

UC Berkeley  
Department of Statistics

STAT 210A: INTRODUCTION TO MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS

**Problem Set 11- Solutions**

Fall 2014

**Issued:** Nov 26

**Due:** Thursday, Dec 4

---

**Problem 11.1**

Let  $Y = X - \mu$ , then

$$E[e^{\lambda Y}] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\left| \frac{e^{\lambda y} - 1}{\lambda} \right| = \left| \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{k-1} y^k}{k!} \right| \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{|\lambda|^{k-1} |y|^k}{(k-1)!} = |y| e^{|\lambda| |y|} \leq e^{(|\lambda|+1)|y|} \leq (e^{(|\lambda|+1)y} + e^{-(|\lambda|+1)y}).$$

In addition,

$$(e^{(|\lambda|+1)Y} + e^{-(|\lambda|+1)Y}) \leq 2e^{\frac{(|\lambda|+1)^2 \sigma^2}{2}} < \infty,$$

then it follows from Dominated convergence theorem that

$$E(Y) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} E\left(\frac{e^{\lambda Y} - 1}{\lambda}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$E(Y) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} E\left(\frac{e^{\lambda Y} - 1}{\lambda}\right) \leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}} - 1}{\lambda} = 0,$$

and

$$E(Y) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^-} E\left(\frac{e^{\lambda Y} - 1}{\lambda}\right) \geq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}} - 1}{\lambda} = 0,$$

Thus,  $E(Y) = 0$  and hence  $E(X) = \mu$ .

(b) Similar to (a), we have

$$\left| \frac{e^{\lambda y} - 1 - \lambda y}{\lambda^2} \right| = \left| \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{\lambda^{k-2} y^k}{k!} \right| \leq y^2 e^{|\lambda| |y|} \leq e^{(|\lambda|+2)|y|} \leq (e^{(|\lambda|+2)y} + e^{-(|\lambda|+2)y}),$$

and hence

$$E(Y^2) = 2 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} E \left( \frac{e^{\lambda Y} - 1 - \lambda Y}{\lambda^2} \right).$$

Since  $E(Y) = 0$ , it holds that

$$E(Y^2) = 2 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} E \left( \frac{e^{\lambda Y} - 1 - \lambda Y}{\lambda^2} \right) = 2 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} E \left( \frac{e^{\lambda Y} - 1}{\lambda^2} \right) \leq 2 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}} - 1}{\lambda^2} = \sigma^2.$$

Therefore,  $Var(X) \leq \sigma^2$ .

(c) It is not true when  $E(X - \mu)^3 \neq 0$ . Now we prove it. Suppose  $\sigma^2 = Var(X)$ . Similar to (a) and (b), it holds that

$$E(Y^3) = 6 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} E \left( \frac{e^{\lambda Y} - 1 - \lambda Y - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} Y^2}{\lambda^3} \right).$$

Then

$$E(Y^3) = 6 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} E \left( \frac{e^{\lambda Y} - 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} Y^2}{\lambda^3} \right) \leq 6 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} E \left( \frac{e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}} - 1 - \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}{\lambda^3} \right) = 0,$$

and

$$E(Y^3) = 6 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^-} E \left( \frac{e^{\lambda Y} - 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} Y^2}{\lambda^3} \right) \geq 6 \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^-} E \left( \frac{e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}} - 1 - \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}{\lambda^3} \right) = 0,$$

Thus,  $E(X - \mu)^3 = EY^3 = 0$ .

Let  $X \sim B(p, 1)$  be a Bernoulli variable where  $0 < p < 1/2$ , then

$$E(X - \mu)^3 = p(1 - p)(1 - 2p) > 0.$$

On the other hand, since  $X$  is bounded, it is sub-Gaussian. Thus,  $\sigma^2 > Var(X)$  otherwise when  $\lambda$  is small,

$$Ee^{\lambda Y} = 1 + \sigma^2 \lambda^2 / 2 + E(Y^3) \lambda^3 / 6 + o(\lambda^3) > e^{\lambda^2 \sigma^2 / 2}.$$

### Problem 11.2

(a) Without loss of generality, we assume  $\sigma^2 = 1$ , otherwise we replace  $X_i$  by  $X_i / \sigma$ . Then for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$P(|X_i| \geq t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_t^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} t^{-1} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$$

and hence

$$P(Z \geq t) \leq n\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}t^{-1}e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z) &= \int_0^\infty P(Z \geq t)dt = \int_0^c P(Z \geq t)dt + \int_c^\infty P(Z \geq t)dt \\ &\leq c + n\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_c^\infty t^{-1}e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}dt \\ &\leq c + c^{-2}n\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_c^\infty te^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}dt \\ &\leq c + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}c^{-2}ne^{-\frac{c^2}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $c = \sqrt{2\log n}$ , then

$$E(Z) \leq \sqrt{2\log n} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}(2\log n)^{-1}$$

When  $n \geq 2$ ,  $2\log n \geq \log 4 > 1$ , thus,

$$E(Z) \leq \sqrt{2\log n} + \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\log n}}$$

For general  $\sigma^2$ , since  $Z \stackrel{d}{=} \sigma \cdot (Z/\sigma)$ , it is easy to see that

$$E(Z) \leq \sqrt{2\sigma^2\log n} + \frac{4\sigma}{\sqrt{2\log n}}$$

(b) Assume  $\sigma^2 = 1$ . Let  $c = 1 - e^{-1}$ . Notice that if

$$E\#\{i : |X_i| \geq c\sqrt{2\log n}\} \geq 1, \tag{1}$$

then

$$E(Z) \geq c\sqrt{2\log n}E\#\{i : |X_i| \geq c\sqrt{2\log n}\} \geq c\sqrt{2\log n}$$

It is easy to see that (1) is equivalent to

$$nP(|X_1| \geq c\sqrt{2\log n}) \geq 1.$$

Recall that

$$P(|X_1| \geq t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_t^\infty e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_t^\infty \frac{(x^2 + 1)^2 - 2}{(x^2 + 1)^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{z}{z^2 + 1} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}$$

Thus it is left to show that

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} n \frac{c\sqrt{2\log n}}{2c^2\log n + 1} e^{-c^2\log n} \geq 1$$

This can be further simplified to

$$f(n, c) = a + (1 - c^2) \log n + \log c + \frac{1}{2} \log \log n - \log(2c^2 \log n + 1) \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

where  $a = \log \sqrt{2/\pi} + \log 2/2 < 0.1208$  is a constant not relying on  $n$  and  $c$ . Let  $g(x, c) = f(e^x, c)$ , then for  $x \geq \log 5 > 1.5$ ,

$$g(x, c) \geq a + (1 - c^2)x + \log c + \frac{1}{2} \log x - \log(2c^2 x + \frac{2}{3}) = a + \log c - \log(2c^2 + \frac{2}{3}) - \frac{1}{2} \log x \triangleq h(x).$$

Note that  $h(x)$  is increasing in  $[1.5, \infty)$  since

$$h'(x) = 1 - c^2 - \frac{1}{2x} \geq 1 - c^2 - \frac{1}{3} > 0.$$

Thus,

$$f(n, c) = g(\log n, c) \geq h(\log n) \geq h(\log 5) > 0.008 > 0.$$

Therefore, the inequality holds for  $n \geq 5$ . For general  $\sigma^2$ , it is easy to see that

$$E(Z) \geq (1 - e^{-1}) \sqrt{2\sigma^2 \log n}$$

(c) Assume  $\sigma^2 = 1$ . Recall (2) that for any  $c < 1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n, c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a + (1 - c^2) \log n + \log c + \frac{1}{2} \log \log n - \log(2c^2 \log n + 1) = \infty,$$

thus for sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $f(n, c) \geq 0$  and hence

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(Z) \geq c \sqrt{2 \log n}.$$

Since  $c$  is arbitrary, it holds that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(Z) \geq \sup_{c < 1} c \sqrt{2 \log n} = \sqrt{2 \log n}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (a) that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(Z) \leq \sqrt{2 \log n}.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(Z)}{\sqrt{2 \log n}} = 1.$$

For general  $\sigma^2$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(Z)}{\sqrt{2\sigma^2 \log n}} = 1.$$

**Problem 11.3**

(a) It is easy to see that

$$P(Z \geq t) \leq \min\{1, C \exp(-\frac{t^2}{2(\nu^2 + Bt)})\}$$

Then,

$$E(Z) = \int_0^\infty P(Z \geq t)dt = \int_0^{\frac{\nu^2}{B}} P(Z \geq t)dt + \int_{\frac{\nu^2}{B}}^\infty P(Z \geq t)dt \triangleq I_1 + I_2$$

First, we consider  $I_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \int_0^{\frac{\nu^2}{B}} \min\{1, C \exp(-\frac{t^2}{2(\nu^2 + Bt)})\}dt \leq \int_0^{\frac{\nu^2}{B}} \min\{1, C \exp(-\frac{t^2}{4\nu^2})\}dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \min\{1, C \exp(-\frac{t^2}{4\nu^2})\}dt \\ &= \int_0^{2\nu(\sqrt{\log C}+1)} 1dt + \int_{2\nu(\sqrt{\log C}+1)}^\infty C \exp(-\frac{t^2}{4\nu^2})dt \\ &\leq 2\nu\sqrt{\log C} + 2\nu + \frac{2\nu C}{\sqrt{2\log C} + 1} \exp(-(\sqrt{\log C} + 1)^2) \\ &= 2\nu(\sqrt{\log C} + 1 + \frac{e^{-1-2\sqrt{\log C}}}{1 + \sqrt{2\log C}}) \\ &\leq 2\nu(\sqrt{\log C} + 1 + e^{-1}) \leq 2\nu(\sqrt{\log C} + \sqrt{\pi}) \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider  $I_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \int_{\frac{\nu^2}{B}}^\infty \min\{1, C \exp(-\frac{t^2}{2(\nu^2 + Bt)})\}dt \leq \int_{\frac{\nu^2}{B}}^\infty \min\{1, C \exp(-\frac{t}{4B})\}dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \min\{1, C \exp(-\frac{t}{4B})\}dt \leq \int_0^{4B \log C} 1dt + \int_{4B \log C}^\infty C \exp(-\frac{t}{4B})dt \\ &= 4B(\log C + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$E(Z) = I_1 + I_2 \leq 2\nu(\sqrt{\pi} + \sqrt{\log C}) + 4B(1 + \log C)$$

(b)  $X_k \sim (2.16)$  implies that  $X_k$  are sub-exponential variables with parameters  $(\sqrt{2}\sigma, 2b)$  ( $C = 2$ ), then  $n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$  is also a sub-exponential variable with parameters  $(\sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}\sigma, \frac{2b}{n})$ , this entails that

$$P(|n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{nt^2}{2(\sigma^2 + bt)}\right).$$

Thus, it follows from (a) that

$$E(|n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k|) \leq \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}(\sqrt{\pi} + \sqrt{\log 2}) + \frac{4b}{n}(1 + \log 2)$$

#### Problem 11.4

(a) First we prove for any positive integer  $k$ ,

$$Eg^{2k} \leq 2^{k+1} k! \sigma^{2k}.$$

In fact, since  $g$  is sub-gaussian with parameter  $\sigma^2$

$$\begin{aligned} Eg^{2k} &= \int_0^\infty 2kt^{2k-1} P(|g| \geq t) dt \leq 4k \int_0^\infty t^{2k-1} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}} dt \\ &= \sigma^{2k} \cdot 4k \int_0^\infty t^{2k-1} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt = 2^{k+1} k! \sigma^{2k} \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $g$  is symmetric, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} Ee^{\lambda Q} &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^{2k} B^{2k} Eg^{2k}}{(2k)!} \preceq \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^{2k} B^{2k} \sigma^{2k} 2^{k+1} k!}{(2k)!} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \lambda^{2k} B^{2k} \sigma^{2k} \frac{(2k-1)!!}{(2k)!} \frac{2^{k+1} k!}{(2k-1)!!} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^{2k} B^{2k} \sigma^{2k}}{2^k k!} \frac{2^{k+1} k!}{(2k-1)!!} \end{aligned}$$

where  $n!! = n(n-2)(n-4)\cdots$ . Note that for each  $k$ ,

$$\frac{2^{k+1} k!}{(2k-1)!!} = 2 \frac{2k}{2k-1} \frac{2k-2}{2k-3} \cdots \frac{2}{1} \leq 2^{k+1} \leq 2^{2k}$$

Let  $c = 4$  and  $V = c^2 \sigma^2 B^2$ , then

$$Ee^{\lambda Q} \preceq \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^{2k} B^{2k} \sigma^{2k} c^{2k}}{2^k k!} = e^{\frac{\lambda^2 V}{2}}$$

(b) Similar to (a), we have

$$Ee^{\lambda Q} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^{2k} E(B^{2k}) E(g^{2k})}{(2k)!} \preceq \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^{2k} E(B^{2k}) \sigma^{2k} c^{2k}}{2^k k!}.$$

Since  $\|B\|_{op} \leq b$  a.s., we have  $B^2 \preceq b^2 I_{d \times d}$  and hence  $B^{2k} \preceq b^{2k} I_{d \times d}$ . Therefore,

$$Ee^{\lambda Q} \preceq \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^{2k} b^{2k} \sigma^{2k} c^{2k} I_{d \times d}}{2^k k!} = e^{\frac{\lambda^2 V}{2}}$$

where  $V = c^2 b^2 \sigma^2 I_{d \times d}$ .