

UC Berkeley  
Department of Statistics

STAT 210A: INTRODUCTION TO MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS

**Problem Set 8- Solutions**

Fall 2014

**Issued:** Oct 31

**Due:** Thursday, Nov 6

---

**Problem 8.1**

- (a) For  $\theta_i \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $X_i|\theta_i \sim B(m, \theta_i)$ , the joint distribution satisfies:

$$P(\theta_i, X_i) \propto p(\theta_i)p(X_i|\theta_i) \propto \theta_i^{\alpha+X_i-1}(1-\theta_i)^{\beta+m-X_i-1}.$$

Therefore  $\theta_i|X_i \propto \text{Beta}(\alpha + X_i, \beta + m - X_i)$ . The bayes estimator under quadratic loss is  $E(\theta_i|X_i) = (\alpha + X_i)/(\alpha + \beta + m)$ .

- (b) By tower property:  $EX_i = EE[X_i|\theta_i] = m\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}$  and

$$EX_i^2 = EE[X_i^2|\theta_i] = E[m\theta_i(1-\theta_i) + m^2\theta_i^2] = m\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} + m(m-1)\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\beta+1)}.$$

Natural estimator for  $EX_i$  and  $EX_i^2$  are  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{X}^2$ . Then solve the following equations:

$$\bar{X} = m\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}}, \quad \bar{X}^2 = m\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}} + m(m-1)\frac{\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\alpha} + 1)}{(\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta})(\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} + 1)}$$

which gives

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{\bar{X}(m\bar{X} - \bar{X}^2)}{m\bar{X}^2 - m\bar{X} - (m-1)(\bar{X})^2}, \quad \hat{\beta} = \frac{(m\bar{X} - \bar{X}^2)(m - \bar{X}^2)}{m\bar{X}^2 - m\bar{X} - (m-1)(\bar{X})^2}.$$

- (c) Plug in the  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\beta}$  yields the empirical bayes estimator:

$$\hat{\Theta}_i = \frac{\hat{\alpha} + X_i}{\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} + m}.$$

**Problem 8.2**

- a) Let  $T(X) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i$  and  $\phi(X) \in \{0, 1\}$  be any test such that:

$H_0$  is rejected if  $\phi(X) = 1$  and accepted otherwise;

$\mathbb{E}_\theta(\phi(X)) \geq \alpha$  for all  $\theta \leq \theta_0$ ;

We want to prove:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_1}(\phi(X)) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\theta_1}(\delta(X)), \quad \forall \theta_1 > \theta_0$$

To prove that, first notice that for any  $\theta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\theta(\phi(X)) &= \mathbb{E}_\theta(\phi(X)\mathbb{I}(T(X) \leq \theta_0)) + \mathbb{E}_\theta(\phi(X)\mathbb{I}(T(X) > \theta_0)) \\ \mathbb{E}_\theta(\delta(X)) &= \mathbb{E}_\theta(\delta(X)\mathbb{I}(T(X) \leq \theta_0)) + \mathbb{E}_\theta(\delta(X)\mathbb{I}(T(X) > \theta_0)) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi(X) \leq 1$  and  $T(X) > \theta_0$  implies  $\delta(X) = 1$ , we have:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_1}(\delta(X)\mathbb{I}(T(X) > \theta_0)) \geq \mathbb{E}_{\theta_1}(\phi(X)\mathbb{I}(T(X) > \theta_0))$$

It is then enough to prove that:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_1}[(\delta(X) - \phi(X))\mathbb{I}(T(X) \leq \theta_0)] \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } \theta_1 > \theta_0$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_1}[(\delta(X) - \phi(X))\mathbb{I}(T(X) \leq \theta_0)] &= \frac{\int (\delta(X) - \phi(X)) \mathbb{I}(x_i \leq \theta_0) \mathbb{I}(x_i \leq \theta_1) dx}{\theta_1^n} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta_1^n} \underbrace{\left[ \int (\delta(X) - \phi(X)) \mathbb{I}(x_i \leq \theta_0) dx \right]}_{h(\delta, \phi, \theta_0)} \\ &= \frac{h(\delta, \phi, \theta_0)}{\theta_1^n} \end{aligned}$$

and so, it is enough to prove that  $h(\delta, \phi, \theta_0) \geq 0$ . To prove that, notice:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h(\delta, \phi, \theta_0)}{\theta_0^n} &= \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}[(\delta(X) - \phi(X))\mathbb{I}(T(X) \leq \theta_0)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}[(\delta(X) - \phi(X))] \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where:

the second equality is due to the fact that  $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(X_i \geq \theta_0) = 0$ ;

the inequality follows from the fact that  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}\phi(X) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}\delta(X) = \alpha$ .

b) First, we show that  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}(\delta(X)) = \alpha$ . To do that notice that:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X_{(n)} \leq x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } x \in (-\infty, 0); \\ \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^n, & \text{for } x \in [0, 1]; \\ 1, & \text{for } x \in (1, \infty); \end{cases}$$

so:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}(\delta(X)) &= \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(T(X) \leq \theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n}}) + \underbrace{\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(T(X) > \theta_0)}_{=0} \\ &= \left(\frac{\theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\theta_0}\right)^n = \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Define  $\phi(X) \in \{0, 1\}$  to be any function satisfying:

$H_0$  is rejected if  $\phi(X) = 1$  and accepted otherwise;

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}(\phi(X)) \geq \alpha;$$

We want to prove:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_1}[(\delta(X) - \phi(X))] \geq 0, \quad \forall \theta_1 \neq \theta_0$$

As before, we partition the problem according to:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_1}[(\delta(X) - \phi(X))] &= \mathbb{E}_{\theta_1}[(\delta(X) - \phi(X)) \mathbb{I}(\theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq T(X) \leq \theta_0)] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}_{\theta_1}[(\delta(X) - \phi(X)) \mathbb{I}(T(X) \in (-\infty, \theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n}}) \cup (\theta_0, \infty))] \end{aligned}$$

Based on similar arguments as those used in item a, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_1}[(\delta(X) - \phi(X)) \mathbb{I}(T(X) \in (-\infty, \theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n}}) \cup (\theta_0, \infty))] \geq 0$$

so, it is enough to prove:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_1}[(\delta(X) - \phi(X)) \mathbb{I}(\theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq T(X) \leq \theta_0)], \quad \text{for } \theta_1 \geq \theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

For  $\theta_1 \geq \theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n}}$  and  $h$  as defined in item a:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_1}[(\delta(X) - \phi(X)) \mathbb{I}(\theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq T(X) \leq \theta_0)] = \frac{h(\delta, \phi, \theta_0)}{\theta^n}$$

Again, it is enough to prove that  $h(\delta, \phi, \theta_0) \geq 0$ . To prove that, notice that:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}[(\delta(X) - \phi(X)) \mathbb{I}(\theta_0 \alpha^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq T(X) \leq \theta_0)] = \frac{h(\delta, \phi, \theta_0)}{\theta_0^n} = \alpha - \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}(\phi(X)) \geq 0$$

**Problem 8.3**

- (a) Let  $Z_i = \frac{X_i}{t_i}$ . It follows that  $Z_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  are i.i.d. exponentially distributed random variables with  $\mathbb{E}_\beta(Z_i) = \beta$ . We know that the sufficient statistic is given by  $T(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$ , so using moment matching leads us to:

$$n\hat{\beta} = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\beta}} T(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$$

and hence  $\hat{\beta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i}{t_i}$ .

- (b) From the properties of the exponential distribution and the definitions in item a above, we have  $\mathbb{E}Z_i = \beta$  and  $\text{var}Z_i = \beta^2$ . It follows from the central limit theorem that:

$$\sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\hat{\beta}_n - \beta}{\beta} \right) = \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i - \beta)}{\beta} \right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

which proves the result.

- (c) In terms of  $Z_i$ , we can write the likelihood for the  $i$ -th observation as:

$$p(z, \beta) = \exp \left( -\frac{z}{\beta} - \log(\beta) \right)$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \prod_{i=1}^n p(z_i, \hat{\beta}_n) &= -\frac{1}{\hat{\beta}_n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i - n \log(\hat{\beta}_n) = -n - n \log(\hat{\beta}_n) \\ \log \prod_{i=1}^n p(z_i, 1) &= -\sum_{i=1}^n z_i - n \log(1) = -n\hat{\beta}_n \end{aligned}$$

And finally:

$$\log p(Z; \hat{\beta}_n) - \log p(Z; 1) = n\hat{\beta}_n - n \log(\hat{\beta}_n) - n$$

- (d) To prove this, let  $g(x) = (x - \log x)$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} g'(\beta_0) &= 1 - \frac{1}{\beta_0} \\ g''(\beta_0) &= \frac{1}{\beta_0^2} \end{aligned}$$

Under  $H_0$ ,  $g'(\beta_0) = g'(1) = 0$  and  $g''(\beta_0) = g''(1) = 1$ . Using the delta method with a second order expansion and perturbation  $(\hat{\beta}_n - 1)$  yields:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\hat{\beta}_n - g(1)) &= g'(1) (\hat{\beta}_n - 1) + \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\beta}_n - 1)^2 + o_p(1) \\ 2n(\hat{\beta}_n - \log \hat{\beta}_n - 1) &= n (\hat{\beta}_n - 1)^2 + o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

By part c),  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_n - 1) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \beta^2)$  and continuous mapping theorem, we have  $2G(X) \rightarrow \chi^2(1)$ .

**Problem 8.4**

(a) By definition,

$$R(\varphi) = P(\Theta = 0, \varphi(X) = 1) + P(\Theta = 0, \varphi(X) = 0) = E(I(\Theta = 0)\varphi(X) + I(\Theta = 1)(1 - \varphi(X))).$$

(b) By tower property,

$$R(\varphi) = P(\Theta = 0)E(\varphi(X)|\Theta = 0) + P(\Theta = 1)E(1 - \varphi(X)|\Theta = 1) = (1 - p)E_0\varphi(X) + p(1 - E_1\varphi(X))$$

(c) From part (b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(\varphi) &= p + (1 - p)E_0\varphi(X) - pE_1\varphi(X) \\ &= p + \int [(1 - p)p_0(x) - pp_1(x)]\varphi(x)dx \\ &= p + \int_{p_0/p_1 > p/(1-p)} [(1 - p)p_0(x) - pp_1(x)]\varphi(x)dx - \int_{p_0/p_1 \leq p/(1-p)} [(1 - p)p_0(x) - pp_1(x)]\varphi(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

To minimize  $R(\varphi)$ , it is easy to see from above that:

$$\varphi^*(X) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \frac{p_1}{p_0} \geq \frac{1-p}{p} \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{p_1}{p_0} < \frac{1-p}{p} \end{cases}$$

which is a likelihood ratio test with critical value  $(1 - p)/p$ .