

Optimization and Computational Linear Algebra for Data Science

Lecture 6: Singular value decomposition

Léo MIOLANE · leo.miolane@gmail.com

May 15, 2019

Warning: *This material is not meant to be lecture notes. It only gathers the main concepts and results from the lecture, without any additional explanation, motivation, examples, figures...*

1 Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Definition 1.1

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. A **non-zero** vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be an eigenvector of A if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$Av = \lambda v.$$

The scalar λ is called the eigenvalue (of A) associated to v .

Theorem 1.1 (Spectral Theorem)

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a **symmetric** matrix. Then there is a orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n composed of eigenvectors of A .

Given an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix A , Theorem 1.1 tells us that one can find an orthonormal basis (v_1, \dots, v_n) of \mathbb{R}^n and scalars $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$Av_i = \lambda_i v_i.$$

Let P be the $n \times n$ matrix whose columns are v_1, \dots, v_n . Since (v_1, \dots, v_n) is an orthonormal basis, we get that P is an orthogonal matrix. Let $D = \text{Diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and compute

$$AP = A \begin{pmatrix} | & | & \cdots & | \\ v_1 & v_2 & & v_n \\ | & | & & | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & \cdots & | \\ Av_1 & Av_2 & & Av_n \\ | & | & & | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & \cdots & | \\ \lambda_1 v_1 & \lambda_2 v_2 & & \lambda_n v_n \\ | & | & & | \end{pmatrix} = PD.$$

By multiplying by P^T on both sides, we get $APP^T = PDP^T$. Recall now that P is orthogonal, therefore $PP^T = \text{Id}_n$. We conclude that $A = PDP^T$.

Theorem 1.2 (Spectral Theorem, matrix formulation)

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric matrix. Then there exists an orthogonal matrix P and a diagonal matrix D of sizes $n \times n$, such that

$$A = PDP^T.$$

Proposition 1.1

Let A be a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix and let $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be its n eigenvalues and v_1, \dots, v_n be the associated orthonormal family of eigenvectors. Then

$$v_1 = \arg \max_{\|v\|=1} v^T A v, \quad \text{and for } k = 2, \dots, n, \quad v_k = \arg \max_{\|v\|=1, v \perp v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}} v^T A v.$$

Remark 1.1. Applying the proposition above to the matrix $-A$ which is symmetric with eigenvalues $-\lambda_n \geq \dots \geq -\lambda_1$ and associated eigenvectors v_n, \dots, v_1 , we get

$$v_n = \arg \min_{\|v\|=1} v^\top A v, \quad \text{and for } k = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad v_k = \arg \min_{\|v\|=1, v \perp v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n} v^\top A v.$$

2 Singular value decomposition

Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be n points in d dimension.

The goal of Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) is to find the k -dimensional subspace (for $k = 1, \dots, n$) that fits “the best” these n data points. By “best”, we mean here the k -dimensional subspace S that minimize the sum of the square distances to the n points:

$$\text{minimize } \sum_{i=1}^n d(a_i, S)^2 \quad \text{with respect to } S \text{ subspace of dimension } k. \quad (1)$$

In this case we have for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$d(a_i, S)^2 = \|a_i - P_S(a_i)\|^2 = \|a_i\|^2 - \|P_S(a_i)\|^2,$$

by Pythagorean Theorem (recall that $P_S(a_i) \perp (a_i - P_S(a_i))$). Since v_1 is of unit norm, $P_S(a_i) = \langle v_1, a_i \rangle v_1$, hence:

$$d(a_i, S)^2 = \|a_i\|^2 - \langle v_1, a_i \rangle^2.$$

Minimizing (1) is therefore equivalent to maximize

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|P_S(a_i)\|^2. \quad (2)$$

Let us fix an orthonormal basis (v_1, \dots, v_k) of S . Then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $P_S(x) = \langle v_1, x \rangle v_1 + \dots + \langle v_k, x \rangle v_k$, hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|P_S(a_i)\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k \langle a_i, v_j \rangle^2 = \|A v_1\|^2 + \dots + \|A v_k\|^2, \quad (3)$$

where A is the $n \times d$ matrix whose rows are a_1, \dots, a_n . Consequently, minimizing (1) is equivalent to maximizing (3) over all orthonormal families (v_1, \dots, v_k) .

For $k = 1$, a subspace of dimension 1 that minimizes (1) is therefore $\text{Span}(v_1)$ where

$$v_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \arg \max_{\|v\|=1} \|A v\|. \quad (4)$$

If we now want to solve the problem for $k = 2$, a natural candidate for the subspace S would be $S = \text{Span}(v_1, v_2)$ where

$$v_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \arg \max_{\|v\|=1, v \perp v_1} \|A v\|. \quad (5)$$

We can follow this greedy strategy for $k = 3, \dots, n$ and define recursively

$$v_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \arg \max_{\|v\|=1, v \perp v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}} \|A v\|. \quad (6)$$

Definition 2.1

- The vectors v_1, \dots, v_n are called singular vectors of the matrix A .
- The non-negative numbers $\sigma_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|Av_k\|$ are called the singular values of A .

Of course (4)-(6) admits many other maximizers (for instance $-v_k$), so **the singular vectors are not uniquely defined**.

It is not a priori obvious (except for $k = 1$) that $S = \text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ is a minimizer of (1) over all the subspaces of dimension k . We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1

Let $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Assume that (v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}) is an orthonormal family that maximizes (3). Define

$$v_k = \arg \max_{\|v\|=1, v \perp \text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})} \|Av\|.$$

Then (v_1, \dots, v_k) is an orthonormal family and $\text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ minimizes (1), i.e. (v_1, \dots, v_k) maximizes (3).

Proof. Let S be a subspace of dimension k . Let (w_1, \dots, w_k) be an orthonormal basis of S such that $w_k \perp \text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$. By definition of v_k , we have $\|Aw_k\| \leq \|Av_k\|$. We also assumed that (v_1, \dots, v_k) maximizes (3), so

$$\|Av_1\|^2 + \dots + \|Av_{k-1}\|^2 \geq \|Aw_1\|^2 + \dots + \|Aw_{k-1}\|^2.$$

We conclude that

$$\|Av_1\|^2 + \dots + \|Av_k\|^2 \geq \|Aw_1\|^2 + \dots + \|Aw_k\|^2,$$

so (v_1, \dots, v_k) maximizes (3). □

Using Lemma 2.1 we get by induction:

Proposition 2.1

Let v_1, \dots, v_n be singular vectors of A defined by (4)-(6). Then for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the subspace $\text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ is a solution of (1).

