

Title Slide: COMPUTER SIMULATION MODELS AND MULTILEVEL CANCER CONTROL INTERVENTIONS

Joseph Morrissey,
Kristen Hassmiller Lich,
Rebecca Anhang Price,
Jeanne Mandelblatt

National Cancer Institute
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
National Institutes of Health

Slide 2: Computer Simulation (CS)

- Our paper focuses on CS as a useful tool for developing and evaluating multilevel cancer interventions
- CS = computer-based representations using mathematics, rules, and logic to portray cancer and the dynamic multifaceted influences of cancer processes over the lifetime of the organism or system

Slide 3: Varieties of Simulations

Models vary on four attributes

1. Stochastic or deterministic?
2. Steady-state or dynamic?
3. Continuous or discrete?
4. Local or distributed?

Slide 4: Simulation Targets

- Policy options, choices, and resource allocations
- Provider organization strategies
- At-risk populations as aggregates
- Individual risk within populations
- Events

- Beneath the skin (biological levels)
- Multilevel interactions

Slide 5: Why Simulate?

Practical Reason

- Allows numerical or “virtual” experiments when real ones are not feasible
- Can bridge “above the skin” (social-ecological variables) and “below the skin” (biological variables) influences
- Way to combine multiple data sources and time periods to create realistic estimates to inform policy making as well as individual patient choice

Theory/Discovery Reasons

- Heuristic tool to generate “what-if” scenarios, hypotheses, and theories about mechanisms
- Help identify most powerful “leverage points” to improve system outcomes
- Identify gaps most likely to alter intervention decisions or to estimate the value of obtaining better information

Slide 6: Cancer Control Simulations

Not new; CISNET has led many advances

But most current models deal only with 1-2 vs. 3 or more levels

We profile four cancer models and suggest how they can be expanded to multilevel:

1. Tobacco control (SimSmoke)
2. Colorectal cancer screening (MISCAN-colon)
3. Cervical cancer screening (Goldie)
4. Breast cancer racial disparities (Mandelblatt)

Slide 7: Challenges 1: Methods

- Much of the data needed to measure causal relationships for complex multilevel modeling do not exist or are fragmented
- Limited understanding of how to integrate data and measure interactions between patients-providers-policies and then validate results
- More efficient computational algorithms and distributed computer networks
- Substantial learning curve here for the modeler

Slide 8: Challenges 2: Structural

- Requires multi-disciplinary teams
- Shortage of training programs specific to cancer, other health conditions
- Lack of grant review and funding infrastructure specific to modeling disciplines
- Some promising developments from NIH Office of Behavioral & Social Science Research

Slide 9: Challenges 3: Communication

- Need for common language across diverse disciplines for describing and shaping multilevel simulation modeling
- Need to create a ‘learning community’, such as CISNET, for multilevel modeling that can push the envelope of convention and orthodoxy in cancer research

Slide 10: Discussion Question

How can we get target audiences to trust multilevel model results and to use them in personal decision-making, clinical practice, and the support of cancer control interventions?

Slide 11: Discussion Question

No Content

[End Presentation]