Nomination Form

General Information

Nominations are due no later than Friday, August 3, 2018.

Please provide the following information with your nomination.

1.	Nominee Name:
	Degree(s):
	Title:
	Division:
	Lab/Branch/Office:
	Campus Address:
	Campus Phone:
	Name of Nominee's Supervisor:

2. Primary Nominator Name:

Relationship to the Nominee (i.e. Current Postdoctoral Fellow; Research Fellow; Clinical Fellow; Recent Fellow (departed NIH in last 12 months); Other Current Fellow; Student/Trainee Former Fellow (departed NIH more than 12 months ago):
Degree(s):
Title:
Division:
Lab/Branch/Office:
Campus Address:
Campus Phone:
Work E-mail:

Your nomination is confidential. If your nominee is selected as a winner, may we share your identity with them? Yes No

3.	Secondary Nominator Name:
	Relationship to the Nominee (i.e. Current Postdoctoral Fellow; Research Fellow; Clinical Fellow; Recent Fellow (departed NIH in last 12 months); Other Current Fellow; Student/Trainee; Former Fellow (departed NIH more than 12 months ago):
	Degree(s):
	Title:
	Division:
	Lab/Branch/Office:
	Campus Address:
	Campus Phone:
	Work E-mail:
Your nomina	tion is confidential. If your nominee is selected as a winner, may we share your identity

with them?

Yes

No

B. Review Criteria

1.		cord (underlined items are for primary nomination only): In order to establish the minee's mentoring record, please answer the following:
	•	How many years has nominee been your mentor?
	•	How many staff members does the nominee currently supervise? How many fellows?
	•	How many fellows has the nominee mentored during his/her tenure at NCI? How many interns and students?
	•	List placements where former fellows have gone after leaving NCI.
	•	Has the nominee encouraged you to mentor others? Please describe.
		ave all of the above information, you may consider asking someone on the permanent you (ALM, lab technician, AO). Otherwise, you may leave it blank.

Please address criteria 2 through 8 in 2000 words or less. Answer each criterion separately. Total word count should not exceed 2000.

2. **Scientific Expertise**: Does the nominee have state-of-the-science professional knowledge and expertise that they impart to trainees? Do they set a standard of scientific excellence through the quality, productivity, and impact of their research? Please give examples.

Primary Nominator:			
Secondary Nominator:			

3.	Access: Is the nominee available, accessible, and accepting of trainees in the laboratory or other research setting? Please give examples.
Primary No	ominator:
Secondary	Nominator:

4.

Communication: Does the nominee establish explicit training expectations and provide

constructive feedback, verbally and in writing, so that trainees have a gauge for learning

	and scientific development?	Please give examples.
Primary Nomin	ator:	
Secondary Non	ninator:	
•		

Environment: Does the nominee provide a training environment conducive to good science, including intellectual and tangible support for scientific development, as well as

	attention to the concerns of trainees? Please give examples.
Primary Nomin	ator:
Secondary Nom	ninator:

Visibility: Does the nominee give credit to trainees, and promote visibility of their work, both formally and informally, e.g. through workshops, meetings, presentations, and

publications?	Please give examples.
Primary Nominator:	
Secondary Nominator:	

Career Development: Does the nominee demonstrate commitment to mentoring by sharing his/her knowledge, giving ownership, and actively helping trainees to prepare

	for and meet their career objectives? Please give examples.
Primary Nomina	ator:
Secondary Nom	inator:

	8.	Other (optional): Is there anything else you think the review committee should know about the nominee, and why you are nominating them?
Primary	Nomin	ator:
Seconda	ary Nom	ninator: