# Mental Models for Algorithmic Problem Solving

1. "Invert, Always Invert" (Munger/Polya) • Flip the question: Instead of "how do I build a valid X?", ask "how do I eliminate all invalid Xs?" • Example: Count pairs not divisible by k instead of those that are. • Application: Proof by contradiction, complementary counting, greedy covering. 2. "Can I Reduce the Search Space?" • Hashing: Map input to a smaller, more manageable domain (mod k, frequency buckets). • Sliding window: If the structure is local, can we discard older state? • Bucket Sort / Counting: When inputs are bounded (like 0 <= x <= 100). 3. "Can I Sort the Input?" • Sorting often unlocks greedy solutions or ordered reasoning: Detect adjacent duplicates Use two pointers - Sweep line (for intervals) - Binary search Heuristic: If the problem asks about min, max, range, median, closest, furthest, sorting is likely helpful. 4. "Two Pointers / Binary Search / Sliding Window" • Use when the data is **ordered**, **contiguous**, or can be made so. • Common in strings, arrays, intervals, and prefix problems.

Binary search variants: - On index (standard) - On answer (e.g. minimum

valid k, or maximum satisfying constraint)

### 5. "Geometry, Not Just Algebra"

- Treat a 2D grid or matrix like a plane:
  - Flip rows/columns = mirror symmetries
  - Diagonals = i + j and i j invariants
  - Rotate/reflection invariance

Heuristic: If you're dealing with a grid, consider quadrants, axes, symmetry.

\_\_\_\_

# 6. "Can I Precompute or Reuse?"

- Prefix sums / differences
- Sparse tables / RMQ
- Memoisation / DP

*Heuristic*: If a subproblem is repeated or nested within a larger one, **memoise** or **tabulate**.

#### 7. "Recursive or Divide-and-Conquer Structure?"

- Look for:
  - Problems naturally reducible into halves (QuickSort, MergeSort)
  - Balanced trees
  - "Find something in log n time"

*Heuristic*: If the input size shrinks by half each step, or recursive dependencies exist, try divide-and-conquer.

\_\_\_\_

### 8. "Is There a Stack or Queue Structure Hidden in the Logic?"

- Monotonic stacks: for problems like "next greater", "previous less"
- Min/max sliding windows
- DFS or BFS (esp. for graphs or grid traversal)

\_\_\_\_

# 9. "Greedy?"

- If you can make a local optimal decision that guarantees a global optimal, consider greedy.
- Sort, process in order, always pick best remaining option.

*Check*: Does the problem have an **exchange argument**? (i.e., any non-greedy choice can be swapped with a greedy one without making things worse)

\_\_\_\_

#### 10. "Graph-ify It"

- Can the problem be modelled as:
  - Reachability (BFS/DFS)
  - Shortest path (Dijkstra/Bellman-Ford)
  - Cycles or components (Union-Find / Tarjan)
  - Topological sorting

*Heuristic*: If entities have **relationships**, **dependencies or networks**, this is probably a graph.

obubly a graph.

# **Bonus Models**

#### "Transform the Problem"

- Change coordinates
- Map strings to integers (e.g. ord c ord 'a')
- Reverse the direction (e.g. simulate from goal state backwards)

#### "Watch the Constraints"

- If n <= 20: Brute force
- If n <= 10<sup>5</sup>: O(n log n) or better
- If  $n \le 10^9$ : Binary search or mathematical trick

#### "Probabilistic / Expectation?"

• If you're asked to minimise expected value or average cost, think in terms of linearity of expectation or even greedy + DP mix.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### A Sample Checklist You Could Use:

Before coding, ask: 1. Can I reframe this problem (invert, graphify, transform)? 2. Can I preprocess something (prefix, sort, bucket)? 3. Is it recursive / has overlapping subproblems (DP)? 4. Is there a local optimum that implies a global one (greedy)? 5. Are the constraints screaming for a specific approach? 6. Does the problem look familiar

(classic patterns)? 7. Am I repeating work? Can I memoise or tabulate? 8. Can I find a way to binary search on the answer?