

## Group #5:

Info:

### Graded by:

[Deliverables 1-3] Stephen Luc ([stephen.luc@mail.utoronto.ca](mailto:stephen.luc@mail.utoronto.ca)),

[Deliverables 4-6] Daniel Persaud ([dev.persaud@mail.utoronto.ca](mailto:dev.persaud@mail.utoronto.ca)),

**Remark Policy & Rubric:** please consult the handout / course syllabus, see mark breakdown section for weighting of deliverables.

### Mark Breakdown

Deliverable #1 (summary.md):	10/10
Deliverable #2 (competition.md):	10/10
Deliverable #3 (personas):	23/25
Deliverable #4 (user_stories.md):	21/25
Deliverable #5 (UI/UX):	20/20
Deliverable #6 (process.md):	10/10

Total Mark: **94/100**

### TA Comments

- **Personas**, all your personas are very good and describe the person well. However, all of these users are “good” and “comfortable” with technology. You should try to include someone who is not good or doesn’t try to use technology. (-2) This will help make sure that you are designing a product for every type of person. In this case, you make sure that the product is simple enough for even people who are bad with technology can use it.
- **User Stories**, should use actual persona names not user, TA, student (-2). Should have a story to allow searching only student, instructor, or both results before search is run (-2).
- **Process**, I recommend holding daily ‘standup’ meetings to discuss progress / what each member plans do for the next day (during coding phases). It’s sufficient to hold these on slack chat, otherwise process seems good.