

Feedback — Week 4 - Problem Set

[Help Center](#)

You submitted this homework on **Mon 11 May 2015 10:09 PM CEST**. You got a score of **9.00** out of **10.00**. You can [attempt again](#) in 10 minutes.

Question 1

An attacker intercepts the following ciphertext (hex encoded):

20814804c1767293b99f1d9cab3bc3e7 ac1e37bfb15599e5f40eef805488281d

He knows that the plaintext is the ASCII encoding of the message "Pay Bob 100\$" (excluding the quotes). He also knows that the cipher used is CBC encryption with a random IV using AES as the underlying block cipher. Show that the attacker can change the ciphertext so that it will decrypt to "Pay Bob 500\$". What is the resulting ciphertext (hex encoded)? This shows that CBC provides no integrity.

You entered:

20814804c1767293bd9f1d9cab3bc3e7
ac1e37bfb15599e5f40eef805488281d

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
20814804c1767293bd9f1d9cab3bc3e7 ac1e37bfb15599e5f40eef805488281d	✓ 1.00	You got it!
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

Question 2

Let (E, D) be an encryption system with key space K , message space $\{0, 1\}^n$ and ciphertext space $\{0, 1\}^s$. Suppose (E, D) provides authenticated encryption. Which of the following systems provide authenticated encryption: (as usual, we use \parallel to denote string concatenation)

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $E'(k, m) = E(k, m) \oplus 1^n$ and $D'(k, c) = \begin{cases} D(k, c) \oplus 1^n & \text{if } D(k, c) \neq \perp \\ \perp & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0.25	(E', D') provides authenticated encryption because an attack on (E', D') directly gives an attack on (E, D) .
<input type="checkbox"/> $E'(k, m) = (E(k, m), E(k, m))$ and $D'(k, (c_1, c_2)) = \begin{cases} D(k, c_1) & \text{if } D(k, c_1) = D(k, c_2) \\ \perp & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0.25	This system does not provide ciphertext integrity. To see why, recall that authenticated encryption (without a nonce) must be randomized to provide CPA security. Therefore, $E'(k, m) = (c_1, c_2)$ will likely output a distinct ciphertext pair $c_1 \neq c_2$. The attacker can then output the ciphertext (c_1, c_1) and win the ciphertext integrity game.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> $E'(k, m) = E(k, m) \oplus 1^s$ and $D'(k, c) = D(k, c \oplus 1^s)$	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0.25	(E', D') provides authenticated encryption because an attack on (E', D') directly gives an attack on (E, D) .
<input type="checkbox"/> $E'(k, m) = (E(k, m), H(m))$ and $D'(k, (c, h)) = \begin{cases} D(k, c) & \text{if } H(D(k, c)) = h \\ \perp & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ (here H is some collision resistant hash function)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 0.25	This system is not CPA secure because $H(m)$ leaks information about the message in the ciphertext.
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

Question 3

If you need to build an application that needs to encrypt multiple messages using a single key, what encryption method should you use? (for now, we ignore the question of key generation and management)

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="radio"/> use a standard implementation of randomized counter mode.		
<input type="radio"/> use a standard implementation of CBC encryption with a random IV.		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> use a standard implementation of one of the authenticated encryption modes GCM, CCM, EAX or OCB.	✓ 1.00	
<input type="radio"/> invent your own mode of operation and implement it yourself.		
Total	1.00 / 1.00	

Question 4

Let (E, D) be a symmetric encryption system with message space M (think of M as only consisting for short messages, say 32 bytes). Define the following MAC (S, V) for messages in M :

$$S(k, m) := E(k, m) \quad ; \quad V(k, m, t) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D(k, t) = m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

What is the property that the encryption system (E, D) needs to satisfy for this MAC system to be secure?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="radio"/> perfect secrecy		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> authenticated encryption	✓ 1.00	Indeed, authenticated encryption implies ciphertext integrity which prevents existential forgery under a chosen message attack.
<input type="radio"/> semantic security		
<input type="radio"/> semantic security under a chosen plaintext attack		

Total	1.00 /
	1.00

Question 5

In [lecture 8.1](#) we discussed how to derive session keys from a shared secret. The problem is what to do when the shared secret is non-uniform. In this question we show that using a PRF with a *non-uniform* key may result in non-uniform values. This shows that session keys cannot be derived by directly using a *non-uniform* secret as a key in a PRF. Instead, one has to use a key derivation function like HKDF.

Suppose k is a *non-uniform* secret key sampled from the key space $\{0, 1\}^{256}$. In particular, k is sampled uniformly from the set of all keys whose most significant 128 bits are all 0. In other words, k is chosen uniformly from a small subset of the key space. More precisely,

$$\text{for all } c \in \{0, 1\}^{256} : \Pr[k = c] = \begin{cases} 1/2^{128} & \text{if } \text{MSB}_{128}(c) = 0^{128} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $F(k, x)$ be a secure PRF with input space $\{0, 1\}^{256}$. Which of the following is a secure PRF when the key k is uniform in the key space $\{0, 1\}^{256}$, but is insecure when the key is sampled from the *non-uniform* distribution described above?

Your Answer

Score Explanation

☐ $F'(k, x) = F(k, x)$

☐
$$F'(k, x) = \begin{cases} F(k, x) & \text{if } \text{MSB}_{128}(k) = 0^{128} \\ 0^{256} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

☒
$$F'(k, x) = \begin{cases} F(k, x) & \text{if } \text{MSB}_{128}(k) \neq 0^{128} \\ 1^{256} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

✓ 1.00

$F'(k, x)$ is a secure PRF because for a uniform key k the probability that $\text{MSB}_{128}(k) = 0^{128}$ is negligible. However, for the *non-uniform* key k this PRF always outputs 1 and is therefore completely insecure. This PRF cannot be used as a key derivation function for the distribution of keys described in the problem.



$$F'(k, x) = \begin{cases} F(k, x) & \text{if } \text{MSB}_{128}(k) \neq 1^{128} \\ 0^{256} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Total	1.00 /
	1.00

Question 6

In what settings is it acceptable to use *deterministic* authenticated encryption (DAE) like SIV?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
-------------	-------	-------------

☐ when a fixed message is repeatedly encrypted using a single key.

☒ when messages have sufficient structure to guarantee that all messages to be encrypted are unique. ✔ 1.00 Deterministic encryption is safe to use when the message/key pair is never used more than once.

☐ to individually encrypt many packets in a voice conversation with a single key.

☐ to encrypt many records in a database with a single key when the same record may repeat multiple times.

Total	1.00 /
	1.00

Question 7

Let $E(k, x)$ be a secure block cipher. Consider the following tweakable block cipher:

$$E'((k_1, k_2), t, x) = E(k_1, x) \oplus E(k_2, t).$$

Is this tweakable block cipher secure?

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
-------------	-------	-------------

☒ no because for $x \neq x'$ we have

$$E'((k_1, k_2), 0, x) \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), 0, x) = E'((k_1, k_2), 0, x') \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), 0, x')$$

✖ 0.00

Although this relation holds, it also holds for a random function and therefore doesn't help us break the tweakable block cipher.

☐ no because for $t \neq t'$ we have

$$E'((k_1, k_2), t, 0) \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), t', 1) = E'((k_1, k_2), t', 1) \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), t', 1)$$

☐ no because for $x \neq x'$ and $t \neq t'$ we have

$$E'((k_1, k_2), t, x) \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), t', x) = E'((k_1, k_2), t, x') \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), t', x')$$

☐ no because for $x \neq x'$ we have

$$E'((k_1, k_2), 0, x) \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), 1, x) = E'((k_1, k_2), 0, x') \oplus E'((k_1, k_2), 1, x')$$

☐ yes, it is secure assuming E is a secure block cipher.

Total

0.00 /
1.00

Question 8

In [lecture 8.5](#) we discussed format preserving encryption which is a PRP on a domain $\{0, \dots, s-1\}$ for some pre-specified value of s . Recall that the construction we presented worked in two steps, where the second step worked by iterating the PRP until the output fell into the set $\{0, \dots, s-1\}$.

Suppose we try to build a format preserving credit card encryption system from AES using *only* the second step. That is, we start with a PRP with domain $\{0, 1\}^{128}$ from which we want to build a PRP with domain 10^{16} . If we only used step (2), how many iterations of AES would be needed in expectation for each evaluation of the PRP with domain 10^{16} ?

Your Answer

Score Explanation

☐ 10^{16}

☐ 2

☐ 2^{128}

☒

$2^{128}/10^{16} \approx 3.4 \times 10^{22}$



1.00

On every iteration we have a probability of $10^{16}/2^{128}$ of falling into the set $\{0, \dots, 10^{16}\}$ and therefore in expectation we will need $2^{128}/10^{16}$ iterations. This should explain why step (1) is needed.

Total

1.00 /
1.00

Question 9

Let (E, D) be a secure tweakable block cipher. Define the following MAC (S, V) :

$$S(k, m) := E(k, m, 0) \quad ; \quad V(k, m, \text{tag}) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E(k, m, 0) = \text{tag} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In other words, the message m is used as the tweak and the plaintext given to E is always set to

0. Is this MAC secure?

Your

Score

Explanation

Answer

☒ yes



1.00

A tweakable block cipher is indistinguishable from a collection of random permutations. The chosen message attack on the MAC gives the attacker the image of 0 under a number of the permutations in the family. But that tells the attacker nothing about the image of 0 under some other member of the family.

☐ it

depends
on the
tweakable
block
cipher.

☐ no

Total

1.00 /
1.00

Question 10

In [Lecture 7.6](#) we discussed padding oracle attacks. These chosen-ciphertext attacks can break poor implementations of MAC-then-encrypt. Consider a system that implements MAC-then-encrypt where encryption is done using CBC with a random IV using AES as the block cipher. Suppose the system is vulnerable to a padding oracle attack. An attacker intercepts a 64-byte ciphertext c (the first 16 bytes of c are the IV and the remaining 48 bytes are the encrypted payload). How many chosen ciphertext queries would the attacker need *in the worst case* in order to decrypt the entire 48 byte payload? Recall that padding oracle attacks decrypt the payload one byte at a time.

Your Answer	Score	Explanation
<input type="radio"/> 1024		
<input type="radio"/> 12240		
<input type="radio"/> 65536		
<input checked="" type="radio"/> 12288	✓ 1.00	Correct. Padding oracle attacks decrypt the payload one byte at a time. For each byte the attacker needs no more than 256 guesses in the worst case. Since there are 48 bytes total, the number queries needed is $256 \times 48 = 12288$.
Total	1.00 / 1.00	