

STAT 210A: INTRODUCTION TO MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS

Problem Set 1- Solutions

Issued: September 2

Due: September 9

Problem 1.1

Due to independence, the joint distribution function of (X_1, \dots, X_n) could be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \prod_i e^{-\lambda_i} \frac{\lambda_i^{x_i}}{x_i!} \mathbf{1}(x_i \in N) \\ &= \left(\prod_i \frac{\mathbf{1}(x_i \in N)}{x_i!} \right) \exp\left\{ \sum_i x_i \ln \lambda_i - \sum_i \lambda_i \right\} \\ &= \left(\prod_i \frac{\mathbf{1}(x_i \in N)}{x_i!} \right) \exp\left\{ \alpha \sum_i x_i + \beta \sum_i x_i t_i - \sum_i e^{\alpha + \beta t_i} \right\} [\text{plug in definition of } \lambda_i]. \end{aligned}$$

It belongs to a two-parameter exponential family, where $T_1 = \sum_i X_i$ and $T_2 = \sum_i t_i X_i$.

Problem 1.2

- (a) To show Y has a density wrt μ , we need to show P_Y is absolutely continuous wrt to μ which is to say for any set A , $\mu(A) = 0$ leads to $P_\theta(Y \in A) = 0$. By definition, $P_\theta(Y \in A) = P_\theta(X \in A | X \in S) = P_\theta(X \in A \cap S) / P_\theta(X \in S) \leq P_\theta(X \in A) / P_\theta(X \in S)$, the last quantity equals 0 because $P_\theta(X)$ is absolutely continuous to μ . Therefore $P_\theta(Y \in A) = 0$ if $\mu(A) = 0$, then the RadonNikodym theorem tells us that Y has a density wrt μ . By similar argument as above the density should be:

$$q_\theta(y) = \frac{1}{\int_S P_\theta(x) dx} h(y) \exp\left\{ \sum_i \eta_i(\theta) T_i(y) - B(\theta) \right\} \mathbf{1}(y \in S).$$

- (b) Notice that

$$q_\theta(y) = h(y) \mathbf{1}(y \in S) \exp\left\{ \sum_i \eta_i(\theta) T_i(y) - (B(\theta) - \log \int_S P_\theta(x) dx) \right\}, \quad \theta \in \Omega$$

which indicates $\{q_\theta\}$ forms an exponential family.

Problem 1.3

$$(a) \forall \varepsilon > 0, \mathbb{P}\{|M_n - \theta| \geq \varepsilon\} = \mathbb{P}\{M_n \leq \theta - \varepsilon\} = \left(\frac{\theta - \varepsilon}{\theta}\right)^n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$(b) \text{ For } x \in [0, \theta], F(x) = \mathbb{P}(M_n \leq x) = \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^n.$$

$$\text{Thus, density of } M_n: f(x) = \frac{n}{\theta} \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^{n-1} \mathbf{1}(0 \leq x \leq \theta)$$

$$\text{Bias} = \theta - \mathbb{E}(M_n) = \theta - \int_0^\theta \frac{n}{\theta^n} x^n dx = \frac{1}{n+1} \theta$$

$$\text{Variance} = \mathbb{E}(M_n^2) - \mathbb{E}(M_n)^2 = \int_0^\theta \frac{n}{\theta^n} x^{n+1} dx - \left(\frac{n}{n+1} \theta\right)^2 = \left(\frac{n}{n+2} - \frac{n^2}{(n+1)^2}\right) \theta^2.$$

$$(c) \text{ Risk} = \text{Bias}^2 + \text{Variance} = \left(\frac{n}{n+2} - \frac{n-1}{n+1}\right) \theta^2 = \frac{2\theta^2}{(n+1)(n+2)}$$

Problem 1.4

Define

$$A(\eta) = \log \int x^2 \exp\{-\eta x\} d\mu(x) = \log \left(\sum_{i=1} i^2 \exp\{-\eta i\} \right)$$

the last equality is because μ is counting measure. By the sum of geometric series, if $\eta > 0$, $\sum_{i=1} \exp\{-\eta i\} = 1/(e^\eta - 1)$. Taking derivative of both sides yields $\sum_{i=1} i^2 \exp\{-\eta i\} = e^\eta(e^\eta + 1)/(e^\eta - 1)^3$ which is finite. And it is easy to see that when $\eta \leq 0$, $A(\eta) > \infty$. So the natural parameter space is $\{\eta, \eta > 0\}$ and $\log A(\eta) = \log(e^\eta(e^\eta + 1)/(e^\eta - 1)^3)$.

Theorem 2.4 ensures the existence of moments, and from the remark below theorem 2.4 we know that $A(\eta)' = -EX$ and accordingly $A(\eta)'' = \text{Var}(X)$. So $EX = (4e^\eta + e^{2\eta} + 1)/(e^{2\eta} - 1)$ and $\text{Var}(X) = 4e^\eta(e^\eta + e^{2\eta} + 1)/(e^{2\eta} - 1)^2$.

Problem 1.5

- (a) Not identifiable. Pick $\theta_1 = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_p, \nu, \sigma)$ and $\theta_2 = (\mu_1 + \nu, \mu_2 + \nu, \dots, \mu_p + \nu, 0, \sigma)$ and notice that they result in the same distribution;
- (b) Not identifiable. Pick $\theta = (1, 1)$ and $\theta_2 = (2, 2)$ and notice they give rise to the same distribution;
- (c) Identifiable.(except the case $\sigma^2 = 0$) For $\theta_1 = (\sigma_1, \alpha_1)$ we have $\text{var}(X_i) = \sigma_1^2$ and $\text{cov}(X_i, X_{i-1}) = \alpha_1 \sigma_1^2$. Suppose $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_1} = \mathbb{P}_{\theta_2}$. We have $\sigma_2^2 = \text{var}_{\theta_2}(X_i) = \text{var}_{\theta_1}(X_i) = \sigma_1^2$, hence $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$. Furthermore, $\alpha_2 \sigma_2^2 = \text{cov}_{\theta_2}(X_i, X_{i-1}) = \text{var}_{\theta_1}(X_i, X_{i-1}) = \alpha_1 \sigma_1^2$, yielding $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. Hence $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_1} = \mathbb{P}_{\theta_2} \Rightarrow \theta_1 = \theta_2$ proving identifiability.

Problem 1.6

From the distribution of \mathbf{X} , we have:

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}) = \exp \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \log(\theta) - n\theta \right] \frac{1}{x_1! x_2! \cdots x_n!}$$

(a) We have:

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} | T(\mathbf{X}) = S) = \frac{\mathbb{P}_\theta(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \text{ and } T(\mathbf{X}) = S)}{\mathbb{P}_\theta(T(\mathbf{X}) = S)}$$

From the properties of the Poisson distribution: $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(n\theta)$:

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta(T(\mathbf{X}) = S) = \exp[S \log(n\theta) - n\theta] \frac{1}{S!}$$

Furthermore:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\theta(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \text{ and } T(\mathbf{X}) = S) &= \mathbb{I}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = S) \exp \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \log(\theta) - n\theta \right] \frac{1}{x_1! x_2! \cdots x_n!} \\ &= \mathbb{I}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = S) \exp[S \log(\theta) - n\theta] \frac{1}{x_1! x_2! \cdots x_n!} \end{aligned}$$

which yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\theta(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} | T(\mathbf{X}) = S) &= \exp[-S \log(n)] \frac{S!}{x_1! x_2! \cdots x_n!} \cdot \mathbb{I}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = S) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^S \frac{S!}{x_1! x_2! \cdots x_n!} \cdot \mathbb{I}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = S) \end{aligned}$$

which does not involve θ proving sufficiency of T .

(b) Define:

$$\begin{aligned} g(S, \theta) &= \exp[S \log(\theta) - n\theta] \\ h(x) &= \frac{1}{x_1! x_2! \cdots x_n!} \end{aligned}$$

It is then possible to write $\mathbb{P}_\theta(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x})$ as: $\mathbb{P}_\theta(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}) = g(T(\mathbf{X}), \theta)h(x)$ so sufficiency of T follows from the factorization theorem.