UC Berkeley

Department of Statistics

STAT 210A: Introduction to Mathematical Statistics

Problem Set 6- Solutions

Fall 2014

Issued: Friday, Oct 10

Due: Thursday, Oct 16

Problem 6.1

By CLT, the mean:

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \theta).$$
 (1)

On the other hand, for sample variance $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_i (X_i - \bar{X})^2$, we prove it as follows that $\frac{n-1}{\theta} S^2$ can be written as a sum of n-1 square of independent normal r.v. Surely there exists an orthogonal matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ with first row equals to $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, ..., \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$. Let $Y_i = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} X_k$, (i = 1, ..., n). By orthogonality, $Y_1, ..., Y_n$ are independent and $Y_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{n}\mu, \theta)$, $Y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \theta)$. Note we have: $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Y_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \theta)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2$. Therefore:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 - n(\bar{X})^2 = \sum_{i=2}^{n} Y_i^2$$

which suggests $\frac{n-1}{\theta}S^2$ is sum of n-1 independent r.v with bounded second moment(satisfies χ^2_{n-1}). Since $Var(Y_i^2) = 2\theta^2$:

$$\sqrt{n-1}(S^2 - \theta) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, 2\theta^2). \tag{2}$$

Combine (1) and (2) then the asymptotic ARE of S^2 with respect to \bar{X} equals to $\frac{1}{2\theta}$.

Problem 6.2

(1) Write the density function of $X_{(2)}$: $\mathbb{P}(X_{(2)}=x)=n(n-1)(1-e^{-x})e^{-x}e^{-(n-2)x}$. Therefore:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{(2)} \le y) = \int_0^y n(n-1)[e^{-(n-1)x} - e^{-nx}]dx = n(1 - e^{-(n-1)y}) - (n-1)(1 - e^{-ny})$$
$$= 1 - ne^{-(n-1)y} + (n-1)e^{-ny}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{(2)} \le xn^{-p}) = 1 - ne^{-(n-1)n^{-p}x} + (n-1)e^{-n^{1-p}x}.$$

For p=1, the above equals to $1 + e^{-x}(n - 1 - ne^{-x/n}) = 1 - e^{-x}(1 + x)$ by taylor expansion $e^{-x/n} = 1 - x/n + o(x/n)$.

(2) Similarly $\mathbb{P}(X_{(n)} \leq x) = (1 - e^{-x})^n$ therefore:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{(n)} \le x + \log n) = (1 - e^{-x - \log n})^n = e^{-e^{-x}}.$$

Problem 6.3

Let a_p is p^{th} quantiles of the posterior distribution, where $p = \frac{k_1}{k_1 + k_2}$. i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta \le a_p | X) \ge \frac{k_1}{k_1 + k_2} \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(\theta \ge a_p | X) \ge \frac{k_2}{k_1 + k_2}$$

Then for $a < a_p$,

$$\mathbb{E}(L(\theta, a_p)|X) = \mathbb{E}(k_1(\theta - a_p)I(a_p \le \theta) + k_2(a_p - \theta)I(a_p > \theta)|X)
= \mathbb{E}(k_1(\theta - a)I(a \le \theta) + k_2(a - \theta)I(a > \theta)|X)
+ (a - a_p)((k_1 + k_2)\mathbb{P}(\theta \ge a_p|X) - k_2) + (k_1 + k_2)\mathbb{E}((a - \theta)\mathbf{1}(a \le \theta < a_p))
\le \mathbb{E}(k_1(\theta - a)I(a \le \theta) + k_2(a - \theta)I(a > \theta)|X)$$

For $a > a_p$,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(L(\theta, a_p) | X) &= \mathbb{E}\left(k_1(\theta - a_p)I(a_p \le \theta) + k_2(a_p - \theta)I(a_p > \theta) | X\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(k_1(\theta - a)I(a \le \theta) + k_2(a - \theta)I(a > \theta) | X\right) \\ &+ (a - a_p)\left(k_1 - (k_1 + k_2)\mathbb{P}(\theta \le a_p | X)\right) + (k_1 + k_2)\mathbb{E}\left((\theta - a)\mathbf{1}(a_p < \theta \le a)\right) \\ &\le \mathbb{E}\left(k_1(\theta - a)I(a \le \theta) + k_2(a - \theta)I(a > \theta) | X\right) \end{split}$$

Thus, Bayes estimators are p^{th} quantiles of the posterior distribution, where $p = \frac{k_1}{k_1 + k_2}$.

Problem 6.4

$$l(\theta) \propto -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{r} (x_{ij} - \mu_i)^2 - \frac{nr}{2} \log \sigma^2$$

Because it is normal distribution, $\frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0 \implies \theta = \hat{\theta}^{MLE}$.

$$\frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \mu_i} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{j=1}^r (\mu_i - x_{ij}) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \sigma^2} = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r (x_{ij} - \mu_i)^2 - nr \right) = 0$$

Thus,
$$\hat{\mu_i}^{MLE} = x_i$$
, $\hat{\sigma^2}^{MLE} = \frac{1}{nr} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r (x_{ij} - x_i)^2$, where $x_i = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=1}^r x_{ij}$.

Note that
$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{r}(x_{ij}-x_{i\cdot})^2}{\sigma^2} \sim i.i.d. \ \chi^2(r-1) \text{ and } \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r}(x_{ij}-x_{i\cdot})^2\right) = (r-1)\sigma^2.$$
 Thus, by Weak Law of Large Number(WLLN), $\hat{\sigma^2}^{MLE} \to \frac{r-1}{r}\sigma^2 \neq \sigma^2 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$

Problem 6.5

(a) Let
$$l(\theta) = \log p(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log (\theta f_1(X_i) + (1 - \theta) f_2(X_i))$$

$$\frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f_1(X_i) - f_2(X_i)}{\theta f_1(X_i) + (1 - \theta) f_2(X_i)} = g(\theta)$$

$$g'(\theta) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(f_1(X_i) - f_2(X_i))^2}{(\theta f_1(X_i) + (1 - \theta) f_2(X_i))^2} \le 0$$

If $f_1(X_i) = f_2(X_i)$ for all i = 1, ..., n, then $g(\theta) = 0, \forall \theta \in (0, 1)$. Thus, solution is not unique. Thus, $g'(\theta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \exists \theta_1 \neq \theta_2 \text{ s.t. } g(\theta_1) = g(\theta_2) = 0$.

Therefore, to have a unique solution, $g'(\theta) < 0$ (Sufficient and necessary condition). Also, g(1) < 0 and g(0) > 0.

$$g(1) < 0, \ g(0) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f_1(X_i)}{f_2(X_i)} > 1 \ and \ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f_2(X_i)}{f_1(X_i)} > 1$$

 $g'(\theta) = \frac{\partial^2 l(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}$. Thus, if there is a solution, trivially, it is MLE.

(b) If
$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{f_1(X_i)}{f_2(X_i)}<1$$
 or $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{f_2(X_i)}{f_1(X_i)}<1$, there is no solution for the score function:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f_1(X_i)}{f_2(X_i)} < 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad g(\theta) < 0 \ \forall \theta \in (0,1) \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{MLE} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f_2(X_i)}{f_1(X_i)} < 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad g(\theta) > 0 \ \forall \theta \in (0,1) \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}^{MLE} = 1$$