

# AP Exam Report

---

*By Simon Gustav Cordes*

## Introduction

This report presents the solutions, assumptions and assessments of the solutions to the questions found in the AP exam assignment. The solution to each question is presented in its own section.

The questions 1, 2 and 3 have been solved. The questions have been solved according to the assignment v1.0.

## Question 1 – APMake Parser

This section presents the thoughts and assumptions regarding the solution as well as an assessment of the correctness of the implemented function. All the implemented source code can be found in Appendix A of this report.

## Limitations

There are no limitations to the solution in regards to the assignment text.

## Solution

The solution is implemented using the handed-out SimpleParse module to parse the string into an abstract syntax tree.

The program is designed so that one function handles one part of the abstract syntax tree. (i.e. pRule builds a Rule, pTargets builds target and so on). This makes it easier to maintain the code and a change in how something should be processed should only make for a need to make a change in as few functions as possible.

Since it makes for easier reading by the user and because it enables the reading of several characters at once, a target, prerequisite or command of the form "hej%" will be translated to [Lt "hej", St] instead of [Lt "h", Lt "e", Lt "j", St].

## Functions

This section describes the functions in the program and what each of them handles in the solution.

### *parseString*

The purpose of the parseString function is to determine whether the string can be parsed into a makefile.

This is done using the fullParse function from SimpleParse which runs the pRules function until we have reached the end of the string.

The output of `fullParse` is then examined and if there is no Makefile we can parse the string to the empty list is returned to `parseString` and `parseString` outputs Left "Error". In case there is some Makefile we can create from the string we take the first element, *e*, of the list returned by `fullParse` and return *Right e*.

### *pRules*

This function uses the applicative function *some* which takes the argument `pRule` to find if we can convert the text string into at least one rule to be returned.

### *pRule*

The purpose of this function is to parse part of a text string into a Rule.

This is done by first using `mySpaces` to remove all spaces in the beginning of the string. We then use `pTargets` to parse the next part into a list of `FileT`'s which will be our targets. We can use `mySToken` to see if the next non-space character is a `'.'`. We then proceed to use `pPrereqs` to find a list of `FileT`'s which will be the prerequisites for the file names returned from `pTargets`.

We then use the applicative function *many* and give this the `pCommandT` function as an argument to see if there are any commands. We then use `mySToken` to see if the next non-space character is `'\n'` and afterwards we remove all the trailing spaces with `mySpaces`.

Lastly we check if any of the prerequisites or commands contain the literal `St`. If so we check if all the targets contain an `St`. If not, we reject the string. In all other cases we return the rule.

All this ensures that rules conform to the rules set in the assignment text.

### *pTargets*

`pTargets` parses part of a string into a list of `FileT`'s by first removing initial spaces with `mySpaces` and then using the applicative function *some* with the function `pFile` as argument to parse all the next files. We use *some* since we need at least one `FileT` in the targets.

### *pPrereqs*

`pPrereqs` parses part of a string into a list of `FileT`'s by first removing initial spaces with `mySpaces` and then using the applicative function *many* with the function `pFile` as argument to parse all the prerequisite files, if there are any. We use *many* since there does not have to be any prerequisite files to the target

### *pCommandT*

`pCommandT` parses part of a string into a `CommandT`. All command literals must start with a the chars `'\n'` followed by `'\t'`. So, we first use `mySToken "\n"` to parse the next newline symbol and char `'\t'` to see if the next symbol is a tab symbol. After this we use *some* as gives this the argument `choice[pCommandT', pSt]` since a `CommandT` is a list of `Frag`'s which can be either a literal or the symbol `St`. `pCommandT'` parses all the next allowed symbols until we meet a `%` in the string or some illegal character.

### *pCommandT'*

Not to be confused with `pCommandT`, this function parses the next characters with the applicative *some* function which is given the function `pCommandT''` as an argument which returns a string of the next legal characters which are not `'%'`. The function then returns `Lt` followed by the string as `Lt s`.

### *pCommandT''*

No to be confused with `pCommandT` and `pCommandT'`, this function parses the next character in the string and checks if the character is not a newline or a '%'. If we encounter a '\\' character we check if the next character is either a newline or a '\\'. If the next character is a newline we call `pCommandT''` recursively. If not, it must be a '\\' and we return this character.

### *pFile*

`pFile` is the function used to build a Template. We first remove all whitespaces with `mySpaces` and then use *some* given the function *choice*[`pLiberal`, `pSt`] to find a list of at least one frag to return

### *pSt*

Function which checks if the next character in the string is a '%'. Uses the `char` function from the SimpleParse library to check this. Returns `St` if such a character is found.

### *pLiteral*

`pLiteral` uses *some* `pLiteral'` to find the next continuous non-empty string, *s*, of allowed characters and returns this as `Lt s`.

### *pLiteral'*

A function which finds the next allowed characters in matching the regular expression `\[a-zA-Z0-9_./-\]\.`

If such a character is found we check if it is a '\\'. If not, we return the character, otherwise we check if the character matches the regular expression `\[%: \]\.` If so, we return this new character.

### *myToken*

Helper function which removes initial spaces until some condition is met. Inspired by `token` from SimpleParse.

### *mySToken*

Helper function which removed initial spaces and then attempts to match against a string. Inspired by `stoken` from SimpleParse.

### *mySpaces*

Helper function which removes all the next occurrences of the character ' ' (space) from a string. Inspired by the `spaces` function from SimpleParse

### *myIsSpace*

Helper function which matches a character against the character ' ' (space). Returns true or false.

### *anyContainsSt*

Helper function which checks if any Template in a list of templates contain the `St` frag. Returns true if one is found, false otherwise

### *allContainsSt*

Helper function which checks if all Templates in a list of Templates contain the `St` frag. Returns true if so, false otherwise.

## Assessment of the solution.

This section contains the results of automated tests as well as a conclusion to the tests.

### Tests

The tests are specified in the ParserTests.hs file in the src directory of the handed in files. The tests can be rerun by loading the ParserTests module and running the functions by calling the function by *test name* (i.e. *test1*). The results are presented in Tabel 1.

Test name	Purpose	Result
<i>test1</i>	Tests if a string with only a single target is correctly processed	Satisfactory result
<i>test2</i>	Tests if %'s are processed correctly	Satisfactory result
<i>test3</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Tests if several complicated rules are processed correctly</li><li>- Tests if several prerequisites are processed correctly</li><li>- Tests that spaces in commands are allowed</li></ul>	Satisfactory result
<i>test4</i>	Tests if two targets are handled correctly	Satisfactory result
<i>test5</i>	Tests if the safeguard against % in prereqs or commands only catches the error	Satisfactory result
<i>test6</i>	Tests if the safeguard against % in prereqs or commands only catches the error	Satisfactory result
<i>test7</i>	Tests if a lacking \n in the end of a rule is caught	Satisfactory result
<i>test8</i>	Tests if a simple string with 1 target, 1 prerequisite and no commands is processed correctly	Satisfactory result
<i>test9</i>	Tests if \\\ in a FileT is handled correctly	Satisfactory result
<i>test10</i>	Tests if a \\n in the commands is handled correctly	Satisfactory result
<i>test11</i>	Tests if a \\\ in the commands is handled correctly	Satisfactory result
<i>test12</i>	Tests if all possible characters are caught correctly in a FileT	Satisfactory result

Tabel 1: APMake Parser Tests

### Test conclusions

The tests show that the solution complies with the restriction in the assignment test and that it works correctly.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, the program works correctly, different concerns are separated into their own specific functions, and all functionality complies with the assignment text.

## Question 2 – APMake Interpreter

This section presents the thoughts and assumptions regarding the solution as well as an assessment of the correctness of the implemented functionality. All the implemented source code can be found in Appendix B of this report.

### Limitations

The solution is limited to all build plans, not only minimal build plans.

The solution also builds prerequisites in reverse order. For instance in

Foo.bar : foo.o bar.o,

it will build bar.o first.

The solution, however, complies with all other specifications of the assignment text.

### Solution

This part of the assignment is solved by using a monad which maintains a context. In this context we store the Makefile, a list of commands to be executed, a mapping of target files and the rules used to build those, the function to check if a file exists and an integer counter for the depth of the build steps.

The program works by recursively getting the prerequisites for the prerequisites of the wanted target file.

To make sure that we don't run in an infinite loop, we maintain a mapping of the rules used to build a specific file, thus a rule cannot be used for the same target twice.

It was assumed that when we have gone to  $x$  build steps and there are still files needed to be built, we just return Nothing since we cannot build the wanted file within the  $x$  steps.

### Functions

This section presents the different functions and their purposes.

#### *build*

The build function uses the function runMF and runBuild to find a build plan. If runMF returns Just (commands, \_) we return Just commands, if returns Nothing, we return Nothing

#### *runBuild*

runbuild is the function which handles checking the makefile, getting the prerequisites and commands to build the target file and calling the runBuildRecursion to build the prerequisites for the target.

#### *runBuildRecursion*

runbuildRecursion makes sure we don't do too many build steps. We assume that if there are no files left to be built we just return the function. If there are, though we pass the names of the files we need built on to the buildTargets function which handles this. We buildTargets return, we decrease the counter and call runBuildRecursion recursively on the new files needed to be built. Afterwards we add the commands to the list of commands with the addCommands function.

### *buildTargets*

buildTargets handles finding the prerequisites of a list of targets and the commands used to build the target. The function getRule is used to do this and the list of files needed to build the targets and the commands used are returned to the runBuildRecursion function after being returned from getRule.

### *getRule*

getRule is the function which checks if a given target can be built. We check each rule of the makefile to see if a rule can build the target AND if the rule has been used to build the target before. If possible, we return a list of the files needed to build the target and the list of commands needed to build the target.

## Assessment of the solution

This section presents the tests of the solution, the results of the test as well as a conclusion on these tests.

### Tests

The tests are specified in the InterpTests.hs file in the src directory of the handed in files. The tests can be rerun by loading the InterpTests module and running the functions by calling the function by *test name* (i.e. *test1*). The results are presented in Table 2.

Test name	Purpose	Result
<i>test1</i>	Test if program makes a build plan without %'s.	Satisfactory result
<i>test2</i>	tests if program catches wrong formatted makefile	Satisfactory result
<i>test3</i>	Tests if the program correctly returns nothing if not enough steps are allowed	Satisfactory result
<i>test4</i>	tests if the program works correctly with %'s	Satisfactory result
<i>test5</i>	tests if the program handles as specified in the assignment test example	Unsatisfactory result
<i>test6</i>	Tests if the program returns Nothing if there is a dependency cycle	Satisfactory result

Tabel 2: APMake Interpreter Tests

### Test conclusion

As we can see from the tests, the program successfully builds a build plan in the allowed number of steps, although it builds prerequisite files in reverse for each target and doesn't produce a minimal build plan. The function however, correctly catches dependency cycles

### Conclusion

In conclusion, for the specified functionality, the program works correctly.

The functionality too, is in general separated into different functions when needed by use of a monad.

All in all, the solution could have been better; however for the specified functionality it serves a purpose.

## Question 3 – Robust Commands

This section presents the thoughts and assumptions regarding the solution as well as an assessment of the correctness of the implemented functionality. All the implemented source code can be found in Appendix C of this report.

### Limitations

The only limitation is the return of the exception from the invoker. I am not sure that it conforms to the specified message format.

The solution does not have any inherent limitations in relation to the assignment text and the required specification that I know of apart from the above.

### Solution

The solution consists of a server implementation which spawns a process maintaining a loop. This loop maintains the Callback Module, a list of the Process ID's for the Running invokers, as well as for the Waiting and Completed invokers, respectively, as well as the limit of concurrent invocations.

The loop manages the creation and abortion of processes which run the invoker-function.

The invoker function, after creation, wait for a signal from the server loop to start or abort the operation.

We assume that the State of the Callback Module doesn't change. We also assume that when an invocation is finished, we do not wish to invoke it again and it is therefore killed.

### Functions

This section explains the functions in the `gen_command` module used to implement the wanted functionality

#### *start/2*

The start function attempts to run the initialize function of the module `Mod`. If it returns a tuple of `{ok, State, Limit}` we start a new process running the loop function with `Mod`, three empty lists, the state and the limit as argument. We then return `{ok, ServerRef}` where `ServerRef` is the Process ID of the process running loop. If something goes wrong we catch it and send it back as a tuple starting with error.

#### *invoke/3*

`invoke` sends a synchronous to the process maintaining the loop and wait for the response and locks until we receive a confirmation.

#### *avast/2*

It is assumed that `avast` doesn't have to get a response when asking to abort and operation. It therefore uses the `async`-function to send a message to the process specified the `ServerRef`.

#### *ahoy/2*

`ahoy` sends a synchronous request since we want an answer from the server loop.

#### *furl/1*

`furl` sends a synchronous request since we want to know that the server has been killed off.

## *loop/6*

The loop maintains the callback module, three lists of running, waiting and completed tasks, respectively, the state of the server and the limit of concurrent executions. We assume that Limit is either a number or the atom infinite. Other values will cause a crash, most probably.

The loop manages the incoming messages from users and distributes them to the correct parties.

If the server received an invoke request, it spawn a new invoker process and sends the CID back to the calling function. It then waits for the invoker to respond. It then checks whether there is space for another running invoker. If so, the invoker is started, if not, it is queued.

If the server received the avast signal, the server sendt an avast signal to the specified invoker and assumes that it is received by the invoker. The invoker is then removed from the running and waiting list (we assume it is in one of them) and is added to the Completed list.

If we received an ahoy request, we check first if the CID is in the Running list, if not we check the waiting list in not, we check the completed list. We return a value based on the list it is found in and return this to the user.

If the loop received a success message we assume it is from an invoker and we then add the invoker to the completed list, send a message to the process specified by From with the reply. We delete the CID from the list of running invoker and see if there are any waiting. If one is waiting we send a start message to it, if not, we just restart the loop.

If we received a failure message, we do the exact same as when we receive a success message.

If we received a furl message, we send a synchronous avast request to all the waiting and running server. We send an asynchronous request to the running ones since we cannot be sure that they haven't finished in the time from sending the request to the time that the invoker received the request. We then call the handle\_furl function for the Callback Module.

If we received any other request we just restart the loop unless there is a PID, then we send a reply with the tuple {unknown\_request, Other} where other is the message.

## *Invoker/5*

The invoker is a function which maintains a reference to the Parent process, a Callback Module, some Args, the State and the process that wants the result.

The invoker waits until a go or avast message is received. If a go is received the Module:handle\_invocation function is called with Args and the State. If a reply is received we send the success message to the Parent. If we receive *blimey* we call the callback function carren/2 for the callback module and send a failure message to the parent.

If something goes wrong we send a message to the Parent with a well-formed error failure message. No matter what we kill the invoker process since it is no longer needed.

If the invoker received an avast signal we send an ok back and call the carren callback function.



## Question 4 – Concurrent Plans

Not solved.

## Appendix A – APMake Parser

### Source code

```
module Parser.Impl where

import Control.Monad ( void )

import Ast
import SimpleParse

type ParseError = String -- Must be instance of (Eq, Show). (String derives Show
and Eq)

parseString :: String -> Either ParseError Makefile
parseString s =
    case fullParse (pRules <* eof) s of
        [] -> Left "Error"
        (e:_) -> Right e

pLiteral' :: Parser Char
pLiteral' = do
    c <- chars $ ['a'..'z'] ++ ['A'..'Z'] ++ ['0'..'9'] ++ ['_', '.', '/', '-',
'\\']
    if c == '\\'
    then do
        c2 <- chars ['%', ':', ' ', '\\']
        return c2
    else
        return c

pLiteral :: Parser Frag
pLiteral = do
    s <- some pLiteral'
    return $ Lt s

pSt :: Parser Frag
pSt = do
    _ <- char '%'
    return St

pFile :: Parser Template
pFile = do
    _ <- mySpaces
    some $ choice [pLiteral, pSt]
```

```

pCommandT'' :: Parser Char
pCommandT'' = do
  c <- satisfy $ \x -> x /= '\n' && x /= '%'
  if c == '\\'
  then do
    c2 <- chars ['\n', '\\']
    if c2 == '\n'
    then pCommandT''
    else return c2
  else
    return c

pCommandT' :: Parser Frag
pCommandT' = do
  s <- some pCommandT''
  return $ Lt s

pCommandT :: Parser CommandT
pCommandT = do
  mySToken "\n"
  _ <- char '\t'
  some $ choice [pCommandT', pSt]

pTargets :: Parser [FileT]
pTargets = do
  _ <- mySpaces
  some pFile

pPrereqs :: Parser [FileT]
pPrereqs = do
  _ <- mySpaces
  many pFile

pRule :: Parser Rule
pRule = do
  _ <- mySpaces
  targets <- pTargets
  _ <- mySToken ":"
  prereqs <- pPrereqs
  commands <- many pCommandT
  _ <- mySToken "\n"
  _ <- mySpaces
  if anyContainsSt prereqs || anyContainsSt commands
  then
    if allContainsSt targets
    then return $ Rule targets prereqs commands
    else reject
  else
    return $ Rule targets prereqs commands

pRules :: Parser Makefile
pRules = some pRule

```

```
-----  
-- Helpers --  
-----  
  
myToken :: Parser a -> Parser a  
myToken p = mySpaces >> p  
  
mySToken :: String -> Parser ()  
mySToken = void . myToken . string  
  
mySpaces :: Parser String  
mySpaces = munch myIsSpace  
  
myIsSpace :: Char -> Bool  
myIsSpace c = c == ' '  
  
anyContainsSt :: [[Frag]] -> Bool  
anyContainsSt fs = any (\x -> St `elem` x) fs  
  
allContainsSt :: [[Frag]] -> Bool  
allContainsSt fs = all (\x -> St `elem` x) fs  
  
parseFile :: FilePath -> IO (Either ParseError Makefile)  
parseFile path = fmap parseString $ readFile path
```

## Appendix B – APMake Interpreter

### Source code

```
module Interp.Impl where

import Control.Monad()

import Ast

replace :: String -> Template -> String
replace _ [] = ""
replace s (x:xs) = case x of
    St -> s ++ replace s xs
    Lt l -> l ++ replace s xs

match :: String -> Template -> Maybe (Maybe String)
match "" [] = Just Nothing
match _ [] = Nothing
match s (t:ts) = case t of
    St -> trymatch s (t:ts)
    Lt l -> case prefix s l of
        (True, ret) -> match ret ts
        (False, _) -> Nothing

prefix :: String -> String -> (Bool, String)
prefix "" "" = (True, "")
prefix s "" = (True, s)
prefix "" _ = (False, "")
prefix (x:xs) (y:ys) = if x == y
    then prefix xs ys
    else (False, "")

trymatch :: String -> Template -> Maybe (Maybe String)
trymatch s t = trymatch' s s [] t

trymatch' :: String -> String -> String -> Template -> Maybe (Maybe String)
trymatch' s _ _ [St] = Just (Just s)
trymatch' _ [] _ _ = Nothing
trymatch' s (x:xs) ys t = if s == replace ys t
    then Just (Just ys)
    else trymatch' s xs (ys ++ [x]) t

check :: Makefile -> Bool
check ms = all (\x -> checkrule x) ms

checkrule :: Rule -> Bool
checkrule (Rule targets prereqs commands) =
    if (any (\x -> checktemplate x) prereqs) || any (\x -> checktemplate x)
    commands
    then
        all (\x -> checktemplate x) targets
    else
        True
```

```

checktemplate :: Template -> Bool
checktemplate t = any (\x -> St == x) t

type Context = (Makefile, [Command], [(String, [Rule])], (File -> Bool), Int)

newtype MF a = MF {
    runMF :: Context -> Maybe (a, Context)
}

instance Functor MF where
    fmap f m = m >>= \a -> return (f a)

instance Applicative MF where
    pure = return
    df <*> dx = df >>= \f -> dx >>= return . f

instance Monad MF where
    return a = MF $ \ s -> Just (a, s)

    m >>= f = MF $ \ s -> do
        case runMF m s of
            Nothing -> Nothing
            Just (a, s') -> runMF (f a) s'

    fail _ = MF $ \ _ -> Nothing

reject :: MF a
reject = MF $ \ _ -> Nothing

checkmakefile :: MF ()
checkmakefile = do
    (mf, _, _, _, _) <- get
    if check mf then return ()
    else reject

get :: MF Context
get = MF $ \ r -> Just (r, r)

set :: Makefile -> [Command] -> [(String, [Rule])] -> (File -> Bool) -> Int ->
MF ()
set makefile commands targets function int =
    MF $ \ _ -> Just ((), (makefile, commands, targets, function, int))

getCommands :: MF [Command]
getCommands = do
    (_, c, _, _, _) <- get
    return c

getFunction :: MF (File -> Bool)
getFunction = do
    (_, _, _, func, _) <- get
    return func

```

```

getCounter :: MF Int
getCounter = do
    (_, _, _, _, i) <- get
    return i

decreaseCounter :: MF ()
decreaseCounter = do
    (m, c, s, f, i) <- get
    set m c s f $ i-1

getTargets :: MF [(String, [Rule])]
getTargets = do
    (_, _, targets, _, _) <- get
    return targets

addTarget :: String -> Rule -> MF ()
addTarget s r = do
    (m, c, targets, f, i) <- get
    newtargets <- addTarget' s r [] targets
    set m c newtargets f i

addTarget' :: String -> Rule -> [(String, [Rule])] -> [(String, [Rule])] -> MF
[(String, [Rule])]
addTarget' s r targets [] = return $ (s, [r]) : targets
addTarget' s r targets ((target, rulelist):ts) =
    if s == target
    then return $ targets ++ [(target, r:rulelist)] ++ ts
    else
        addTarget' s r ((target, rulelist):targets) ts

-- Returns False if rule not used for particular target
-- Return
ruleUsed :: String -> Rule -> MF Bool
ruleUsed s r = do
    targets <- getTargets
    ruleUsed' s r targets

ruleUsed' :: String -> Rule -> [(String, [Rule])] -> MF Bool
ruleUsed' _ _ [] = return False
ruleUsed' s r ((target, rules):targets) =
    if s == target
    then return $ r `elem` rules
    else ruleUsed' s r targets

addCommand :: Command -> MF ()
addCommand command = do
    (mf, commands, s, f, i) <- get
    set mf (command : commands) s f i

addCommands :: [Command] -> MF ()
addCommands commands1 = do
    (mf, commands2, s, f, i) <- get
    set mf (commands2 ++ commands1) s f i

build :: Makefile -> File -> (File -> Bool) -> Int -> Maybe [Command]
build mf s f i = case runMF (runBuild s) (mf, [], [], f, i) of
    Just (commands, _) -> Just commands
    Nothing -> Nothing

```

```

runBuild :: String -> MF [Command]
runBuild s = do
    checkmakefile
    (prereqs, commands) <- getRule s
    newprereqs <- trimPrereqs prereqs
    decreaseCounter
    runBuildRecursion newprereqs
    addCommands commands
    getCommands

trimPrereqs :: [String] -> MF [String]
trimPrereqs prereqs = do
    func <- getFunction
    return $ filter (\x -> not $ func x) prereqs

runBuildRecursion :: [String] -> MF ()
runBuildRecursion [] = return ()
runBuildRecursion prereqs = do
    i <- getCounter
    if i < 1
    then reject
    else do
        (newprereqs, commands) <- buildTargets prereqs
        decreaseCounter
        runBuildRecursion newprereqs
        addCommands commands

buildTargets :: [String] -> MF ([String], [String])
buildTargets [] = return ([], [])
buildTargets (t:ts) = do
    (prereqs1, commands1) <- buildTargets ts
    (prereqs2, commands2) <- getRule t
    return $ (prereqs1 ++ prereqs2, commands1 ++ commands2)

```



[illegible]

## Appendix C – gen\_command

### Source code

```
-module(gen_command).

-export([start/2, invoke/3, avast/2, ahoy/2, furl/1]).

start(Mod, Args) ->
    try Mod:initialise(Args) of
        {ok, State, Limit} ->
            ServerRef = spawn(fun() -> loop(Mod, [], [], [], State, Limit) end),
            {ok, ServerRef};
        Other ->
            {error, Other}
    catch
        _ : _ ->
            {error, Other}
    end.

invoke(ServerRef, Args, From) ->
    request_reply(ServerRef, {invoke, Args, From}).

avast(ServerRef, CID) ->
    async(ServerRef, {avast, CID}).

ahoy(ServerRef, CID) ->
    request_reply(ServerRef, {ahoy, CID}).

furl(ServerRef) ->
    request_reply(ServerRef, furl).
```

```

loop(Module, Running, Waiting, Completed, State, Limit) ->
  receive
    {Pid, {invoke, Args, From}} ->
      Me = self(),
      CID = spawn(fun() -> invoker(Me, Module, Args, State, From) end),
      reply(Pid, {Me, CID}),
      receive
        % Vi venter på, at invokeren er klar før vi går videre
        {CID, alive} ->
          % Hvis der stadig er plads til en ekstra aktiv så kører vi
          den næste.

          % Hvis ikke så sætter vi den i vente-kø.
          case length(Running) < Limit of
            true ->
              NewRunning = Running ++ [CID],
              startInvoker(CID),
              loop(Module, NewRunning, Waiting, Completed, State,
Limit);

              false ->
                NewWaiting = Waiting ++ [CID],
                loop(Module, Running, NewWaiting, Completed, State,
Limit)

            end
          end;

        _, {avast, CID}} ->
          async(CID, {self(), avast}),
          NewRunning = lists:delete(CID, Running),
          NewWaiting = lists:delete(CID, Waiting),
          NewCompleted = Completed ++ [CID],
          loop(Module, NewRunning, NewWaiting, NewCompleted, State, Limit);

        {Pid, {ahoy, CID}} ->
          case lists:any(fun(E) -> E == CID end, Running) of
            true ->
              reply(Pid, running);
            false ->
              case lists:any(fun(E) -> E == CID end, Waiting) of
                true ->
                  reply(Pid, queued);
                false ->
                  case lists:any(fun(E) -> E == CID end, Completed) of
                    true ->
                      reply(Pid, completed);
                    false ->
                      reply(Pid, {error, nomatch})
                  end
                end
              end
            end
          end,
          loop(Module, Running, Waiting, Completed, State, Limit);

        {CID, {success, From, Reply}} ->
          reply(From, {success, Reply}),
          NewCompleted = Completed ++ [CID],
          NewRunning = lists:delete(CID, Running),
          % Vi ser om der er nogen som venter
          case length(Waiting) > 0 of
            true ->
              Next = lists:nth(1, Waiting),

```

```

        NewWaiting = lists:delete(Next, Waiting),
        startInvoker(Next),
        NewNewRunning = [Next] ++ NewRunning,
        loop(Module, NewNewRunning, NewWaiting, NewCompleted, State,
Limit);
        false ->
        loop(Module, NewRunning, Waiting, NewCompleted, State,
Limit)
    end;

    {CID, {failure, From, Reply}} ->
        reply(From, {failure, Reply}),
        NewCompleted = Completed ++ [CID],
        NewRunning = lists:delete(CID, Running),
        case length(Waiting) > 0 of
            true ->
                Next = lists:nth(1, Waiting),
                NewWaiting = lists:delete(Next, Waiting),
                startInvoker(Next),
                NewNewRunning = [Next] ++ NewRunning,
                loop(Module, NewNewRunning, NewWaiting, NewCompleted, State,
Limit);
                false ->
                loop(Module, NewRunning, Waiting, NewCompleted, State,
Limit)
            end;
        {Pid, furl} ->
            lists:foreach(fun(CID) ->
                request_reply(CID, avast)
            end,
                Waiting),
            lists:foreach(fun(CID) ->
                async(CID, {self(), avast})
            end,
                Running),
            Module:handle_furl(State),
            reply(Pid, ok);
        {Pid, Other} ->
            reply(Pid, {unknown_request, Other}),
            loop(Module, Running, Waiting, Completed, State, Limit);
        - ->
            loop(Module, Running, Waiting, Completed, State, Limit)
    end.
end.

```

```

invoker(Parent, Module, Args, State, From) ->
  Parent ! {self(), alive},
  receive
    {Parent, go} ->
      try Module:handle_invocation(Args, State) of
        {reply, Reply} ->
          reply(Parent, {success, From, Reply});
        blimey ->
          Module:carren(State, Args),
          reply(Parent, {failure, From, aborted})
      catch
        Error ->
          reply(Parent, {failure, From, Error})
      end;
    {Parent, avast} ->
      reply(Parent, ok),
      Module:carren(State, Args)
  end.

```

%%% Synchronous communication

```

reply(Pid, Reply) ->
  Pid ! {self(), Reply}.

async(Pid, Msg) ->
  Pid ! Msg.

request_reply(ServerRef, Msg) ->
  ServerRef ! {self(), Msg},
  receive {ServerRef, Reply} ->
    Reply
  end.

startInvoker(CID) ->
  Me = self(),
  async(CID, {Me, go}).

```