



# DL Seminar

## Pix2Pix

Image-to-Image Translation with Conditional Adversarial Networks



**한양대학교**  
HANYANG UNIVERSITY

인공지능 연구실  
김지성


# Index



1. Introduction
2. Method
3. Experiments
4. Conclusion
5. References

# Introduction

Pix2Pix




Interactive Demo


<https://affinelayer.com/pixsrv/>

# Introduction

## Pix2Pix



- Input, Output : Image
- Self-Supervised
- Loss : Minimize the difference between output  $G(x)$  and ground truth  $y$




# Introduction

## L1 loss

**Loss:** Minimize the difference between output  $G(x)$  and the ground truth  $y$

$$\sum_{(x,y)} \|y - G(x)\|_1$$

완벽한 답을 찾으려 하기보다, 안전한 값에 도달하려 하기때문에 Blurry한 결과가 나옴



Input




Output





Ground Truth

# Method

## GAN Training



변환함수  $G : z \rightarrow F$



# Method

---

## Object Function – GAN

### GAN

$$\min_G \max_D V(D, G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_x(z)} [\log(1 - D(G(z)))]$$

# Method

## Object Function - GAN

z가 가우시안 확률분포를 따를  
때의  $\log D(1 - D(G(z)))$ 의 평균

$$\min_G \max_D V(D, G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_z(z)} [\log(1 - D(G(z)))]$$

X가  $p_{data}$ 라는 확률분포를  
따를 때의  $\log D(x)$ 의 평균



# Method

## Object Function – GAN

Maximizing Likelihood is Minimizing Cross-Entropy

$$Likelihood = \prod_{n=1}^N P(t_n|x_n, w) = \prod_{n=1}^N \begin{cases} P(t_n = 1|x_n) & \text{when}(t_n = 1) \\ 1 - P(t_n = 1|x_n) & \text{when}(t_n = 0) \end{cases}$$

↓ Bernoulli 분포( $t = 0$  or  $1$ )로 표현

$$Likelihood = \prod_{n=1}^N y_n^{t_n} (1 - y_n)^{1-t_n}$$

↓ Log

$$LogLikelihood = \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \log(y_n) + (1 - t_n) \log(1 - y_n)$$

# Method

## Object Function - GAN

D가 1인 경우의 우도                      D가 0인 경우의 우도

$$\min_G \max_D V(D, G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_x(z)} [\log(1 - D(G(z)))]$$


$t_n = 1$                        $t_n = 0$

$$LogLikelihood = \sum_{n=1}^N t_n \log(y_n) + (1 - t_n) \log(1 - y_n)$$

우도 : 특정 사건이 일어날 가능성을 비교하기 위한 척도

# Method

## Object Function – Adversarial



# Method

## Object Function – Adversarial

$$\mathcal{L}_{cGAN}(G, D) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y}[\log D(x, y)] + \mathbb{E}_{x,z}[\log(1 - D(x, G(x, z)))], \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{GAN}(G, D) = \mathbb{E}_y[\log D(y)] + \mathbb{E}_{x,z}[\log(1 - D(G(x, z)))]. \quad (2)$$


$x$  : 관찰한 이미지  
 $y$  : 출력된 이미지  
 $z$  : 랜덤 노이즈 벡터

GAN : 랜덤 노이즈 벡터  $z$ 로부터 이미지  $y$ 를 출력하는 ( $G : z \rightarrow y$ ) 를 학습

cGAN : 관찰한 이미지  $x$ 와 랜덤 노이즈 벡터  $z$ 로부터 이미지  $y$ 를 출력하는 ( $G : \{x, z\} \rightarrow y$ ) 를 학습

# Method

## Object Function - Regularization



$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| = l_1 norm = \text{맨하튼 노름}$$

$$\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2} = l_2 norm = \text{유클리드 노름}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{L1}(G) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y,z} [\|y - G(x, z)\|_1]. \quad (3)$$

이전 연구에서 GAN Object Function에 L2 distance loss를 추가하면 D를 잘 속이면서도 ground truth에 가까운 이미지를 만들어낸다는 실험결과가 있으나, 본 논문의 Translating Model에서는 L1 Distance가 덜 Blurry 한 이미지를 생성해 냄

# Method

## Object Function

$$\text{Object Function} = \arg \min_G \max_D \mathcal{L}_{cGAN}(G, D) + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{L1}(G). \quad (4)$$


$$\mathcal{L}_{cGAN}(G, D) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y}[\log D(x, y)] + \mathbb{E}_{x,z}[\log(1 - D(x, G(x, z)))], \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{L1}(G) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y,z}[\|y - G(x, z)\|_1]. \quad (3)$$

G와 D의 Object가 다름  
G의 입장 : D를 잘 속이고 싶다!  
D의 입장 : G를 잘 잡고 싶다!

# Method

## Generator with Skips




U-Net은 Encoder-Decoder 구조에 Skip-Connection을 연결한 구조

- 처음 디테일이 마지막 레이어까지 고속도로처럼 전달되어 디테일이 많이 간직된다
- 두 데이터셋이 어느정도 비슷한 경우에 Skip-Connection을 과도하게 사용하는 경향을 보임
- Output으로 나온 결과의 이미지가 원본과 비교했을 때 큰 변화를 기대하기 힘들


# Experiments

## CNN Model

### 3.2 Generator Networks (network.py)



### 3.3 Discriminator Networks (network.py)





# Experiments

## CNN Model

### Pix2pix.py - Train D

```
1  ...
2  discriminator.zero_grad()
3
4  # Forward
5  real_A = to_variable(input_A)
6  fake_B = generator(real_A)
7  real_B = to_variable(input_B)
8
9  pred_fake = discriminator(real_A, fake_B)
10 pred_real = discriminator(real_A, real_B)
11
12 # Loss (CriterionGAN: Cross Entropy)
13 # Fake-Fake Loss
14 loss_D_fake = GAN_Loss(pred_fake, False, criterionGAN)
15
16 # Real-Real Loss
17 loss_D_real = GAN_Loss(pred_real, True, criterionGAN)
18
19 loss_D = (loss_D_fake + loss_D_real) * 0.5
20
21 # Optimize
22 loss_D.backward(retain_graph=True)
23 d_optimizer.step()
```

### Pix2pix.py - Train G

```
24 generator.zero_grad()
25
26 # Forward
27 pred_fake = discriminator(real_A, fake_B)
28
29 # Loss
30 # Fake-Real Loss
31 loss_G_GAN = GAN_Loss(pred_fake, True, criterionGAN)
32 # Recon Loss
33 loss_G_L1 = criterionL1(fake_B, real_B)
34
35 loss_G = loss_G_GAN + loss_G_L1 * args.lambda_A
36
37 # Optimize
38 loss_G.backward()
39 g_optimizer.step()
40 ...
```


# Experiments



# Experiments

intersection over union

$$B_p \text{와 } B_{gt} \text{ 의 IOU} = \frac{B_p \cap B_{gt} \text{ 영역 넓이}}{B_p \cup B_{gt} \text{ 영역 넓이}}$$



# Experiments

Loss	Per-pixel acc.	Per-class acc.	Class IOU
<b>L1</b>	0.42	0.15	0.11
<b>GAN</b>	0.22	0.05	0.01
<b>cGAN</b>	0.57	0.22	0.16
<b>L1+GAN</b>	0.64	0.20	0.15
<b>L1+cGAN</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.17</b>
<b>Ground truth</b>	0.80	0.26	0.21

Loss	Per-pixel acc.	Per-class acc.	Class IOU
<b>Encoder-decoder (L1)</b>	0.35	0.12	0.08
<b>Encoder-decoder (L1+cGAN)</b>	0.29	0.09	0.05
<b>U-net (L1)</b>	0.48	0.18	0.13
<b>U-net (L1+cGAN)</b>	<b>0.55</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.14</b>

# Experiments

Discriminator receptive field	Per-pixel acc.	Per-class acc.	Class IOU
<b>1×1</b>	0.39	0.15	0.10
<b>16×16</b>	0.65	0.21	<b>0.17</b>
<b>70×70</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.17</b>
<b>286×286</b>	0.42	0.16	0.11

1x1 : pixel  
16x16 : patch  
70x70 : patch  
286x286 : image



- 패치의 크기  $N$ 을  $1 \times 1$ 의 PixelGAN에서  $286 \times 286$ 의 ImageGAN까지 늘려가며 효과를 측정
- PixelGAN은 샤프니스에 효과가 없었으나 colorfulness의 효과를 증가시켰다.
- $16 \times 16$  PatchGAN은 샤프니스가 상당히 올랐으며 FCN-score에서도 높은 점수를 얻었으나 여전히 아티팩트가 나타났다.
- $70 \times 70$  PatchGAN은 아티팩트가 완화되었고, 좀 더 나은 점수를 보였다.
- $286 \times 286$  ImageGAN은 더 이상 품질이 향상되지 않았으며, 오히려 FCN-score가 줄어들었다. ImageGAN은 더 많은 파라미터를 갖고 네트워크가 더 깊기 때문에 학습이 어려웠다.
- 이 논문에서 특별한 언급이 없는 한  $70 \times 70$  PatchGAN에 L1+cGAN로스를 사용하였다.

# Conclusion

---

## 결론

- Image to Image Mapping Network에서 Photo-realistic을 추구하고 싶음
- 그래서 GAN의 Adversarial Training을 도입
- U-Net과 PatchGAN등을 통해서 성능 최적화

## 문제점

- Training Data가 Pair로 존재해야 함
- Input Image를 무시하게 될 수있다(어떤 Input이 들어오든지 똑같은 Output이 나올 수 있다)
- GAN의 학습이 어렵다

# Reference

초짜 대학원생 입장에서 이해하는 Generative Adversarial Nets (1)  
<http://jaejunyoo.blogspot.com/2017/01/generative-adversarial-nets-1.html>

Generative Adversarial Network  
<https://ratsgo.github.io/generative%20model/2017/12/20/gan/>

Image-to-Image Translation with Conditional Adversarial Networks, Phillip Isola, Jun-Yan Zhu, Tinghui Zhou and Alexei A. Efros, CVPR 2017  
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.07004>

Image-to-Image Translation with Conditional Adversarial Networks  
<http://www.navisphere.net/5932/image-to-image-translation-with-conditional-adversarial-networks/>

(Pix2Pix) Image-to-image Translation with Conditional Adversarial Networks  
<https://kakalabblog.wordpress.com/2017/08/10/pix2pix-image-to-image-translation-with-conditional-adversarial-networks/>

GAN을 이용한 Image to Image Translation: Pix2Pix, CycleGAN, DiscoGAN  
<https://taeoh-kim.github.io/blog/gan-%EC%9D%84-%EC%9D%B4%EC%9A%A9%ED%95%9C-image-to-image-translation-pix2pix-cyclegan-discogan/>

Image-to-Image Translation with Conditional Adversarial Networks (arXiv : 1611.07004v1)  
<https://m.blog.naver.com/audtlr24/220990167303>

Finding connections among images using CycleGAN  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fkqf3dS9Cqw&t=2799s>

1시간만에 GAN(Generative Adversarial Network) 완전 정복하기  
[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=odpj7\\_tGY0](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=odpj7_tGY0)

discriminative vs generative - data distribution  
<https://ratsgo.github.io/generative%20model/2017/12/17/compare/>

GAN과 확률분포  
<http://learnai.tistory.com/4#recentComments>

이미지인식문제의 개요 : PASCAL VOC Cahallenge를 중심으로 - 평가 척도  
<http://research.sualab.com/computer-vision/2017/11/29/image-recognition-overview-2.html>

감사합니다.