

Awareness and Use of National Quitlines:

Evidence from the Health Information National Trends Survey (HINTS)



Kia L. Davis, MPH¹, Annette Kaufman, PhD², Erik Augustson, PhD, MPH², Lila J Finney Rutten, PhD, MPH¹ ¹HCIRB, SAIC-Frederick, Inc., National Cancer Institute-Frederick, Frederick, MD; ²TCRB, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD



SAIC-Frederick, Inc.



Introduction

- Progress has been made in decreasing smoking prevalence in the U.S. However, tobacco remains one of the most avoidable causes of morbidity and mortality resulting in 440,000 deaths annually and an additional 8.6 million people suffering tobacco-related illness
- Smoking quitlines have been recommended as an intervention that can significantly reduce the U.S. smoking prevalence and have become essential in tobacco control and prevention efforts. Unfortunately, quitlines are grossly underutilized with only 1-2% of smokers in North America reporting calling a quitline over the course of a year (3).
- Quitline call volume mirrors promotion efforts (4,5). This is challenging because it is difficult for states to manage quitline resources with fluxes in call volume. To understand underutilization of quitlines, it is necessary to assess the current levels of awareness and use in the U.S., but there is lack of national level data with basic information related to national quitline use.

Purpose

 The purpose of this study is to use a nationally representative data set to examine basic characteristics of those who are aware of and use national quitlines.

Methods

Data



How Americans find and use cancer information
Health Information National Trends Survey



Data were from the 2008 administration of the Health Information National Trends Survey (HINTS). HINTS evaluates public knowledge, attitudes and behaviors relevant to health communication. Data collection occurred from January-April 2008.

Measures

Outcome Variables:

Awareness of quitlines

Before being contacted for this survey, had you ever heard of toll free quitlines? (yes/no)

Use of quitlines

Have you ever called a telephone quitline (yes/no)

Predictor Variables:

- Sociodemographic variables Gender, Age, Ethnicity, Education, Household income, Healthcare access (Do you have any kind of healthcare coverage)
- Smoking status Current smokers, former smokers never smokers

Methods Continued

Measures Continued

Predictor Variables Continued:

Health Information

Have you ever looked for health information from any source? The most recent time you looked for health information where did you go first?

Trust in Sources of health information

In general how much would you trust information about health and medical topics from the following...?

Access to care

Is there a particular doctor, nurse, or health professional you see most

Patient -centered communication

Series of questions about communication with doctors, nurses, or other health professionals during the past 12 months Asked about extent to which health care professionals "...give you the chance to ask all the health-related question you had;" "...give the attention you needed to your feelings and emotions;" "...involve you in decisions about your health care as much as you wanted;" ...make sure you understood the things you needed to do to take care of your health;" and "...help you deal with feelings of uncertainty about your health or health care." A summed composite was created for these items (α =0.89)

Cancer History

Have you ever been diagnosed as having cancer? Have any of your family members ever had cancer?

Psychological Distress

Psychological distress was assessed with the question "How often did you feel each of the following during the past 30 days:"...so sad that nothing could cheer you up"; "...nervous"; "...restless or fidgety"; "...hopeless"; ... "that everything was an effort"; and "...worthless". Higher scores indicate more distress.

Data Analyses

SAS and SUDAAN were used to estimate appropriate standard errors of point estimates for the complex survey data. All data were weighted to provide representative estimates to the adult U.S. population.

* Individuals who had not searched for cancer information (n=2949) were not asked about experiences of seeking cancer information and were therefore not included in analyses using this survey item. Descriptive analyses were conducted for all variables. Two multivariate logistic regression models were conducted to predict awareness and use of national quitlines. Variables significantly associated (at p<.05) with outcome variables in bivariate analyses were included in the models. Response categories for some variables were collapsed to avoid overparameterizing the models.

Results

		Results			
Table 1. Sar	nple Chara	cteristics			
	Aware o	of Quitline No	Called Quitline Yes No		
	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	% (N)	
Total	49.8 (3452)	50.2 (3897)	3.5 (113)	96.5 (3252)	
Gender					
Male	49.67 (1392)	·	44.51 (40)	50.21 (1322)	
Female	50.33 (2059)	52.37 (2424) =.25	55.49 (73)	49.79 (1929) =.41	
	p-	23	p-	+ 1	
Age	25.02 (61.4)	26.06 (45.4)	24.07.(16)	26.54.(595)	
18-34 35-49	35.92 (614) 28.88 (869)	26.06 (454) 30.30 (898)	24.07 (16) 29.61 (28)	36.54 (585) 28.79 (819)	
50-64	23.26 (1147)	23.39 (1233)	40.27 (54)	22.74 (1066)	
65+	11.95 (788)	20.25 (1282)	6.05 (15)	11.93 (749)	
	p<	0.01	p<	0.05	
Ethnicity			- 4 - 40 (- 0)		
White Black	71.81 (2607) 9.45 (277)	66.87 (2782) 13.38 (399)	74.69 (79) 7.67 (9)	71.36 (2454) 9.67 (264)	
Latino	12.33 (258)	13.25 (354)	9.51 (9)	12.49 (244)	
Other	6.40 (198)	6.50 (222)	8.13 (11)	6.47 (184)	
	p<	<.05	p=	=.77	
Education					
Less than High School	14.26 (314)	13.25 (352)	22.48 (17)	14.14 (292)	
High School	24.03 (726)	29.01 (1048)	23.77 (30)	24.18 (683)	
Graduate	(1.71/0257)	57.72(0.40.4)	52.74(65)	(1 (0 (0004)	
Beyond High School	61.71(2357)	57.73(2424)	53.74(65)	61.68 (2224)	
	p<	0.05	p =	0.41	
Household Incom	ma				
<20K	20.01 (524)	19.36 (595)	35.57 (34)	19.31 (473)	
20-35K	15.81 (474)	17.52 (569)	17.75 (20)	15.76 (446)	
35-50K 50-75K	14.25 (422) 19.93 (598)	13.63 (441) 18.40 (597)	7.81 (10) 11.05 (14)	14.35 (400) 20.57 (573)	
75-100K	11.69 (368)	12.07 (401)	13.75 (11)	` /	
100K or more	18.32 (615)	19.02 (647)	14.07 (10)	14.07 (10)	
Health Care Yes	<i>p</i> = 82.66 (2997)	=.66 82.85 (3423)	<i>p</i> < 83.49 (95)	<.05 82.58 (2829)	
No	17.34 (410)	17.15 (422)	16.51 (16)	17.42 (386)	
	p=.9	0	p=.8	5	
Smoking Status					
Current Smoker	28.10 (829)	15.12 (420)	65.91 (71)	27.18 (751)	
Former Smoker Never	24.36 (1009) 47.54 (1575)	26.19 (1202) 58.69 (2223)	21.84 (28) 12.25 (13)	24.59 (963) 48.23 (1508)	
140 401	p<0	,	p < 0.	` /	
Trust Doctor					
A little/Not at all	94.33 (3249)	94.21 (3671)	93.82 (102)	94.29 (3065)	
A little/Not at all	5.67 (176)	5.79 (197)	6.18 (9)	5.71 (164)	
	p=	=.91	p=.8	36	
Trust Internet					
A lot/Some	70.88 (2304)	71.70 (2431)	69.23 (70)	70.99 (2175)	
A little/Not at all	29.12 (844)	28.30 (1030)	30.77 (31)	29.01 (793)	
	<i>p</i> =	=.63	p=.8	80	
Trust Governme		 (0-1 0)	50.00 (=0)	 42 (2442)	
A lot/Some A little/Not at all	77.06 (2580) 22.94 (770)	72.70 (2710) 27.30 (1025)	69.99 (78) 30.01 (32)	77.43 (2443) 22.57 (717)	
71 Ittle/110t at all	` '	<.01	p=3	` '	
Health Profession			92 02 (06)	60 41 (2520)	
Yes No	69.62 (2697) 30.38 (730)	68.76 (3034) 31.24 (827)	83.02 (96) 16.98 (17)	69.41 (2539) 30.59 (689)	
	` ,	=.56	p < 0	,	
Health Profession	nal Communicati	on			
Never	3.92 (79)	2.86 (85)	4.97 (4)	3.87 (72)	
Sometimes	12.98 (325)	,	19.97 (18)	12.64 (297)	
Usually Always	29.92 (841) 53.19 (1675)	29.47 (977) 54.85 (1876)	36.34 (31) 38.72 (45)	29.80 (791) 53.68 (1588)	
y	,	0.53	p = 0	` '	
Cancar History					
Cancer History Personal	6.21 (405)	8.46 (580)	7.93 (13)	6.20 (384)	
Family	66.32 (2154)	63.10 (2321)	80.85 (77)	65.51 (2018)	
None	` '	28.43 (879) .001	11.22 (16) p<.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Psychological Dis	1	.001	P	<i>71</i>	
No	91.72 (3116)	92.63 (3521)	77.00 (88)	` ,	
Yes	8.28 (210) $p =$	7.37 (201) 0.45	23.00 (22) p< 0.0	7.50 (182)	
	p-	· · · ·	p < 0.0	, .	
Aware of 1-800-(No		QA 0Q (2025)	24 07 (22)	13 O1 (1217)	
Yes	43.38 (1378) 56.52 (1959)	` ′	` '	43.94 (1317) 56.06 (1831)	
	p < 0	0.01	p=0.	17	

Table 2. Logistic Regression of quitline awareness 95% Confidence

		Interval	1
Age			
18-34	2.10	1.65-2.66	
35-49	1.42	1.21-1.66	0.0000
50-64	1.50	1.25-1.78	
65+	1.00		
Ethnicity			
White	1.00		
Black	0.59	0.42-0.83	0.0187
Latino	0.80	0.60-1.08	
Other	0.87	0.56-1.34	
Education			
Less than High	1.35	1.02-1.79	
School			
High School	0.86	0.68-1.08	0.0245
Graduate			
Beyond High	1.00		
School			
Smoking Status			
Current	2.28	1.89-2.75	
Former	1.22	1.06-1.40	0.0000
Never	1.00		
Looked for health or me	dical information fr	om anv source?	
Yes	1.40	1.14-1.73	0.0021
No	1.00		
Trust Government			
A lot/Some	1.25	1.05-1.48	0.0118
A little/Not at all	1.00		
Cancer History			
Personal	0.88	0.71-1.08	0.3126
Family	1.03	0.86-1.22	
None	1.00		

Table 3. Logistic Regression predicting quitline use

	OR	95% Confidence Interval	<i>p</i> -value	
Smoking Status				
Current	9.25	3.18-26.85	0.0002	
Former	3.81	1.19-12.23		
Never	1.00			
Looked for health or m	edical information	from any source?		
Yes	3.86	1.65-9.04		
No	1.00		0.0025	
Cancer History				
Personal	2.73	0.83-8.93	0.0493	
Family	2.85	1.23-6.62		
None	1.00			
Psychological Distress				
No	0.42	0.21-0.83	0.0138	
Yes	1.00			

Summary:

- Current and former smokers were significantly more likely to be aware of quitlines than never smokers
- Age, ethnicity and education were significantly related to quitline
- Looking for health information and having more trust in the government as a source of health information were associated with awareness.
- The greatest predictor of quitline use was being a current cigarette smoker.
- Respondents who looked for health or medical information from any source, had a personal or family history of cancer, and reported psychological distress were more likely to have used a quitline.

Conclusions:

While awareness of quitlines appears to be high, especially in high risk groups, quitline utilization is low. Quitline awareness campaigns that address motivation and engagement might be useful in these populations.

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Best Practices for Comprehensive Tobacco Control Programs—2007. Atlanta, G., Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, , National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking

2. Fiore M.C. Rockville, M.D., U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service,, Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence: Clinical

3. 7. Ossip-Klein, D.J. and S. McIntosh, Quitlines in North America: evidence base and applications. Am J Med Sci, 2003. 326(4): p. 201-5. 4. 8. Hurd, A., et al., Impact of national ABC promotion of 1-800-QUIT-NOW. Am J Health Promot, 2007. 21(6): p. 481-483.9. 5. Ossip-Klein, D.J., et al., Effects of a smoker's hotline: results of a 10-county self-help trial. J Consult Clin Psychol, 1991. 59(2): p. 325-32.