Appendix D1

Researcher Survey Form

July, 2002

INSTRUCTIONS: You have been given this form because you have had a *research role* on a TTURC project (core project, research project, or pilot project) over the past twelve months (7/1/01 - 6/30/02). In all questions below, any references to "center" refer to the TTURC center, not others centers you may be associated with.

Your participation is *anonymous*. Your responses will not be identified with you personally in any way. Your participation is also *voluntary*. You are free to not respond at all or to only respond to select items. However, *we ask that you return the instrument, even if you elect not to respond at all*. If there are any questions that you feel you are unable to or prefer not to answer, please leave them blank.

Your participation is important to our understanding and communication of the work associated with the TTURC initiative. If you have any questions or concerns about this evaluation, please feel free to contact Ginny Hsieh (hsiehg@mail.nih.gov; 301-594-6984). Please complete this form <u>no later than July 31, 2002</u> and enclose it in the accompanying postage-paid envelope.

		A. General Information					
1.	For how many years have yo	ou been involved with this TTUR	C center? years.				
2.	In the past 12 months, on av related work? hours.	rerage, how many hours per wee	ek did you spend on center-				
3.	Please characterize your research role in center research:						
	 Investigator (e.g., PI Co-PI or Co-Investigator; Principal, Associate, Core or Project Director, Researcher, Scientist, Research Scientist) Professional Research Support Staff (e.g., (Bio)statistician; Research Associate; Research, Laboratory, Data or Web Technician) Student Research Support Staff (e.g., Research Assistant, Post Doc, Student) Other Research Role (please specify):						
4.	Please indicate <u>your disciplinary affiliation(s)</u> (first, second, third and further if relevant) by writing the appropriate number next to each discipline (use as many numbers as needed; use each number only once): 1 = Your Primary Discipline 2 = Your Secondary Discipline 3 = Your Tertiary Discipline etc.						
- - -	Anthropology Behavioral Medicine Behavioral Science Bioethics Biology Biostatistics Communications Economics Education Epidemiology Genetics	Gerontology Health Services Research Immunology Medicine-Family Medicine-General Medicine-Internal Medicine-Molecular Bio Medicine-Pediatrics Medicine-Obstetrics Medicine-Psychiatry Medicine-Subspecialty	Medicine-Other Molecular neurobiology Neuroscience Nursing Pharmacology Psychology Public Health Public Policy Sociology				

5. res		Indicate any new disch over the past 12 mo	-	•		•		
		_ Anthropology _ Behavioral Medicine _ Behavioral Science _ Bioethics _ Biology _ Biostatistics _ Communications _ Economics _ Education _ Epidemiology _ Genetics	Ho Im M M M M M	Gerontology Health Services Research Immunology Medicine-Family Medicine-General Medicine-Internal Medicine-Molecular Bio Medicine-Pediatrics Medicine-Obstetrics Medicine-Psychiatry Medicine-Subspecialty			Medicine-C Molecular r Neuroscier Nursing Pharmacol Psychology Public Hea Public Polic Sociology	neurobiology nce ogy / Ith
				eneral Eva				
6.		Please evaluate <i>the o</i> the following areas:	verall perform	ance of you	ur center o	ver the pas	st 12 montl	hs in each of
	CI	RCLE ONE CODE FOR E	ACH ITEM	Inadequa	ate Poor	Satisfac	tory Goo	d Excellent
	a.	The training of students researchers and staff	s, new	1	2	3	4	5
	b.	The effectiveness of re collaborations within the		1	2	3	4	5
	C.	Integration of research disciplines	across	1	2	3	4	5
	d.	Ability to conduct trans- research	disciplinary	1	2	3	4	5
	e.	Development of new so or models, or enhancer ones			2	3	4	5
	f.	Research leading to the new research methods	e development	of 1	2	3	4	5
		Publication productivity		1	2	3	4	5
		Quality of publications		1	2	3	4	5
	İ.	Development of improv			2	3	4	5
	J.	Institutional support for		1	2	3	4	5
		Recognition of center-r Communication of rese (other than through put	arch findings	1 1	2	3	4	5 5
		Translation of research	into practice	1	2	3	4	5
	n.	Translation of research	into policy	1	2	3	4	5
	0.	Ability to affect ultimate (e.g., prevalence, morb		es 1	2	3	4	5
	p.	Overall management of	f the center	1	2	3	4	5
7.		How would you evalua (CIRCLE ONE)?	ate your expe	rience with	the center	<u>overall</u> ove	er the past	12 months
		Very Negative	Somewhat Negative	Neither Negat nor Positive		ewhat sitive	Very Positive	
		1	2	3		4	5	

Researcher Survey 2

C. Collaboration

8. Please evaluate the *collaboration within your center*.

CIRCLE ONE CODE FOR EACH ITEM	Inadequate	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
a. Support staffing for the collaboration.	1	2	3	4	5
 b. Physical environment support (e.g., meeting space) for collaboration. 	1	2	3	4	5
c. Acceptance of new ideas.	1	2	3	4	5
d. Communication among collaborators.	1	2	3	4	5
e. Ability to capitalize on the strengths of different researchers.	1	2	3	4	5
 f. Organization or structure of collaborative teams. 	1	2	3	4	5
 g. Resolution of conflicts among collaborators. 	1	2	3	4	5
 h. Ability to accommodate different working styles of collaborators. 	1	2	3	4	5
 i. Integration of research methods from different fields. 	1	2	3	4	5
 Integration of theories and models from different fields. 	1	2	3	4	5
k. Involvement of collaborators from outside the center.	1	2	3	4	5
 Involvement of collaborators from diverse disciplines. 	1	2	3	4	5
m. Productivity of collaboration meetings.	1	2	3	4	5
n. Productivity in developing new products (e.g., papers, proposals, courses).	1	2	3	4	5
o. Overall productivity of collaboration.	1	2	3	4	5

9. Please rate your views about *collaboration* with respect to your center-related research.

CIRCLE ONE CODE FOR EACH ITEM	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Not Sure	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree
 You are comfortable showing limits or gaps in your knowledge to those with whom you collaborate. 	1	2	3	4	5
b. In general, you feel that you can trust the colleagues with whom you collaborate.	1	2	3	4	5
 c. In general, you find that your collaborators are open to criticism. 	1	2	3	4	5
d. In general, you respect your collaborators.	1	2	3	4	5
 You have increased the degree to which you collaborate with people outside your primary discipline. 	1	2	3	4	5
f. In general, collaboration has improved your research productivity.	1	2	3	4	5
g. In general, collaboration has improved the quality of your research.	1	2	3	4	5
h. Collaboration has posed a significant time burden in your research.	1	2	3	4	5

D. Transdisciplinary Research

In the TTURC initiative, transdisciplinary research is considered distinct from traditional collaborative research. The questions in this section pertain specifically to transdisciplinary research as you understand or perceive it.

10. Please rate the following attitudes about transdisciplinary research.

CII	RCLE ONE CODE FOR EACH ITEM	Strongly Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Not Sure	Somewhat Agree	Strongly Agree
a.	I would describe myself as someone who strongly values transdisciplinary collaboration.	1	2	3	4	5
	Transdisciplinary research interferes with my ability to maintain knowledge in my primary area.	1	2	3	4	5
C.	I tend to be more productive working on my own rather than working as a member of a transdisciplinary research team.	1	2	3	4	5
d.	In a transdisciplinary research group, it takes more time to produce a research article.	1	2	3	4	5
e.	Transdisciplinary research stimulates me to change my thinking.	1	2	3	4	5
f.	I have changed the way I pursue a research idea because of my involvement in transdisciplinary research.	1	2	3	4	5
g.	Transdisciplinary research has improved how I conduct research.	1	2	3	4	5
h.	I am optimistic that transdisciplinary research among TTURC participants will lead to valuable scientific outcomes that would not have occurred without that kind of collaboration.	1	2	3	4	5
i.	Participating in a transdisciplinary team improves the interventions that are developed.	1	2	3	4	5
j.	Because of my involvement in transdisciplinary research, I have an increased understanding of what my own discipline brings to others.	1	2	3	4	5
k.	My transdisciplinary collaborations are sustainable over the long haul.	1	2	3	4	5
I.	Generally speaking, I believe that the benefits of transdisciplinary scientific research outweigh the inconveniences and costs of such work.	1	2	3	4	5
m.	I am comfortable working in a transdisciplinary environment.	1	2	3	4	5
n.	Overall, I am pleased with the effort I have made to engage in transdisciplinary research.	1	2	3	4	5
О.	TTURC members as a group are open- minded about considering research perspectives from fields other than their own.	1	2	3	4	5

E. Methods

11. Please rate the degree to which your center-related research in the past twelve months has led to progress in any of the following areas.

CIRCLE ONE CODE FOR EACH ITEM. IF THE ITEM DOES NOT APPLY TO YOUR RESEARCH, CIRCLE 9.	No Progress	Some Progress	Good Progress	Excellent Progress	Does Not Apply
a. Development of common approaches or methods for defining key terms	1	2	3	4	9
b. Development or refinement of measures	1	2	3	4	9
c. Development or refinement of methods for gathering data	1	2	3	4	9
d. Development or refinement of methods for reporting data	1	2	3	4	9
e. Development or refinement of tools for integration of data across disciplines	1	2	3	4	9
f. Development or refinement of methods for synthesis or meta-analyses	1	2	3	4	9
 g. Development or refinement of methods for assessing community or policy interventions 	1	2	3	4	9

F. Science & Models

12. Please rate the degree to which your center-related research in the past twelve months has led to progress in any of the following areas.

CIRCLE ONE CODE FOR EACH ITEM. IF THE ITEM DOES NOT APPLY TO YOUR RESEARCH, CIRCLE 9.	No Progress	Some Progress	Good Progress	Excellent Progress	Does Not Apply
a. Development of transdisciplinary theories	1	2	3	4	9
 b. Understanding the relationships between biological, psychosocial and environmental factors in smoking 	1	2	3	4	9
c. Understanding multiple determinants of the stages of nicotine addiction	1	2	3	4	9
d. Interactions between individual (bio- behavioral) and environmental (nested contextual) variables	1	2	3	4	9
Integration of bio-behavioral aspects of tobacco use with the biological mechanisms involved in morbidity/mortality	1	2	3	4	9
 f. Understanding interactions between intrapersonal and environmental predictors of smoking 	1	2	3	4	9
g. Understanding smoking cessation in hard core smokers	1	2	3	4	9
h. Understanding smoking cessation in smokers with co-morbidities	1	2	3	4	9
 i. Understanding relation between nicotine dependence and other forms of drug dependence 	1	2	3	4	9
 j. Understanding tobacco control within the context of other risk behaviors among adolescents 	1	2	3	4	9

CIRCLE ONE CODE FOR EACH ITEM. IF THE ITEM DOES NOT APPLY TO YOUR RESEARCH, CIRCLE 9.	No Progress	Some Progress	Good Progress	Excellent Progress	Does Not Apply
 Application or adaptation of theories not previously applied to nicotine addiction or tobacco cessation 	1	2	3	4	9
 Understanding the epidemiology of nicotine dependence, especially in minority groups 	1	2	3	4	9
 m. Understanding the mediated and moderated pathways among predictors of tobacco use 	1	2	3	4	9
 n. Development of frameworks for addressing addiction within a public health context 	1	2	3	4	9
Understanding interplay of family dynamics on smoking cessation	1	2	3	4	9
 Understand better ways to prevent the onset of smoking in youth 	1	2	3	4	9
 q. Understand better the role of culture in tobacco use and/or prevention and cessation 	1	2	3	4	9

G. Publications & Productivity

Please provide summary information about your center-related publications and other productivity over the past twelve months.

13. Please indicate the approximate numbers for each of the following <u>for center-related work only over the past twelve months:</u>

PLEASE ESTIMATE A NUMBER FOR EACH CATEGORY	Estimated Number
a. New research grants submitted (where you are PI or co-PI)	
b. New grants awarded (where you are PI or co-PI)	
c. Research presentations at professional conferences	

14. Please indicate the approximate numbers of *research manuscripts* <u>based on center work</u> <u>only</u> on which you were a co-author <u>over the past twelve months</u>:

PLEASE ESTIMATE A NUMBER FOR EACH CATEGORY. COUNT EACH PUBLICATION IN ONLY <u>ONE</u> CATEGORY.	Estimated Number
a. Submitted to refereed journal for review	
b. In revise-and-resubmit status with a refereed journal	
c. In press in a refereed journal	
d. Published in a refereed journal	

H. Support & Recognition

15. Please evaluate the *institutional resources* for conducting your center-related research:

CIRCLE ONE CODE FOR EACH ITEM	Inadequate	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
 a. Availability of physical resources (e.g., computer equipment, software, supplies, etc.) 	1	2	3	4	5
 b. Quality of physical resources (e.g., computer equipment, software, supplies, etc.) 	1	2	3	4	5

 c. Availability of physical space (e.g., office, lab, etc.) 	1	2	3	4	5
 d. Quality of physical space (e.g., office, lab, etc.) 	1	2	3	4	5
e. Availability of the personnel resources (e.g., secretarial support, library support, technical support, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5
f. Quality of the personnel resources (e.g., secretarial support, library support, technical support, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5
g. Availability of electronic or other resources for collaboration between remote research sites	1	2	3	4	5
h. Availability of electronic or other resources for collaboration between research centers	1	2	3	4	5

16. Please rate how important you believe each of the following is at your institution (e.g., your university):

CIRCLE ONE CODE FOR EACH ITEM	Not At All Important	Somewhat Important	Moderately Important	Very Important	Extremely Important
Evidence of collaborative research background when hiring new researchers/faculty	1	2	3	4	5
 Evidence of transdisciplinary research background when hiring new researchers/faculty 	1	2	3	4	5
c. Evidence of <i>collaborative</i> research in tenure or promotion decisions	1	2	3	4	5
d. Evidence of <i>transdisciplinary</i> research in tenure or promotion decisions	1	2	3	4	5

I. Communications

17. How would you evaluate your center's *communications with <u>you</u>* over the past 12 months about:

CIRCLE ONE CODE FOR EACH ITEM	Inadequate	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
a. Other center research projects	1	2	3	4	5
b. General information about the center	1	2	3	4	5
c. Research at other TTURC centers	1	2	3	4	5
d. Other activities at other TTURC centers	1	2	3	4	5
e. Funding agency activities and opportunities	1	2	3	4	5

18. How would you rate your center's *communications with the outside world* over the past 12 months about:

CIRCLE ONE CODE FOR EACH ITEM	Inadequate	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
a. Center research projects	1	2	3	4	5
b. General information about the center	1	2	3	4	5

19. Overall, how important are each of the following barriers to communication in the center?

CIRCLE ONE CODE FOR EACH ITEM	Not At All Important	Somewhat Important	Moderately Important	Very Important	Extremely Important
 a. Information overload – too many e-mails, calls, etc. 	1	2	3	4	5
 b. Lack of time necessary to communicate effectively 	1	2	3	4	5
c. Technical problems that hinder communication	1	2	3	4	5
d. Not knowing who to communicate with	1	2	3	4	5
e. Interpersonal problems between center members	1	2	3	4	5
 f. Lack of information (such as meeting minutes) needed to guide communication 	1	2	3	4	5
 g. Lack of interest or response when I try to communicate 	1	2	3	4	5
h. Overall size and complexity of the center	1	2	3	4	5
i. Other (Specify)	1	2	3	4	5

J. Interventions

20. Please rate the degree to which your center-related research in the past twelve months has led to progress toward the development of new or improved interventions of the following types:

CIRCLE ONE CODE FOR EACH ITEM. IF THE ITEM DOES NOT APPLY TO YOUR RESEARCH, CIRCLE 9.	No Progress	Some Progress	Good Progress	Excellent Progress	Does Not Apply
a. Pharmacological interventions	1	2	3	4	9
b. Individual level (non-pharmacological) interventions	1	2	3	4	9
c. Community-based interventions	1	2	3	4	9
d. School-based interventions	1	2	3	4	9
e. Workplace interventions	1	2	3	4	9
f. Health care interventions	1	2	3	4	9
g. Environmental interventions	1	2	3	4	9
h. Policy interventions	1	2	3	4	9
i. Prevention of uptake or initiation	1	2	3	4	9
j. Cessation/quitting	1	2	3	4	9
k. Relapse prevention	1	2	3	4	9
Media based interventions	1	2	3	4	9

K. Policy

21. In the past twelve months, has your center-related research...

PLEASE CHECK YES OR NO FOR EACH

a. Informed policy debates in your community/State or otherwise been disseminated into the policy arena?	ρ Νο	ρYes
b. Been used to justify the allocation of funding/resources into tobacco control activities in your community/State (for example, from the state settlement dollars/MSA)?	ρ Νο	ρYes
c. Been used to develop or justify tobacco control legislation or regulations in your community/State?	ρNo	ρYes

PLEASE CHECK YES OR NO FOR EACH

d. Been used as the basis for developing or justifying tobacco control policies or policy interventions?

ρΝο

ρYes

L. Translation to Practice

22. <u>In the past twelve months</u> has your center-based research involved *developing and/or disseminating materials* (e.g., written, video, software) *for use in practice* to...

PLEASE CHECK YES OR NO FOR EACH

a. Prevent initiation of tobacco use?	ρ Νο	ρYes
b. Encourage cessation in current tobacco users?	ρ Νο	ρYes
c. Support long-term maintenance in former users of tobacco?	ρΝο	ρYes

23. <u>In the past twelve months</u> has your center-based research involved *developing and/or providing training of health care practitioners or others* to...

PLEASE CHECK YES OR NO FOR EACH

a. Prevent initiation of tobacco use?	ρ Νο	ρ Yes
b. Encourage cessation in current tobacco users?	ρ Νο	ρYes
c. Support long-term maintenance in former users of tobacco?	ρ Νο	ρYes

24. <u>In the past twelve months</u> has your center-based research involved...

PLEASE CHECK YES OR NO FOR EACH

a. Developing <i>tobacco use treatment guidelines</i> for health care providers or health care delivery settings (HMOs, group practices, hospitals)?	ρ Νο	ρYes
b. Developing <i>policies banning the use of tobacco</i> in health care delivery settings (HMOs, group practices, hospitals) in your community/State?	ρ Νο	ρYes
c. Developing benefit packages for the health care insurance coverage of cessation services?	ρ Νο	ρYes

M. Health Outcomes

It is probably impossible to assess the unique contributions of individual research efforts to ultimate health outcomes, and we won't pretend to try to do that here. Instead, we would like to gauge something akin to "consumer optimism" measures in economic research studies. Here, we would like you to estimate how "optimistic" you are about the effects of your research on ultimate health outcomes of relevance.

25. Please rate how *optimistic* you are that <u>within the next five years</u> your research will help lead to significant positive health outcomes in the following areas.

CIRCLE ONE CODE FOR EACH ITEM	Not At All Optimistic	Somewhat Optimistic	Moderately Optimistic	Very Optimistic	Extremely Optimistic
a. Early uptake of tobacco use	1	2	3	4	5
 b. Progression to regular and addictive levels of tobacco use 	1	2	3	4	5
c. Tobacco product consumption	1	2	3	4	5
d. Tobacco use <i>prevalence</i>	1	2	3	4	5
e. Tobacco-related morbidity	1	2	3	4	5
f. Tobacco-related mortality	1	2	3	4	5

Comments

1.	It is important for us to know about any negative aspects (concerns, problems, issues) of your involvement in the center over the past year that you consider important to the functioning, impact or success of the center. Please note that all answers on this survey are <u>anonymous</u> . While we would like any detail you can provide, do not describe anything that might identify you personally. If you need more space, please include additional pages and indicate on them that they are a continuation of your response to Comment Question 1.

2.	We would also like to know about any positive aspects of your involvement in the center over the past year that you consider important to the functioning, impact or success of the center that we may not capture from other areas of this instrument. Please include any details, anecdotes, or stories about anything you might wish to share about things like the benefits of participating in the center, the impact it has had on your research or career, etc. If you need more space, please include additional pages and indicate on them that they are a continuation of your response to Comment Question 2.

3	Please use the space on this page (and any additional pages) to provide any additional comments or feedback about either your experience in the TTURC initiative or the TTURC evaluation. If you need more space, please include additional pages and indicate on them that they are a continuation of your response to Comment Question 3.
_	

Thank you very much for completing the 2002 TTURC Researcher Form

Please return this form no later than

July 31, 2002

In the postage-paid envelope that has been provided for your convenience.