

Peer review

Sabina Rosendahl

September 17, 2015

- 1** The classes all have appropriate names as conceptual classes.[1, p.139-141]
- 2** The assumption that Secretary is a Member with more power indicates that it is a good candidate for a conceptual subclass as described by Larman's guidelines [1, p. 508].
- 3** The assumption that a member can join an event is not supported by the usecases nor is it motivated by an assumption for the domain model.
- 4** On page 135 [1] Larman states that the domain model shows conceptual classes, not software classes. The model can be improved by turning the methods into associations which are described by Larman in section 9.14 [1].
- 5** It's unclear if the arrow from Login to Member is meant to be a "reading direction arrow" [1, p.152].

References

- [1] Craig Larman, Applying UML and Patterns 3rd Ed, 2005, ISBN: 0131489062