

# Heuristic Optimization : Implementation exercise 2

Nikita Marchant (nimarcha@vub.ac.be)

May 17, 2017

## 1 Introduction

This document is the report the implementation exercise 2 for the Heuristic Optimization course. The exercise asks to implement two stochastic local search algorithms for the the permutation flow-shop problem with the sum weighted completion time objective (also called PFSP-WCT).

The PFSP-WCT has not been thoroughly studied in the literature so i used some inspiration from papers studying the PFSP with flowtime that is more studied.

## 2 Algorithms

The first algorithm is an Iterated Local Search (ILS) inspired from [Pan and Ruiz, 2012] and the second is a genetic algorithm inspired from [Zhang et al., 2009].

### 2.1 Iterated Local Search

The Iterated Local Search is a stochastic meta-heuristic known to be applicable to multiple optimization problems [Gendreau and Potvin, 2010].

It consists of these steps :

1. Generate a initial solution
2. Apply a local search to the solution
3. When stuck in a local optimum, apply a perturbation
4. Apply a local search to the solution
5. Use an acceptance criterion for the perturbed then optimized solution
6. Go back to 3 or stop when a termination criterion is met.

#### 2.1.1 Initialization method: $LR(x)$

The initialization method used in this implementation and in [Pan and Ruiz, 2012] is the  $LR(x)$  heuristic introduced by [Liu and Reeves, 2001]. It consist in three steps :

1. Rank the jobs by their weighed sum of flowtime
2. Generate  $x$  solutions by inserting the job from position  $x$  at the front of the solution
3. Select the sequence with the minimum weighted flow time

### 2.1.2 Local search

The local search that was implemented is the iterated RZ (IRZ). The RZ uses a insertion neighborhood. It sequentially inserts each job at each possible position in the candidate solution (thus is in  $O(n^2)$  complexity if  $n$  is the number of jobs) and keeps the best one.

The IRZ applies RZ until a local optimal solution is found (i.e. the RZ does not yield a better result).

### 2.1.3 Perturbation method

After finding a local optimum, a perturbation is applied to be able to escape the local optimum and extend the search space.

The perturbation method consists of  $\gamma$  random insertion moves : each move selects randomly a job and moves it to a random position.

### 2.1.4 Acceptance criterion

After finding a local optimum, we have to decide if we keep the solution. I chose to implement the simulated annealing as the criterion with  $\lambda \cdot \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m p_{ij}}{10mn}$  as a constant temperature.

### 2.1.5 Termination criterion

For both algorithm, the termination criterion is the time. For instances of size  $n = 50$ , i stop at 70 seconds and for  $n = 100$  i stop at 200 seconds. Theses values were chosen as 100 times the runtime of the algorithms implemented in the first part.

## 2.2 Genetic algorithm

My implementation of a genetic algorithm is inspired form [Zhang et al., 2009] with some slight differences.

1. Generate a initial population
2. Generate a new generation with the crossover operator
3. Perturbate each chromosome with a given probability
4. Apply a local search on each chromosome
5. Merge the old and the new population and select the eligible chromosomes to be kept
6. Goto 2 or stop if the termination criterion is met

### 2.2.1 Generation of the initial population

The initial population consists of one chromosome generated with  $LR(x)$  (see 2.1.1) and the other are generated as random permutation of the job set.

### 2.2.2 Crossover operator

### 2.2.3 Mutation operator

The mutation operator is the same as in 2.1.3 and is applied only with  $P_m \cdot \sqrt{U+1}$  probability.  $U$  is defined as the number of generation since the last improvement to the global solution. This is

not present in [Zhang et al., 2009] but helps to unstuck the algorithm when it is stuck in a local optimum since a long time.

#### 2.2.4 Local search

The local search method is RZ (as explained in 2.1.2) but also as an original addition, if  $U$  is bigger than  $U_{IRZ}$ , a IRZ algorithm is used instead because the mutation rate is much higher so the chromosome is highly perturbed.

Using a IRZ all the time was tried but yielded no better results and was significantly slower<sup>1</sup>.

#### 2.2.5 Population selection

### 3 Parameters

#### 3.1 Computation power limitation

#### 3.2 Iterated Local Search

#### 3.3 Genetic algorithm

### 4 Results

### 5 Implementation

### 6 Conclusion

### References

- [Gendreau and Potvin, 2010] Gendreau, M. and Potvin, J.-Y. (2010). *Handbook of metaheuristics*, volume 2. Springer.
- [Liu and Reeves, 2001] Liu, J. and Reeves, C. R. (2001). Constructive and composite heuristic solutions to the p// $\sum$  ci scheduling problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 132(2):439–452.
- [Pan and Ruiz, 2012] Pan, Q.-K. and Ruiz, R. (2012). Local search methods for the flowshop scheduling problem with flowtime minimization. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 222(1):31 – 43.
- [Zhang et al., 2009] Zhang, Y., Li, X., and Wang, Q. (2009). Hybrid genetic algorithm for permutation flowshop scheduling problems with total flowtime minimization. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 196(3):869 – 876.

---

<sup>1</sup>Due to the lack of computing power (see 3.1), this hypothesis could not be formally proved.