## Some inversion formulas for sums of quotients

Natalio H. Guersenzvaig and Michael Z. Spivey

In this note we establish some formulas for certain sums of quotients of a positive integer n, which are closely related to an identity established by Préville-Ratelle in Problem M40 of the April 2003 issue of this magazine [1]. We also establish some elementary facts that are not well-known about quotients and remainders. Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** Let n and k be any positive integers with  $k \leq n$ . Then

$$\sum_{d=1}^{k} \lfloor n/d \rfloor - \sum_{d=\lfloor n/k \rfloor + 1}^{n} \lfloor n/d \rfloor = k \lfloor n/k \rfloor. \tag{F_k}$$

The first sum is clearly the sum of the quotients of n from  $\lfloor n/1 \rfloor$  through |n/k|. We show below that the second sum is the sum of the quotients of n that are equal to one of  $\{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ . The "inversion" aspect of the formula is that the quotient sums are being taken in opposite directions.

As an illustration, here are the quotients for n = 15:

| divisor $d$                    | 1  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|--------------------------------|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| quotient $\lfloor n/d \rfloor$ | 15 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |

Theorem 1 is trivial for k=1. When k=2, for example, the formula says that 15+7-(1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1)=2|15/2|. For k=4 the formula gives 15+7+5+3-(3+3+2+2+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1)=4|15/4|.

In order to prove Theorem 1 we need the following result.

**Lemma 1** Let  $d, i \leq n$  be positive integers. Then

$$\lfloor n/i \rfloor = d$$
 if and only if  $\lfloor n/(d+1) \rfloor < i \le \lfloor n/d \rfloor$ .

*Proof:* We first suppose |n/i| = d. By definition of the floor function, d is the unique integer such that  $d \leq n/i < d+1$ . Inverting the inequality yields  $n/(d+1) < i \le n/d$ . Certainly,  $|n/(d+1)| \le n/(d+1)$ . On the other hand, since i is an integer, we get  $i \leq \lfloor n/d \rfloor$  from  $i \leq n/d$ . Hence,  $|n/(d+1)| < i \le |n/d|$ . As these steps are reversible the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1: From Lemma 1 we get at once

$$\sum_{d=\lfloor n/(k+1)\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor n/k\rfloor} \lfloor n/d\rfloor = k(\lfloor n/k\rfloor - \lfloor n/(k+1)\rfloor).$$

Letting  $Q(n,k) = \lfloor n/k \rfloor - \lfloor n/(k+1) \rfloor$ , we have

$$\sum_{d=\lfloor n/k\rfloor+1}^{n} \lfloor n/d\rfloor = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{d=\lfloor n/(j+1)\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor n/j\rfloor} \lfloor n/d\rfloor = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} jQ(n,j). \tag{1}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to verify that for d = 2, ..., k we have

$$(d-1)Q(n,d-1) + d\lfloor n/d\rfloor - (d-1)\lfloor n/(d-1)\rfloor = \lfloor n/d\rfloor.$$

Part of the left-hand side of this equality telescopes if we sum over d, starting at 2. Therefore, using (1), we obtain

$$\sum_{d=2}^{k} \lfloor n/d \rfloor = \sum_{d=1}^{k-1} dQ(n,d) + k \lfloor n/k \rfloor - n = \sum_{d=\lfloor n/k \rfloor + 1}^{n} \lfloor n/d \rfloor + k \lfloor n/k \rfloor - n.$$

Adding n to each side of this expression completes the proof.

**Remark.** Expression (1) and Lemma 1 show that the second sum in the statement of Theorem 1 is, in fact, the sum of the quotients of n that are equal to one of  $\{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ .

Next we establish some facts about quotients that are a direct consequence of Lemma 1.

Corollary 1 Let  $d, i \le n$  be positive integers.

- (a)  $d \le |n/|n/d|$ .
- (b)  $\lfloor n/\lfloor n/\lfloor n/d\rfloor \rfloor \rfloor = \lfloor n/d \rfloor$ .
- (c) |n/i| = |n/d| if and only if  $|n/(|n/d| + 1)| < i \le |n/|n/d|$ .
- (d) |n/|n/i| = d if and only if |n/i| = |n/d| > |n/(d+1)|.

*Proof:* We use freely the fact that  $|n/d| \ge |n/(d+1)|$  for any  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (a) Let  $k = \lfloor n/\lfloor n/d \rfloor \rfloor$ . From Lemma 1 it follows that  $\lfloor n/(k+1) \rfloor < \lfloor n/d \rfloor$ . Thus k+1>d, that is,  $k\geq d$ .
- (b) We use (a). Replacing d by  $\lfloor n/d \rfloor$ , we get  $\lfloor n/\lfloor n/\lfloor n/d \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor \geq \lfloor n/d \rfloor$ . On the other hand we have  $\lfloor n/\lfloor n/\lfloor n/d \rfloor \rfloor \rfloor \leq \lfloor n/d \rfloor$  because  $\lfloor n/d \rfloor$  is a decreasing function of d.
- (c) This follows immediately from Lemma 1 by replacing d with  $\lfloor n/d \rfloor$ .
- (d) Case i=d follows from Lemma 1 by replacing i with  $\lfloor n/d \rfloor$ . Now we prove the general case. Suppose  $\lfloor n/\lfloor n/i \rfloor \rfloor = d$ . Thus,  $\lfloor n/\lfloor n/\lfloor n/i \rfloor \rfloor = \lfloor n/d \rfloor$ . From (b) we have  $\lfloor n/i \rfloor = \lfloor n/d \rfloor$ , so  $\lfloor n/\lfloor n/d \rfloor \rfloor = d$ . Hence, from the case i=d, we get  $\lfloor n/d \rfloor > \lfloor n/(d+1) \rfloor$ . Now suppose  $\lfloor n/i \rfloor = \lfloor n/d \rfloor > \lfloor n/(d+1) \rfloor$ . Thus,  $\lfloor n/\lfloor n/i \rfloor \rfloor = \lfloor n/\lfloor n/d \rfloor \rfloor$ . Using again the case i=d, we obtain  $\lfloor n/\lfloor n/d \rfloor \rfloor = d$ . Hence,  $\lfloor n/\lfloor n/i \rfloor \rfloor = d$ .

**Remark.** Using (a) and (b) the following facts can also be easily proven:

- (1)  $d \leq |n/i|$  if and only if  $i \leq |n/d|$ .
- (2)  $i \le d \text{ implies } |n/|n/i| \le |n/|n/d|$ .
- (3)  $\lfloor n/\lfloor n/i \rfloor \rfloor = \lfloor n/\lfloor n/d \rfloor \rfloor$  if and only if  $\lfloor n/i \rfloor = \lfloor n/d \rfloor$ .

We can also reformulate Corollary 1 in terms of  $n \mod d = n - d\lfloor n/d \rfloor$ , the remainder on division of n by d. For example, reformulation of (a) and the case i = d of (d) yields the following result.

Corollary 2 Let  $d \le n$  be a positive integer.

- (a)  $n \mod |n/d| \le n \mod d$ .
- (b)  $n \mod \lfloor n/d \rfloor < n \mod d$  if and only if  $\lfloor n/d \rfloor = \lfloor n/(d+1) \rfloor$ .

Furthermore, from Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 we get some unusual expressions for  $n \mod k$ , and hence, a criterion for divisibility of  $n \bowtie k$ .

Corollary 3 Let n and k be any positive integers with k < n.

(a) 
$$n \mod k = \sum_{d=k+1}^n \lfloor n/d \rfloor - \sum_{d=2}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \lfloor n/d \rfloor = \sum_{d=\lfloor n/k \rfloor+1}^n \lfloor n/d \rfloor - \sum_{d=2}^k \lfloor n/d \rfloor.$$

(b)  $n \mod k = (F(k) + F(|n/k|))/2$ , where

$$F(k) = \sum_{d=k+1}^{n} \lfloor n/d \rfloor - \sum_{d=2}^{k} \lfloor n/d \rfloor.$$

Moreover,  $n \mod k = F(k)$  if and only if  $\lfloor n/(k+1) \rfloor < k = \lfloor n/k \rfloor$ .

(c) k|n if and only if  $n/k = \sum_{d=\lfloor n/k \rfloor+1}^{n} \lfloor n/d \rfloor - \sum_{d=2}^{k-1} \lfloor n/d \rfloor$ .

*Proof:* (a) We get the second identity by partitioning the sum  $\sum_{d=2}^{n} \lfloor n/d \rfloor$  in two obvious ways. To prove the first identity, we add and subtract  $\sum_{d=1}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \lfloor n/d \rfloor$  to the left-hand side of  $(F_k)$  to obtain the following equivalent formula:

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \lfloor n/d \rfloor - \sum_{d=k+1}^{n} \lfloor n/d \rfloor = k \lfloor n/k \rfloor.$$

Thus, replacing  $k \lfloor n/k \rfloor$  by  $n-n \mod k$ , canceling n, and multiplying both sides of the equation by -1, we complete the proof of (a).

- (b) The formula for  $n \mod k$  holds because the right member is the arithmetic mean of the second and third member of (a). From this we have  $n \mod k = F(k)$  if and only if  $F(k) = F(\lfloor n/k \rfloor)$ . Partitioning the sum  $\sum_{d=2}^{n} \lfloor n/d \rfloor$  as was done in (a), we get  $\sum_{d=2}^{k} \lfloor n/d \rfloor = \sum_{d=2}^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} \lfloor n/d \rfloor$ . Since each quotient is positive, we have  $\lfloor n/k \rfloor = k$ . These steps are reversible, so we have proved that  $n \mod k = F(k)$  if and only if  $\lfloor n/k \rfloor = k$ . Then, from Lemma 1, after we replace i and d by k, the proof of (b) is complete.
- (c) This follows at once from (a) using the rightmost expression for  $n \mod k$ .

Next we establish a generalization of Theorem 1 that clearly shows the process of inversion of the involved sums.

Corollary 4 Let n, j, and k be positive integers with  $j \leq k \leq n$ . Then

$$\sum_{d=j+1}^{k} \lfloor n/d \rfloor - \sum_{d=\lfloor n/k \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor n/j \rfloor} \lfloor n/d \rfloor = k \lfloor n/k \rfloor - j \lfloor n/j \rfloor. \tag{F_{j,k}}$$

*Proof:* This follows at once from Theorem 1 by subtracting  $(F_i)$  from  $(F_k)$ .

**Remark.** Theorem 1 and Corollary 4 are logically equivalent because  $(F_k)$  follows from  $(F_{1,k})$ .

Consequently, we have generalized Préville-Ratelle's identity, as this is precisely the next result in the case j|n and k|n.

Corollary 5 Let n, j, and k be any positive integers with  $j \leq k \leq n$ . Then

$$\sum_{d=j+1}^k \lfloor n/d \rfloor = \sum_{d=\lfloor n/k \rfloor +1}^{\lfloor n/j \rfloor} \lfloor n/d \rfloor \quad \text{if and only if} \quad n \bmod j = n \bmod k.$$

*Proof:* This follows at once from Corollary 4 after replacing  $k \lfloor n/k \rfloor - j \lfloor n/j \rfloor$  by  $n \mod j - n \mod k$  in  $(F_{j,k})$ .

Concluding remark. Préville-Ratelle's solution to Problem M40 gives a nice graphical interpretation of  $(F_{j,k})$  for the case when j and k are divisors of n. Can the reader generalize that graphical approach to prove  $(F_{j,k})$  for arbitrary j and k with  $j \leq k$ ?

## References

[1] Louis-François Préville-Ratelle; Problem M40, Crux Mathematicorum 29:3 (2003), 140-141.

Natalio H. Guersenzvaig Depto. de Matemática Universidad CAECE Buenos Aires Argentina nguersenz@fibertel.com.ar Michael Z. Spivey Dept. of Math. and Computer Science University of Puget Sound Tacoma, WA 98406 USA mspivey@ups.edu