

Gaussian Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm Approach Applied to Loney's Solenoid Benchmark Problem

Leandro dos Santos Coelho¹ and Piergiorgio Alotto²

¹Automation and Systems Laboratory, Pontifical Catholic University of Paraná, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil

²Dip. Ingegneria Elettrica, Università di Padova, Italy

Abstract— Optimization metaheuristics, such as particle swarm optimization, ant colony and bacterial foraging strategies have become very popular for electromagnetic device design. The Artificial Bee Colony algorithm is another example of bio-inspired swarm intelligence approach which is competitive with other population-based algorithms and has the advantage of using fewer control parameters. In this work, a standard and an improved version of the algorithm are applied to Loney's solenoid problem, showing the suitability for electromagnetic optimization.

I. INTRODUCTION

A typical example of the rough objective function surface typical of many electromagnetic problems is shown by Loney's solenoid benchmark problem [1]. Such problems are ideally suited for stochastic techniques which escape from local minima.

The Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) algorithm is a new stochastic population-based metaheuristic approach originally proposed by Karaboga [2],[3]. The method is inspired by the intelligent foraging behavior of honeybee swarms and due to its simplicity, robustness and ease of implementation appears to be very promising.

This paper proposes an improved version of ABC called Gaussian ABC (GABC) to solve Loney's solenoid benchmark problem and its performance is compared with that of the classical ABC.

II. FUNDAMENTALS OF THE ABC ALGORITHM

In the ABC algorithm the colony of artificial bees contains three groups of individuals: employed bees (placed randomly in the food source), onlookers (waiting in the dancing area for making decision on which food sources to be chosen) and scouts (performing random search).

A poor balance between exploration and exploitation may result in a weak optimization method which may suffer from premature convergence, trapping in a local optima, and stagnation.

In the classical ABC a uniform distribution is used to produce a candidate food position from the old one in memory, while the proposed GABC efficiently combines exploitative local search and explorative global search processes by a mix of Gaussian and uniform distributions.

III. LONEY'S SOLENOID DESIGN

Loney's solenoid design problem consists in determining the position and size of two correcting coils in order to generate a uniform magnetic flux density B within a given interval on the axis of a main solenoid. The problem has two degrees of freedom (the separation s and the length l of the correcting coils) with box bounds. The objective function F

has three distinct basins of attraction: $F > 4 \cdot 10^{-8}$ (high level region), $3 \cdot 10^{-8} < F < 4 \cdot 10^{-8}$ (low level region), and $F < 3 \cdot 10^{-8}$ (global minimum region) [4]. The control parameters of ABC and GABC were a colony size of 10 (number of employed bees plus number of onlooker bees) and a stopping criterion of 120 iterations, i.e. both approaches performed 1,200 objective function evaluations in each run. Table I shows the results over 30 runs, where p is the probability of adopting a truncated Gaussian distribution instead of the uniform one.

TABLE I
SIMULATION RESULTS OF F IN 30 RUNS

| Optimization Method | $F(s, l) \cdot 10^{-8}$ | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| | Maximum (Worst) | Mean | Minimum (Best) | Std. Dev. |
| ABC | 12.9079 | 4.4730 | 3.1714 | 1.7350 |
| GABC($p=0.1$) | 14.3622 | 4.4412 | 2.3255 | 2.0717 |
| GABC($p=0.2$) | 31.5616 | 5.5583 | 2.3518 | 6.0964 |
| GABC($p=0.3$) | 10.0411 | 4.4234 | 2.6713 | 1.3947 |
| GABC($p=0.4$) | 11.3620 | 4.8194 | 2.5895 | 1.8954 |
| GABC($p=0.5$) | 71.6379 | 7.1162 | 2.7847 | 12.8822 |
| GABC($p=0.6$) | 8.8187 | 4.3204 | 2.1389 | 1.2294 |
| GABC($p=0.7$) | 90.1864 | 7.8573 | 2.3705 | 16.0761 |
| GABC($p=0.8$) | 121.4797 | 11.280 | 3.2370 | 21.8866 |
| GABC($p=0.9$) | 45.3149 | 7.9055 | 3.1588 | 8.3816 |
| GABC($p=1.0$) | 44.1409 | 5.9651 | 2.6492 | 7.4684 |

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper proposes an extension of the standard ABC algorithm with the aim of improving the balance between exploration and exploitation of the search space. Preliminary results show that such improvement is indeed possible. Details of the algorithm will be presented in the full paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Council of Scientific and Technologic Development of Brazil — CNPq — under Grant 303963/2009-3/PQ.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Di Barba and A. Savini, "Global optimization of Loney's solenoid by means of a deterministic approach," *International Journal of Applied Electromagnetics and Mechanics*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 247-254, 1995.
- [2] B. Basturk and D. Karaboga, "An artificial bee colony (ABC) algorithm for numeric function optimization," in: *IEEE Swarm Intelligence Symposium*, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA, May 2006.
- [3] D. Karaboga and D. Basturk, "A powerful and efficient algorithm for numerical function optimization: artificial bee colony (ABC) algorithm," *Journal of Global Optimization*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 459-471, 2007.
- [4] G. Ciuprina, D. Ioan and I. Munteanu, "Use of intelligent-particle swarm optimization in electromagnetics," *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 1037-1040, 2002.