CS419M

Lecture 10: Soft SVM and Dual Optimization

February 15, 2023

Lecturer: Abir De Scribe: Group 20

1 Revisiting the Optimisation Problem

• In the previous lectures we discussed the SVM for seperable cases and non-sperable cases. We introduced the concept of slackness/ relaxation parameter $\xi(x_i, y_i)$ for non seperabele cases.

$$\mathbf{y}_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i b) \ge 1 - \xi(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i) \tag{1}$$

where $\xi_{x,y} \geq 0$.

To optimize the function we need to **minimize** $\xi_{x,y}$. This gives us:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w}, b, \, \xi(\boldsymbol{x}_i, y_i)} \frac{1}{2} ||\boldsymbol{w}||^2 + C \sum_{i \in D} \xi_{(x_i, y_i)}$$

Convex Optimisation

$$\min_{\theta} f(\theta) \tag{2}$$

such that

$$q(\theta) < 0$$

Using Lagrange Multiplier we convert this into a dual optimization problem:

$$\max_{\lambda} \min_{\theta} f(\theta) + \lambda^{\mathsf{T}} g(\theta) \tag{3}$$

When $f(\theta)$ and $g(\theta)$ both are strictly convex, the above two equations are exactly equivalent. We get λ^*, θ^* as optimal solutions then by Slater's condition

$$(\lambda^*)^T \boldsymbol{g}(\theta^*) = 0 \tag{4}$$

2 Continuing with the Optimisation Problem

If the optimization problem is

$$\min_{\theta} f(\theta) \tag{5}$$

such that

$$g(\theta) = 0$$

The dual of this is:

$$\max_{\lambda} \min_{\theta} f(\theta) + \lambda^{\mathsf{T}} g(\theta) \tag{6}$$

with no constraints on λ (Reason: sign of λ discussed below)

Now

$$g(\theta) = 0 \Rightarrow g(\theta) \le 0, g(\theta) \ge 0 \tag{7}$$

Using previous results we can write

$$\max_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \ge 0} \min_{\theta} \ f(\theta) + \lambda_1^{\mathsf{T}}(-g(\theta)) + \lambda_2^{\mathsf{T}}g(\theta)$$
 (8)

$$=> \max_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \ge 0} \min_{\theta} f(\theta) - \lambda_1^{\mathsf{T}} g(\theta) + \lambda_2^{\mathsf{T}} g(\theta)$$
 (9)

$$=> \max_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \ge 0} \min_{\theta} \ f(\theta) + \lambda^{\mathsf{T}} g(\theta) \tag{10}$$

$$\lambda = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$$

Note that λ can have any sign as both λ_1 and λ_2 are non-negative

2.1 Objective Function

 $(\omega^*, b^*, \xi^*) = \arg\min_{\omega, b, \xi_i} \frac{1}{2} ||\omega||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$ $y_i(\omega^{\mathsf{T}} \phi(x_i) + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i \quad \forall i = 1, 2, ..., n$ $\xi_i > 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, ..., n$ (11)

so there are 2n constants overall

Instead of 2n constraints, we can do something better:

$$\xi \geq 0, \forall i \in 1, ..., n$$

So we can put all those ξ =0 where ξ < 0 while applying the gradient descent algorithm to minimise L.

• Framing our Non-Separable SVM into the previous optimization problem

$$SVM : -\min_{\omega, b, \xi_i} \frac{1}{2} ||\omega||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \longleftarrow f(\theta)$$

$$1 - \xi_i - y_i(\omega^{\mathsf{T}} \phi(x_i) + b) \le 0 \longleftarrow g(\theta) \le 0$$

$$-\xi_i \le 0 \longleftarrow g(\theta) \le 0$$
(12)

Using Lagrange multipliers we get the following optimization problem

$$\mathcal{L}(\omega, b, \xi_i, \alpha_i, \mu_i) = \frac{1}{2} ||\omega||^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (1 - \xi_i - y_i (\omega^{\mathsf{T}} \phi(x_i) + b)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i (-\xi_i)$$
(13)

So our objective becomes

$$\max_{\alpha > 0, \mu > 0} \min_{\omega, b, \xi} \mathcal{L}(\omega, b, \xi_i, \alpha_i, \mu_i)$$
(14)

We call

$$\min_{\omega,b,\xi} \mathcal{L}(\omega,b,\xi_i,\alpha_i,\mu_i)$$

as the Lagrangian dual function $g(\alpha, \beta)$.

• First order optimality conditions on $g(\alpha,\beta)$ give us the following

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega} = 0 \Rightarrow \omega^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i x_i \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{b}} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0 \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi_i} = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_i + \mu_i = C \tag{17}$$

Substituting in the main equation

$$\therefore L = \frac{1}{2} ||\omega||^2 - \sum \alpha_i y_i \omega^{\mathsf{T}} x_i \tag{18}$$

substituting $\omega = \sum \alpha_i y_i x_i$

$$\max_{\alpha,\mu} \sum \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \, y_i \, y_j \, \mathbf{x_i}^{\mathsf{T}} \, x_j \tag{19}$$

and
$$\alpha_i + \mu_i = c$$
, $\sum \alpha_i y_i = 0, \forall i \in D$ (20)

We also see that our objective function is quadratic in w and constraints are linear in w, ξ_i . Therefore, all functions are strictly convex, therefore, by Slater's condition, at the optimum

$$\mu_i^* \xi_i^* = 0 \tag{21}$$

$$\alpha_i^* \left(1 - \xi_i^* - y_i(\omega^{*T} x_i + b) \right) = 0 \tag{22}$$

2.2 Inferences

• So for 'good' points (correctly classified)

$$\xi_i = 0$$

$$1 - y_i(\omega^T x_i + b) < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_i = 0$$

• For 'bad' points (misclassified or inside the band)

$$\xi_i > 0$$

$$\mu_i \xi_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_i = 0$$

Since
$$\alpha_i + \mu_i = C$$
 we get $\alpha_i = C$

• For points on the hyperplane

$$\xi_i = 0$$

$$\mu_i = uncertain$$

$$\therefore 0 < \alpha_i < C$$

2.3 Algorithm for finding Optimum Values

First, choose $(\omega^0, b^0, \xi_i^0, \alpha^0 \ge 0, \mu^0 \ge 0) \sim \text{Random } ()$

$$\omega^{k+1} = \omega^k - \nabla_\omega L_{\omega = \omega^k} \tag{23}$$

$$\boldsymbol{b}^{k+1} = \boldsymbol{b}^k - \nabla_{\boldsymbol{b}} L_{\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{b}^k} \tag{24}$$

$$\xi^{k+1} = \xi^k - \nabla_{\xi} L_{\xi = \xi^k} \tag{25}$$

$$\alpha^{k+1} = \alpha^k + \nabla_\alpha L_{\alpha = \alpha^k} \tag{26}$$

$$\mu^{k+1} = \mu^k + \nabla_{\mu} L_{\mu = \mu^k} \tag{27}$$

$$\alpha^{k+1} = ReLU(\alpha^{k+1}) \tag{28}$$

$$\mu^{k+1} = ReLU(\mu^{k+1}) \tag{29}$$

Note that equations (26) and (27) have a '+' sign as we want to maximize w.r.t. α and μ Now compute further iterations putting $k \leftarrow k+1$

3 Brief on Slater's condition

Slater's condition (or Slater condition) is a sufficient condition for strong duality to hold for a convex optimization problem, named after Morton L. Slater. Informally, Slater's condition states that the feasible region must have an interior point.

Consider the following optimization problem:

Minimize
$$f_0(x)$$

subject to:

$$f_i(x) \le 0, i = 1, \dots, m$$

where $f_i(x)$ are convex functions. In words, Slater's condition for convex programming states that strong duality holds if there exists an x^* such that x^* is strictly feasible (i.e. all constraints are satisfied and the nonlinear constraints are satisfied with strict inequalities).

Mathematically, Slater's condition states that strong duality holds if there exists an $x^* \in \operatorname{relint}(D)$ (where relint denotes the relative interior of the convex set $D := \bigcap_{i=0}^m \operatorname{dom}(f_i)$) such that

$$f_i(x^*) < 0, i = 1, \dots, m$$
 (the convex, nonlinear constraints)

Also at optimum x^* , $Langrangian Multiplier*f_i(x^*)=0$

For any convex set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ the relative interior is defined as:

 $\operatorname{relint}(C) := \{x \in C : \text{ for all } y \in C, \text{ there exists some } \lambda > 1 \text{ such that } \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in C\}.$

4 Brief Discussion of Concavity/Convexity of Dual Problem

4.1 Maximising Objective function

$$\max_{\lambda} \min_{\theta} f(\theta) + \lambda^{\mathsf{T}} g(\theta) \tag{30}$$

whatever be the inner problem (convex or concave) the outer problem is always concave. Hint for Proof:

 $\min_{\theta} f(\theta) + \lambda^{\mathsf{T}} g(\theta)$ as sum over various θ and calculate it's mean. Now maximise this mean, as we know max of function is concave, Hence the objective function is concave

4.2 Mention of Jensen' Inequality

E(f(x)) > f(E(x)) for convex functions.

Proof-

Suppose f is differentiable. The function f is convex if, for any x and y,

$$\frac{f(x) - f(y)}{(x - y)} \le f'(y)$$

Let x = X and y = E[X].

We can write

$$f(X) < f(E[X]) + (X - E[X])f'(E[X])$$

This inequality is true for all X, so we can take expectation on both sides to get

$$E[f(X)] \le f(E[X]) + f'(E[X])E[(X - E[X])] = f(E[X])$$

5 References

- Brief on Slater Condition- Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Slater
- CS419 Scribes,2023, Lec 9