# Optimization and Computational Linear Algebra for Data Science Lecture 8: Graphs and Linear Algebra

Léo MIOLANE · leo.miolane@gmail.com
October 26, 2019

Warning: This material is not meant to be lecture notes. It only gathers the main concepts and results from the lecture, without any additional explanation, motivation, examples, figures...

## 1 Graphs

We start by a formal definition of a (simple non-oriented) graph:

### Definition 1.1 (Graph)

A graph G is defined as a pair  $V_G$ ,  $E_G$  where  $V = V_G$  is the set of vertices of G and  $E = E_G$  is the set of edges of G which is a subset of  $V \times V$ . Two vertices i, j are connected by an edge if  $\{i, j\} \in E$ . In such case we write  $i \sim j$  and say that i and j are neighbors.

#### Definition 1.2

The degree of a node  $i \in V$  is the number of its neighbors.

In this lecture we will only consider finite graphs, where V is finite. We let n = #V. One can assume (up to renaming the vertices) that  $V = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

#### Definition 1.3

We define the adjacency matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  of the graph G by

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \sim j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The degree matrix of G is defined by  $D = \text{Diag}(\deg(1), \dots, \deg(n))$ .

Notice that A is a symmetric matrix.

## 2 Graph Laplacian

### Definition 2.1 (Graph Laplacian)

The Laplacian matrix of G is defined as

$$L = D - A$$
.

**Remark 2.1.** There exists a "normalized Laplacian" matrix  $L_{\text{norm}} = D^{-1/2}LD^{-1/2} = \text{Id} - D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$ . Both L and  $L_{\text{norm}}$  enjoy similar properties. While we focus for simplicity on L in this lecture, several arguments advocate for using  $L_{\text{norm}}$  instead of L for clustering applications, see the discussion in [4, Section 8.4].

#### Proposition 2.1

The matrix L satisfies the following properties:

- 1. L is symmetric and positive semi-definite.
- 2. The smallest eigenvalue of L is 0, the corresponding eigenvector is the constant one vector  $(1,1,\ldots,1)$ .
- 3. L has n non-negative eigenvalues  $0 = \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \cdots \le \lambda_n$ .

We have the following key identity for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$x^{\mathsf{T}}Lx = \sum_{i \sim j} (x_i - x_j)^2. \tag{1}$$

Consequently we have  $x^{\mathsf{T}}Lx = 0$  if and only if all the terms of the sum (1) are equal to zero, that is  $x_i = x_j$  for all i and j that are connected by an edge. We conclude that

The eigenvectors of L associated with the eigenvalue 0 are the (non-zero) vectors that remains constant on the connected components of the graph G, i.e. the vectors x such that  $x_i = x_j$  for all i, j in the same connected component of G.

We deduce:

#### Proposition 2.2

The graph G is connected if and only if  $\lambda_2 > 0$ . More generally, the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0 of L (i.e. the number of i such that  $\lambda_i = 0$ ) is equal to the number of connected components of L.

The eigenvalue  $\lambda_2$  is called the algebraic connectivity or the Fiedler eigenvalue of G. Its magnitude reflects how well connected the graph is. Exercise: show that  $\lambda_2$  increases when one adds edges to G.

### Definition 2.2

For a graph G we define

- The vertex connectivity v(G) of G as the minimum number of nodes whose removal would result in losing connectivity of the graph.
- The edge connectivity e(G) of G as the minimum number of edges whose removal would result in losing connectivity of the graph.

### Proposition 2.3 (Fiedler [1])

$$\lambda_2 \le v(G) \le e(G).$$

We will only prove the first inequality.

#### Lemma 2.1

Let  $G^-$  be a graph obtained from G by removing one vertex (and all the edges linked to it). Then  $\lambda_2(G^-) \ge \lambda_2(G) - 1$ .

Using Lemma 2.1 one deduces Proposition 2.3. By definition of v(G) one can disconnect G by removing v(G) vertices. Let G' be the obtained graph. G' is disconnected so  $\lambda_2(G') = 0$  by Proposition 2.2. We conclude using Lemma 2.1:

$$\lambda_2(G) \le \lambda_2(G') + v(G) = v(G).$$

**Proof of Lemma 2.1.** Without loss of generalities, on can assume that the node n has been removed from G. Let  $G^+$  be the graph obtained from G by connecting the node n to all the other nodes. Its Laplacian is given by

$$L_{G^+} = \begin{pmatrix} L_{G^-} + \operatorname{Id} & -\mathbb{1} \\ -\mathbb{1}^\mathsf{T} & n - 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\mathbb{1}$  denotes the all-one vector. Let x be an eigenvector of  $L_{G^-}$  associated with  $\lambda_2(G^-)$  and orthogonal to  $\mathbb{1}$ . Compute

$$L_{G^+}\begin{pmatrix}x\\0\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}L_{G^-}+\operatorname{Id}&-\mathbb{1}\\-\mathbb{1}^\mathsf{T}&n-1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x\\0\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}L_{G^-}x+x\\-\mathbb{1}^\mathsf{T}x\end{pmatrix}=(\lambda_2(G^-)+1)\begin{pmatrix}x\\0\end{pmatrix}$$

because  $\mathbb{1}^{\mathsf{T}}x = 0$ . Hence  $\lambda_2(G^-) + 1$  is an eigenvalue of  $G^+$  different from 0:

$$\lambda_2(G^+) \le \lambda_2(G^-) + 1.$$

Remember that we obtained  $G^+$  by adding edges to G:  $\lambda_2(G^+) \geq \lambda_2(G)$ . This concludes the proof.

# 3 Spectral clustering with the graph Laplacian

### **Algorithm 1** Spectral clustering with the Laplacian

**Input:** Graph Laplacian L, number of clusters k

- 1: Compute the first k eigenvectors  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  of the Laplacian matrix L.
- 2: Associate to each node i the vector  $x_i = (v_2(i), \dots, v_k(i)) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ .
- 3: Cluster the points  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  with (for instance) the k-means algorithm.

The spectral clustering algorithm uses the eigenvectors of the Laplacian matrix to embed the nodes of the graph in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ .

In the sequel we will focus on the case of two clusters (k=2) for simplicity. In that case, the spectral clustering algorithm amounts of computing  $v_2$  the second eigenvector of L (sometimes called the Fiedler eigenvector) and to cluster the nodes in

$$S = \{i \mid v_2(i) \ge \delta\}$$
 and  $S^c = \{i \mid v_2(i) < \delta\},\$ 

for some  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ . The next Proposition tells us that for  $\delta = 0$ , the cluster  $C_1$  is connected.

#### Proposition 3.1

Assume that G is connected. Let  $v_2$  be an eigenvector associated to  $\lambda_2$ , the second smallest eigenvalue of L. Let

$$S = \{i \mid v_2(i) \ge 0\}.$$

Then the subgraph induced by S is connected.

## 4 Spectral clustering as a relaxation

For a set of nodes  $S \subset V$  we define the cut of S by:

$$\operatorname{cut}(S) = \text{``number of edges between } S \text{ and } S^c \text{``} = \sum_{i \in S, j \in S^c} A_{i,j},$$

where A denotes the adjacency matrix of G. If we encode S in the vector x by

$$x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in S \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

one can rewrite using (1)

$$\operatorname{cut}(S) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \sim j} (x_i - x_j)^2 = \frac{1}{4} x^{\mathsf{T}} L x.$$

However,  $\operatorname{cut}(S)$  is minimal for  $S = \emptyset$ . Hence the cut is not a good metric, one should add some constraints on S. We can for instance force S to be balanced ( $\#S = \#S^c = n/2$ ) which is equivalent to say that x is orthogonal to  $\mathbb{1}$  the vector of all ones. Thus

$$\min_{S \text{ balanced}} \operatorname{cut}(S) = \frac{1}{4} \min_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n, \ x \perp \mathbb{1}} x^\mathsf{T} L x.$$

This problem is called "minimum bisection" problem and is known to be NP hard. This comes from the fact that optimizing over the hypercube  $\{\pm 1\}$  makes the problem combinatorial and difficult to solve. A way around is to relax the constraint by only forcing x to belong on the sphere of radius  $\sqrt{n}$  and to solve:

$$\min_{\|x\| = \sqrt{n}, \ x \perp 1} x^{\mathsf{T}} L x.$$

By Proposition 1.1 from Lecture 7, we know that the minimum is achieved by the Fiedler eigenvector  $v_2$  (recall that  $v_1 = 1$  is the first eigenvector of the Laplacian).

However  $v_2$  may not belong to  $\{\pm 1\}^n$ . So in order to obtain a partition  $(S, S^c)$  from  $v_2$ , we finally perform a "rounding procedure":

$$S = \{i \mid v_2(i) \ge 0\}.$$

This is exactly the spectral clustering studied in the previous sections.

# 5 Spectral clustering beyond graphs

A natural generalization is to consider weighted graphs, where each edge has a weight indicating how close two connected neighbors are. This amounts to allow the entries of the adjacency matrix A to take different values from 0 and 1:  $A_{i,j}$  quantify how "close" i and j are. We call such matrices "similarity matrices".

We can straightforwardly extend all the object defined above in the particular case of adjacency matrices to the case of similarity matrices. For instance  $\deg(i) = \sum_{j} S_{i,j}$ .

## Further reading

The very interesting paper [2] uses the (normalized) Laplacian to cluster points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . See [4] for a very nice introduction to spectral clustering and [3] for lecture notes on spectral graph theory.



# References

- [1] Miroslav Fiedler. Algebraic connectivity of graphs. *Czechoslovak mathematical journal*, 23(2):298–305, 1973.
- [2] Andrew Y Ng, Michael I Jordan, and Yair Weiss. On spectral clustering: Analysis and an algorithm. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 849–856, 2002.
- [3] Daniel Spielman. Spectral graph theory. Lecture Notes, Yale University, http://www.cs.yale.edu/homes/spielman/561/2012/, 2012.
- [4] Ulrike Von Luxburg. A tutorial on spectral clustering. Statistics and computing, 17(4):395–416, 2007.