

1. Consider inserting the keys 10, 22, 31, 4, 15, 28, 17, 88, 59 into a hash table of length  $m = 11$  using open addressing with the auxiliary hash function  $h'(k) = k$ . Illustrate the result of inserting these keys (1) using linear probing, (2) using quadratic probing with  $c_1 = 1$  and  $c_2 = 3$ , and (3) using double hashing with  $h_1(k) = k$  and  $h_2(k) = 1 + (k \bmod (m - 1))$ . (15%)
2. Suppose that we have numbers between 1 and 1000 in a binary search tree, and we want to search for the number 363. Which of the following sequences could not be the sequence of nodes examined? (15%)
  - (a) 2, 252, 401, 398, 330, 344, 397, 363.
  - (b) 924, 220, 911, 244, 898, 258, 362, 363.
  - (c) 925, 202, 911, 240, 912, 245, 363.
  - (d) 2, 399, 387, 219, 266, 382, 381, 278, 363.
  - (e) 935, 278, 347, 621, 299, 392, 358, 363.
3. The sequence of keys 4, 7, 9, 11, 14, 17, 18, 19, 22 is obtained by an inorder traversal of binary search trees.
  - (a) Please show out two different binary search trees which can produce the same sequence. (10%)
  - (b) Given a binary search tree, please give an efficient procedure to generate the other binary search tree which has the same inorder ordering of keys. (10%)
  - (c) If it is possible to have the third binary search tree has the same inorder ordering of keys. (10%)
4. Suppose that a node  $x$  is inserted into a red-black tree with RB-INSERT and then is immediately deleted with RB-DELETE. Is the resulting red-black tree the same as the initial red-black tree? Justify your answer. (15%)
5. Using dynamic programming to find an optimal parenthesization of a matrix-chain product whose sequence of dimensions is  $\langle 5, 10, 3, 12, 5, 50, 6 \rangle$ . Please construct the  $m$  and  $s$  tables in your process. (20%)
6. In the amortized analysis of a dynamic table, we should double the

table size upon inserting an item into a full table and halve the size when deleting an item would cause the table to become less than  $1/4$  full. Consider a sequence of TABLE-INSERT and TABLE-DELETE operations as follows: 17 Insert, 11 Delete, 4 Insert, 7 Delete, 1 Insert, 1 Delete, 4 Insert, and 7 Delete. The potential function is defined as:

$$\Phi_i = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \text{num}_i - \text{size}_i & \text{if } \alpha_i \geq 1/2, \\ \text{size}_i/2 - \text{num}_i & \text{if } \alpha_i < 1/2, \end{cases}$$

Please draw a function diagram to show the relationship among table size, number of items, and potentials in the sequence of operations. (20%)