

Feedback — Week 2 - Problem Set

[Help Center](#)

You submitted this homework on **Mon 19 Jan 2015 9:22 AM CET**. You got a score of **9.00** out of **9.00**.

Question 1

Consider the following five events:

1. Correctly guessing a random 128-bit AES key on the first try.
2. Winning a lottery with 1 million contestants (the probability is $1/10^6$).
3. Winning a lottery with 1 million contestants 5 times in a row (the probability is $(1/10^6)^5$).
4. Winning a lottery with 1 million contestants 6 times in a row.
5. Winning a lottery with 1 million contestants 7 times in a row.

What is the order of these events from most likely to least likely?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
-------------	-------	-------------

☐ 2, 3,
1, 4, 5

<input checked="" type="radio"/> 2, 3, 4, 1, 5	✓ 1.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The probability of event (1) is $1/2^{128}$.• The probability of event (5) is $1/(10^6)^7$ which is about $1/2^{139}$. Therefore, event (5) is the least likely.• The probability of event (4) is $1/(10^6)^6$ which is about $1/2^{119.5}$ which is more likely than event (1).• The remaining events are all more likely than event (4).
---	--------	--

☐ 2, 3,
1, 5, 4

☐ 2, 3,
4, 5, 1

Total	1.00 / 1.00
-------	----------------

Question 2

Suppose that using commodity hardware it is possible to build a computer for about \$200 that

can brute force about 1 billion AES keys per second. Suppose an organization wants to run an exhaustive search for a single 128-bit AES key and was willing to spend 4 trillion dollars to buy these machines (this is more than the annual US federal budget). How long would it take the organization to brute force this single 128-bit AES key with these machines? Ignore additional costs such as power and maintenance.

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="radio"/> More than an hour but less than a day		
<input type="radio"/> More than a month but less than a year		
<input type="radio"/> More than a year but less than 100 years		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> More than a billion (10^9) years	✓ 1.00	<p>The answer is about 540 billion years.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # machines = $4 \cdot 10^{12} / 200 = 2 \cdot 10^{10}$ • # keys processed per sec = $10^9 \cdot (2 \cdot 10^{10}) = 2 \cdot 10^{19}$ • # seconds = $2^{128} / (2 \cdot 10^{19}) = 1.7 \cdot 10^{19}$ <p>This many seconds is about 540 billion years.</p>
<input type="radio"/> More than a week but less than a month		
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

Question 3

Let $F : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ be a secure PRF (i.e. a PRF where the key space, input space, and output space are all $\{0, 1\}^n$) and say $n = 128$. Which of the following is a secure PRF (there is more than one correct answer):

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="checkbox"/> $F'(k, x) = F(k, x) \parallel 0$ (here \parallel denotes concatenation)	✓ 0.17	Not a PRF. A distinguisher will output <i>not random</i> whenever the last bit of $F(k, 0^n)$ is 0.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	✓ 0.17	Correct. A distinguisher for F' gives a

$F'((k_1, k_2), x) = F(k_1, x) \parallel F(k_2, x)$
(here \parallel denotes concatenation)

distinguisher for F .

☒ $F'(k, x) = \text{reverse}(F(k, x))$
where $\text{reverse}(y)$ reverses the string y
so that the first bit of y is the last bit of
 $\text{reverse}(y)$, the second bit of y is the
second to last bit of $\text{reverse}(y)$, and so
on.

✓ 0.17

Correct. A distinguisher for F' gives a
distinguisher for F .

☐
 $F'(k, x) = \begin{cases} F(k, x) & \text{when } x \neq 0^n \\ 0^n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

✓ 0.17

Not a PRF. A distinguisher will query at
 $x = 0^n$ and output *not random* if the
response is 0^n . This is unlikely to hold
for a truly random function.

☐
 $F'(k, x) = \begin{cases} F(k, x) & \text{when } x \neq 0^n \\ k & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

✓ 0.17

Not a PRF. A distinguisher will query at
 $x = 0^n$ and obtain k and then query
at $x = 1^n$ and output *not random* if
the response is $F(k, 1^n)$. This is
unlikely to hold for a truly random
function.

☒ $F'(k, x) = F(k, x)[0, \dots, n-2]$
(i.e., $F'(k, x)$ drops the last bit of
 $F(k, x)$)

✓ 0.17


Correct. A distinguisher for F' gives a
distinguisher for F .

Total

1.00 /
1.00

Question 4

Recall that the Luby-Rackoff theorem discussed in [Lecture 3.2](#) states that applying a **three** round Feistel network to a secure PRF gives a secure block cipher. Let's see what goes wrong if we only use a **two** round Feistel. Let $F : K \times \{0, 1\}^{32} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{32}$ be a secure PRF. Recall that a 2-round Feistel defines the following PRP $F_2 : K^2 \times \{0, 1\}^{64} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{64}$:



Here R_0 is the right 32 bits of the 64-bit input and L_0 is the left 32 bits.

One of the following lines is the output of this PRP F_2 using a random key, while the other three are the output of a truly random permutation $f : \{0, 1\}^{64} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{64}$. All 64-bit outputs are encoded as 16 hex characters. Can you say which is the output of the PRP? Note that since you are able to distinguish the output of F_2 from random, F_2 is not a secure block cipher, which is what we wanted to show.

Hint: First argue that there is a detectable pattern in the xor of $F_2(\cdot, 0^{64})$ and $F_2(\cdot, 1^{32}0^{32})$. Then try to detect this pattern in the given outputs.

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="radio"/> On input 0^{64} the output is "5f67abaf 5210722b". On input $1^{32}0^{32}$ the output is "bbe033c0 0bc9330e".		
<input type="radio"/> On input 0^{64} the output is "4af53267 1351e2e1". On input $1^{32}0^{32}$ the output is "87a40cfa 8dd39154".		
<input type="radio"/> On input 0^{64} the output is "2d1cfa42 c0b1d266". On input $1^{32}0^{32}$ the output is "eea6e3dd b2146dd0".		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> On input 0^{64} the output is "9f970f4e 932330e4". On input $1^{32}0^{32}$ the output is "6068f0b1 b645c008".	✓ 1.00	Observe that the two round Feistel has the property that the left half of $F(\cdot, 0^{64}) \oplus F(\cdot, 1^{32}0^{32})$ is 1^{32} . The two outputs in this answer are the only ones with this property.
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

Question 5

Nonce-based CBC. Recall that in [lecture 4.4](#) we said that if one wants to use CBC encryption with

a non-random unique nonce then the nonce must first be encrypted with an **independent** PRP key and the result then used as the CBC IV. Let's see what goes wrong if one encrypts the nonce with the **same** PRP key as the key used for CBC encryption.

Let $F : K \times \{0, 1\}^\ell \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^\ell$ be a secure PRP with, say, $\ell = 128$. Let n be a nonce and suppose one encrypts a message m by first computing $IV = F(k, n)$ and then using this IV in CBC encryption using $F(k, \cdot)$. Note that the same key k is used for computing the IV and for CBC encryption. We show that the resulting system is not nonce-based CPA secure.

The attacker begins by asking for the encryption of the two block message $m = (0^\ell, 0^\ell)$ with nonce $n = 0^\ell$. It receives back a two block ciphertext (c_0, c_1) . Observe that by definition of CBC we know that $c_1 = F(k, c_0)$. Next, the attacker asks for the encryption of the one block message $m_1 = c_0 \oplus c_1$ with nonce $n = c_0$. It receives back a one block ciphertext c'_0 .

What relation holds between c_0, c_1, c'_0 ? Note that this relation lets the adversary win the nonce-based CPA game with advantage 1.

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="radio"/> $c_1 = c_0$		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> $c_1 = c'_0$	1.00	This follows from the definition of CBC with an encrypted nonce as defined in the question.
<input type="radio"/> $c_0 = c_1 \oplus c'_0$		
<input type="radio"/> $c_0 = c'_0$		
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

Question 6

Let m be a message consisting of ℓ AES blocks (say $\ell = 100$). Alice encrypts m using CBC mode and transmits the resulting ciphertext to Bob. Due to a network error, ciphertext block number $\ell/2$ is corrupted during transmission. All other ciphertext blocks are transmitted and received correctly. Once Bob decrypts the received ciphertext, how many plaintext blocks will be corrupted?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
-------------	-------	-------------

☐ 3

☒ 2 ✓ 1.00 Take a look at the CBC decryption circuit. Each ciphertext blocks affects only the current plaintext block and the next.

☐ 0

☐ $\ell/2$

☐ 1

Total	1.00 /
	1.00

Question 7

Let m be a message consisting of ℓ AES blocks (say $\ell = 100$). Alice encrypts m using randomized counter mode and transmits the resulting ciphertext to Bob. Due to a network error, ciphertext block number $\ell/2$ is corrupted during transmission. All other ciphertext blocks are transmitted and received correctly. Once Bob decrypts the received ciphertext, how many plaintext blocks will be corrupted?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
-------------	-------	-------------

☐ 2

☒ 1 ✓ 1.00 Take a look at the counter mode decryption circuit. Each ciphertext block affects only the current plaintext block.

☐ $\ell/2$

☐ 3

☐ 0

Total	1.00 /
	1.00

Question 8

Recall that encryption systems do not fully hide the **length** of transmitted messages. Leaking the length of web requests **has been used** to eavesdrop on encrypted HTTPS traffic to a number of web sites, such as tax preparation sites, Google searches, and healthcare sites. Suppose an attacker intercepts a packet where he knows that the packet payload is encrypted using AES in CBC mode with a random IV. The encrypted packet payload is 128 bytes. Which of the following messages is plausibly the decryption of the payload:

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="radio"/> 'To consider the resistance of an enciphering process to being broken we should assume that at same times the enemy knows everything but the key being used and to break it needs only discover the key from this information.'		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> 'In this letter I make some remarks on a general principle relevant to enciphering in general and my machine.'	✓ 1.00	The length of the string is 107 bytes, which after padding becomes 112 bytes, and after prepending the IV becomes 128 bytes.
<input type="radio"/> 'We see immediately that one needs little information to begin to break down the process.'		
<input type="radio"/> 'The most direct computation would be for the enemy to try all 2^r possible keys, one by one.'		
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

Question 9

Let $R := \{0, 1\}^4$ and consider the following PRF $F : R^5 \times R \rightarrow R$ defined as follows:

$$F(k, x) := \begin{cases} t = k[0] \\ \text{for } i=1 \text{ to } 4 \text{ do} \\ \quad \text{if } (x[i-1] == 1) \quad t = t \oplus k[i] \\ \text{output } t \end{cases}$$

That is, the key is $k = (k[0], k[1], k[2], k[3], k[4])$ in R^5 and the function at, for example, 0101 is defined as $F(k, 0101) = k[0] \oplus k[2] \oplus k[4]$.

For a random key k unknown to you, you learn that

$$F(k, 0110) = 0011 \text{ and } F(k, 0101) = 1010 \text{ and } F(k, 1110) = 0110 .$$

What is the value of $F(k, 1101)$? Note that since you are able to predict the function at a new point, this PRF is insecure.

You entered:

1111

Your Answer		Score	Explanation
1111	✓	1.00	
Total		1.00 / 1.00	