

Stream ciphers

The One Time Pad

Symmetric Ciphers: definition

Def: a **cipher** defined over
$$(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C})$$

is a pair of "efficient" algs (E, D) where
 $E: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{C}$ $D: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M}$
S.L. $\forall m \in \mathcal{M}$, $\kappa \in \mathcal{X}: D(L, E(\kappa, m)) = M$

• E is often randomized. D is always deterministic.

The One Time Pad

(Vernam 1917)

First example of a "secure" cipher

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C} = \{o_{i}\}^{h}, \qquad \mathcal{A} = \{o_{i}\}^{h}$$

key = (random bit string as long the message)

The One Time Pad

(Vernam 1917)

$$C := E(K,m) = K \mathcal{B} M$$

$$D(K,c) = K \mathcal{B} C$$

Indeed:
$$D(K, E(K,m)) = D(K, KBm) = KB(KBm) = (KBK)Bm = OBM = M$$

You are given a message (m) and its OTP encryption (c). Can you compute the OTP key from m and c?

No, I cannot compute the key.

Yes, the key is $k = m \oplus c$.



I can only compute half the bits of the key.

Yes, the key is $k = m \oplus m$.

The One Time Pad

(Vernam 1917)

```
Very fast enc/dec!!
... but long keys (as long as plaintext)
```

Is the OTP secure? What is a secure cipher?

What is a secure cipher?

Attacker's abilities: CT only attack (for now)

Possible security requirements:

attempt #1: attacker cannot recover secret key

attempt #2: attacker cannot recover all of plaintext

E(K, Molh,) = nolkem, voild be serve

Shannon's idea:

CT should reveal no "info" about PT

Information Theoretic Security (Shannon 1949)

<u>Def</u>: A cipher (E, D) over $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C})$ has <u>perfect secrecy</u> if

$$\forall m_0, m_1 \in M$$
 ($|a_0(m_0)| = |a_0(m_1)$) and $\forall c \in G$

$$| Pr[E(K, m_0)| = C] = |r[E(K, m_1)| = C]$$
where K is uniform in gd ($K \in K - gK$)

Information Theoretic Security

```
Def: A cipher (E, D) over (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}) has perfect secrecy if
         \forall m \downarrow 0, m \downarrow 1 \in \mathcal{M} (|m \downarrow 0| = |m \downarrow 1|) and \forall c \in \mathcal{C}
              Pr[E(k, m_0) = c] = Pr[E(k, m_1) = c] where k \leftarrow \mathcal{K}
=> Given CT can't tell if msg is m, or m, (for all mo, m,)
=> most powerful odv. learns nothing about PT From CT
=> no CT only attack!! (let other attacks possible)
```

Lemma: OTP has perfect secrecy.

Proof:

$$\forall m, c:$$
 $Pr\left[E(K,m)=c\right] = \frac{\# \text{Keys } K \in \mathcal{J}_{K} \text{ s.f.} E(K,m)=c}{|\mathcal{J}_{K}|}$

Se: if
$$\forall m, c: \#\{\kappa \in \mathcal{L}: E(\kappa, m) = c\} = const.$$
 $\implies cigher has perfect secrecy$

Let $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$.

How many OTP keys map m to c?

None

1

2

Depends on m

Lemma: OTP has perfect secrecy.

Proof:

For otp:
$$\forall m, c: \text{ if } E(K, m) = c$$
 $\Rightarrow \text{ id} m = c \Rightarrow \text{ if } E(K, m) = c$
 $\Rightarrow \text{ otp has perfect secrecy}$

The bad news ...

Thm: perfect secrecy
$$\Rightarrow$$
 $|\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{M}|$

i.e. perfect secrecy \Rightarrow $|\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{M}|$

where $|\mathcal{K}| \geq |\mathcal{M}|$

hard to use in practice !!

End of Segment