

Accreditation Basics

Julie-Anne Marshall

Scott Abbott



U T S
e P R E S S

epress.lib.uts.edu.au



UTSePRESS



UTSePRESS



Accreditation??

Directories



Memberships



“Quality” Lists

Excellence in Research for Australia

Citation Tools






Scopus®



WEB OF SCIENCE™



U T S
e P R E S S





low quality
unpublishable
no peer-review
undermining scholarship
vanity
no standards
no transparency **open**
access
fees untrustworthy
dishonest expensive
predatory poor
no copyright
second rate

Principles of Transparency and Best Practice in Scholarly Publishing

DOAJ DIRECTORY OF
OPEN ACCESS
JOURNALS

CASPA

C | O | P | E |



WAME

world association of medical editors



Principles of Transparency and Best Practice

Direct marketing

Peer review process

Archiving

Governing body

Publishing
schedule

Editorial team

Advertising

Author fees

Revenue
Sources

Copyright

Name of journal

Process to deal
with research
misconduct

Conflicts of interest

Access


Website

Ownership &
management

DOAJ DIRECTORY OF
OPEN ACCESS
JOURNALS


C | O | P | E

CASPA



Why?





U T S
e P R E S S

QUALITY

HIGH EXPLOSIVES
DANGEROUS

REG.U.S.



PAT.OFF.

I.C.C.-14

The background of the image is a patchwork quilt made from numerous small squares of fabric. Each square features a different pattern, including floral designs, geometric shapes, and abstract motifs. The colors are rich and varied, ranging from deep reds and blues to bright yellows and greens. The quilt has a visible quilting texture.


BENEFITS

HOW



DOAJ

DIRECTORY OF OPEN ACCESS JOURNALS



THE FACTS

3 REASONS WHY DOAJ MATTERS

- DOAJ is considered the most important community-driven, open access service in the world. (www.knowledge-ex-change.info/event/oa-dependencies)
- We have established the de facto standard for open access journals and publishing.
- Open access publication funds often refer to and rely on DOAJ indexing to release funding.

4 WAYS DOAJ MAKES AN IMPACT

Helps publishers to better serve authors.



Helps publishers to improve their operations & make their journals more attractive publishing channels.

Operates globally via a network of Ambassadors & volunteers.

Encourages a shift away from impact factors to focus on the details of true policies & practices.

IN 2.5 YEARS, OUR DEDICATED EDITORIAL TEAMS HAVE BEEN CAREFULLY REVIEWING AND CHECKING APPLICATIONS

- **80** new applications per week
- **1050** journals removed
- **3170** journals accepted
- **2850** journals delisted
- **6200** applications rejected



UTS
ePRESS

2016 | Vol. 13 | No. 02



PORTAL

Journal of Multidisciplinary International Studies

Construction Economics and Building

CEB

ISSN: 2204-8029 (Online)

<http://epress.lib.uts.edu.au/journals/index.php/AJCEB>

Double blind peer review

Subject: Technology: Engineering (General). Civil engineering (General): Engineering economy | Technology: Building construction

Date added to DOAJ: 11 Oct 2015



Organisational Project Management

OPM

ISSN: 2203-8156 (Online)

<http://epress.lib.uts.edu.au/journals/index.php/opm/index>

Double blind peer review

Subject: Social Sciences: Commerce: Business

Date added to DOAJ: 11 Aug 2015



Discontinued

Cosmopolitan Civil Societies: An Interdisciplinary Journal

ISSN: 1837-5391 (Online)

<https://epress.lib.uts.edu.au/journals/index.php/mcs>

Double blind peer review

Subject: Social Sciences: Sociology (General)

Date added to DOAJ: 2 Oct 2014



Literacy and Numeracy Studies

Literacy & Numeracy Studies

ISSN: 1839-2903 (Online)

<http://utescholarship.lib.uts.edu.au/epress/journals/index.php/lns>

Double blind peer review

Subject: Education: Education (General)

Date added to DOAJ: 14 Feb 2011



Cultural Studies Review

CSR

ISSN: 1446-8123 (Print); 1837-8892 (Online)

<http://utescholarship.lib.uts.edu.au/epress/journals/index.php/csr>

Double blind peer review

Subject: Fine Arts: Arts in general | Philosophy. Psychology. Religion: Philosophy (General)

Date added to DOAJ: 2 Feb 2011



Commonwealth Journal of Local Governance

ISSN: 1838-0394 (Online)

<http://epress.lib.uts.edu.au/journals/index.php/cjlg>

Double blind peer review

Subject: Political science: Political institutions and public administration (General)

Date added to DOAJ: 8 Sept 2009



Gateways : International Journal of Community Research & Engagement

IJCRE

ISSN: 1836-3393 (Online)

<http://epress.lib.uts.edu.au/journals/index.php/ijcre>

Double blind peer review

Subject: Social Sciences: Communities. Classes. Races: Human settlements. Communities

Date added to DOAJ: 8 Sept 2009



PORTAL: Journal of Multidisciplinary International Studies

PORTAL

ISSN: 1449-2490 (Online)

<http://epress.lib.uts.edu.au/ojs/index.php/portal>

Double blind peer review

Subject: Political science: Colonies and colonization. Emigration and immigration. International migration | Social Sciences: Sociology (General)

Date added to DOAJ: 12 May 2004





The qualifiers for the DOAJ Seal

DOAJ promotes best practice in Open Access publishing. To highlight journals that adhere to best practices, we have created the 'DOAJ Seal for Open Access Journals'.

The qualifiers for the Seal highlight features related to accessibility, openness, discoverability, reuse and author rights and have nothing to do with the **scholarly quality of the papers published**.

To qualify for the Seal the journal must:

1. have [an archival arrangement in place](#) with an external party (Question 25). 'No policy in place' does not qualify for the Seal.
2. provide [permanent identifiers](#) in the papers published (Question 28). 'None' does not qualify for the Seal.
3. provide [article level metadata](#) to DOAJ (Question 29). 'No' or failure to provide metadata within 3 months do not qualify for the Seal.
4. embed [machine-readable CC licensing information](#) in article level metadata (Question 45). 'No' does not qualify for the Seal.
5. allow [reuse and remixing of content](#) in accordance with a CC BY, CC BY-SA or CC BY-NC license (Question 47). If CC BY-ND, CC BY-NC-ND, 'No' or 'Other' is selected the journal will not qualify for the Seal.
6. have [a deposit policy registered](#) in a deposit policy directory. (Question 51) 'No' does not qualify for the Seal.
7. allow [the author to hold the copyright without restrictions](#). (Question 52) 'No' does not qualify for the Seal.

One cannot apply for the Seal. The Seal is awarded based on the information provided in the application. If you have any questions about any of the qualifiers, contact us.

THE FIGURES

9100 JOURNALS • 130 COUNTRIES • ALL SUBJECT AREAS

400,000 sessions from
300,000 users every month


3 million unique visitors a year

2.15 million API hits per week
2016 total hits: 57,487,900
2017 hits (until May 2017):
30,334,012






C | O | P | E





Publisher Membership Application







COMMITTEE ON PUBLICATION ETHICS

CODE OF CONDUCT FOR JOURNAL PUBLISHERS



COMMITTEE ON PUBLICATION ETHICS

CODE OF CONDUCT AND BEST PRACTICE GUIDELINES
FOR JOURNAL EDITORS



U T S
e P R E S S

UTS ePRESS IS OPEN ACCESS



Unlocking Research

Journals

Books

Conferences

Student Journals

About UTS ePRESS

Blog

Contact

Tweets by @UTSePRESS

UTS ePRESS Retweeted


Open Access Button
@OA_Button

Featured Journals

[See All](#)

[Previous](#)

[Next](#)



Project Management Research and Practice

An international peer-reviewed journal providing a critical forum for project

PORTAL Journal of Multidisciplinary International Studies

A fully peer reviewed journal

Gateways: International Journal of Community Research and Engagement

Gateways is a refereed journal



U T S
e P R E S S

New Statements

UTS ePRESS

Publication Ethics & Transparency

Role of Editorial Board

Advertising & Sponsorship

Journals

Author Responsibilities

Conflicts of Interest



Plagiarism/Academic Misconduct

Complaints

Corrections & Retractions

UTS ePRESS

Journal Publication Agreement





KEEP CALM
and
COMMUNICATE

COPE Code of Conduct for Journal Editors

An Annotated Guide for UTS ePRESS Journal Managers



Prepared by UTS ePRESS

Background

In order to further enhance the quality and impact of our publications, one of the current priorities of UTS ePRESS is to become a publisher member of the Committee on Publishing Ethics (COPE). COPE is a non-profit organisation that aims to define best practice for ethical scholarly publishing, and to assist publishers, editorial boards and editors to achieve this. Membership of COPE signifies a commitment to ethical and transparent publishing practices in keeping with a high quality scholarly press, and provides access to important resources and advice to support and sustain principled publishing. To be considered for membership, it is essential that UTS ePRESS and its publications meet all of the criteria of the [COPE Code of Conduct for Journal Editors and Publishers](#), which is considered the international standard for academic journal publishing. Meeting these criteria and achieving publisher membership of COPE is an important benchmark of our rigorous adherence to the highest standards of quality and ethics in publishing.

With these goals in mind, this guide aims to assist UTS ePRESS Journal Managers and Editors implement COPE's [Code of Conduct for Journal Editors](#). Although the Code also outlines voluntary best practice guidelines, these have been omitted from this guide so as to focus on the set of *minimum* essential requirements which must be met for COPE membership. However, this document is intended for use as a reference guide only. It is not a replacement for the original and complete Code. Journal Managers and Editors should read the original and entire [COPE document](#) including the best practice guidelines which set out aspirational targets beyond the mandatory requirements of the Code.

If UTS ePRESS Journal Managers and Editors require further assistance or advice in implementing the COPE Code of Conduct please contact: the [UTS ePRESS team](#) – Julie-Anne Marshall, Scott Abbott and Matthew Noble.

How to Use this Guide

You may read this document in its entirety or refer to the appropriate section.

1. Summary of Requirements

A checklist of essential actions required to comply with the Code

2. Annotated Code

All 17 mandatory criteria from the COPE extracted with detailed explanations of actions necessary to comply with the Code.

3. Appendix: OJS Instructions

Detailed OJS instructions for specific modifications to your journal set up if required

C O P E



Qs

Business model

Peer-review

Advertising

Conflicts of interest

Misconduct

Copyright

Archiving

TIPS



ENGAGE...




COMMUNICATE

Evaluate






Patience



CASPA





**Owner of company /corporate
structure**

Complaint policy

No of OA journals

No of OA books

Copyright policy

Publication charge policy

No of OA articles

Other OA Initiatives



Peer review process

Peer review policy

How are **peer reviewers** identified?

*Who makes the final selection of **peer reviewers**?*

Who assesses the **reviewer** reports and makes a decision on the submission, and how is the decision reached?

*Provide any other details regarding your **peer-review** policy and editorial workflow*

What is the role of the editorial board in the **peer review** process?



U T S
e P R E S S

Publisher Membership Application

CASPA



UTS ePRESS

Journal Article Template



Cultural Studies

Review

Vol. 23, No. 1

March 2017



© 2017 by the author(s). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International [CC BY 4.0] License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially, provided the original work is properly cited and states its license.

Citation: Kean, J. 2017. Relationship Structure, Relationship Texture: Case Studies in Non/Monogamies Research. *Cultural Studies Review*, 23:1, 18-35. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5130/csr.v23i1.4955>

ISSN 1837-8692 | Published by UTS ePRESS | <http://csrc.epress.lib.uts.edu.au>

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Relationship Structure, Relationship Texture: Case Studies in Non/Monogamies Research

Jessica Kean

University of Sydney

Corresponding author: Jessica Kean, School of Philosophical and Historical Inquiry, SOPHI Office, Room H3.04, Level 3, Quadrangle A14, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia. jessica.kean@sydney.edu.au

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5130/csr.v23i1.4955>

Article History: Received 17/04/2016; Revised 31/01/2017; Accepted 09/03/2017;
Published 15/05/2017

Abstract

This article develops case studies from qualitative interviews with people in negotiated non-monogamous relationships to ask what discursive or practical factors *besides* non-monogamy might play a role in assessments of a relationship's structure or worth. Beginning with an auto-ethnographic reflection on the way the 'significance' was recognised and misrecognised in one polyamorous 'thruple', I introduce three case studies of people in negotiated non-monogamous relationships in order to bring a cultural studies method of the particular to the study of intimacy. For the individuals in these case studies, the practice and experience of non-monogamy is inextricably linked to the ideas and practices surrounding gender, sexuality, sex work, friendship, HIV status and ability. Sketching a middle path between the romantic's dream of love as a state of exception or exemption from the social and the theorist's map of the patterned effects of hetero- and mono-normativities, this paper attends to the contingency, flexibility and incoherence which so often underpins the sense we make of relationships, even as that sense is shaped by the practices, ideals and institutions of intimacy, love and friendship.

Keywords

Non-monogamy; polyamory; feminism; relationships; queer sexuality; mononormativity

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTEREST The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. **FUNDING** The research for this article was assisted by an Australia Postgraduate Award Scheme.



U T S
e P R E S S

2016 | Vol.
03



Project Management Research and Practice



U T S
e P R E S S

Project Management
Research and
Practice

Vol. 3
July-Dec. 2016



© 2016 by the author(s). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International [CC BY 4.0] License [<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>], allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially provided the original work is properly cited and states its license.

Citation: Pasian, B.L. 2016. Editorial: Changing truths of project management, 3, 5198. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5130/pmrp.v3i0.5198>

Published by UTS ePRESS |
<http://pmrp.epress.lib.uts.edu.au>

1

[page number not for citation purposes]



EDITORIAL

Changing truths of project management

Beverly L. Pasian

Associate Professor, Institute of Business Administration, Faculty of Economics and Management, University of Applied Sciences, Utrecht. beverly.pasian@hu.nl

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5130/pmrp.v3i0.5198>

Conversations about projects and project management are changing. Project management researchers and practitioners are no longer only focused on the processes and practices, but increasingly looking for "some truths" of project management as well (Morris 2016), truths that can be as likely found when considering values as much as knowledge. And what is considered so valuable that a new journal is needed to reveal those truths? Quite simply, a better world – one where humanity's problems are alleviated through shared and publicly available innovative projects, and socially responsible project management research and practice. And what is PMRP's role in this quest? To provide a forum where informed dialogue can occur among project management researchers, practitioners, and other stakeholders.

Metaphors are powerful tools, and the use of "dialogue" is especially so – and quite deliberate – in this context. It offers better imagery than the oft-used "bridge" separating project management researchers and practitioners. Perhaps we are all speaking "conversations of project management," but can't understand each other? Perhaps the language of researchers is not what practitioners need to hear? Perhaps practitioners and researchers already understand each other more than many think? To create further dialogue between practice and research, and improve the likelihood of mutual understanding, PMRP will adopt an activist editorial model based on several key [editorial and publishing principles](#) that focus contributor and reader attention on public priorities, and the kinds of socially responsible research and practice that address them. But what is the rationale for an activist model and what will the journal content look like as a result?

Traditional academic publishing is rooted in the principle of peer review, the result being only those researchers whose articles "successfully" pass through that review process are published. Those who don't pass this trial by fire must seek other venues to get their messages to a broader audience. One can look at the world of journal content using a simple categorization, inspired in part by the well-known "goals-and-methods matrix" (Turner & Cochrane 1993) (Figure 1). The content most often seen in research journals is "type 2" (see Table 1), that is, research articles that editors "know" are coming, but are "unknown" in terms of their frequency or quality.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTEREST The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. FUNDING The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Scopus®

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Qs

Aims & Scope

Peer review

Publication ethics

Editorial Board & editors

No of articles per year

Frequency

Publication malpractice statement

Author's Full Address

DOI

Copyright

Citation

Conflicting Interest

UTS ePRESS

Cultural Studies Review
Vol. 23, No. 1
March 2017



© 2017 by the author[s]. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format or to remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially, provided the original work is properly cited and states its license.

Citations: Kean, J. 2017. Relationship Structure, Relationship Texture: Case Studies in Non/Monogamies Research. *Cultural Studies Review*, 23:1, 18-35. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5130/csr.v23i1.4955>

ISSN 1837-8692 | Published by UTS ePRESS | <http://csrc.epress.lib.uts.edu.au>

18

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Relationship Structure, Relationship Texture: Case Studies in Non/Monogamies Research

Jessica Kean
University of Sydney

Corresponding author: Jessica Kean, School of Philosophical and Historical Inquiry, SOPHI Office, Room H3.04, Level 3, Quadrangle A14, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia; jessica.kean@sydney.edu.au

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5130/csr.v23i1.4955>

Article History: Received 17/04/2016; Revised 31/01/2017; Accepted 09/03/2017; Published 15/05/2017

Abstract

This article develops case studies from qualitative interviews with people in negotiated non-monogamous relationships to ask what discursive or practical factors *besides* non-monogamy might play a role in assessments of a relationship's structure or worth. Beginning with an auto-ethnographic reflection on the way the 'significance' was recognised and misrecognised in one polyamorous 'thrupple', I introduce three case studies of people in negotiated non-monogamous relationships in order to bring a cultural studies method of the particular to the study of intimacy. For the individuals in these case studies, the practice and experience of non-monogamy is inextricably linked to the ideas and practices surrounding gender, sexuality, sex work, friendship, HIV status and ability. Sketching a middle path between the romantic's dream of love as a state of exception or exemption from the social and the theorist's map of the patterned effects of hetero- and mono-normativities, this paper attends to the contingency, flexibility and incoherence which so often underpins the sense we make of relationships, even as that sense is shaped by the practices, ideals and institutions of intimacy, love and friendship.

Keywords

Non-monogamy; polyamory; feminism; relationships; queer sexuality; mononormativity

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTEREST: The author[s] declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. **FUNDING:** The research for this article was assisted by an Australia Postgraduate Award Scheme.

Article Type


Corresponding Author Details

Article History


Funding Statement

tips





Future Directions



essentials
NOSH LITE BITES
SNACKS, DRINKS
ALL
AVAILABLE

@ THE BOARDROOM
• A LA CARTE RESTAURANT
• CONVENIENTLY LOCATED
• EXCLUSIVE BAR & LOUNGE

RED
ROUTE
No stopping
at any time

AHEAD | AHEAD
ONLY | ONLY

CYCLISTS
A Quality Lock
Shows a Theft
a Shock

DOAJ DIRECTORY OF
OPEN ACCESS
JOURNALS

C O P E

CASPA

NOT
Compliant?










Indexed in:

Scopus®

WEB OF SCIENCE™





Images

“Huh?”: Copyright [Anonymous Bosch](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) https://www.flickr.com/photos/s_gerity/3893184919

“Shark”: Copyright [Malkusch Markus](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/100419053@N02/11404459235>

“Arenicola cristata egg masses”: Copyright [James St. John](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/jsjgeology/16376129910>

“Greta Oto”: Copyright [Alias 0591](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/renemensen/6983128806>

“Why?”: Copyright [Bart Everson](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/editor/6698208975>

“Open Access Buttons”: Copyright [h_pampel](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY-SA 2.0](#))
<https://www.flickr.com/photos/34070876@N08/3602393341>

“Quality”: Copyright [Jason Taellious](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY-SA 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/dreamsjung/12613244714>

“Plus Quilt”: Copyright [Sally](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/quiltsalad/22831399486>

Images

“How”: Copyright [Infrogmation of New Orleans](#) under Creative Commons Generic 2.0 Generic Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/infrogmation/3376993038>

“Contemplating Sunset”: Copyright [K. Kendall](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/kkendall/10971095216>

“Read/Review”: Copyright [Sebastien Wiertz](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/wiertz/4563724688>

“Studying: Copyright [zwenzini](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/131918149@N07/18706797072>

“Legal & Contract Signature”: Copyright [Blogtrepreneur](#) under Creative Commons Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/143601516@N03/27571322193>

“Keep Calm and Communicate”: Copyright [Heather](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/woolgenie/16202685523>

“I’ll Give You a Tip..”: Copyright [rachaelvoorhees](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/rachaelvoorhees/828354236>

“Engage”: Copyright [Squire Morley](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/squiremorley/9463831925>

Images

“Communicate” Copyright [jonny goldstein](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/jonnygoldstein/8161518829>

“Writing Tools”: Copyright [Pete O'Shea](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/peteoshea/5600161625>


“Patience”: Copyright [beau-foto](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/belkins/2503182015>

“Tips”: Copyright [Colin Davis](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/roughgroove/3891013722>

“Ahead Only”: Copyright [Metro Centric](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution Generic 2.0 Licence ([CC BY 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/16782093@N03/4305346314>

“Reject”: Copyright [Lucas Moratelli](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 2.0 Generic Licence ([CC BY-NC 2.0](#)) <https://www.flickr.com/photos/lucasmoratelli/6894514803>

“Nutshell 2”: Copyright [Steffen Zahn](#) via Flickr under Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 2.0 Generic Licence ([CC BY-NC 2.0](#))
<https://www.flickr.com/photos/steffenz/3101130384>



U T S
e P R E S S

Thank you!