# On ZK-Crypt, Book Stack, and Statistical Tests

S. Doroshenko A. Fionov A. Lubkin V. Monarev B. Ryabko

#### Abstract

The algorithms submitted to the ECRYPT Stream Cipher Project (eSTREAM) were tested using the recently suggested statistical test named "Book Stack". All the ciphers except ZK-Crypt have passed the tests. The paper briefly describes the essence of the test. Computer implementation of the test in C++ language is supplied.

#### 1 Introduction

The distinguishing attack on stream ciphers and pseudo-random bit generators (PRBGs) aims to find deviations from randomness in the output sequences produced thereby. It is generally considered that a PRBG (and a corresponding stream cipher based on it) is cryptographically secure if it passes all polynomial-time statistical tests, i.e., the bit sequences it produces are indistinguishable from truly random sequences by those tests (see, e.g., [1]). On the contrary, if a test is found which (in polynomial time) detects deviations from randomness for a certain PRBG then this PRBG occurs to be unsuitable for cryptographic use. That is why the distinguishing attack on stream ciphers and PRBGs is so important.

There are two basic approaches to maintaining distinguishing attacks. The first one is to show non-randomness by theoretical study of a PRBG. The second approach is to test randomness experimentally, e.g., by applying mathematical statistics methods. This experimental approach is quite known and widely used in practice. In particular, the US National Institute of Standards and Technologies (NIST) recommends in [2] this approach and suggests 16 statistical tests for cryptographic PRBGs. In [2], one can also find the main notions of mathematical statistics. We briefly discuss these notions since they are essential for our test.

To distinguish between random and non-random sequences the null hypothesis  $H_0$  that the sequence is random must be tested against the alternative hypothesis  $H_1$  that the sequence is not random. A statistical test must decide on whether to accept or reject the null hypothesis. More specifically in our test, the null hypothesis  $H_0$  is that the sequence was generated by the source whose outputs are independent and equiprobable (in the binary case, all zeroes and ones are independent of each other and appear with probability 1/2). The alternative hypothesis  $H_1 = \neg H_0$  is that the sequence was generated by a stationary and ergodic source different from the source under  $H_0$ . A generated sequence subject to statistical testing is usually called a sample. Often the whole generated sequence is divided into a number of independent samples for testing.

Hypothesis testing is probabilistic in its nature. This is just because if  $H_0$  is true then any sample of a given length is equally likely. So when we look at a specific sample we cannot say for sure whether it is random or not. A so called Type I error occurs if  $H_0$  is true but, nevertheless, is rejected by the test. The probability of Type I error is often called the level of significance of the test and denoted by  $\alpha$ . The values of  $\alpha$  stretching form 0.001 to 0.05 are employed in practical cryptography. The opposite situation, when  $H_1$  is true but the test accepts  $H_0$ , is a Type II error. The probability of Type II error, denoted by  $\beta$ , is usually difficult to determine. For example, the sequence generated by a PRGB is definitely non-random since any PRGB is a deterministic algorithm. Yet, for a good (cryptographically secure) PRBG any applicable test should accept  $H_0$ . We can say that within some model of non-randomness, less values of  $\beta$  correspond to more powerful tests.

In [3] a statistical test called "Book Stack" was suggested. It was described using the generally accepted language of mathematical statistics (see also its description in [4]). In a number of works of the authors, it was shown that this test is more powerful than all 16 tests recommended by NIST. Specifically, this test was successfully used to detect deviations from randomness in RC-4 key-stream generator with 8-bit words [5]. We have also employed this test in distinguishing attacks against ZK-Crypt [6] and other candidates to eSTREAM. It is important to note that all candidates except ZK-Crypt have passed the Book Stack test under the sample sizes of  $2^{30}$ – $2^{35}$  bits (the upper bound of the sample size depended on the cipher speed and our computing facilities). We describe the test in the following sections. Computer implementation of the test is available at http://web.ict.nsc.ru/~ldp/files/bookstack.zip.

The last notice here concerns the discussion provoked by the name of the test. As a universal source coding method, Book Stack was first suggested by Ryabko [7]. Several years later the method was re-discovered in [8] where

it was called as a "Move-To-Front" (MTF) scheme. The latter name was accepted by many researchers since they were unfamiliar with the russian source (though published in english). In view of this state of affairs the authors of the paper feel it right for themselves to use the original name given by one of them.

The paper is organised as follows. In Sect. 2 we give some notes on the statistic criterion which our test is based upon. In Sect. 3 the description of Book Stack test in a form corresponding to its computer implementation is given. In Sect. 4 we describe how to use the program and show what parameters we used in the attacks.

#### 2 Statistical Criterion Used

To derive a decision upon the null hypothesis a statistic on a sample is first computed. In our test, we use a well-known  $x^2$  statistic which is described as follows. Let n denote the sample size,  $n_0$  the number of zeroes and  $n_1$  the number of ones in the sample,  $n_0 + n_1 = n$ . Let p and q denote a priori probabilities of zero and one, respectively. Then pn and qn are the expected numbers of zeroes and ones in a sample of size q. The q statistic is defined by the equation

$$x^{2} = \frac{(n_{0} - pn)^{2}}{pn} + \frac{(n_{1} - qn)^{2}}{qn}.$$
 (1)

For testing  $H_0$  directly, we have p = q = 1/2 and (1) is reduced to

$$x^2 = \frac{(n_0 - n_1)^2}{n}.$$

However, direct testing is usually inefficient and, as a rule, some processing of the sample is performed after which an equivalent to  $H_0$  hypothesis (denoted  $H_0^*$ ) is tested for very skew distribution.

The scheme of statistical test is the following. We set some critical (threshold) value  $t_{\alpha} > 0$ . Then we compute the  $x^2$  statistic on a sample and compare it to the critical value. The null hypothesis  $H_0$  (or  $H_0^*$ ) is accepted if  $x^2 < t_{\alpha}$ . Otherwise  $H_0$  is rejected. So the probability of Type I error (the level of significance) is the probability of the event  $x^2 \ge t_{\alpha}$  when  $H_0$  is true, i.e.  $\alpha = P(x^2 > t_{\alpha})$ .

 $H_0$  is true, i.e.  $\alpha = P(x^2 \ge t_{\alpha})$ . It is known that the  $x^2$  statistic obeys asymptotically the  $\chi^2$  (chi-square) distribution (with one degree of freedom in our case). It is generally accepted that it is quite correct to employ the  $\chi^2$  distribution for  $x^2$  if both qn and pn are greater than 5. There are percentile tables for  $\chi^2$  distribution suggested in the literature (see, e.g., [1]) that show the values of  $t_{\alpha}$  for various  $\alpha$ . For our experiments we used:

$$\alpha = 0.05$$
  $t_{\alpha} = 3.8415,$   
 $\alpha = 0.001$   $t_{\alpha} = 10.8376.$ 

For example, if in a series of tests (on many samples of the generated sequence), in 95% of cases, we observed the values of statistic greater than 3.8415, we may conclude that the sequence is not random (i.e. reject  $H_0$ ).

#### 3 The Book Stack Test

In the Book Stack test, as it is implemented in the supplied computer program, the input sample  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s$  of size s, where each  $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , is considered to consist of w-bit words,  $1 \le w \le 32$ , extracted from the sample one after another with an optional omission of several bits (a "blank" between words). The words do not overlap. For example, if w = 3 and blank is 1, the bit sample  $0111010100010100\ldots$  converts to the word sample  $011\ 010\ 000\ 010\ \ldots$  or, in decimal notation,  $3\ 2\ 0\ 2\ \ldots$ 

So we have now a sequence of words  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$  obtained from the input sample, where all  $y_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2^w - 1\}$ .

In the Book Stack test, all the words are ordered from 0 to  $2^w - 1$ . We denote the ordinal number of a word a by  $\nu(a)$ . The order is changed after observing each word  $y_i$  according to the rule

$$\nu^{i+1}(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } y_i = a, \\ \nu^i(a) + 1, & \text{if } \nu^i(a) < \nu^i(y_i), \\ \nu^i(a), & \text{if } \nu^i(a) > \nu^i(y_i) \end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $\nu^i$  is the order after observing  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , the initial order  $\nu^1$  being defined arbitrarily. (For example, we can set  $\nu^1 = (0, 1, \ldots, 2^w - 1)$ .)

Let us explain informally (2). Suppose that the words are arranged in a stack, like a stack of books, and  $\nu^1(a)$  is a position of word a in the stack. Let the first word  $y_1$  of the sample  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$  be a. If it occupies the k-th position in the stack ( $\nu^1(a) = k$ ), then extract a out of the stack and push it to the top. (It means that the order is changed according to (2).) Repeat the procedure with the second letter  $y_2$  and the stack obtained, etc.

It can help to understand the main idea of the suggested method if we take into account that, if hypothesis  $H_1$  is true, the frequent words (as frequently used books) will have relatively small ordinals (will spend more time near

the top of the stack). On the other hand, if  $H_0$  is true, the probability to find each word at each position is equal to  $1/2^w$ .

Let's continue the description of the test. The set of all indexes  $\{0, \ldots, 2^w - 1\}$  is divided into two subsets  $A_0 = \{0, 1, \ldots, u - 1\}$  and  $A_1 = \{u, \ldots, 2^w - 1\}$ . The subset  $A_0$  is said to be the upper part of the book stack and its cardinality  $|A_0| = u$  is specified as a parameter of the test. Then, observing  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$ , we calculate how many  $\nu^i(y_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , belong to subsets  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ . We denote these numbers by  $n_0$  and  $n_1$ , respectively. More formally,

$$n_k = |\{i : \nu^i(y_i) \in A_k, i = 1, \dots, n\}|, \quad k = 0, 1.$$

Obviously, if the null hypothesis  $H_0$  is true then all the words have the same probability  $1/2^w$  and the probability of the event  $\nu^i(y_i) \in A_k$  is equal to  $|A_k|/2^w$ . Using the notation of Sect. 2 and  $|A_0| = u$  we may write  $p = u/2^w$ , q = 1 - p. Now testing  $H_0$  is replaced by testing the equivalent hypothesys  $H_0^*$  that the binary random variable Y obeys the distribution P(Y = 0) = p, P(Y = 1) = q, given the sample  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$  with  $n_0$  zeroes and  $n_1$  ones. This can be done using the  $\chi^2$  distribution as was explained in Sect. 2.

We do not describe the exact rule for selecting the parameters of the test, namely, the word length w, the blank, and the size of the upper part u, but recommend to carry out some experiments for finding the parameters which make the sample size minimal (or, at least, acceptable). The point is that there are many cryptographic applications where it is possible to implement some experiments for optimising the parameter values and, then, to test the hypothesis based on independent data. For example, in case of testing a PRBG it is possible to seek suitable parameters using a part of generated sequence and then to test the generator using a new part of the sequence.

Let us consider an example. Let

$$w = 3$$
,  $y_1 \dots y_8 = 3 \ 6 \ 3 \ 3 \ 6 \ 1 \ 6 \ 1$ ,  $u = 3$ ,  $v^1 = (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)$ .

Then

$$\nu^{1} = (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7),$$

$$\nu^{2} = (3, 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7),$$

$$n_{0} = 0,$$

$$n_{1} = 0;$$

$$\nu^{3} = (6, 3, 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 7),$$

$$n_{0} = 1;$$

$$n_{1} = 1;$$

$$\nu^{4} = (3, 6, 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 7),$$

$$n_{0} = 2;$$

$$\nu^{5} = (3, 6, 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 7),$$

$$n_{0} = 3;$$

$$\nu^{6} = (6, 3, 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 7),$$

$$n_{0} = 4;$$

$$\nu^{7} = (1, 6, 3, 0, 2, 4, 5, 7),$$

$$n_{0} = 5;$$

$$\nu^{9} = (1, 6, 3, 0, 2, 4, 5, 7),$$

$$n_{0} = 6.$$

We can see that the words 3 and 6 are quite frequent and the book stack test indicates this non-uniformity quite well. Indeed, the average values of  $n_0$  and  $n_1$  are equal to 3 and 5, whereas the real values are 6 and 2, respectively.

Let us make a remark on complexity of the test. The "naive" method of transformation according to (2) would take the number of operations proportional to  $2^w$ . But the simple observation is that only the upper part of the stack has to stored, which effectively reduces complexity to O(u) operations. More complicated algorithms based on AVL or other balanced trees can perform all operations in (2) in  $O(\log u)$  time. In the supplied program implementation, we use an even faster algorithm based on hashing whose expected running time is O(1).

### 4 Computer Implementation

The Book Stack test implemented in C++ language is supplied as bs.cpp. This program is to be compiled with the following command lines:

```
bcc32 -02 bs.cpp for Borland C
cl /02 /EHsc bs.cpp for MS Visual C
icl /03 bs.cpp for Intel C
cc -02 -o bs bs.cpp -1 stdc++ for GCC
```

The executable file bs.exe or bs (in case of GCC) must then be placed somewhere to be able to run from. For example, it may be the current working directory in Windows or /bin in UNIX-type systems. The command line to run the test has the following format:

```
bs -f filename -n num -w num -b num -u num -q
```

Any parameter is optional and may be omitted. The parameter meanings are explained below.

- -f filename Specifies the name of a file containing the sample to test. The sample is considered as a stream of bits without any internal structure. The sample size is determined by the size of file (unless -n is specified). If the parameter is omitted, stdin is assumed. This allows to bind the generator's output with the test's input via a pipe.
- -n num Sets the maximum number of bits to read from a file or stdin. If not specified, the sample is read till the end of file.
- -w num Specifies the word length w for the test. The values from 1 to 32 are supported. The default is w=32.
- -b num Specifies the blank between words. The values from 0 to 32 are currently supported. The default value is 0, i.e. no blanks. If the

parameter is given after  $\neg w$  then the first w bits of the input stream are used to form a word, then the blank is applied (i.e. the specified number of bits are discarded), then the next word is formed an so on. If the parameter  $\neg b$  num is given before  $\neg w$  then the blank is applied before each word formation.

- -u num Specifies the size of the upper part of book stack. The default value is  $u = 2^{w/2}$ . It makes no sense to set  $u \ge 2^w$ .
- -q Supresses the explanatory information for the test results. The test writes to the standard output only the value of statistic obtained. This mode is useful when embedding the Book Stack test into user-defined shells for performing, e.g., a series of tests and computing the net result.

Together with the test we also supply our implementation of RC4 (with 8-bit words) and ZK-Crypt generators in files rc4.cpp and zk.cpp, respectively. These may be compiled similarly as bs.cpp. The programs write generated sequences to stdout. The parameters are as follows.

```
rc4 | zk [-k key] -n num [-q]
```

- -k key The secret key (seed) for generator. A 128-bit key is specified as a hexadecimal number (with optional 0x prefix). If the parameter -k is omitted then an internally generated random (not cryptographically secure) key is used. The key actually used is written (in hexadecimal) to stderr.
- -n num Specifies the number of bits to produce.
- -q Suppresses the output of the key.

```
The examples of usage:

rc4 -k 0x5123b5678d01234f678ec123b56a8972 -n 1000 > rc4.bin

zk -n 10000000 -q > zk.bin

bs -f rc4.bin -w 16 -u 5

bs -f zk.bin -w 16 -b 16 -u 20000 -q

And a more efficient connection between programs via a pipe:

rc4 -n 10000000 | bs -w 16 -u 5

The following parameters were used in experiments described in [5, 6]:

rc4 -n 4294967296 | bs -w 16 -u 16

zk -n 16777216 | bs -w 32 -u 65536
```

## References

- [1] Menezes, A., van Oorschot, P. and Vanstone, S. (1996) *Handbook of Applied Cryptography*, CRC Press.
- [2] Rukhin, A. et al. (2001). A Statistical Test Suite for Random and Pseudorandom Number Generators for Cryptographic Applications, NIST Special Publication 800-22 (rev. May 15, 2001).
- [3] Ryabko, B. and Pestunov, A. (2004). "Book stack" as a new statistical test for random numbers, *Probl. Inform. Transmission*, **40**, 1, pp. 66–71.
- [4] Ryabko, B. and Fionov, A. (2006). Basics of Contemporary Cryptography for IT Practitioners, World Scientific Publishing.
- [5] Doroshenko, S. and Ryabko, B. (2006). The experimental distinguishing attack on RC4, Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2006/070 (http://eprint.iacr.org/).
- [6] Lubkin, A. and Ryabko, B. (2005). The distinguishing attack on ZK-Crypt cipher, eSTREAM, ECRYPT Stream Cipher Project, Report 2005/076 (http://www.ecrypt.eu.org/stream).
- [7] Ryabko, B. Ya. (1980). Information compression by a book stack, *Probl. Inform. Transmission*, **16**, 4, pp. 16–21.
- [8] Bently, J. L., Sleator, D. D., Tarjan, R. E. and Wei, V. K. (1986). A locally adaptive data compression scheme, *Comm. ACM*, 29, pp. 320– 330.