DCCPS NEW GRANTEES WORKSHOP NIH GENOMIC DATA SHARING POLICY & GRANTEE REQUIREMENTS

Charlisse Caga-anan JD
Genomic Program Administrator
DCCPS, NCI

NIH GENOMIC DATA SHARING POLICY

(NOT-OD-14-124)



Update to the NIH GWAS Data Sharing Policy (NOT-OD-07-088)

• Other NIH data sharing policies may also apply, e.g. for model organisms, \$500k/year direct costs

Effective date: January 25, 2015

Applies to NIH-funded research that generates large-scale human or non-human genomic data

Data from funded research falling under the policy must be submitted to an NIH - designated repository, in compliance with policy requirements

 Once data is released, <u>controlled access</u> can be requested by scientific community via database of Genotypes and Phenotypes (dbGaP)



KEY QUESTIONS FOR GRANTEES AND GDS POLICY

Does my project fall under the NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy?

What samples am I using?

- What type of informed consent was obtained for the future use and sharing of these samples?
- Has the IRB reviewed plan to submit data to NIH-designated repository, along with other policy points (e.g. risks of data sharing, plans for de-identification)

What do I need to include in my grant application? Genomic Data Sharing Plan

What do I need to provide prior to award? Institutional Certification

DOES MY PROJECT FALL UNDER THE GDS POLICY?

Large scale data meeting NCI thresholds for

- 1. Technology/platform used
- 2. Sample size
- Human or non-human

OR

Smaller scale high priority data depending on

- State of the science
- Programmatic priorities of NCI
- •Utility of the data for the research community

E.g. projects examining rare cancers, rare-cancerrelated outcomes, or rare cancer subtypes

https://www.cancer.gov/gds/about-policy#ui-id-3

Example NCI Thresholds

https://www.cancer.gov/gds/about-policy

Type of Data	Data Examples	# of Human Specimens	# of Model Organisms
SNP array data from > 500k single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPS)	GWAS Data	1,000	500
DNA or RNA sequence data from < 100 genes or regions of interest, or transcripts	Targeted Sequencing	1,000	500
DNA sequence data from ≥ 100 genes or regions of interest	Targeted Sequencing, Whole Exome Sequencing, Whole Genome Sequencing	100	50
Genome-wide RNA sequencing data	Transcriptomic Data	100	50

WHAT DO I NEED TO INCLUDE IN MY GRANT APPLICATION? GENOMIC DATA SHARING PLAN

Genomic Data Sharing Plan outlines sharing expectations under the GDS Policy

Investigators should include genomic data sharing plan in grant application "Resource Sharing Plan," along with other required data sharing plans

GDSP does not replace other required data sharing plans

Elements of Genomic Data Sharing Plan:

- 1. Data to be shared (data type)
- 2. Data Repository
- 3. Data Submission Timeline
- 4. IRB Assurance of the Genomic Data Sharing Plan
- 5. Appropriate Uses of the Data

NCI GENOMIC DATA SHARING PLAN TEMPLATE

https://www.cancer.gov/grantstraining/policies-process/ncipolicies/genomic-data/submission/ncidsp.pdf

NOTE: Genomic data sharing plan in application may take different form, as long as all elements are covered

iation (Institution of (Instit	A/Division/Prograpply): Species Normal 1 Urine RNA ork for data sho	Rat C. eleg Yeast: Other:	gans Indicate Species Indicate Species Indicate Species Indicate Species Indicate Species Other: Indicate sample Other: Indicate analyte	
iation (Institution of (mark all that all that all that all that all that all that all thuman [Mouse Drosophila Bacteria: Indicate Tumor Tissue Buccal DNA NCI GDS framework from >500K single	A/Division/Prograpply): Species Normal 1 Urine RNA ork for data sho	Rat C. eleg Yeast: Other:	gans Indicate Species Indicate Species Blood Other: Indicate sample	
Human Mouse Drosophila Bacteria: Indicate Buccal DNA NCI GDS framework from >500K single	Species Normal T Urine RNA ork for data sho	□Rat □C. eleg □Yeast: □Other:	gans Indicate Species Indicate Species Blood Other: Indicate sample	
Human Mouse Drosophila Bacteria: Indicate Tumor Tissue Buccal DNA NCI GDS framework from >500K single	Species Normal T Urine RNA ork for data sho	☐C. eleş ☐Yeast: ☐Other:	Indicate Species Indicate Species Blood Other: Indicate sample	
Mouse Drosophila Bacteria: Indicate Tumor Tissue Buccal IDNA NCI GDS framework from >500K single	Species Normal T Urine RNA ork for data sho	☐C. eleş ☐Yeast: ☐Other:	Indicate Species Indicate Species Blood Other: Indicate sample	
Drosophila Bacteria: Indicate Tumor Tissue Buccal IDNA NCI GDS framework from >500K single	Species Normal T Urine RNA	□Yeast: □Other: rissue	Indicate Species Indicate Species Blood Other: Indicate sample	
Bacteria: Indicate Tumor Tissue Buccal DNA NCI GDS framework from >500K single	□Normal T □Urine □RNA ork for data sho	□Other:	Indicate Species □Blood □Other: Indicate sample	
Tumor Tissue Buccal DNA NCI GDS framework from >500K single	□Normal T □Urine □RNA ork for data sho	Tissue	□Blood □Other: Indicate sample	
Buccal DNA NCI GDS framework from >500K single	□Urine □RNA ork for data sho		Other: Indicate sample	
DNA NCI GDS framework from >500K single	□RNA ork for data sha	, i		
NCI GDS framework	ork for data she		Indicate analyti	at the court
from >500K single		on and a second		e type
equencing data from > 100 per	nes or regions of	interest (ir	n general > 34Mh)	Enter # of Samples
data from ≥ 100 ger	nes or regions of	interest (ir	general > 34Mb)	Enter # of Samples
		whole gen	nome sequencing	Little i of bumples
omic data	NA-seq) data			Enter # of Samples
			wer	Enter # of Samples
e.g., Illumina 450k or other platforms, bisulfite sequencing data Genome-wide chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) data				
e.g. transcription factor ChIP-seq, histone modification ChIP-seq				Enter # of Samples
Metagenome (or microbiome) sequencing data e.g., 16S rRNA sequencing, shotgun metagenomics, whole-genome microbial sequencing				Enter # of Samples
me sequencing data microbiome transcri				Enter # of Samples
ta types				Enter # of Samples
R	NA sequencing (Rimic data mic data NNA methylation data NNA methylation data folk or other platforn fromatin immunop in factor ChIP-seq., r microbiome) sequencing, shotgume sequencing data microbiome transcriptions.	NA sequencing (RNA-seq) data mic data NA methylation data 60k or other platforms, bisulfite sequentermatin immunoprecipitation sequentermatin immunoprecipitation sequentermatic plata r microbiome) sequencing data sequencing, shotgun metagenomics, me sequencing data microbiome transcriptomics	NA sequencing (RNA-seq) data mic data NA methylation data iOk or other platforms, bisulfite sequencing da hromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (C in factor ChIP-seq, histone modification ChIP- r microbiome) sequencing data sequencing, shoigun metagenomics, whole-gen me sequencing data incrobiome transcriptomics	mic data NA methylation data 10k or other platforms, bisulfite sequencing data hromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) data n factor ChIP-seq, histone modification ChIP-seq r microbiome) sequencing data sequencing, shotgun metagenomics, whole-genome microbial sequencing me sequencing data microbiome transcriptomics

WHAT DO I NEED TO PROVIDE PRIOR TO AWARD? INSTITUTIONAL CERTIFICATION

Institutional Certification provides official institutional assurance that data submission is consistent with requirements of GDS Policy

Institutional Certification(s) must cover all participants whose samples are used to generate data

 Multiple institutional certifications may be submitted, depending on how many sampleproviding studies are involved Elements of Institutional Certification:

- Consent
- ■IRB review
- Data submission is consistent with applicable national, tribal, and state law and regulations, and with relevant institutional policies
- Identities of research participants will not be disclosed to NIH-designated data repositories
- **Data Use Limitation / Consent group:**Permitted future research use of the data (as consistent with the informed consent)

INSTITUTIONAL **CERTIFICATION TEMPLATE**

Different templates available:

Samples collected Pre-January 2015

https://osp.od.nih.gov/wpcontent/uploads/GDS Extramural Certification Pr e2015.pdf

Samples collected starting January 2015

https://osp.od.nih.gov/wpcontent/uploads/GDS Extramural Certification.pdf

Contact GPA for questions on how to complete form

Extramural Institutional Certification*

	For studies using data generated from cell lines created or clinical specimens could	tea before fanuary 25, 2015			
Date: pmwp	MADDAYYY				
Name of C					
	ic Program Administrator				
	C [, NIH, HHS				
	ockville Pike				
Bethesda,	da, MD 20892-7395				
Re: Institu	titutional Certification of	раме от institution; to Accompany			
Submissio	ssion of the Dataset from	JORIGINAL STUDY NAME 1 FOT			
		[PROJECT TITLE FOR DATA TO BE SUBMITTED]			
o an NIH	IIH-designated data repository.				
Dear ,					
The submi	bmission of data to the NIH-designated data repository is being mad	e with institutional approval from			
	, along with appropriate institu	itional approvals from			
collaborat	rating sites, as listed here:	Anna de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya del la companya del la companya de			
TIF APPLICABLE	ABLE ENTER COLLABORATING SITE NAMES HERE AND CLICK 'ADD TO LIST' LIST OF COLLABOR	RATING SITES			
Add to list >>	Clear list				
771	t 1	1 : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :			
The _		ibmission of data from the study			
	ository meets the following expectations, as defined in the Genomic	to an NIH-designated data			
reposit	ository meets the following expectations, as defined in the denomic	Data Sharing Policy.			
	. The data submission is consistent, as appropriate, with applicab	le national, tribal, and state laws			
	and regulations as well as relevant institutional policies.2				
		the informed consent documents.			
	are delineated in the table on page 3.3				
•	. The identities of research participants will not be disclosed to N	IH-designated data repositories.			
	A Local In the Law No.				
•	An Institutional Review Board and/or Privacy Board, and/or eq				
	reviewed the investigator's proposal for data submission and as O The protocol for the collection of genomic and phenoty				
	Part 46.4	pic data is consistent with 43 CFR			
	Data submission and subsequent data sharing for resear	ch purposes are not inconsistent with			
	the informed consent of study participants from whom				
	 Consideration was given to risks to individual participa 				
	with data submitted to NIH-designated data repositories	and subsequent sharing;			
	 To the extent relevant and possible, consideration was given to risks to groups or 				
	populations associated with submitting data to NIH-des	ignated data repositories and			
	subsequent sharing; and	Francisco de la companya de la comp			
	 The investigator's plan for de-identifying datasets is co 	nsistent with the standards			
	outlined in this Policy (see section IV.C.1.).				
The de	data are to be made available through unrestricted or con	trolled appear (If			
	stricted access is marked, the data use limitation table on page 2 does no				
	A. A. L.				
	National Center for Biotechnology Information is authorized to				
	ant alleles and/or frequencies from this study in public varia	ation archives (i.e.,			
dDSNP	NP and dbVar) ⁷ .				
	ification must be provided for all sites contributing samples. If more than one site is contributing				
	itutional Certification indicating that they are providing certification on behalf of all collab- ples may provide their own Institutional Certification.	orating sites. Alternatively, each site providing			
samples	nes may provide their own institutional Certification.				

KEY PERSONNEL FOR GDS POLICY

Program Director (Program Official)

 Works with grantee investigators throughout life of grant to ensure data submission in compliance with policy, including collecting required documents

Genomic Program Administrator (Charlisse Caga-anan for DCCPS)

- Point of contact for GDS policy within division
- Provides guidance to Program Directors, provides final approval for institutional certifications, registers projects in dbGaP in preparation for data submission
- Liaison between the investigator and dbGaP staff

dbGaP Staff

Works with grantee investigators to process submitted data

RESOURCES

NCI Genomic Data Sharing Policy website: https://cancer.gov/gds

Data submission: https://www.cancer.gov/gds/submission

NCI DCCPS Genomic Program Administrator

- Charlisse Caga-anan, charlisse.caga-anan@nih.gov
- Jennifer Lee (Analyst), jennifer.lee7@nih.gov

Template Institutional Certifications

- Pre-2105 samples: https://osp.od.nih.gov/wp-content/uploads/GDS Extramural Certification Pre2015.pdf
- Samples from January 2015 and after: https://osp.od.nih.gov/wp-content/uploads/GDS Extramural Certification.pdf

NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-14-124.html

QUESTIONS

SAMPLES: POLICY REQUIREMENTS FOR INFORMED CONSENT & IRB REVIEW



Informed Consent

Samples obtained prior to January 25, 2015

- Consent for future research and broad data sharing may vary across studies
- Submitting data and sharing for future research are <u>not inconsistent</u> with consent

Samples obtained on or after January 25, 2015

 Specific consent for future research use and broad data sharing is expected



Consent

Consider the risks to the participants and their families

Consider risks to groups or populations

Investigator's plan for de-identifying datasets is consistent with GDS Policy

HIPAA and 45 CFR Part 46 standard

Collection of genomic and phenotypic data consistent with 45 CFR Part 46





Grantee institution certifies for all

Grantee institution provides a multi-site certification on behalf of all participating studies

 Grantee will need to coordinate with participating studies to assure all points were complied with, provide data use limitation for each study

Participating studies provide institutional certifications

Each institution that provides samples used also provides its own certification

- Original institution's IRB oversaw required points in the institutional certification: sample collection, consent for future research uses of the samples/data
- Existing multi-site studies or consortia that collected samples may choose to provide a multi-site IC to cover entire study/consortia

Combination: Grantee institution may certify for some sites/studies, while others may certify for themselves