## A Note on the Ate Pairing

Chang-An Zhao, Fangguo Zhang and Jiwu Huang

 School of Information Science and Technology, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, P.R.China
 Guangdong Key Laboratory of Information Security Technology, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, P.R.China zhcha@mail2.sysu.edu.cn isszhfg@mail.sysu.edu.cn

**Abstract.** The Ate pairing has been suggested since it can be computed efficiently on ordinary elliptic curves with small values of the traces of Frobenius t. However, not all pairing-friendly elliptic curves have this property. In this paper, we generalize the Ate pairing and find a series of variations of the Ate pairing. We show that the shortest Miller loop of the variations of the Ate pairing can possibly be as small as  $r^{1/\varphi(k)}$  on more pairing-friendly curves generated by the method of complex multiplications, and hence speed up the pairing computation significantly.

**Keywords:** Tate pairing, Ate pairing, Elliptic curves, Pairing-based cryptosystems.

#### 1 Introduction

Pairing-based cryptosystems have been one of the most active areas in elliptic curve cryptography since 2000. Some detailed summaries on this subject can be found in [21] and [15]. There are three early developing contributions which inspire many other pairing-based cryptographic applications in this area: Sakai et al.'s pairing-based key agreement [22], Joux's three-party key agreement [14] and Boneh and Franklin's identity-based encryption scheme [4]. A bottleneck for implementing pairing-based cryptosystems is to compute the pairings.

The pairings can be evaluated in polynomial time by Miller's algorithm [19]. Many useful techniques have been suggested for optimizing the computation of

the pairings. Some excellent summaries about pairing computations are recommended (see [12,23]). One of the most elegant techniques for computing the pairings efficiently is to shorten the iteration loop in Miller's algorithm. Inspired by the Duursma-Lee method for some special supersingular curves in [7], Barreto et al. introduce the Eta pairing which has a half length of the Miller loop compared to the original Tate pairing on supersingular Abelian varieties. Later, Hess et al. suggest the Ate pairing which shortens the length of the Miller loop obviously on ordinary elliptic curves [13]. Matsuda et al. optimize the Ate pairing and the twisted Ate pairing and show that both them are always at least as fast as the Tate pairing [17]. The Ate pairing has been one of the fastest pairings till now.

The length of the Miller loop in the Ate pairing depends on the value of the trace of Frobenius t modulo the subgroup order r. For fast pairing computations,  $t-1 \mod r$  should be made as small as possible. There do exist some special pairing-friendly elliptic curves with t which can be as small as  $r^{1/\varphi(k)}$  [6]. Freeman has also discussed how to generate some elliptic curves which are suitable for the Ate pairing [9]. However, not all pairing-friendly elliptic curves have this excellent property (see examples in [20,5]), i.e., the Miller loop of the Ate pairing does not achieve  $r^{1/\varphi(k)}$  on these pairing-friendly elliptic curves.

In this paper, we tackle this problem by generalizing the Ate pairing, we find a series of the variations of the Ate pairing and explore how to choose the generalized Ate pairing having the Miller loop as small as possible. For more ordinary elliptic curves suitable for pairing-based cryptosystems, the Miller loop of the generalized Ate pairing can reach the lower bound  $r^{1/\varphi(k)}$  and hence accelerates pairing computations efficiently.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces basic mathematical concepts of the Tate pairing and the Ate pairing. Section 3 generalizes the Ate pairing and shows how to choose the optimal parameter of the generalized Ate pairing for fast pairing computations. Section 4 gives efficiency considerations. We draw our conclusion and describe further work in Section 5.

### 2 Mathematical Preliminaries

#### 2.1 Tate Pairing

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field with  $q=p^m$  elements, where p is a prime. Let E be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  be the point at infinity.  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is denoted as the order of the rational points group  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and r is a large prime satisfying  $r|\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Let k be the embedding degree, i.e., the smallest positive integer such that  $r|q^k-1$ .

Let  $P \in E[r]$  and  $Q \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$ . For each integer i and point P, let  $f_{i,P}$  be a rational function on E such that

$$(f_{i,P}) = i(P) - (iP) - (i-1)(\mathcal{O}).$$

Let D be a divisor which is linearly equivalent to  $(Q) - (\mathcal{O})$  with its support disjoint from  $(f_{r,P})$ . The Tate pairing [10] is a bilinear map

$$\hat{e}: E[r] \times E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})/rE(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) \to \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*/(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*)^r,$$

$$\hat{e}(P,Q) = f_{r,P}(D).$$

By Theorem 1 in [2], one can define the reduced Tate pairing as

$$e(P,Q) = f_{r,P}(Q)^{\frac{q^k-1}{r}}.$$

The above definition is convenient since a unique element of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*$  is often required in many cryptographic protocols.

#### 2.2 Miller's Algorithm

Let  $P \in E[r]$  and  $Q \in E(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})$ . Let  $l_{R,T}$  be the equation of the line through points R and T, and let  $v_S$  be the equation of the vertical line through point S. For  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$f_{i+j,P}(Q) = f_{i,P}(Q)f_{j,P}(Q)\frac{l_{iP,jP}(Q)}{v_{(i+j)P}(Q)}.$$

Using the above formula,  $f_{r,P}(Q)^{\frac{q^k-1}{r}}$  can be computed in polynomial time by Miller's algorithm.

#### Miller's algorithm

Input:  $r = \sum_{i=0}^{n} l_i 2^i$ , where  $l_i \in \{0, 1\}$ .  $P \in E[r]$  and  $Q \in E(F_{q^k})$ .

Output: e(P, Q)1.  $T \leftarrow P$ ,  $f_1 \leftarrow 1$ 2. for i = n - 1, n - 2, ..., 1, 0 do

2.1  $f_1 \leftarrow f_1^2 \cdot \frac{l_{T,T}(Q)}{v_{2T}(Q)}, T \leftarrow 2T$ 2.2 if  $l_i = 1$  then

2.3  $f_1 \leftarrow f_1 \cdot \frac{l_{T,P}(Q)}{v_{T+P}(Q)}, T \leftarrow T + P$ 3. return  $f_1^{(q^k-1)/r}$ 

#### 2.3 Ate Pairing

We recall the definition of the Ate pairing from [13] in this subsection. Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field with  $q=p^m$  elements, where p is a prime. Let E be an ordinary elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , r a large prime with  $r\mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and let t denote the trace of Frobenius, i.e.,  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)=q+1-t$ . Let  $\pi_q$  be the Frobenius endomorphism,  $\pi_q:E\to E:(x,y)\mapsto (x^q,y^q)$ . For  $T=t-1,\ Q\in\mathbb{G}_2=E[r]\cap Ker(\pi_q-[q])$  and  $P\in\mathbb{G}_1=E[r]\cap Ker(\pi_q-[1])$ , we have the following:

- $f_{T,Q}(P)$  defines a bilinear pairing, which is called the Ate Pairing.
- let  $N = gcd(T^k 1, q^k 1)$  and  $T^k 1 = LN$ , with k the embedding degree, then

$$e(Q, P)^{L} = f_{T,Q}(P)^{c(q^{k}-1)/N},$$

where  $c = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} T^{k-1-i} q^i \equiv kq^{k-1} \mod r$ .

- for  $r \nmid L$ , the Ate pairing is non-degenerate.

## 3 Generalizations of the Ate Pairing

## 3.1 Generalized Ate pairing

The main result of this paper is summarized in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field with  $q = p^m$  elements, where p is a prime. Let E be an ordinary elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , r be a large prime with  $r \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and let t denote the trace of Frobenius, i.e.,  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1 - t$ . Let k be its embedding degree and T = t - 1. For  $T^i = (t - 1)^i \equiv q^i \mod r$  where

 $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , we denote  $T_i = T^i \mod r$ . For  $Q \in \mathbb{G}_2 = E[r] \cap Ker(\pi_q - [q])$  and  $P \in \mathbb{G}_1 = E[r] \cap Ker(\pi_q - [1])$ , we have the following:

- $f_{T_i,Q}(P)$  defines a bilinear pairing, which is called the Ate<sub>i</sub> Pairing.
- let a be the smallest positive integer such that  $T_i^a \equiv 1 \mod r$ . Let  $N = gcd(T_i^a-1,q^k-1)$  and  $T_i^a-1=LN$ , then

$$e(Q, P)^{L} = f_{T_{i}, Q}(P)^{c(q^{k}-1)/N}$$

where  $c \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} T_i^{a-1-j} (q^i)^j \mod N$ .

- for  $r \nmid L$ , the Ate<sub>i</sub> pairing is non-degenerate.

It is easily checked that such a in Theorem 1 must exist and divide k by Lagrange's Theorem. The proof of Theorem 1 totally parallels the main proof in [13, 17].

Proof of Theorem 1: Note that  $r \mid N$  since  $T_i^a \equiv 1 \mod r$  and  $q^k \equiv 1 \mod r$ . Thus we have

$$e(Q, P) = f_{r,Q}(P)^{(q^k - 1)/r} = f_{N,Q}(P)^{(q^k - 1)/N}.$$

Lemma 1 in [13] implies

$$e(Q, P)^{L} = f_{N,Q}(P)^{L(q^{k}-1)/N} = f_{LN,Q}(P)^{(q^{k}-1)/N}$$

$$= f_{T_{i}^{a}-1,Q}(P)^{(q^{k}-1)/N}$$

$$= f_{T_{i}^{a},Q}(P)^{(q^{k}-1)/N}.$$
(1)

Using Lemma 2 in [1] and [13], we have

$$f_{T_i^a,Q} = f_{T_i,Q}^{T_i^{a-1}} f_{T_i,T_i}^{T_i^{a-2}} \cdots f_{T_i,T_i^{a-1}Q}.$$
 (2)

Since  $\pi_{q^i}^j$  is purely inseparable of degree  $q^{ij}$ , where  $1 \leq j < a$  and  $\pi_{q^i}^j(Q) = [q^{ij}]Q = [T^{ij}]Q = [T^{ij}]Q$  (see [24] pages 29-34), we have

$$(\pi_{q^{i}}^{j})^{*}(f_{T_{i},\pi_{q^{i}}^{j}(Q)}) = q^{jj}T_{i}(Q) - q^{jj}(\pi_{q^{i}}(Q)) - q^{jj}(T_{i} - 1)(\mathcal{O})$$
$$= (f_{T_{i},Q}^{q^{ij}}).$$

Note that  $(\pi_{q^i}^j)^*(f_{T_i,\pi_{q^i}^j(Q)})=(f_{T_i,\pi_{q^i}^j(Q)}\circ\pi_{q^i}^j)$  and  $f_{T_i,Q}^{q^{ij}}=f_{T_i,Q}^{\sigma^{ij}}\circ\pi_{q^i}^j$  with  $\sigma$  the q-th power Frobenius automorphism of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ , hence we can obtain

$$f_{T_i,\pi^j_{-i}(Q)} = f_{T_i,Q}^{\sigma^{ij}}.$$

Since  $P \in E[r] \cap Ker(\pi_q - [1])$ , we have

$$f_{T_i,T_i^jQ}(P) = f_{T_i,\pi_{\sigma^i}^j(Q)}(P) = f_{T_i,Q}^{\sigma^{ij}}(P) = (f_{T_i,Q}(P))^{q^{ij}}.$$
 (3)

Using the above equality (2), we get

$$f_{T_i^a,Q}(P) = f_{T_i,Q}(P)^{\sum_{j=0}^{a-1} T_i^{a-1-j}(q^i)^j}.$$
(4)

Finally, substituting (4) into (1) yields

$$e(Q, P)^{L} = f_{T_{i,O}}(P)^{c(q^{k}-1)/N}$$

where  $c \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} T_i^{a-1-j} (q^i)^j \mod N$ . This shows that  $f_{T_i,Q}(P)$  is a bilinear pairing, which is non-degenerate provided that  $r \nmid L$ .

Theorem 1 shows that a series of the Ate<sub>i</sub> pairings  $f_{T_i,Q}(P)$  can be obtained as i varies. The reduced Ate<sub>i</sub> pairing can be defined as  $f_{T_i,Q}(P)^{(q^k-1)/r}$  equal to a fixed power of the reduced Tate pairing. Notice that  $T_i = T^i \equiv q^i \mod r$  and the Miller loop of  $f_{T_i,Q}(P)$  is determined by the bit length of  $T_i$ . Lastly, it is remarked that the twisted Ate pairing could be generalized easily using the similar idea.

#### 3.2 Selection of the Optimal $T_i$

In this subsection, we discuss how to choose  $T_i$  which has the shortest bit length for fast pairing computations.

If  $T_i=\pm 1$  then we have L=0, which implies that the Ate<sub>i</sub> pairings become trivial. Note that  $T_i=-1$  yields a trivial pairing since L=0 in this case. Let  $\phi_d(x)$  be d-th cyclotomic polynomial with its degree  $\varphi(d)$  for some positive integer d [16]. Since  $x^k-1=\prod_{d|k}\phi_d(x)$  and  $T_i^k-1\equiv 0$  mod  $r,T_i$  must satisfy the equation  $\phi_d(x)\equiv 0$  mod r for some d. We can compute  $T_i=T^i\equiv q^i$  mod r, and choose  $T_i$  which has the shortest bit length for efficient pairing computations.

An interesting observation is that the optimal  $T_i$  is of size  $r^{1/\varphi(k)}$  on some pairing-friendly elliptic curves ([6, 20, 5]) although parts of them have large values of Frobenius traces t.

It should be also noted that the optimal  $T_i$  maybe not reach the lower bound  $r^{1/\varphi(k)}$  in some special cases (see examples in [3]). An open problem is what relations about q, k and r of elliptic curves enable the smallest  $q^i \mod r$  to reach the lower bound  $r^{1/\varphi(k)}$ .

## 4 Efficiency Consideration

Hess et al. have given an explicit efficiency analysis for computing the original Ate pairing on special pairing-friendly elliptic curves with t as small as  $r^{1/\varphi(k)}$  in [13]. Furthermore, the Miller loop of the optimized Ate pairing equals to  $T \equiv q \mod r$  in [17]. This shows that the Ate pairing is at least as efficient as the Tate pairing.

 $T \mod r$  is often of size  $\sqrt{q}$ , which does not achieve the lower bound  $r^{1/\varphi(k)}$  for some pairing-friendly elliptic curves ([20,5]). However, the optimal  $T_i$  can be as small as  $r^{1/\varphi(k)}$  on them in the  $Ate_i$  pairing. Therefore, the optimal  $Ate_i$  pairing can be computed more efficient than the original Ate pairing in [13] or the optimized Ate pairing in [17] in this case. In a sense, the optimal  $Ate_i$  pairing seems to be computed efficiently on more pairing-friendly elliptic curves compared to the original Ate pairing.

Some pairing-friendly elliptic curves which have large values of the trace of Frobenius are listed in Appendix A. Here we only compare the bit length of the Miller loop for various pairings since these ordinary elliptic curves are suitable for different security levels. Note that parameters sizes of pairing-friendly curves for for different security levels are shown in detail [18]. It is also remarked that the Ate<sub>i</sub> pairing in Table 1 has the minimal loop  $T_i$  as small as the lower bound  $r^{1/\varphi(k)}$ . The embedding degrees k for various elliptic curves are also listed in the parenthesis.

 $E_1(10) | E_2(11) | E_3(22) | E_4(28)$  $E_5(18) E_6(26) E_7(34)$ Туре Tate Ate  $Ate_i$ 

Table 1. The comparisons of bit lengths of loops for the pairings

## 5 Conclusions and Further Work

A series of the generalized Ate pairings called the Ate<sub>i</sub> pairings  $f_{T_i,Q}(P)$  are presented in this paper. We have discussed how to choose the optimal  $T_i$  for

efficient pairing computations and shown that the Miller loop of the optimal Ate<sub>i</sub> pairing can achieve the lower bound  $r^{1/\varphi(k)}$  on more pairing-friendly elliptic curves. An open problem is proposed that what relations about q, k and r on pairing-friendly elliptic curves enable the optimal  $T_i$  to reach the lower bound  $r^{1/\varphi(k)}$ .

## Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Florian Hess, Mike Scott and Steven Galbraith for their helpful comments and suggestions on an early draft of this manuscript. We also wish to thank the anonymous referees for their useful comments on this manuscript.

#### References

- P.S.L.M. Barreto, S. Galbraith, C. ÓhÉigeartaigh, and M. Scott. Efficient pairing computation on supersingular Abelian varieties. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, volume 42, number 3. Springer Netherlands, 2007.
- P.S.L.M. Barreto, H.Y. Kim, B. Lynn, and M. Scott. Efficient algorithms for pairing-based cryptosystems. Advances in Cryptology-Crypto'2002, volume 2442 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 354-368. Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- P.S.L.M. Barreto and M. Naehrig. Pairing-friendly elliptic curves of prime order. Proceedings of SAC 2005-Workshop on Selected Areas in Cryptography, volume 3897 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 319-331. Springer, 2006.
- 4. D. Boneh and M. Franklin. Identity-based encryption from the Weil pairing. SIAM Journal of Computing, 32(3): 586-615, 2003.
- A. Comuta, M. Kawazoe, and T. Takahashi. Pairing-friendly elliptic curves with small security loss by Cheon's algorithm. Preprint, to appear in the 10th International Conference on Information Security and Cryptology, 2007. Also available from http://eprint.iacr.org/2006/427.
- 6. P. Duan, S. Cui and C.W. Chan. Special polynomial families for generating more suitable elliptic curves for pairing-based cryptosystems. The 5th WSEAS International Conference on Electronics, Hardware, Wireless & Optimal Communications, 2006. Also available from http://eprint.iacr.org/2005/342.
- 7. I. Duursma, H.-S. Lee. Tate pairing implementation for hyperelliptic curves  $y^2 = x^p x + d$ , Advances in Cryptology-Asiacrypt'2003, volume 2894 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 111-123. Springer-Verlag, 2003.

- 8. D. Freeman. Constructing Pairing-Friendly Elliptic Curves with Embedding Degree 10, Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium ANTS-VII, volume 4076 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 452-465. Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- 9. D. Freeman, M. Scott, E. Teske. A taxonomy of pairing-friendly elliptic curves. Preprint, 2006. Available from http://eprint.iacr.org/2006/372.
- G. Frey and H-G. Rück. A remark concerning m-divisibility and the discrete logartihm in the divisor class group of curves. Math. Comp., 62(206):865-874, 1994.
- 11. S. Galbraith, K. Harrison, and D. Soldera. Implementing the Tate pairing, Algorithm Number Theory Symposium ANTS V, volume 2369 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 324-337. Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- S.D. Galbraith. Pairings Advances in Elliptic Curve Cryptography. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- 13. F. Hess, N.P. Smart and F. Vercauteren. The Eta pairing revisited. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol 52, pages 4595-4602, Oct. 2006.
- 14. A. Joux. A one round protocol for tripartite DiffieCHellman. ANTS-4: Algorithmic Number Theory. Springer-Verlag, volume 1838 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 385-394. Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- 15. A. Joux. The Weil and Tate pairings as building blocks for public key cryptosystems. *ANTS-5: Algorithmic Number Theory*, volume 2369 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 20-32. Springer- Verlag, 2002.
- R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter. Finite Fields. Number 20 in Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1997.
- 17. S. Matsuda, N. Kanayama, F. Hess, and E. Okamoto. Optimised versions of the Ate and twisted Ate pairings. Preprint, to appear in the 11th IMA International Conference on Cryptography and Coding, 2007. Also available from http://eprint.iacr.org/2007/013.
- A. J. Menezes and N. Koblitz, Pairing-based cryptography at high security levels, Cryptography and Coding, volume 3796 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science pages. 13C36. Springer-Verlag, 2005.
- 19. V.S. Miller. Short programs for functions on curves. Unpublished manuscript, 1986.
- 20. A. Murphy and N. Fitzpatrick. Elliptic curves for pairing applications. Preprint, 2005. Available from http://eprint.iacr.org/2005/302.
- 21. K.G. Paterson. Cryptography from Pairing Advances in Elliptic Curve Cryptography. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- R. Sakai, K. Ohgishi and M. Kasahara. Cryptosystems based on pairing. 2000 Symposium on Cryptography and Information Security-SCIS 2000, pages 26-28, Okinawa, Japan, Jan.2000.

- 23. M. Scott. Implementing cryptographic pairings. The 10th Workshop on Elliptic Curve Cryptography, 2006.
- 24. J.H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*. Number 106 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

# A Pairing-friendly elliptic curves suitable for the Ate<sub>i</sub> pairing

Some pairing-friendly elliptic curves with large values of Frobenius traces are cited as follows.  $T \equiv q \mod r$  and the optimal value of  $T_i$  are listed.

```
E_1 with k = 10 in [20]
r=118497265990650143638940886913063255688422174813106568961(187bits)
q \! = \! 26916561140498229883766759145747954228067854557496271814329796
  276308782360965160815950571330669569 (324 bits)
T=q \equiv -1135746083062455547947511038949266819809535 \mod r(140 \text{ bits})
The optimal T_i = T^9 \equiv 104334294221056 \mod r (i=9)(47 bits)
  E_2 with k = 11 in [20]
r = 449044374966079776811018938862000399066079697680411 (169 bits)
q = 1357441919222352203382074016394474770290194297862
  981173430741491198729593166465924090047211 (300 bits)
T = q \equiv 13503834436 \mod r(34 \text{ bits})
The optimal T_i = T^6 \equiv 116206 \mod r \text{ (i=6)}(17 \text{ bits)}
  E_3 with k = 22 in [20]
r \! = \! 146072480042839735410839194855815902380834280400918514359230
  300179430401 (237 bits)
q = 45382715071996076852244307042606621548796179757008093618976
  73464529854935361355207751315895860254566052023874522108253
  2592382511(425 bits)
T = q \equiv -854387230496757984093309676917973020089728193676722569
  216 mod r(191 \text{ bits})
The optimal T_i = T^7 \equiv 13075456 \mod r \text{ (i=7)(24 bits)}
  E_4 with k = 28 in [20]
```

```
r = 208276590274254899637564628862472689660689004802935956638554
  91908821297 (234 bits)
q = 11814340091776338622916432116953176547883084981386837222024
  158250310453024971725493343818294887257738637227696700196096
  3118937209(426 bits)
T = q \equiv -379891970942617223 \mod r(60 \text{ bits})
The optimal T_i = T^5 \equiv 724247 \mod r \text{(i=5)} (20 bits)
  E_5 with k = 18 in [5]
r = 730767328960794658374478759845478477419642392323 (160 bits)
q = 14821945697041765687773625382217321241579116867133148076094462814
  012058758352127 (264 bits)
T = q \equiv 7699855983294175985742107952727180889343 \mod r  (133 bits)
The optimal T_i = T^{11} \equiv 94906623 \mod r (i=11) (27 bits)
  E_6 with k = 26 in [5]
r = 764696222581341148650511408773719240195697919573 (160 bits)
q=18285492543987287680645893866289922483693928837435505359 (184 bits)
T = q \equiv 8551870640210380614813972059 \mod r (93 bits)
The optimal T_i = T^{15} \equiv 9779 \mod r \text{ (i=15) (14 bits)}
  E_7 with k = 34 in [5]
r=10267261474026538061953029801463094309944057146657157201 (183 bits)
q = 19326928722523970823211392049806096197843339094443289507368327
  (204 bits)
T = q \equiv 8790878313605026490203306721143 \mod r \text{ (103 bits)}
The optimal T_i = T^{19} \equiv 2743 \mod r \text{ (i=19) (12 bits)}
```