text{Im}(L) \subset \text{Span}(L(x_{k+1}), \dots, L(x_m))$ . We conclude that  $\text{Im}(L) = \text{Span}(L(x_{k+1}), \dots, L(x_m))$ .

Let us now prove (ii). To prove that  $(L(x_{k+1}), \dots, L(x_m))$  are linearly independent, we consider scalars  $\alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\alpha_{k+1} L(x_{k+1}) + \dots + \alpha_m L(x_m) = 0$ . Our goal is to show that  $\alpha_{k+1} = \dots = \alpha_m = 0$ . We have by linearity of  $L$ :

$$0 = \alpha_{k+1} L(x_{k+1}) + \dots + \alpha_m L(x_m) = L(\alpha_{k+1} x_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_m x_m)$$

which gives that  $\alpha_{k+1} x_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_m x_m \in \text{Ker}(L)$ . Recall that  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is a basis of  $\text{Ker}(L)$ , so there exists scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$  such that  $\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_k x_k = \alpha_{k+1} x_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_m x_m$ . We obtain

$$\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_k x_k - \alpha_{k+1} x_{k+1} - \dots - \alpha_m x_m = 0$$

which implies that  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_m = 0$  because  $(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . This proves (ii).  $\square$

## 4 Transpose of a matrix, symmetric matrices

### Definition 4.1 (*Transpose*)

Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ . We define its transpose  $M^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  by

$$(M^T)_{i,j} = M_{j,i}$$

for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

### Remark 4.1.

- We have  $(M^T)^T = M$ .
- The mapping  $M \mapsto M^T$  is linear.

We remark also that the rows of  $M$  become the columns of  $M^T$  and that the columns of  $M$  become the rows of  $M^T$ . By Definition 2.2, this gives:

### Proposition 4.1

$$\text{rank}(M) = \text{rank}(M^T).$$

### Proposition 4.2

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ . Then

$$(AB)^T = B^T A^T.$$

**Corollary 4.1**

If  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is invertible, then so is  $M^\top$  and

$$(M^\top)^{-1} = (M^{-1})^\top.$$

**Proof.** We compute, using Proposition 4.2:

$$M^\top(M^{-1})^\top = (M^{-1}M)^\top = \text{Id}_n^\top = \text{Id}_n.$$

This proves that  $M^\top$  is invertible with inverse  $(M^{-1})^\top$ . □

**Definition 4.2 (*Symmetric matrix*)**

A square matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is said to be symmetric if

$$\forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad A_{i,j} = A_{j,i}$$

or, equivalently if  $A = A^\top$ .

The following example is fundamental:

*Example 4.1* (Gram matrices). Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ . Then the  $n \times n$  “Gram matrix”  $A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M^\top M$  is symmetric.

