Proof of Regularity of 2-Sum and 3-Sum of Matroids

Ivan Sergeev

March-April 2025

1 The 2-Sum of Regular Matroids Is Regular

Lemma 1. Let A be a $k \times k$ matrix. Let $r, c \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ be a row and column index, respectively, such that $a_{rc} \neq 0$. Let A' denote the matrix obtained from A by performing a real pivot on a_{rc} . Then there exists a $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ submatrix A'' of A' with $|\det A''| = \frac{|\det A|}{|a_{rc}|}$.

Proof. Let A'' be the submatrix of A' given by row index set $R = \{1, \ldots, k\} \setminus \{r\}$ and column index set $C = \{1, \ldots, k\} \setminus \{c\}$. By the explicit formula for pivoting in A on a_{rc} , the entries of A'' are given by $a''_{ij} = a_{ij} - \frac{a_{ic} \cdot a_{rj}}{a_{rc}}$. Using the linearity of the determinant, we can express det A'' as

$$\det A'' = \det A' - \sum_{k \in C} \frac{a_{rk}}{a_{rc}} \cdot \det B''_k$$

where B_k'' is a matrix obtained from A'' by replacing column $a_{\bullet k}''$ with the pivot column $a_{\bullet c}$ without the pivot element a_{rc} .

By the cofactor expansion in A along row r, we have

$$\det A = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{r+k} \cdot a_{rk} \cdot \det B_{r,k}$$

where $B_{r,k}$ is obtained from A by removing row r and column k. By swapping the order of columns in $B_{r,k}$ to match the form of B_k , we get

$$\det A = (-1)^{r+c} (a_{rc} \cdot \det A' - \sum_{k \in C} a_{rk} \cdot \det B''_k).$$

By combining the above results, we get $|\det A''| = \frac{|\det A|}{|a_{rc}|}$.

Corollary 2. Let A be a $k \times k$ matrix with det $A \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$. Let $r, c \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ be a row and column index, respectively, and suppose that $a_{rc} \in \{\pm 1\}$. Let A' denote the matrix obtained from A by performing a real pivot on a_{rc} . Then there exists a $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ submatrix A'' of A' with det $A'' \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$.

Proof. Since $a_{rc} \in \{\pm 1\}$, by Lemma 1 there exists a $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ submatrix A'' with $|\det A| = |\det A''|$. Since $\det A \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$, we have $\det A'' \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$.

Definition 3. Let B_1, B_2 be matrices with $\{0, \pm 1\}$ entries expressed as $B_1 = \boxed{\frac{A_1}{x}}$ and $B_2 = \boxed{y \mid A_2}$, where x is a row vector, y is a column vector, and A_1, A_2 are matrices of appropriate dimensions. Let D be the outer product of y and x. The 2-sum of B_1 and B_2 is defined as

$$B_1 \oplus_{2,x,y} B_2 = \boxed{\begin{array}{c|c} A_1 & 0 \\ \hline D & A_2 \end{array}}.$$

Definition 4. Given $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we say that a matrix A is k-TU if every square submatrix of A of size k has determinant in $\{0, \pm 1\}$.

Remark 5. Note that a matrix is TU if and only if it is k-TU for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$.

Lemma 6. Let B_1 and B_2 be TU matrices and let $B = B_1 \oplus_{2,x,y} B_2$. Then B is 1-TU and 2-TU.

Proof. To see that B is 1-TU, note that B is a $\{0,\pm 1\}$ matrix by construction.

To show that B is 2-TU, let V be a 2×2 submatrix V of B. If V is a submatrix of A_1 ,

 $A_1 \ 0$, or A_2 , then $\det V \in \{0, \pm 1\}$, as all of those four matrices are TU. Otherwise V shares exactly one row and one column index with both A_1 and A_2 . Let i be the row shared by V and A_1 and j be the column shared by V and A_2 . Note that $V_{ij} = 0$. Thus, up to sign, det V equals the product of the entries on the diagonal not containing V_{ij} . Since both of those entries are in $\{0,\pm 1\}$, we have det $V \in \{0,\pm 1\}$.

Lemma 7. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Suppose that for any TU matrices B_1 and B_2 their 2-sum $B = B_1 \oplus_{2,x,y} B_2$ is ℓ -TU for every $\ell < k$. Then for any TU matrices B_1 and B_2 their 2-sum $B = B_1 \oplus_{2,x,y} B_2$ is also k-TU.

Proof. For the sake of deriving a contradiction, suppose there exist TU matrices B_1 and B_2 such that their

2-sum $B = B_1 \oplus_{2,x,y} B_2$ is not k-TU. Then B contains a $k \times k$ submatrix V with $\det V \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$. Note that V cannot be a submatrix of $A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_1 \cap A_2 \cap$ are TU. Thus, V shares at least one row and one column index with A_1 and A_2 each.

Consider the row of V whose index appears in A_1 . Note that it cannot consist of only 0 entries, as otherwise det V=0. Thus there exists a ± 1 entry shared by V and A_1 . Let r and c denote the row and column index of this entry, respectively.

Perform a rational pivot in B on the element B_{rc} . For every object, its modified counterpart after pivoting is denoted by the same symbol with an added tilde; for example, \vec{B} denotes the entire matrix after the pivot. Note that after pivoting the following statements hold:

- $\begin{vmatrix} A_1 \\ \tilde{D} \end{vmatrix}$ is TU, since TUness is preserved by pivoting.
- $\tilde{A}_2 = A_2$, i.e., A_2 remains unchanged. This holds because of the 0 block in B.
- \tilde{D} consists of copies of y scaled by factors in $\{0,\pm 1\}$. This can be verified via a case distinction and a simple calculation.
- $\tilde{D} \mid \tilde{A}_2 \mid$ is TU, since this matrix consists of A_2 and copies of y scaled by factors $\{0, \pm 1\}$.
- \tilde{D} can be represented as an outer product of a column vector \tilde{y} and a row vector \tilde{x} , and we can define $\tilde{B}_1 = \frac{\tilde{A}_1}{\tilde{x}}$ and $\tilde{B}_2 = \frac{\tilde{y}}{\tilde{y}} = \frac{\tilde{A}_2}{\tilde{A}_2}$ similar to B_1 and B_2 , respectively. Note that \tilde{B}_1 and \tilde{B}_2 have the same size as $\overline{B_1}$ and B_2 , respectively, are both TU, and satisfy $\tilde{B} = \tilde{B}_1 \oplus_{2,\tilde{x},\tilde{y}} \tilde{B}_2$.
- \tilde{B} contains a square submatrix \tilde{V} of size k-1 with $\det \tilde{V} \notin \{0,\pm 1\}$. Indeed, by Corollary 2 from Lemma 1, pivoting in V on the element B_{rc} results in a matrix containing a $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ submatrix V'' with det $V'' \in \{0, \pm 1\}$. Since V is a submatrix of B, the submatrix V'' corresponds to a submatrix \tilde{V} of \tilde{B} with the same property.

To sum up, after pivoting we obtain a matrix \tilde{B} that represents a 2-sum of TU matrices B_1 and B_2 and contains a square submatrix of size k-1 with determinant not in $\{0,\pm 1\}$. This is a contradiction with (k-1)-TUness of B, which proves the lemma.

Lemma 8. Let B_1 and B_2 be TU matrices. Then $B_1 \oplus_{2,x,y} B_2$ is also TU.

Proof. Proof by induction.

Proposition for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$: For any TU matrices B_1 and B_2 , their 2-sum $B = B_1 \oplus_{2,x,y} B_2$ is ℓ -TU for every $\ell \leq k$.

Base: The Proposition holds for k = 1 and k = 2 by Lemma 6.

Step: If the Proposition holds for some k, then it also holds for k+1 by Lemma 7.

Conclusion: For any TU matrices B_1 and B_2 , their 2-sum $B_1 \oplus_{2,x,y} B_2$ is k-TU for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Thus, $B_1 \oplus_{2,x,y} B_2$ is TU.

2 The 3-Sum of Regular Matroids Is Regular

2.1 Definition of 3-Sum

Definition 9. Let $B_1^{(0)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{(X_1 \cup \{x_0, x_1\}) \times (Y_1 \cup \{y_2\})}, B_2^{(0)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{(X_2 \cup \{x_2\}) \times (Y_2 \cup \{y_0, y_1\})}$ be matrices of the form

where $D_0^{(0)}(x_0, y_0) = 1$, $D_0^{(0)}(x_0, y_1) \in \{0, 1\}$, $D_0^{(0)}(x_1, y_0) = 0$, and $D_0^{(0)}(x_1, y_1) = 1$. Let $D_{12}^{(0)} = D_2^{(0)} \cdot (D_0^{(0)})^{-1} \cdot D_1^{(0)}$ (note that $D_0^{(0)}$ is invertible by construction). Then the 3-sum of $B_1^{(0)}$ and $B_2^{(0)}$ is

$$B^{(0)} = B_1^{(0)} \oplus_3 B_2^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1^{(0)} & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline D_1^{(0)} & D_0^{(0)} & 1 \\ \hline D_{12}^{(0)} & D_2^{(0)} \end{bmatrix}_{A_2^{(0)}} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{(X_1 \cup X_2) \times (Y_1 \cup Y_2)}.$$

Here $x_2 \in X_1, x_0, x_1 \in X_2, y_0, y_1 \in Y_1, y_2 \in Y_2, A_1^{(0)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{X_1 \times Y_1}, A_2^{(0)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{X_2 \times Y_2}, D_1^{(0)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\{x_0, x_1\} \times \{Y_1 \setminus \{y_0, y_1\}\}}, D_2^{(0)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\{x_0, x_1\} \times \{y_0, y_1\}}, D_{12}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\{X_2 \setminus \{x_0, x_1\}\} \times \{y_0, y_1\}}, D_{12}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\{X_2 \setminus \{x_0, x_1\}\} \times \{y_0, y_1\}}.$ The indexing is kept consistent between $B_1^{(0)}, B_2^{(0)}$, and $B^{(0)}$. To simplify notation, we use the following shorthands:

$$D_{1,12}^{(0)} = \boxed{\begin{array}{c} D_1^{(0)} \\ D_{12}^{(0)} \end{array}}, \quad D_{0,2}^{(0)} = \boxed{\begin{array}{c} D_0^{(0)} \\ D_2^{(0)} \end{array}}, \quad D_{1,0}^{(0)} = \boxed{\begin{array}{c} D_1^{(0)} & D_0^{(0)} \\ D_2^{(0)} \end{array}}, \quad D_{12,2}^{(0)} = \boxed{\begin{array}{c} D_{12}^{(0)} & D_2^{(0)} \\ D_2^{(0)} \end{array}}, \quad D^{(0)} = \boxed{\begin{array}{c} D_1^{(0)} & D_0^{(0)} \\ D_{12}^{(0)} & D_2^{(0)} \end{array}}$$

The following lemma justifies the additional assumption on the entries of $D_0^{(0)}$.

can omit

Lemma 10. Let $D_0^{(0)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{2 \times 2}$ be non-singular. Then (up to row and column indices)

Proof. Verify by complete enumeration.

 \square need details?

2.2 Construction of Canonical Signing

Definition 11. We call B_1 and B_2 canonical signings of $B_1^{(0)}$ and $B_2^{(0)}$, respectively, if they have the form

where every block in B_1 and B_2 is a signing of the corresponding block in $B_1^{(0)}$ and $B_2^{(0)}$, and D_0 is the canonical signing of $D_0^{(0)}$, which is defined as follows:

if
$$D_0^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 then $D_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$, if $D_0^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ then $D_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Given canonical signings B_1 and B_2 , the corresponding canonical signing of $B^{(0)}$ is defined as

$$B = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline A_1 & 0 \\ \hline & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline D_1 & D_0 & 1 \\ \hline D_{12} & D_2 & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

where $D_{12} = D_2 \cdot (D_0)^{-1} \cdot D_1$ (calculated over \mathbb{Q}).

The following lemma helps construct canonical signings from arbitrary initial TU signings.

Lemma 12. Let Q' be a TU signing of the matrix

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline D_0^{(0)} & 1 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{(x_0, x_1, x_2) \times (y_0, y_1, y_2)}$$

where $D_0^{(0)}(x_0, y_0) = 1$, $D_0^{(0)}(x_0, y_1) \in \{0, 1\}$, $D_0^{(0)}(x_1, y_0) = 0$, and $D_0^{(0)}(x_1, y_1) = 1$. Define $u \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}}$, $v \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{\{y_0, y_1, y_2\}}$, and Q as follows:

$$u(x_0) = Q'(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0, y_0),$$

$$u(x_1) = Q'(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0, y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0, y_2) \cdot Q'(x_1, y_2),$$

$$u(x_2) = 1,$$

$$v(y_0) = Q'(x_2, y_0),$$

$$v(y_1) = Q'(x_2, y_1),$$

$$v(y_2) = Q'(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0, y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0, y_2),$$

$$\forall i \in \{x_0, x_1, x_2\}, \ \forall j \in \{y_0, y_1, y_2\}, \quad Q(i, j) = Q'(i, j) \cdot u(i) \cdot v(j).$$

Then Q is a TU signing of T and $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ D_0 & 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ where D_0 is the respective canonical signing of $D_0^{(0)}$.

Proof. Since Q' is a TU signing of T and Q is obtained from Q' by multiplying rows and columns by ± 1 factors, Q is also a TU signing of T. By construction, we have

$$\begin{split} &Q(x_2,y_0) = Q'(x_2,y_0) \cdot 1 \cdot Q'(x_2,y_0) = 1, \\ &Q(x_2,y_1) = Q'(x_2,y_1) \cdot 1 \cdot Q'(x_2,y_1) = 1, \\ &Q(x_2,y_2) = 0, \\ &Q(x_0,y_0) = Q'(x_0,y_0) \cdot (Q'(x_2,y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0,y_0)) \cdot Q'(x_2,y_0) = 1, \\ &Q(x_0,y_1) = Q'(x_0,y_1) \cdot (Q'(x_2,y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0,y_0)) \cdot Q'(x_2,y_1), \\ &Q(x_0,y_2) = Q'(x_0,y_2) \cdot (Q'(x_2,y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0,y_0)) \cdot (Q'(x_2,y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0,y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0,y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0,y_0) = 1, \\ &Q(x_1,y_0) = 0, \\ &Q(x_1,y_1) = Q'(x_1,y_1) \cdot (Q'(x_2,y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0,y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0,y_2) \cdot Q'(x_1,y_2)) \cdot (Q'(x_2,y_1)), \\ &Q(x_1,y_2) = Q'(x_1,y_2) \cdot (Q'(x_2,y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0,y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0,y_2) \cdot Q'(x_1,y_2)) \cdot (Q'(x_2,y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0,y_2)) = 1. \end{split}$$

Thus, it remains to check that $Q(x_0, y_1)$ and $Q(x_1, y_1)$ are correct.

First, consider the entry $Q(x_0, y_1)$. If $D_0^{(0)}(x_0, y_1) = 0$, then $Q(x_0, y_1) = 0$, as needed. Otherwise, if $D_0^{(0)}(x_0,y_1)=1$, then $Q(x_0,y_1)\in\{\pm 1\}$, as Q is a signing of T. Our goal is to show that $Q(x_0,y_1)=1$. For the sake of deriving a contradiction suppose that $Q(x_0, y_1) = -1$. Then the determinant of the submatrix of Q indexed by $\{x_0, x_2\} \times \{y_0, y_1\}$ is

$$\det \boxed{\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & -1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 \end{array}} = 2 \notin \{0, \pm 1\},$$

which contradicts TUness of Q. Thus, $Q(x_0, y_1) = 1$, as needed.

Consider the entry $Q(x_1, y_1)$. Since Q is a signing of T, we have $Q(x_1, y_1) \in \{\pm 1\}$. Note that we know all the other entries of Q, so we can determine the sign of $Q(x_1, y_1)$ using TUness of Q. Consider two cases.

1. Suppose that
$$D_0^{(0)} = \boxed{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1 & 0 \\\hline 0 & 1 \end{array}}$$
. If $Q(x_1, y_1) = 1$, then $\det Q = \det \boxed{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1 & 1 & 0 \\\hline 1 & 0 & 1 \\\hline 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array}} = -2 \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$, which contradicts TUness of Q . Thus, $Q(x_1, y_1) = -1$, as needed.

contradicts TUness of Q. Thus, $Q(x_1, y_1) = 1$, as needed

Definition 13. Let X and Y be sets with $\{x_0, x_1, x_2\} \subseteq X$ and $\{y_0, y_1, y_2\} \subseteq Y$. Let $Q' \in \mathbb{Q}^{X \times Y}$ be a TU signing of $Q^{(0)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{X \times Y}$. Let $u \in \{0, \pm 1\}^X$, $v \in \{0, \pm 1\}^Y$, and Q be constructed as follows:

$$u(i) = \begin{cases} Q'(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0, y_0), & i = x_0, \\ Q'(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0, y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0, y_2) \cdot Q'(x_1, y_2), & i = x_1, \\ 1, & i = x_2, \\ 1, & i \in X \setminus \{x_0, x_1, x_2\}, \end{cases}$$

$$v(j) = \begin{cases} Q'(x_2, y_0), & j = y_0, \\ Q'(x_2, y_1), & j = y_1, \\ Q'(x_2, y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0, y_0) \cdot Q'(x_0, y_2), & j = y_2, \\ 1, & j \in Y \setminus \{y_0, y_1, y_2\}, \end{cases}$$

$$\forall i \in X, \ \forall i \in Y, \ Q(i, i) = Q'(i, i) \cdot u(i) \cdot v(i).$$

We call Q a canonical resigning of Q'.

Lemma 14. Let B'_1 be a TU signing of $B_1^{(0)}$. Let B_1 be the canonical resigning (constructed following Definition 13) of B'_1 . Then B_1 is a canonical signing of $B_1^{(0)}$ (in the sense of Definition 11) and B_1 is TU. Going forward, we refer to B_1 as a TU canonical signing for short of $B_1^{(0)}$. A TU canonical signing B_2 of $B_2^{(0)}$ is defined similarly (up to replacing subscripts 1 by 2).

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 12.

Lemma 15. Let B_2 be a TU canonical signing of $B_2^{(0)}$. Let $c_0 = (D_{0,2})_{\bullet,y_0}$ and $c_1 = (D_{0,2})_{\bullet,y_1}$. Then the following matrices are TU:

$$B_2^{(a)} = \begin{bmatrix} c_0 - c_1 & c_0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2^{(b)} = \begin{bmatrix} c_0 - c_1 & c_1 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof. Pivoting in B_2 on (x_2, y_0) and (x_2, y_1) yields:

By removing row x_2 from the resulting matrices and then multiplying columns y_0 and y_1 by $\{\pm 1\}$ factors, we obtain $B_2^{(a)}$ and $B_2^{(b)}$. By Lemma 14, B_2 is TU. Since TUness is preserved under pivoting, taking submatrices, and multiplying columns by ± 1 factors, we conclude that $B_2^{(a)}$ and $B_2^{(b)}$ are TU.

Lemma 16. Let B_2 be a TU canonical signing of $B_2^{(0)}$. Let $c_0 = D_{0,2}(\bullet, y_0)$, $c_1 = D_{0,2}(\bullet, y_1)$, and $c_2 = c_0 - c_1$. Then the following properties hold.

- 1. For every $i \in X_2$, we have $\boxed{c_0(i) \mid c_1(i)} \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{\{y_0, y_1\}} \setminus \{\boxed{1} \mid -1 \ , \boxed{-1} \mid 1 \ \}.$
- 2. $A_2 \mid c_0 \mid c_1 \mid c_2$ is TU.

Proof. 1. Let $i \in X_2$. If $\boxed{c_0(i) \mid c_1(i)} = \boxed{1 \mid -1}$, then the 2×2 submatrix of B_2 indexed by $\{x_2, i\} \times \{y_0, y_1\}$ has det $\boxed{1 \mid 1 \mid -1} = -2 \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$, which contradicts TUness of B_2 (which holds by Lemma 14). Similarly, if $\boxed{c_0(i) \mid c_1(i)} = \boxed{-1 \mid 1}$, then the 2×2 submatrix of B_2 indexed by $\{x_2, i\} \times \{y_0, y_1\}$ has det $\boxed{1 \mid 1 \mid -1 \mid 1} = 2 \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$, which contradicts TUness of B_2 .

2. Let V be a square submatrix of A_2 c_0 c_1 c_2 . We will show that $\det V \in \{0, \pm 1\}$.

Let z denote the index of the appended column c_2 . Suppose that column z is not in V. Then V is a submatrix of B_2 , which is TU by Lemma 14. Thus, det $V \in \{0, \pm 1\}$. Going forward we assume that column z is in V.

Suppose that columns y_0 and y_1 are both in V. Then V contains columns z, y_0 , and y_1 , which are linearly dependent by construction of c_2 . Thus, det V = 0. Going forward we assume that at most one of the columns y_0 and y_2 is in V.

Suppose that column y_0 is in V. Then V is a submatrix of $B_2^{(b)}$ from Lemma 15, and thus $\det V \in \{0, \pm 1\}$. Otherwise, V is a submatrix of $B_2^{(a)}$ from Lemma 15, and so $\det V \in \{0, \pm 1\}$.

Thus, every square submatrix V of \tilde{T} has det $V \in \{0, \pm 1\}$, and hence \tilde{T} is TU.

Remark 17. Vectors c_0 , c_1 , and c_2 can be defined directly in terms of entries of B_2 , e.g., c_2 consists of entries of B_2 indexed by $(X_2 \setminus \{x_2\}) \times \{y_0\}$.

Lemma 18. Let B_1 be a TU canonical signing of $B_1^{(0)}$. Let $d_0 = D_{1,0}(x_0, \bullet)$, $d_1 = D_{1,0}(x_1, \bullet)$, and $d_2 = d_0 - d_1$. Then the following properties hold.

- 1. For every $j \in Y_2$, we have $\boxed{\frac{d_0(i)}{d_1(i)}} \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{\{x_0, x_1\}} \setminus \{\boxed{\frac{1}{-1}}, \boxed{\frac{-1}{1}}\}.$

Proof. Apply Lemma 16 to B_1^{\top} , or repeat the same argument up to interchanging rows and columns.

Lemma 19. Let B_1 and B_2 be TU canonical signings of $B_1^{(0)}$ and $B_2^{(0)}$, respectively.

- Let $c_0 = D_{0,2}(\bullet, y_0)$, $c_1 = D_{0,2}(\bullet, y_1)$, and $c_2 = c_0 c_1$.
- Let $d_0 = D_{1,0}(x_0, \bullet)$, $d_1 = D_{1,0}(x_1, \bullet)$, and $d_2 = d_0 d_1$.
- If $D_0^{(0)} = \boxed{ \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} }$, let $r_0 = d_0, \ r_1 = -d_1, \ r_2 = d_2.$ If $D_0^{(0)} = \boxed{ \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} }$, let $r_0 = d_2, \ r_1 = d_1, \ r_2 = d_0.$
- Let D be the bottom-left block in the canonical signing B of $B^{(0)}$ corresponding to B_1 and B_2

Then the following properties hold.

- 1. $D = c_0 \cdot r_0 + c_1 \cdot r_1$.
- 2. Rows of D are in $\begin{array}{c}
 \pm r_0 \\
 \pm r_1 \\
 \pm r_2 \\
 \hline
 0
 \end{array}$
- 3. Columns of D are in $\boxed{\pm c_0 \mid \pm c_1 \mid \pm c_2 \mid 0}$.
- 4. $A_2 \mid c_0 \mid c_1 \mid c_2$ is TU.
- 5. $A_2 D$ is TU.
- 7. $A_1 \over D$ is TU.
- 8. c_0 contains D_0 (the canonical signing of $D_0^{(0)}$) as a submatrix.

Proof. 1. Follows via a direct calculation.

nat

need details

- 2. By item 1, for every $i \in X_2$ we have $D(i, \bullet) = c_0(i) \cdot r_0 + c_1(i) \cdot r_1$. By Lemma 15.1, we know that $c_0(i) \mid c_1(i) \mid \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{\{y_0, y_1\}} \setminus \{\boxed{1 \mid -1}, \boxed{-1 \mid 1}\}$. Therefore, $D(i, \bullet)$ is equal to either $0, \pm r_0, \pm r_1, \cdots \in (r_0 + r_1) = \pm r_2$.
- 3. Holds by the same argument as item 2 up to interchanging rows and columns.

- 4. Holds by Lemma 16.2.
- 5. By item 3, columns of $A_2 \mid D$ are in A_2 $\pm c_0$ $\pm c_1 \mid \pm c_2 \mid 0 \mid$. Since $A_2 \mid c_0 \mid c_1 \mid c_2 \mid$ is TU and since adding zero columns and copies of columns multiplied by ± 1 factors preserves TUness, A_2 is also TU.
- 6. By Lemma 18.2 (or by the same argument as item 4 up to interchanging rows and columns),

•	(0	-	0 0	, ,	
A_1	Λ_1				A_1		
d_0	$\frac{d_0}{d_1}$ is TU. Since TUness is preserved under multiplication of rows by ± 1 and exchanging						r_0
d_1	ls 10. Since 10 ness is	preserved under mur	munipheadon or	IOWS Dy ±1	ind exchanging rows,	r_1	
d_2	$\overline{d_2}$						r_2
is a	so TU.						

- 7. Holds by the same argument as item 5 up to interchanging rows and columns.
- 8. Holds by construction.

Definition 20. Let $A_1 \in \mathbb{Q}^{X_1 \cup Y_1}$, $A_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^{X_2 \cup Y_2}$, $c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{Q}^{X_2}$, $r_0, r_1 \in \mathbb{Q}^{Y_1}$. Let $D = c_0 \cdot r_0 + c_1 \cdot r_1$. Suppose that properties 2–8 from the statement of Lemma 19 are satisfied for A_1 , A_2 , c_0 , c_1 , r_0 , r_1 . Given $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, define Proposition $(A_1, A_2, c_0, c_1, r_0, r_1, k)$ to mean " $C = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ D & A_2 \end{bmatrix}$ is k-TU".

Lemma 21. Assume the notation of Definition 20. Then Proposition $(A_1, A_2, c_0, c_1, r_0, r_1, 1)$ holds.

Proof. Every entry of C is in one of four blocks: $0, A_1, D, A_2$. By the assumptions of Definition 20, all of these blocks are TU. Thus, C is 1-TU.

Lemma 22. Assume the notation of Definition 20. Let $i \in X_1$, let $T = \boxed{\frac{A_1(i, \bullet)}{D}}$. Suppose we pivot on entry $T(i, j) \in \{\pm 1\}$ in T and obtain matrix $T' = \boxed{\frac{a'}{D'}}$. Then columns of D' are in $\boxed{\pm c_0 \pm c_1 \pm (c_0 - c_1) \mid 0}$.

Proof. Since T is a submatrix of A_1 , which is TU by assumptions of Definition 20, we have that T is TU.

Since pivoting preserves TUness, \overline{T}' is also TU. To prove the claim, perform an exhaustive case distinction on what pivot column p in T could be and what another column q in T could be. This uniquely determines the resulting columns p' and q' in T' by the pivot formula. In every case, either p' q' contains a submatrix with determinant not in $\{0,\pm 1\}$, which contradicts TUness of T', or the restriction of p' and q' to X_2 is in $|\pm c_0| \pm c_1 |\pm (c_0-c_1) |0|$. need details?

Lemma 23. Assume the notation of Definition 20. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Suppose Proposition $(A'_1, A_2, c_0, c_1, r'_0, r'_1, k-1)$ 1) holds for all A'_1 , r'_0 , and r'_1 satisfying the assumptions of Definition 20 (together with A_2 , c_0 , and c_1). Then Proposition $(A_1, A_2, c_0, c_1, r_0, r_1, k)$ holds.

Proof. Let V be a $k \times k$ submatrix of C. For the sake of deriving a contradiction assume that $\det V \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$. Suppose that V is a submatrix of A_1 , A_1 , A_2 , or A_2 . Since all of those four matrices are TU by the assumptions of Definition 20, we have $\det V \in \{0, \pm 1\}$. Thus, V shares at least one row and one column index with A_1 and A_2 each.

Consider the row index shared by V and A_1 . Note that this row in V cannot consist of only 0 entries, as otherwise det V=0. Thus, there exists a ± 1 entry shared by V and A_1 . Let i and j denote the row and the column index of this entry, respectively.

Perform a pivot in C on the element C(i,j). For every object, its modified counterpart after pivoting is denoted by the same symbol with a prime; for example, B' denotes the entire matrix after the pivot. Note that the following statements hold.

- C' contains a $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ submatrix V' with det $V' \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$. This holds by the same argument as for the 2-sum: look at the submatrix V' of C' with the same row and column index sets as V minus the pivot row i and pivot column j.
- A_1' is TU. This holds by the same argument as for the 2-sum: TUness is preserved under pivoting.
- The columns of D' are in $0 \pm c_0 \pm c_1 \pm c_0$. This holds by Lemma 22.
- There exist r'_0 and r'_1 such that $D' = c_0 \cdot r'_0 + c_1 \cdot r'_1$ and the assumptions of Definition 20 are satisfied for A'_1 , A_2 , c_0 , c_1 , r'_0 , r'_1 . This follows from the previous bullet point by carefully checking assumptions. need details?
- C' is (k-1)-TU. This follows from the hypothesis: Proposition $(A'_1, A_2, c_0, c_1, r'_0, r'_1, k-1)$ holds.

To sum up, after pivoting we obtain a matrix C' (which can be obtained in the manner of Definition 20) that is (k-1)-TU and contains a $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ submatrix V' with det $V' \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$. This contradiction proves the lemma.

Lemma 24. Let B_1 and B_2 be TU canonical signings. Then the corresponding canonical signing B is TU.

Proof. Define A_1 , A_2 , c_0 , c_1 , r_0 , r_1 as in Lemma 19. Note that canonical signing B has the form of C in the notation of Definition 20.

Proof by induction.

Proposition for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$: Proposition $(A'_1, A_2, c_0, c_1, r'_0, r'_1, k)$ holds for all A'_1, r'_0 , and r'_1 satisfying the assumptions of Definition 20.

Base: The Proposition holds for k = 1 by Lemma 21.

Step: If the Proposition holds for some k, then it also holds for k+1 by Lemma 23.

Conclusion: Proposition $(A'_1, A_2, c_0, c_1, r'_0, r'_1, k)$ holds for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$.

Specializing the conclusion to A_1 , A_2 , c_0 , c_1 , r_0 , r_1 (obtained from $\bar{B_1}$ and B_2 as described in the statement of Lemma 19) shows that canonical signing B is k-TU for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Thus, B is TU.

Corollary 25. Suppose that $B_1^{(0)}$ and $B_2^{(0)}$ have TU signings. Then $B_1 \oplus_3 B_2$ has a TU signing.

Proof sketch. Start with some TU signings, obtain canonical signings, apply Lemma 24. □