# rls\_dual\_mkl: A PFBS-based Implementation for Multiple Kernel Learning

# (Jeremiah) Zhe Liu

 ${\it ZHL}112@{\it MAIL.HARVARD.EDU}$ 

Department of Biostatistics Harvard School of Public Health Boston, MA, 02115

# Contents

1	Introduction				
	1.1	Multip	ble Kernel Learning Problem		
			ve PFBS Algorithm		
	1.3	3 Implementation Detail			
		1.3.1	Choice of Stepsize		
		1.3.2	Choice of $\mu$ and $\tau$		
		1.3.3	Parallel		
2	Soft	tware \$	Structure and Usage		
3	Exa	mple			

# 1. Introduction

# 1.1. Multiple Kernel Learning Problem

Multiple kernel learning (MKL) (Bach et al. (2004)) is the process of finding an optimal kernel from a prescribed (convex) set  $\mathcal{K}$  of basis kernels, for learning a real-valued function by regularization. In this work, we consider a RKHS  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \cdots \oplus \mathcal{H}_M$  with reproducting kernel  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{K} = \{\sum_{i=1}^M c_i \mathbf{k}_i | (c_i \geq 0 \forall i) \land \sum_{i=1} c_i = 1\}$  such that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^M f_i, f_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$ . By Micchelli and Pontil (2005), the problem of multiple kernel learning corresponds to find  $f^*$  such that:

$$\arg\min_{f\in\mathcal{H}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q(\sum_{j=1}^{M} f_j(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y}) + \tau g\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{M} ||f_j||_{\mathcal{H}}\right)^2\right) \right\}$$

Rosasco et al. (2009) generalized above problem by taking Q to be square loss,  $g(.) = \sqrt{.}$  and also impose L1 regularization, leading to the elastic-net-regulated problem:

$$\arg\min_{f\in\mathcal{H}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{M} f_j(x_i) - y_i \right)^2 + \mu \sum_{j=1}^{M} ||f_j||_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + 2\tau \sum_{j=1}^{M} ||f_j||_{\mathcal{H}} \right\}$$
(1)

## 1.2. Iterative PFBS Algorithm

By Theorem 1 of Rosasco et al. (2009), since the penalty function is lower semicontinuous, coercive, convex and one-homogenous, solution to problem 1  $f^*$  is the unique fixed point of the the contractive mapping with step size  $\sigma$ :

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}(f) = (\mathbf{I} - \pi_{\frac{\tau}{\sigma}K}) \left( f - \frac{1}{2\sigma} \nabla_f \left[ \frac{1}{n} ||f - y||^2 \right] \right)$$

where  $\pi_{\frac{\tau}{\sigma}K}(g)$  is a project operator which project g to  $\mathcal{H}' = \{f \in \mathcal{H} | ||f_j||_{\mathcal{H}_j} \leq \frac{1}{\tau/\sigma} \,\forall j\}$ , or more rigorously:

$$\pi_{\frac{\tau}{\sigma}K}(g) = \frac{\tau}{\sigma}v, \quad \text{where } v = \arg\min_{v \in \mathcal{H}, ||v_i|| \le 1} ||\frac{\tau}{\sigma}v - g||_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

Above mapping can also be written in terms of Kernel matrices by generalizing representer theorem and write  $f_j^*(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ji}^T k_j(x_i, x) = \alpha_j^T \mathbf{k}_j(x)$ , where  $\alpha_j$  and  $\mathbf{k}_j(x)$  are  $n \times 1$  vectors. Further, if denote:

$$\mathbf{\alpha}_{Mn\times 1} = (\mathbf{\alpha}_1, \dots, \mathbf{\alpha}_M)^T$$

$$\mathbf{k}(x)_{Mn\times 1} = (\mathbf{k}_1(x)^T, \dots, \mathbf{k}_M(x)^T)^T$$

$$\mathbf{K}_{Mn\times Mn} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{K}_M \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{K}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{K}_M \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \mathbf{K}_i = \mathbf{k}_i(.)\mathbf{k}_i(.)^T$$

$$\mathbf{y}_{Mn\times 1} = (y_{n\times 1}^T, \dots, y_{n\times 1}^T)^T$$

The contraction mapping can be written as:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}(f) = (\mathbf{I} - \pi_{\frac{\tau}{\sigma}K}) \left( \left[ (1 - \frac{\mu}{\sigma}) \boldsymbol{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\sigma n} (\mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\alpha} - \mathbf{y}) \right]^{T} \mathbf{k} \right) \quad \text{where}$$

$$\pi_{\frac{\tau}{\sigma}K}(g)_{j} = \min\{1, \frac{||g_{j}||_{\mathcal{H}_{j}}}{\tau/\sigma}\} * \frac{g_{j}}{||g_{j}||_{\mathcal{H}_{j}}} = \min\{1, \frac{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{K}_{j} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}}}{\tau/\sigma}\} * \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{k}_{j}}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{K}_{j} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}}}$$

$$(2)$$

Thus the projection  $\mathbf{I} - \pi_{\frac{\tau}{\sigma}K}$  corresponds to the soft-thresholding operator for  $\alpha_j$ :

$$\mathbf{S}_{\frac{\tau}{\sigma}}(K, \boldsymbol{\alpha})_{j} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}^{T}}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{K}_{j} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}}} (\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{K}_{j} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}} - \frac{\tau}{\sigma})_{+}$$

Above discussions lead to below algorithm:

# **Algorithm 1:** MKL Algorithm

set 
$$\alpha^0 = \mathbf{0}$$

for p = 1 to MAX\_ITER do

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0^p = (1 - \frac{\mu}{\sigma})\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{p-1} - \frac{1}{\sigma n}(\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{p-1} - \mathbf{y})$$
$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^p = \mathbf{S}_{\frac{\tau}{\sigma}}(K, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0^p)$$

end for

 $\mathbf{return} \ f^{\texttt{MAX\_ITER}} = (\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\texttt{MAX\_ITER}})^T \mathbf{k}$ 

# 1.3. Implementation Detail

#### 1.3.1. Choice of Stepsize

The convergence of the aforementioned procedure is guaranteed by Banach Fixed Point theorem, given proper choice of  $\sigma$ . Based on Bach et al. (2004), it can be shown that a suitable choice of  $\sigma$  is  $\sigma = \frac{1}{4}(a * L_{min} + b * L_{max}) + \mu$ , where (b, a) denotes the lower/upper bound on the eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{K}$ , and  $(L_{min}, L_{max})$  denotes the lower/upper bound of  $\nabla^2 Q(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{y})$ .

In the context where Q is square loss (i.e.  $\nabla_{\mathbf{f}}^2 Q(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{y}) = 2$ ), we have:

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2}(a+b) + \mu$$

Approximate eigenvalue of **K** using the PerronFrobenius upper bound, i.e.  $\sigma_{max} \leq \sum_{i} \mathbf{K}_{ij}$ .

Approximate eigenvalue of **K** using its upper bound  $\sigma = ||\mathbf{K}|| \leq M * \sum_{m=1}^{M} ||\mathbf{K}_m||$ , since:

$$\begin{aligned} ||\mathbf{K}|| &= \underset{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{Mn}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{T} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\sum_{i=1}^{M} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i})^{T} (\sum_{j=1}^{M} \mathbf{K}_{j} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}) \\ &\leq ||\sum_{i=1}^{M} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}|| * ||\sum_{j=1}^{M} \mathbf{K}_{j} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}|| \leq ||\sum_{j=1}^{M} \mathbf{K}_{j}|| * ||\sum_{i=1}^{M} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}||^{2} = ||\mathbf{I}_{n \times Mn} \boldsymbol{\alpha}||^{2} * \sum_{j=1}^{M} ||\mathbf{K}_{j}|| \\ &\leq ||\mathbf{I}_{n \times Mn}||^{2} ||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||^{2} * \sum_{j=1}^{M} ||\mathbf{K}_{j}|| \\ &= M * \sum_{m=1}^{j} ||\mathbf{K}_{j}|| \end{aligned}$$

Barzilai and Borwein (1988)

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^p - \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{p-1}$$
  
$$\Delta \boldsymbol{g} = u * (\boldsymbol{\alpha}^p - \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{p-1}) + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{K} (\boldsymbol{\alpha}^p - \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{p-1}) = (u\mathbf{I} + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{K}) \Delta \boldsymbol{\alpha}$$

$$rac{\langle \Delta oldsymbol{lpha}, \Delta oldsymbol{g} 
angle}{\langle \Delta oldsymbol{g}, \Delta oldsymbol{g} 
angle} = rac{\sum_{m=1}^{M} \Delta oldsymbol{lpha}_m^T \Delta oldsymbol{g}_m}{\sum_{m=1}^{M} \Delta oldsymbol{g}_m^T \Delta oldsymbol{g}_m}$$

#### 1.3.2. Choice of $\mu$ and $\tau$

(Rosasco et al., 2009)

Contraction mapping

$$||(1-\frac{\mu}{\sigma})\mathbf{I}-\frac{1}{\sigma n}\mathbf{K}||$$

Continuation strategy.

### 1.3.3. Parallel

Notice that in (2),  $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}$  updates  $\alpha$  by group, it is thus possible to write  $\mathcal{T}$  at  $p^{th}$  step as:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma}^{p} = [\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,1}^{p}, \mathcal{T}_{\sigma,2}^{p}, \dots, \mathcal{T}_{\sigma,M}^{p}] \quad \text{with}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma,j}^{p} = \mathbf{S}_{\frac{\tau}{\sigma}} \Big( \mathbf{K}, (1 - \frac{\mu}{\sigma}) \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}^{p-1} - \frac{1}{n\sigma} * \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{p-1} ) \Big) \quad \text{where } \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{p-1} = (\sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbf{K}_{m} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{m}^{p-1} - y)$$

- 2. Software Structure and Usage
- 3. Example

í

<sup>1.</sup> LIBSVM Data Repository: 'https://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvmtools/datasets/binary.html'

## References

- F Bach, G Lanckriet, and M Jordan. Multiple kernel learning, conic duality, and the smo algorithm. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, 69, 2004.
- J Barzilai and J Borwein. Two-point step size gradient methods. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 8(1):141148, 1988.
- C Micchelli and M Pontil. Learning the kernel function via regularization. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 6:10991125, 2005.
- L Rosasco, S Mosci, M Santoro, A Verri, and S Villa. Iterative projection methods for structured sparsity regularization. Technical report, MIT, 2009.