

# The carbon cycle in ACCESS-ESM1

**Model description and Pre-Industrial Simulation** 

Rachel Law 26 Aug2015


OCEANS AND ATMOSPHERE www.csiro.au



- Law, R. M., T. Ziehn, R. J. Matear, A. Lenton, M. A. Chamberlain, L. E. Stevens, Y.-P. Wang, J. Srbinovsky, D. Bi, H. Yan, and P. Vohralik, The carbon cycle in the Australian Community Climate and Earth System Simulator (ACCESS-ESM1). 1. Model description and preindustrial simulation, submitted to Geoscientific Model Development.
- Revision based on editorial comments before discussions phase
- Proposed ACCESS special issue (open-ended).



### **ACCESS versions**



#### **ACCESS-ESM1**


- ACCESS1.4
  - UM7.3 (~GA1.0)
  - MOM4p1
  - CABLE 2.2.3

- CICE4.1
- OASIS-MCT

- ACCESS-ESM1
  - CABLE2.2.3 with | casacnp=.TRUE., icycle=3 (CNP)
  - WOMBAT for ocean carbon
- Pre-industrial simulations
  - DEF default, prescribed leaf area index, standard ocean carbon parameters
    - 1000 years
  - ProgLAI prognostic leaf area index
    - 1000 years
    - Slight warming of climate (TAS 14.59±0.11 compared to 14.22±0.10°C)
  - AltOCN alternate ocean carbon parameters (and numerically stable WOMBAT)
    - 500 years




## **Physical climate**



Root mean square difference from ACCESS1.3 simulation normalized by the ACCESS1.0 to ACCESS1.3 difference.





# Land flux equilibration






## Land flux and carbon pools - ProgLAI







### Land carbon flux distribution and LAI







# Interannual variability

|            | DEF  | ProgLAI |
|------------|------|---------|
| GPP        | 1.17 | 1.87    |
| Leaf Resp  | 0.26 | 0.75    |
| Plant Resp | 0.17 | 0.27    |
| Soil Resp  | 0.27 | 0.32    |
| NEE        | 1.40 | 1.21    |

#### NEE standard deviation (gCm<sup>-2</sup>y<sup>-1</sup>)









## Climate drivers for interannual variability

Correlation between annual land carbon flux to the atmosphere and precipitation surface air temperature







#### **Conclusions**

- Simulations are generally realistic
- Improvements to target
  - Land carbon conservation when low rainfall makes sustaining vegetation difficult
  - Land carbon fluxes may be over sensitive to climate (moisture) variability
  - Excessive uptake of alkalinity in surface water → outgassing carbon
  - Underestimated export of particulate organic carbon → too much phosphate
- Carbon cycle impacted by physical model biases
  - Low rainfall biases (e.g. Indian monsoon)
  - Cold tongue bias, surface salinity biases




# Thank you

**Earth System Modelling**Rachel Law
Principal Research Scientist

t +61 3 9239 4427

e rachel.law@csiro.au



www.csiro.au

