Optimization and Computational Linear Algebra for Data Science Homework 11: Optimality conditions

November 26, 2019



- Unless otherwise stated, all answers must be mathematically justified.
- Partial answers will be graded.
- You can work in groups but each student must write his/her own solution based on his/her own understanding of the problem. Please list on your submission the students you work with for the homework (this will not affect your grade).
- Problems with a (*) are extra credit, they will not (directly) contribute to your score of this homework. However, for every 4 extra credit questions successfully answered your lowest homework score get replaced by a perfect score.
- If you have any questions, feel free to contact me (lm4271@nyu.edu) or to stop at the office hours.



Problem 11.1 (2 points). Let $f, g : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the functions defined by

$$f(x,y,z) = 2x^2 + y^2 + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + 4x - 6y - z + 1$$
 and $g(x,y,z) = xyz + x + y + z$.

Compute the critical points of f and g and determine if they are global/local maximizer, local minimizer or saddle point.

Problem 11.2 (3 points). We consider the following constrained optimization problem:

minimize
$$x - y + z$$
 subject to $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ and $x + y + z = 1$. (1)

We admit that this minimization problem has (at least) one solution (this comes from the fact that a continuous function on a compact set attains its minimum).

Using Lagrange multipliers, show that (1) has a unique solution and compute its coordinates.

Problem 11.3 (2 points). Let $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector whose coordinates are all distinct. We consider the constrained optimization problem

maximize
$$\langle u, x \rangle$$
 subject to $||x||_1 \leq 1$.

- (a) Show that this problem has a unique solution x^* and give the expression of x^* in terms of u (Lagrange multipliers are not needed here).
- (b) Give a graphical interpretation.

Problem 11.4 (3 points). We will prove the spectral theorem in this problem: you are therefore not allowed to use the spectral theorem and its consequences to solve this exercise.

Let A be an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix. We consider the following optimization problem

maximize
$$x^{\mathsf{T}}Ax$$
 subject to $||x|| = 1$. (2)

This optimization problem admits a solution (this comes from the fact that a continuous function on a compact set achieved its maximum) that we denote by v_1 .

- (a) Using Lagrange multipliers, show that v_1 is an eigenvector of A.
- (b) We now consider the optimization problem

maximize
$$x^{\mathsf{T}}Ax$$
 subject to $||x|| = 1$ and $\langle x, v_1 \rangle = 0$. (3)

For the same reason as above, this problem admits a solution that we denote by v_2 . Show that v_2 is an eigenvector of A that is orthogonal to v_1 .

(c) We now consider the optimization problem

maximize
$$x^{\mathsf{T}}Ax$$
 subject to $||x|| = 1$ and $\langle x, v_1 \rangle = 0$ and $\langle x, v_2 \rangle = 0$. (4)

Again, this problem admits a solution that we denote by v_3 . Show that v_3 is an eigenvector of A that is orthogonal to v_1 and v_2 .

Conclusion: by repeating this procedure, we obtain an orthonormal family v_1, \ldots, v_n of eigenvectors of A. This proves the spectral theorem (without using any linear algebra result!).

Problem 11.5 (*). We consider here a simple portfolio optimization problem. Assume that we can invest in n financial assets. Each asset i has a return of X_i (X_i is a random variable) meaning that investing w\$ in the asset i will generate a return of $w \times X_i$ \$. We introduce

$$r_i = \mathbb{E}[X_i],$$

 $\Sigma = \text{Cov}(X, X) = \mathbb{E}[(X - r)(X - r)^{\mathsf{T}}]$

that are respectively the average return and the covariance matrix of the returns. We assume that the covariance matrix Σ is invertible. We represent a portfolio (an investment strategy) by a vector $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where each coordinate w_i represents the amount invested on the asset i. We allow w_i to be negative, which means that it is possible to "short" a security. The expected return of the portfolio is then

$$R(w) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i r_i$$

and its variance is

$$V(w) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i X_i\right) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i (X_i - r_i)\right)^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_i w_j (X_i - r_i)(X_j - r_j)\right]$$
$$= w^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma w.$$

We want here to invest a total amount of m\$ on the assets. Given an targeted expected return μ , we would like to find a portfolio w such that $R(w) = \mu$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = m$ for which the variance V(w) is minimal. That is, we aim at solving the following problem:

minimize
$$V(w)$$
 subject to $R(w) = \mu$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = m$. (5)

Let 1 denotes the all-ones vector of dimension n. We assume that $r \notin \text{Span}(1)$. We also assume that $m \min_i r_i < \mu < m \max_i r_i$, so that there exists a feasible w to the problem (5). Show that the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}^\mathsf{T} \Sigma^{-1} \mathbb{1} & \mathbb{1}^\mathsf{T} \Sigma^{-1} r \\ \mathbb{1}^\mathsf{T} \Sigma^{-1} r & r^\mathsf{T} \Sigma^{-1} r \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible and that the unique solution to (5) is

$$w^* = \Sigma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} | & | \\ \mathbb{1} & r \\ | & | \end{pmatrix} M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} m \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}.$$

