

# ST205A - Homework 6

Hoang Duong

October 11, 2014

**Problem 1.** Let  $(X_i)$  be independent,  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $S_n^* = \max_{i \leq n} |S_i|$ . Prove that:

$$\mathbb{P}[S_n^* > 2a] \leq \frac{\mathbb{P}[|S_n| > a]}{\min_{j \leq n} \mathbb{P}[|S_n - S_j| \leq a]}, a > 0$$

*Proof.* We have:

$$(|S_j| > 2a) \wedge (|S_n - S_j| \leq 2a) \Rightarrow (|S_n| > a)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\{ \omega \mid (|S_j(\omega)| > 2a) \wedge (|S_n(\omega) - S_j(\omega)| \leq a) \right\} \subset \left\{ \omega \mid (|S_n(\omega)| > a) \right\} \quad (*)$$

Let  $A_j = \left\{ \omega \mid (|S_j(\omega)| > 2a) \wedge (|S_k(\omega)| \leq 2a, \forall k \in \{1, \dots, j-1\}) \right\}$ , then  $A_j$  are disjoint.

Let  $B_j = \left\{ \omega \mid |S_n(\omega) - S_j(\omega)| \leq a \right\}$ . Since  $(*)$  is true for all  $j$ , we have:

$$\mathbb{P} \bigcup_{j=1}^n (A_j \cap B_j) \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \omega \mid (|S_n(\omega)| > a) \right\}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_j \cap B_j) \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \omega \mid (|S_n(\omega)| > a) \right\}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}[A_j] \mathbb{P}[B_j] \text{ because } \sigma(A_j) \subset \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_j) \text{ is independent with } \sigma(B_j) \subset \sigma(X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n).$$

$$\Rightarrow \min_{j \leq n} \mathbb{P}[B_j] \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}[A_j] \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \omega \mid (|S_n(\omega)| > a) \right\}. \text{ Now let } k = \arg \max_{i \leq n} |S_i|. \text{ Then } \mathbb{P}[S_n^* > 2a] =$$

$$\mathbb{P}[|S_k| > 2a] = \mathbb{P}[A_k].$$

Thus  $\mathbb{P}[S_n^* > 2a] \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}[A_j]$ . So:

$$\mathbb{P}[S_n^* > 2a] \min_{j \leq n} \mathbb{P}[|S_n - S_j| \leq a] \leq \min_{j \leq n} \mathbb{P}[B_j] \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}[A_j] \leq \mathbb{P}[|S_n| > a]. \quad \square$$

**Problem 2.** (i) If  $S_n$  converges in probability then  $S_n$  converges a.s.

(ii) If  $(X_i)$  are identically distributed and  $n^{-1}S_n \rightarrow 0$  in probability then  $n^{-1} \max_{m \leq n} S_m \rightarrow 0$  in probability,

*Proof.* (i) We state a lemma without proving, the proof is found in Chandra (2012) - The Borel-Cantelli Lemma book.

$$X_n \rightarrow X \text{ a.s. iff } \mathbb{P}[|X_n - X| > \epsilon \text{ i.o.}] = 0, \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

Assuming that  $S_n$  converges in probability, W.L.O.G, assume that  $S_n \rightarrow 0$ , otherwise we can change  $X_1$  by an appropriate amount. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. We have:

$$\mathbb{P}[|S_n| > \epsilon \text{ i.o.}] = \mathbb{P} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \left\{ \omega \mid |S_m(\omega)| > \epsilon \right\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \left\{ \omega \mid |S_m(\omega)| > \epsilon \right\} =$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \bigcup_{m=n}^N \left\{ \omega \mid |S_m(\omega)| > \epsilon \right\}$$

Fix an  $n$  here now consider:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P} \bigcup_{m=n}^N \left\{ \omega \mid |S_m(\omega)| > \epsilon \right\} &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \omega \mid \max_{n \leq m \leq N} |S_m| > \epsilon \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{\mathbb{P}[|S_N| > \epsilon/2]}{\min_{n \leq m \leq N} \mathbb{P}[|S_N - S_m| \leq \epsilon/2]} \quad (*) \\
&= \frac{\mathbb{P}[|S_N| > \epsilon/2]}{1 - \max_{n \leq m \leq N} \mathbb{P}[|S_N - S_m| > \epsilon/2]} \quad (**)
\end{aligned}$$

Where (\*) is true by applying what we prove in question 1 to the sequence  $(Y_k)$  defined as  $Y_0 = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ ,  $Y_1 = X_{n+1}$ ,  $Y_2 = X_{n+2}$  and so on.

As  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , the numerator of (\*\*)  $\rightarrow 0$  because  $S_n \rightarrow 0$  in probability (implies  $|S_n| \rightarrow 0$  in probability). For the denominator, we can bound  $\max_{n \leq m \leq N} \mathbb{P}[|S_N - S_m| > \epsilon/2]$  within any arbitrary range  $[0, a]$ ,  $a > 0$ , because  $|S_n| \rightarrow 0$  in probability, thus  $\exists N_0, \forall m, N > N_0, \mathbb{P}[|S_m| > \epsilon/4] < a, \mathbb{P}[|S_m| > \epsilon/4] < a$ , which implies  $\mathbb{P}[|S_N - S_m| > \epsilon/2] < a$ , because the event  $|S_n - S_m| > \epsilon/2$  implies  $|S_m| > \epsilon/4 \vee \mathbb{P}[|S_m| > \epsilon/4]$ .

In conclusion,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \bigcup_{m=n}^N \left\{ \omega \mid |S_m(\omega)| > \epsilon \right\} = 0$  as the numerator of (\*\*) goes to zero and the denominator is bounded around 1.

(ii) Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Since  $(X_i)$  are i.i.d, we have:

$$\min_{j \leq n} \mathbb{P} \left[ \frac{|S_n - S_j|}{n} \leq \epsilon \right] = \min_{j \leq n-1} \mathbb{P} \left[ \frac{|S_j|}{n} \leq \epsilon \right] \geq \min_{j \leq n-1} \mathbb{P} \left[ \frac{|S_j|}{j} \leq \epsilon \right]$$

Since we have:  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[ \frac{|S_j|}{j} > \epsilon \right] = 0$ . Pick  $1/2$  as an arbitrary choice of number between 0 and 1, then  $\exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:  $\forall j \geq N_0, \mathbb{P} \left[ \frac{|S_j|}{j} > \epsilon \right] < 1/2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{P} \left[ \frac{|S_j|}{j} \leq \epsilon \right] \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

We now use the previous observation that the inequality in question 1 also holds true when changing form to:

$$\mathbb{P}[S_N > 2a] \leq \frac{\mathbb{P}[|S_N| > a]}{\min_{N_0 \leq j \leq N} \mathbb{P}[|S_N - S_j| \leq a]}, a > 0.$$

Thus we have  $\forall N \geq N_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P} \left[ N^{-1} \max_{m \leq N} S_m > \epsilon \right] &\leq \mathbb{P}[S_N^* > N\epsilon] \\
&\leq \frac{\mathbb{P}[|S_N| > N\epsilon/2]}{\min_{N_0 \leq j \leq N} \mathbb{P}[|S_N - S_j| \leq N\epsilon/2]}
\end{aligned}$$

The denominator as proved above is  $\geq 1/2$  for  $\forall N \geq N_0$ . And the numerator goes to zero as  $n^{-1}S_n \rightarrow 0$  in probability implying  $n^{-1}|S_n| \rightarrow 0$  in probability.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *Stolz-Cesaro Theorem for sequences going to infinity.*

Let  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  be two sequences of real numbers. Assuming that  $(b_n)$  is strictly increasing and divergent sequence. If:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1} - a_n}{b_{n+1} - b_n} = l$$

Then:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = l$$

*Stolz-Cesaro Theorem for sequences going to zero.*

Let  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  be two sequences of real numbers. Assuming that  $(b_n)$  is strictly decreasing to zero. If:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n - a_{n+1}}{b_n - b_{n+1}} = l$$

Then:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = l$$

**Problem 3.**  $(X_i)$  be i.i.d taking values in  $\{-1, 1, 3, 7, 15, \dots\}$ . And

$$\mathbb{P}[X_1 = 2^k - 1] = \frac{1}{k(k+1)2^k}, k \geq 1$$

*Proof.* (a) We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}X_1 &= -1 \times \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[X_1 = 2^k - 1]\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^k - 1) \mathbb{P}[X_1 = 2^k - 1] \\ &= -1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^k}{k(k+1)2^k} \\ &= -1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1}\right) \\ &= -1 + 1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(b) We use the following Theorem, which is derived from Theorem 2.2.6 in Durrett:

Let  $(X_n)$  be i.i.d random variables,  $b(n)$  be s.t. for  $\bar{X}_n = X_n \mathbb{I}\{|X_n| \leq b_n\}$  and:

(i)  $n\mathbb{P}[|X_n| > b_n] \rightarrow 0$

(ii)  $\frac{n}{b_n^2} \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_n^2] \rightarrow 0$

Then  $\frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} \rightarrow 0$  where  $a_n = n\mathbb{E}\bar{X}_n$ .

For our problem, let  $b_n = 2^{m(n)}$  where  $m(n) = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} : 2^{-m}m^{-3/2} \leq n^{-1}\}$  as suggested in Durrett's book. For simplicity of notation, we denote  $p_k = \mathbb{P}[X_1 = 2^k - 1], k \geq 1, p_0 = \mathbb{P}[X_1 = -1]$ . We will check the first condition. For  $n$  big enough (so we don't have to worry about  $X = -1$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} n\mathbb{P}[|X_n| > b_n] &= n\mathbb{P}[|X_n| > 2^{m(n)}] \\ &= n(p_{m(n)+1} + p_{m(n)+2} + \dots) \\ &\leq 2^{m(n)} m^{3/2}(n) \sum_{k=m(n)+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)2^k} \\ &= 2^m m^{3/2} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)2^k} \text{ (For simplicity of notation)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)2^k}}{\frac{1}{2^m m^{3/2}}} := \frac{A_m}{B_m} \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Stolz-Cesaro theorem for two sequences going to zero, and noticing that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_m - A_{m+1}}{B_m - B_{m+1}} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{(m+1)(m+2)2^{m+1}}}{\frac{1}{2^m m^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{2^{m+1}(m+1)^{3/2}}} \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m^{3/2}(m+1)^{3/2}}{(m+1)(m+2)(2(m+1)^{3/2} - m^{3/2})} \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)^{m/2} / m^{1/2}}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2}{m}\right) \left(2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)^{3/2} - 1\right)} \quad (\text{Dividing by } m^{7/2}) \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m^{1/2}} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_m}{B_m} = 0$  by the Stolz-Cesaro Theorem. And it is obvious that  $n \rightarrow \infty \Leftrightarrow m \rightarrow \infty$ , also our original sequence which is always non-negative is bounded by a sequence that is going to zero. Thus we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\mathbb{P}[|X_n| > b_n] = 0$ .

Now we check the second condition. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{b_n^2} \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_n^2] &\leq \frac{n}{b_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{m(n)} p_k (2^k - 1)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{n}{b_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{m(n)} p_k 2^{2k} \\
&\leq \frac{2^{m(n)} m^{3/2}(n)}{2^{2m(n)}} \sum_{k=1}^{m(n)} p_k 2^{2k} \\
&= \frac{2^m m^{3/2}}{2^{2m}} \sum_{k=1}^m p_k 2^{2k} \quad (\text{Simplify notation}) \\
&= \frac{m^{3/2}}{2^m} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{2^{2k}}{k(k+1)2^k} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{2^k}{k(k+1)}}{\frac{2^m}{m^{3/2}}} := \frac{C_m}{D_m}
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Stolz-Cesaro for two sequences going to positive infinity, and noticing that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_{m+1} - C_m}{D_{m+1} - D_m} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{2^{m+1}}{(m+1)(m+2)}}{\frac{2^{m+1}}{(m+1)^{3/2}} - \frac{2^m}{m^{3/2}}} \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2(m+1)^{3/2} m^{3/2}}{(m+1)(m+2)(2m^{3/2} - (m+1)^{3/2})} \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m^{1/2}} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_m}{D_m} = 0$ . Again since  $m \rightarrow \infty \Leftrightarrow n \rightarrow \infty$ , and the sequence  $a_n = \frac{n}{b_n^2} \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_n^2]$  is always non-negative bounded above by a sequence going to zero, the sequence  $a_n$  is also going to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n &= n\mathbb{E}\bar{X}_n \\
&= n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m(n)} p_k(2^k - 1) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k - 1 \right) \\
&= n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m(n)} p_k 2^k + \sum_{k=m(n)+1}^{\infty} p_k - 1 \right) \\
&= n \left( 1 - \frac{1}{m(n)+1} + \sum_{k=m(n)+1}^{\infty} p_k - 1 \right) \\
&= n \left( \sum_{k=m(n)+1}^{\infty} p_k - \frac{1}{m(n)+1} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.6.6 in Durrett, we have  $\frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} \rightarrow 0$  in probability. We will argue that of the two term in  $a_n$ , the term  $n \sum_{k=m(n)+1}^{\infty} p_k$  is relatively “insignificant”. We consider the residual part:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{b_n} \sum_{k=m(n)+1}^{\infty} p_k &\leq \frac{2^{m(n)} m^{3/2}(n)}{2^{m(n)}} \sum_{k=m(n)+1}^{\infty} p_k \\
&= \frac{\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} p_k}{\frac{1}{m^{3/2}}} := \frac{E_m}{F_m}
\end{aligned}$$

Again applying the Stolz-Cesaro Theorem for two sequences going down to zero, and notice that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{E_m - E_{m+1}}{F_m - F_{m+1}} &= \frac{p_{m+1}}{\frac{1}{m^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{(m+1)^{3/2}}} \\
&= \frac{m^{3/2}(m+1)^{3/2}}{(m+1)(m+2)2^m((m+1)^{3/2} - m^{3/2})} \\
&= \frac{m^{3/2}(m+1)^{1/2}((m+1)^{3/2} + m^{3/2})}{(m+2)2^m((m+1)^3 - m^3)} \quad (\text{Squareroot Conjugate}) \\
&= \frac{O(m^{7/2})}{O(m^3)2^m} \\
\Rightarrow \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_m - E_{m+1}}{F_m - F_{m+1}} &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Because the term  $2^m$  dominate all other term. Thus  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_m}{F_m} = 0$

So we have the remaining part in  $\frac{S_n}{b_n} - \frac{a_n}{b_n}$  which is  $\frac{S_n}{b_n} - \frac{n}{b_n} \left( -\frac{1}{m(n)+1} \right)$  goes to zero in probability.

This means  $\frac{S_n}{b_n} + \frac{n}{b_n(m(n)+1)} \rightarrow 0$  in probability. By the construction of  $m(n)$ , we have:  $2^{m(n)-1}(m(n)-1)^{3/2} < n \leq 2^{m(n)} m^{3/2}(n)$ . So we can consider  $n \approx 2^{m(n)} m^{3/2}(n)$ . With this we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{S_n}{2^{m(n)}} + \frac{2^{m(n)}m^{3/2}(n)}{2^{m(n)}(m(n)+1)} \xrightarrow{P} 0 \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{S_n}{2^{m(n)}} + \frac{m^{3/2}(n)}{(m(n)+1)} \xrightarrow{P} 0 \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{S_n}{2^{m(n)}} + m^{1/2}(n) \xrightarrow{P} 0 \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{S_n}{2^{m(n)}m^{1/2}(n)} + 1 \xrightarrow{P} 0 \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{S_n}{2^{m(n)}m^{3/2}(n)/m(n)} + 1 \xrightarrow{P} 0 \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{S_n}{2^{m(n)}m^{3/2}(n) / \left( m(n) + \frac{3}{2} \log_2 m(n) \right)} + 1 \xrightarrow{P} 0 \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{S_n}{n/\log_2 n} + 1 \xrightarrow{P} 0
\end{aligned}$$

So  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P} \left[ \frac{S_n}{n/\log_2 n} + 1 \geq \epsilon \right] \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{P} \left[ \frac{S_n}{n/\log_2 n} + 1 < \epsilon \right] \rightarrow 1$   
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P} \left[ S_n < (\epsilon - 1) \frac{n}{\log_2 n} \right] \rightarrow 1$ . Let  $\alpha = 1 - \epsilon < 1$  then  $\mathbb{P} \left[ S_n < \alpha \frac{n}{\log_2 n} \right] \rightarrow 1$ .

Remark: For the last part when dealing with convergence in probability, we assume without proving a “lemma” that for  $(X_n)$  sequence of random variable,  $a_n, b_n$  sequence of real numbers, then:

- (i) If  $X_n + a_n + b_n \rightarrow X$  in probability, and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n} = 0$  then  $X_n + a_n \rightarrow X$  in probability.
- (ii) If  $X_n(a_n + b_n) \rightarrow X$  in probability, and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n} = 0$  then  $X_n a_n \rightarrow X$  in probability
- (iii) If  $\frac{X_n}{a_n + b_n} \rightarrow X$  in probability, and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n} = 0$  then  $X_n/a_n \rightarrow X$  in probability. □